

Use Attainability Analysis

1 Water Body Name	Ditch Number 7
2 Segment Description	Mouth to confluence with unnamed tributary
3 Segment Length (mi)	3.5
4 Drainage Area (sq. mi.)	21.7
5 Segment Start Latitude, Longitude (DD)	43.37922, -94.62279
6 Segment End Latitude, Longitude (DD)	43.39108, -94.66625
7 Route of Flow (Next Downstream Adopted Designated Use)	Drainage Ditch 7 (A2, BWW2, proposed) to Jack Creek (A2, pending, BWW2, existing) to Des Moines River (A1, BWW1, HH)
8 NPDES Facility and Permit Number (If Applicable)	Gruver, City of STP (3225001)
9 Sample Site ID(s)	1055-2, 1055-3
10 Segment County Name(s)	Emmet
11 Field Work Date(s)	9/12/2014

12 Aquatic Life Use Attainability Analysis - Conclusion

Recommended Highest Attainable Use: Aquatic Life Use	BWW2
40 CFR 131.10(g)(2) (Flow)	The natural low flow conditions of the stream segment are insufficient to create the habitat necessary to support a viable community of game fish. A lack of age ranges and diversity of game fish species indicates a non-reproducing population (see Site Observations Table). A BWW1 designation requires multiple species and age ranges to be viable. Therefore, the highest attainable aquatic life use for this stream segment is BWW2.
40 CFR 131.10(g)(5) (Physical Conditions)	Physical conditions related to the natural features of the water body are insufficient to support a viable community of game fish. Drainage area, stream width, and maximum depth fall within the "consistently negative" game fish indicator responses (see Table 2 in Appendix I). A lack of age ranges and diversity of game fish species indicates a non-reproducing population (see Site Observations Table). A BWW1 designation requires multiple species and age ranges to be viable. Therefore, the highest attainable aquatic life use for this stream segment is BWW2.

13 Recreational Use Attainability Analysis - Conclusion

Recommended Highest Attainable Use: Recreational Use	A2
40 CFR 131.10(g)(2) (Flow)	The natural low flow conditions and water levels of the stream segment prevent the attainment of an A1 recreational use (see Site Observations Table). An A1 designation requires the ability for full body immersion. Therefore, the highest attainable recreational use is A2.

14 Flow

Field Work Date	Description
9/12/2014	USGS stream gage data for the area indicated stream flows were normal at the time of assessment.

Use Attainability Analysis - Data

Site Observations

Use	Site parameter	Site ID #1055-2
AL/R	15 Latitude, Longitude (DD)	43.38890, -94.65995
AL/R	16 Average Depth (in)	4
AL/R	17 Maximum Depth (in)	17
AL/R	18 Stream Width (ft)	5
AL/R	19 Pools Observed?	Yes
AL only	20 Non-Game Fish Present and Counts (Species: Number)	Creek chub: 21 Fathead minnow: >100 Johnny darter: 1 Sand shiner: 4 (see pics) White sucker: 19
	21 Game Fish Present and Counts (Species (Size Range): Number)	Common carp (juvenile): 2
	22 Stream Habitat (See also: #29 Site Photos)	Channelized, steep, grassy.
R only	23 Evidence of Use for Primary Contact Recreation? (Yes*/No)	No
	24 Evidence of Use by Children? (Yes*/No)	No
	25 Evidence of Use for Secondary Contact Recreation? (Yes*/No)	No
AL/R	26 Additional Description	The house to the SE of the site is rundown and abandoned. Cropland surrounds the site.

Use	Site parameter	Site ID #1055-3
AL/R	15 Latitude, Longitude (DD)	43.38536, -94.62336
AL/R	16 Average Depth (in)	4
AL/R	17 Maximum Depth (in)	17
AL/R	18 Stream Width (ft)	5
AL/R	19 Pools Observed?	Yes
AL only	20 Non-Game Fish Present and Counts (Species: Number)	Not sampled
	21 Game Fish Present and Counts (Species (Size Range): Number)	Not sampled
	22 Stream Habitat (See also: #29 Site Photos)	Fairly channelized, moderately steep, grass-lined, grassy riparian
R only	23 Evidence of Use for Primary Contact Recreation? (Yes*/No)	No
	24 Evidence of Use by Children? (Yes*/No)	No
	25 Evidence of Use for Secondary Contact Recreation? (Yes*/No)	No
AL/R	26 Additional Description	Areas to the north and south are cropland. County conservation lands (East Swan Lake WMA) to the SW.

AL = Aquatic Life

R = Recreation

*If yes, elaborate.

27 Supplemental Data

Desktop review verified that the UAA field work is still valid.

28 Map of Segment, Outfall, and Site(s)



29 Site Photos



Figure 1. 1055-2 Recreational use assessment midpoint looking upstream.



Figure 2. 1055-2 Recreational use assessment midpoint looking downstream.



Figure 3. 1055-2 Recreational use assessment upstream looking upstream.



Figure 4. 1055-2 Recreational use assessment upstream looking downstream.



Figure 5. 1055-2 Recreational use assessment downstream looking upstream.



Figure 6. 1055-2 Recreational use assessment downstream looking downstream.



Figure 7. 1055-2 Start of aquatic assessment bankview looking upstream.



Figure 8. 1055-2 Start of aquatic assessment bankview looking downstream.



Figure 9. 1055-2 End of aquatic assessment looking upstream.



Figure 10. 1055-2 End of aquatic assessment looking downstream.



Figure 11. 1055-2 Looking north.



Figure 12. 1055-2 Looking south.



Figure 13. 1055-2 Brassy minnow view 1.



Figure 14.1055-2 Brassy minnow view 2.



Figure 15. 1055-2 Brassy minnow view 3.



Figure 16. 1055-2 White sucker.



Figure 17. 1055-3 Recreational use assessment midpoint looking upstream.



Figure 18. 1055-3 Recreational use assessment midpoint looking downstream.



Figure 19. 1055-3 Recreational use assessment upstream looking upstream.



Figure 20. 1055-3 Recreational use assessment upstream looking downstream.



Figure 21. 1055-3 Recreational use assessment downstream looking upstream.



Figure 22. 1055-3 Recreational use assessment downstream looking downstream.



Figure 23. 1055-3 Scum on surface.



Figure 24. 1055-3 East Swan Lake wildlife management area sign.



Figure 25. 1055-3 Wildlife management area sign view 1.



Figure 26. 1055-3 Wildlife management area sign view 2.



Figure 27. 1055-3 Wildlife management area sign view 3.

Appendix I.

c. Stream Flow and Habitat Data

Data analysis results for stream flow and habitat variables were similar to game fish indicator results. Stream width, average thalweg depth, maximum depth, and flow appear to be the characteristics that correlate the best with consistently positive game fish indicators. Stream flow and habitat dimensions (where available) were consistently larger for streams with watershed sizes exceeding 275 square miles. Habitat measurements are not available for the largest sample sites that were sampled by boat instead of the typical wading method.

Ranges of stream size, habitat and flow associated with varying levels of game fish indicator responses are listed in Table 2. These are general statewide values, which may assist in decision making related to the recommendation of warm water aquatic life use designations. In general terms, stream segments that have watershed area, flow and habitat characteristics in the green shaded boxes have a greater probability that game fish indicators will be consistently positive (i.e., consistent with Class B(WW-1)), while stream habitat and flow levels that equate to the red boxes are much less likely to support game fish populations (i.e., Class B(WW-2) or Class B(WW-3)). Stream segments that have a mixture of characteristics, mainly in the yellow range, may require consideration of the additional habitat features collected during the field assessment, to determine the appropriate aquatic life use designation.

Table 2. Generalized statewide ranges of stream habitat indicator levels and associated game fish indicator responses.

Game Fish Indicator Responses	Stream Watershed Area (sq.mi.)	Stream Flow (typical base flow - cfs)	Stream Width Average (ft)	Average Depth (ft)	Avg. Thalweg Depth (ft)	Maximum Depth (ft)
Consistently Positive	>275	>30	>65	>1.2	>2.2	>4.4
Mixed	25-275	0.8-30	11-65	0.2-1.2	0.8-2.2	1.8-4.4
Consistently Negative	<25	<0.8	<11	<0.2	<0.8	<1.8

Iowa uses U.S. EPA's Level IV Ecoregions as a template for wadeable stream biological condition assessment. Stream flow and habitat characteristics can vary from ecoregion to ecoregion. To provide additional insight into where the area of overlap exists between Class B(LR/WW-2) and Class B(WW/WW-1) streams, a query of Iowa's bioassessment database produced 476 habitat assessment records from which a summary of habitat characteristics was prepared (Table 3a-f) (see appendix for full spreadsheet). The summary is grouped by ecoregion and former designated uses in order to illustrate the extremes and ranges of overlap in habitat characteristics.