Appendix 17. Species Status Assessment Criteria

Methodology for Fauna SGCN Selection

To assess the conservation status of all native, extant lowa species in the fauna taxa groups included in this Plan, we utilized 8 criteria. The Wildlife Working Group developed a scoring process in which each criterion was weighted according to our understanding of the relative contribution of each factor to a species' overall conservation status. The theoretical potential score for an individual species ranges from 0 - 3.75. Individual species scores ranged from 0.57 (for the Snuffbox Mussel, which may be extirpated) to 3.75 (for several species of low conservation concern that have been expanding their range within lowa). The cutoff value was set at 2.844, meaning that if a species score was a 2.844 or lower, it was categorized as Species of Greatest Conservation Need.

Status Assessment Criteria

Species with 2 or more fields that are unknown went into a separate "Data Deficient" category of species for which information requirements are high. Data Deficient Species will be categorized as SGCN. If a species has been extirpated from the state, it was also put into a separate category for further discussion; some extirpated species may be included as SGCN and others may not, depending on whether the species is a candidate for restoration activities within the state.

Range-wide Factor

Criteria 1: Range Extent - Area encompassing all known and projected occurrences, excluding vagrancy. This includes the <u>entire range</u>, <u>not just the range extent within lowa</u>. (Breeding range only for migratory species)

Rank Value	Range in km²	Range in square miles	Examples of Comparable Size
0	no occurrences believed to be extant; species presumed extinct	NA	NA
1	<1000 km ²	<about 400="" miles<="" square="" td=""><td>Rocky Mountain Natl Park</td></about>	Rocky Mountain Natl Park
2	1,000 -5000 km ²	400 - 2,000 square miles	Prince Edward Island
3	5,000 - 100,000 km ²	2,000 - 40,000 square miles	Kentucky
4	100,000 - 2,50,000 km ²	40,000 - 1,000,000 square miles	Alaska + Texas
5	>2,500,000 km ²	>1,000,000 square miles	>Alaska + Texas

(Note - This is not intended to be an estimate of the amount of occupied or potential habitat. The use of this parameter is to determine the degree to which risks from threatening factors are spread spatially across the geographic distribution of the species.)

Iowa-Only Factors

Criteria 2: Area of Occupancy

- Extent of historical range within Iowa which currently supports the species. (Breeding and Migratory Range)
 - 0 = Species known from <10% historic range
 - 1 = Species known from 11-25% historic range
 - 2 = Species known from 26-50% historic range
 - 3 = Species known from 51-75% historic range
 - 4 = Species known from 76-100% historic range
 - 5 = Species known from >100% historic range

Criteria 3: Long-term Trend

- Can be population numbers, density, or area occupied since time of European settlement <u>in Iowa</u> (Breeding and migratory range)
 - 0 = Species has declined by >90%
 - 1 = Species has declined by 68 89%
 - 2 = Species has declined by 41 67%

- 3 = Species has declined by 11-40%
- 4 = Species has declined by 0 10%
- 5 = Species has increased

Criteria 4: Short-term Trend

- Can be population numbers, density or area occupied for past ten years <u>in Iowa</u>.
 - 0 = Species has declined by >90%
 - 1 = Species has declined by 68 89%
 - 2 = Species has declined by 41 67%
 - 3 = Species has declined by 11-40%
 - 4 = Species has declined by 0 10%
 - 5 = Species has increased

Criteria 5: Ecological Specialization (Population Concentration)

- Degree to which individuals within populations congregate or aggregate seasonally or daily in Iowa (e.g. hibernacula, breeding sites, migration focal points).
 - 0 = Majority of individuals congregate at a single location
 - 1 = Individuals concentrate at 1-25 locations
 - 2 = Individuals congregate at over 25 sites or do not concentrate

Criteria 6: Ecological Specialization (Dietary)

- Relates to the primary way in which local populations respond to decreases in availability of preferred food type.
 - 0 = Number of individuals declines, no shift in diet
 - 1 = Some shift in diet, population suffers but stabilizes
 - 2 = Species shifts to different diet, no impact to population

Criteria 7: Ecological Specialization (General)

- Species has some unique life history requirement not addressed above (e.g. hibernacula requirements; narrow ambient temperature limits; specific roosting structure)
 - 0 = Highly specialized
 - 1 = Moderately specialized
 - 2 = Not specialized

Criteria 8: Threat Not Addressed Above

- List and rank any threat not addressed above (e.g. mussels lack of recruitment; butterflies uni- or multi-voltine) If no threat, score as 4, if 0-3, articulate what threat is.
 - 0 = Severe threat to species endurance
 - 1 = High threat to species endurance
 - 2 = Medium threat to species endurance
 - 3 = Low threat to species endurance
 - 4 = no threat to species endurance

Methodology for Plant SGCN Selection

Taxonomy primarily from the Flora of North America (FNA) with exceptions for the genus *Rubus* (Widrlechner, 1998), and the federally listed species of Northern Wild Monkshood (*Aconitum novaboracense*) and Hall's Bulrush (*Schoenoplectiella hallii*) as presented in the Federal Register.

This effort grew from the committee work done to revise the Iowa Threatened and Endangered Species list (571, Chapter 77 (Endangered & Threatened Plant and Animal Species) as described in Iowa Code Chapter 481B; B.3.1).

The core committee was comprised of:

John Pearson, Iowa DNR State Ecologist

Deborah Lewis, retired, Iowa State University Ada Hayden Herbarium, Curator

Thomas Rosburg, Drake University

Mark Widrlechner, retired, USDA Agricultural Research Service

Dean Roosa, retired, Iowa DNR State Ecologist

Mark Leoschke, Iowa DNR Botanist

William Norris, Western New Mexico University & Iowa State University

With specialist assistance from:

Donald Farrar, retired, Iowa State University (ferns)

Peter van der Linden, retired, Iowa Lakeside Laboratory (shrubs & trees)

Scott Zagar, Wildlife Ecological Services (sedges)

Lynn Clark, Iowa State University (grasses)

Gary Phillips, retired, Iowa Lakes Community College (aquatic plants)

Darcy Cashatt, Iowa DNR Fisheries Technician (aquatic plants)

The Committee met every month or every other month between March 2020 and December 2024. The primary purpose was to revise the State Threatened and Endangered Species list. They evaluated 519 species, representing approximately 33% of the native flora of Iowa. Those species considered included the ones that were on the Endangered, Threatened, and Special Concern list since 1994, species that have been reclassified by science (splitting and lumping of various species), new state records, and species nominated from the state experts.

Work included examining data from the Natural Areas Inventory database which is inclusive of records from comprehensive herbarium inventories, extensive field work, and literature review. In-depth discussions included species where new information suggested they were more common than previously documented or new populations had been documented, as well as the opposite, where species were more common historically but have been documented less frequently in recent years.

Decisions were based upon:

- Endangered = 5 or fewer records since 1980
- Threatened = 6-20 records since 1980
- Special Concern included three groupings:
 - Species of uncertain status (similar to data deficient)
 - o 21-40 records since 1980
 - Presumed extirpated (all pre-1980 records)

References Cited:

Flora of North America Editorial Committee, eds. 1993+, <u>Flora of North America North of Mexico</u> [On-line]. 25+vols, New York and Oxford. Vol. 1, 1993; vol. 2, 1993; vol. 3, 1997; vol. 4, 2003; vol. 5, 2005; vol. 6, 2015; vol. 7, 2010; vol. 8, 2009; vol. 9, 2014; vol. 10, 2021; vol. 11, 2023, vol. 12, 2016; vol. 13, 2024; vol. 14, 2023; vol. 17, 2019; vol. 19, 2006; vol. 20, 2006; vol. 21, 2006; vol. 22, 2000; vol. 23, 2002; vol. 24, 2007; vol. 25, 2003; vol. 26, 2002; vol. 27, 2007; vol. 28, 2014. http://beta.floranorthamerica.org. Accessed [Aug. 1, 2025].

- U.S. Federal Register. Final Determination that Eleven Plant Taxa are Endangered and Two Plant Taxa are Threatened. 43 FR 17910 (April 26, 1978). Accessed at: https://www.federalregister.gov/citation/43-FR-17910
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2020. Species Status Assessment for Hall's Bulrush (*Schoenoplectiella hallii*). March 2020 (Version 1.0). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Great Lakes Region. Bloomington, Minnesota. Accessed at: https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/files/documents/SSA Hall%27sBulrush 202007.pdf

Widrlechner, MP. 1998. The genus Rubus in Iowa. Castanea 63(4):415-465.