

## Use Attainability Analysis

<b>1 Water Body Name</b>	Spring Creek
<b>2 Segment Description</b>	West line of S19, T92N, R20W, Franklin County to headwaters
<b>3 Segment Length (mi)</b>	12.2
<b>4 Drainage Area (sq. mi.)</b>	27.4
<b>5 Segment Start Latitude, Longitude (DD)</b>	42.76856, -93.26159
<b>6 Segment End Latitude, Longitude (DD)</b>	42.77441, -93.37379
<b>7 Route of Flow (Next Downstream Adopted Designated Use)</b>	Spring Creek (A2, BWW2, proposed, to A2, proposed, BWW2, existing) to Beeds Lake (A1, BWW1, HH)
<b>8 NPDES Facility and Permit Number (If Applicable)</b>	Latimer-Coulter, City of STP (3554001)
<b>9 Sample Site ID(s)</b>	1339-1, 1339-2, 1339-3
<b>10 Segment County Name(s)</b>	Franklin
<b>11 Field Work Date(s)</b>	8/25/2017

### 12 Aquatic Life Use Attainability Analysis - Conclusion

<b>Recommended Highest Attainable Use: Aquatic Life Use</b>	BWW2 (Bridge crossing at S21/22, T92N, R21W, Franklin County to headwaters)
<b>40 CFR 131.10(g)(2) (Flow)</b>	The natural low flow conditions of the stream segment are insufficient to create the habitat necessary to support a viable community of game fish. A lack of age ranges and diversity of game fish species indicates a non-reproducing population (see Site Observations Table). A BWW1 designation requires multiple species and age ranges to be viable. Therefore, the highest attainable aquatic life use for this stream segment is BWW2.
<b>40 CFR 131.10(g)(5) (Physical Conditions)</b>	Physical conditions related to the natural features of the water body are insufficient to support a viable community of game fish. The downstream sites have similar dimensions to the upstream site that didn't have any gamefish, and none of the game fish indicators at any sites were "consistently positive." In addition, the next downstream segment is already designated as BWW2. A lack of age ranges and diversity of game fish species indicates a non-reproducing population (see Site Observations Table). A BWW1 designation requires multiple species and age ranges to be viable. Therefore, the highest attainable aquatic life use for this stream segment is BWW2.

### 13 Recreational Use Attainability Analysis - Conclusion

<b>Recommended Highest Attainable Use: Recreational Use</b>	A2
<b>40 CFR 131.10(g)(2) (Flow)</b>	The natural low flow conditions and water levels of the stream segment prevent the attainment of an A1 recreational use (see Site Observations Table). An A1 designation requires the ability for full body immersion. Therefore, the highest attainable recreational use is A2.

### 14 Flow

Field Work Date	Description
8/25/2017	<a href="#">USGS stream gage data</a> for the area indicated stream flows were normal at the time of assessment.

**Use Attainability Analysis - Data**  
**Site Observations**

Use	Site parameter	Site ID #1339-1
AL/R	15 Latitude, Longitude (DD)	42.77097, -93.36425
AL/R	16 Average Depth (in)	9
AL/R	17 Maximum Depth (in)	25
AL/R	18 Stream Width (ft)	15
AL/R	19 Pools Observed?	Yes
AL only	20 Non-Game Fish Present and Counts (Species: Number)	Blacknose dace: 3 Creek chub: 3 Fathead minnow: 1 Green sunfish: 2 White sucker: 22
	21 Game Fish Present and Counts (Species (Size Range): Number)	None
	22 Stream Habitat (See also: #29 Site Photos)	Open drainage ditch. Lots of aquatic vegetation. Difficult to fish. Poor habitat. Steep slopes upstream and downstream.
R only	23 Evidence of Use for Primary Contact Recreation? (Yes*/No)	No
	24 Evidence of Use by Children? (Yes*/No)	No
	25 Evidence of Use for Secondary Contact Recreation? (Yes*/No)	No
AL/R	26 Additional Description	This water body is a drainage ditch flowing next to the STP.

Use	Site parameter	Site ID #1339-2
AL/R	15 Latitude, Longitude (DD)	42.75987, -93.30842
AL/R	16 Average Depth (in)	14.5
AL/R	17 Maximum Depth (in)	28
AL/R	18 Stream Width (ft)	16
AL/R	19 Pools Observed?	Yes
AL only	20 Non-Game Fish Present and Counts (Species: Number)	Not sampled
	21 Game Fish Present and Counts (Species (Size Range): Number)	Not sampled
	22 Stream Habitat (See also: #29 Site Photos)	Little flow.
R only	23 Evidence of Use for Primary Contact Recreation? (Yes*/No)	No
	24 Evidence of Use by Children? (Yes*/No)	No
	25 Evidence of Use for Secondary Contact Recreation? (Yes*/No)	No
AL/R	26 Additional Description	Stream flowing through ag land. Adjacent to Spring Creek Wildlife Area and Long Spur Habitat Area

Use	Site parameter	Site ID #1339-3
AL/R	15 Latitude, Longitude (DD)	42.76861, -93.26133
AL/R	16 Average Depth (in)	10.5
AL/R	17 Maximum Depth (in)	24
AL/R	18 Stream Width (ft)	26
AL/R	19 Pools Observed?	Yes

Use	Site parameter	Site ID #1339-3
AL only	20 Non-Game Fish Present and Counts (Species: Number)	Not sampled
	21 Game Fish Present and Counts (Species (Size Range): Number)	Not sampled
	22 Stream Habitat (See also: #29 Site Photos)	Not sampled
R only	23 Evidence of Use for Primary Contact Recreation? (Yes*/No)	No
	24 Evidence of Use by Children? (Yes*/No)	No
	25 Evidence of Use for Secondary Contact Recreation? (Yes*/No)	No
AL/R	26 Additional Description	Rural stream. Enters into Beeds Lake State Park downstream of 1339-3.

AL = Aquatic Life

R = Recreation

\*If yes, elaborate.

## 27 Supplemental Data

Use	Site parameter	Fish Kill Site ID #167
AL/R	Latitude, Longitude (DD)	42.76502, -93.30793
AL/R	Field Work Date	7/29/1991
AL only	Non-Game Fish Present and Counts (Species: Number)	Not sampled
	Game Fish Present and Counts (Species (Size Range): Number)	Not sampled
AL/R	Additional Description	<a href="https://programs.iowadnr.gov/fishkill/Events/167">https://programs.iowadnr.gov/fishkill/Events/167</a> Hog confinement feedlot runoff appears to have caused the kill. Magnitude 4 fish kill (5,001 - 10,000 fish). Estimated fish killed 9,735.

## 28 Map of Segment, Outfall, and Site(s)





## 29 Site Photos



Figure 1. 1339-1 Recreational use assessment upstream looking upstream.



Figure 2. 1339-1 Recreational use assessment upstream looking downstream.





**Figure 3. 1339-1 Recreational use assessment downstream looking upstream.**



**Figure 4. 1339-1 Recreational use assessment downstream looking downstream.**



**Figure 5. 1339-1 End of aquatic assessment looking upstream.**



**Figure 6. 1339-1 Fishing.**





**Figure 7. 1339-1 Outfall.**



**Figure 8. 1339-2 Recreational use assessment midpoint looking upstream.**





**Figure 9. 1339-2 Recreational use assessment midpoint looking downstream.**



**Figure 10. 1339-2 Recreational use assessment upstream looking upstream.**



**Figure 11. 1339-2 Recreational use assessment upstream looking downstream.**



**Figure 12. 1339-2 Recreational use assessment downstream looking upstream.**





Figure 13. 1339-2 Recreational use assessment downstream looking downstream.



Figure 14. 1339-2 Public hunting area sign.





**Figure 15. 1339-3 Recreational use assessment midpoint looking upstream.**



**Figure 16. 1339-3 Recreational use assessment midpoint looking downstream.**





**Figure 17. 1339-3 Recreational use assessment upstream looking upstream.**



**Figure 18. 1339-3 Recreational use assessment upstream looking downstream.**





**Figure 19. 1339-3 Recreational use assessment downstream looking upstream.**



**Figure 20. 1339-3 Recreational use assessment downstream looking downstream.**



## Appendix I.

### c. Stream Flow and Habitat Data

Data analysis results for stream flow and habitat variables were similar to game fish indicator results. Stream width, average thalweg depth, maximum depth, and flow appear to be the characteristics that correlate the best with consistently positive game fish indicators. Stream flow and habitat dimensions (where available) were consistently larger for streams with watershed sizes exceeding 275 square miles. Habitat measurements are not available for the largest sample sites that were sampled by boat instead of the typical wading method.

Ranges of stream size, habitat and flow associated with varying levels of game fish indicator responses are listed in Table 2. These are general statewide values, which may assist in decision making related to the recommendation of warm water aquatic life use designations. In general terms, stream segments that have watershed area, flow and habitat characteristics in the green shaded boxes have a greater probability that game fish indicators will be consistently positive (i.e., consistent with Class B(WW-1)), while stream habitat and flow levels that equate to the red boxes are much less likely to support game fish populations (i.e., Class B(WW-2) or Class B(WW-3)). Stream segments that have a mixture of characteristics, mainly in the yellow range, may require consideration of the additional habitat features collected during the field assessment, to determine the appropriate aquatic life use designation.

**Table 2. Generalized statewide ranges of stream habitat indicator levels and associated game fish indicator responses.**

<b>Game Fish Indicator Responses</b>	<b>Stream Watershed Area (sq.mi.)</b>	<b>Stream Flow (typical base flow - cfs)</b>	<b>Stream Width Average (ft)</b>	<b>Average Depth (ft)</b>	<b>Avg. Thalweg Depth (ft)</b>	<b>Maximum Depth (ft)</b>
Consistently Positive	>275	>30	>65	>1.2	>2.2	>4.4
Mixed	25-275	0.8-30	11-65	0.2-1.2	0.8-2.2	1.8-4.4
Consistently Negative	<25	<0.8	<11	<0.2	<0.8	<1.8

Iowa uses U.S. EPA's Level IV Ecoregions as a template for wadeable stream biological condition assessment. Stream flow and habitat characteristics can vary from ecoregion to ecoregion. To provide additional insight into where the area of overlap exists between Class B(LR/WW-2) and Class B(WW/WW-1) streams, a query of Iowa's bioassessment database produced 476 habitat assessment records from which a summary of habitat characteristics was prepared (Table 3a-f) (see appendix for full spreadsheet). The summary is grouped by ecoregion and former designated uses in order to illustrate the extremes and ranges of overlap in habitat characteristics.