	VARIANCE REQUEST		
	Iowa Department of Na	tural Resources	
<ol> <li>Date:</li> <li>Review Engineer:</li> <li>Date Received:</li> <li>Facility Name:</li> <li>County Number:</li> <li>Program Area:</li> <li>Facility Type :</li> <li>Subject Area :</li> <li>Rule Reference:</li> <li>Design Stds Ref:</li> <li>Consulting Engr:</li> <li>Variance Rule:</li> </ol>	June 18, 2003 James A. Hallmark March 25, 2003 City of Walford STP Benton (06) CP (Wastewater Construction) C05 (Biological Treatment) 321 567-64.2(9)a 14.5.2.3(4) Hart-Frederick Consultants 567-64.2(9)c	13.Decision: MONDUG Date: 6(25)03 14.Appeal: Date:	
15. Description of V	ariance Request:		
a new mechanica a flow equalization to be constructed	al wastewater treatment facility (Aeron on basin. The City has requested a var	nod). The city will convert one of the lagoons int riance from IA 17.5.2.3(4) requiring final clarifier vice, the remaining units shall have a design load	
a new mechanica a flow equalizati to be constructed capacity of at lea plant needs to be flow above the c	al wastewater treatment facility (Aeron on basin. The City has requested a var l so that with the largest unit out of ser st 75% of the total design loading to the removed from service the plant as pro- larifier's capacity to the flow equalization	aerated lagoon treatment facility and constructing nod). The city will convert one of the lagoons int riance from IA 17.5.2.3(4) requiring final clarifier vice, the remaining units shall have a design load hat unit operation. In a case where a portion of th oposed will meet the 75% reliability by routing the tion basin.	
<ul> <li>a new mechanica</li> <li>a flow equalizati</li> <li>to be constructed</li> <li>capacity of at lea</li> <li>plant needs to be</li> <li>flow above the c</li> </ul> 16. <u>Consulting Engin</u> <ul> <li>The plant has a c</li> <li>each other. If the</li> <li>mgd will be pum</li> <li>store flows for u</li> </ul>	al wastewater treatment facility (Aeron on basin. The City has requested a val l so that with the largest unit out of ser est 75% of the total design loading to the removed from service the plant as pro- larifier's capacity to the flow equalization meer's Justifications apacity of 0.96 mgd and operates with e need arises that ½ the plant needs to uped to the flow equalization basin. The p to 9.6 days between the plant capacity	aerated lagoon treatment facility and constructing nod). The city will convert one of the lagoons intri- riance from IA 17.5.2.3(4) requiring final clarifier rvice, the remaining units shall have a design load hat unit operation. In a case where a portion of the posed will meet the 75% reliability by routing the tion basin.	
<ul> <li>a new mechanica</li> <li>a flow equalizati</li> <li>to be constructed</li> <li>capacity of at lea</li> <li>plant needs to be</li> <li>flow above the c</li> </ul> 16. <u>Consulting Engin</u> <ul> <li>The plant has a c</li> <li>each other. If the</li> <li>mgd will be pum</li> <li>store flows for u</li> </ul> 17. <u>Department's Jus</u>	al wastewater treatment facility (Aeron on basin. The City has requested a va- l so that with the largest unit out of ser est 75% of the total design loading to the removed from service the plant as pro- larifier's capacity to the flow equalization neer's Justifications apacity of 0.96 mgd and operates with e need arises that ½ the plant needs to uped to the flow equalization basin. The p to 9.6 days between the plant capacity stifications Recommend appre-	aerated lagoon treatment facility and constructing nod). The city will convert one of the lagoons int riance from IA 17.5.2.3(4) requiring final clarifier vice, the remaining units shall have a design load hat unit operation. In a case where a portion of th oposed will meet the 75% reliability by routing th tion basin. In two trains that can function independent from be removed from service, flows in excess of 0.48 he flow equalization basin has sufficient capacity ty 0.48 mgd and the MWW flow of 0.72 mgd.	
<ul> <li>a new mechanica</li> <li>a flow equalizati</li> <li>to be constructed</li> <li>capacity of at lea</li> <li>plant needs to be</li> <li>flow above the c</li> </ul> 16. <u>Consulting Engin</u> <ul> <li>The plant has a c</li> <li>each other. If the</li> <li>mgd will be pure</li> <li>store flows for u</li> </ul> 17. <u>Department's Jus</u> <ul> <li>The flow equalization</li> </ul>	al wastewater treatment facility (Aeron on basin. The City has requested a va- l so that with the largest unit out of ser est 75% of the total design loading to the removed from service the plant as pro- larifier's capacity to the flow equalization meer's Justifications apacity of 0.96 mgd and operates with e need arises that ½ the plant needs to uped to the flow equalization basin. The p to 9.6 days between the plant capacity stifications Recommend appri- cation basin has a volume of 2.7 millio The large flow equalization basin, provide	aerated lagoon treatment facility and constructing nod). The city will convert one of the lagoons int riance from IA 17.5.2.3(4) requiring final clarifier vice, the remaining units shall have a design load hat unit operation. In a case where a portion of th oposed will meet the 75% reliability by routing th tion basin. In two trains that can function independent from be removed from service, flows in excess of 0.48 he flow equalization basin has sufficient capacity ty 0.48 mgd and the MWW flow of 0.72 mgd. $\overline{vvcl}$ on gallons that will provide significant hydraulic vides additional reliability.	
<ul> <li>a new mechanica</li> <li>a flow equalizati</li> <li>to be constructed</li> <li>capacity of at lea</li> <li>plant needs to be</li> <li>flow above the c</li> </ul> 16. <u>Consulting Engin</u> <ul> <li>The plant has a c</li> <li>each other. If the</li> <li>mgd will be pure</li> <li>store flows for u</li> </ul> 17. <u>Department's Jus</u> <ul> <li>The flow equalization</li> <li>Most of the parts</li> </ul>	al wastewater treatment facility (Aeron on basin. The City has requested a va- l so that with the largest unit out of ser est 75% of the total design loading to the removed from service the plant as pro- larifier's capacity to the flow equalization neer's Justifications apacity of 0.96 mgd and operates with e need arises that ½ the plant needs to uped to the flow equalization basin. The p to 9.6 days between the plant capacity stifications Recommend appre- ration basin has a volume of 2.7 millio The large flow equalization basin, prov- s of the clarifiers can be accessed and s	aerated lagoon treatment facility and constructing nod). The city will convert one of the lagoons int riance from IA 17.5.2.3(4) requiring final clarifier rvice, the remaining units shall have a design load hat unit operation. In a case where a portion of the oposed will meet the 75% reliability by routing the tion basin. In two trains that can function independent from be removed from service, flows in excess of 0.48 he flow equalization basin has sufficient capacity ty 0.48 mgd and the MWW flow of 0.72 mgd. $\overline{vvcl}$ on gallons that will provide significant hydraulic vides additional reliability. serviced without dewatering the clarifier.	
<ul> <li>a new mechanica</li> <li>a flow equalizati</li> <li>to be constructed</li> <li>capacity of at lea</li> <li>plant needs to be</li> <li>flow above the c</li> </ul> 16. <u>Consulting Engin</u> <ul> <li>The plant has a c</li> <li>each other. If the</li> <li>mgd will be pure</li> <li>store flows for u</li> </ul> 17. <u>Department's Just</u> <ul> <li>The flow equalization time. The flow equalization time. The flow equalization time. The flow of the parts</li> </ul> 18. <u>Precedents Used</u> <ul> <li>City of Waukee,</li> <li>City of Osage, A</li> </ul>	al wastewater treatment facility (Aeron on basin. The City has requested a va- l so that with the largest unit out of ser est 75% of the total design loading to the removed from service the plant as pro- larifier's capacity to the flow equalization neer's Justifications capacity of 0.96 mgd and operates with e need arises that ½ the plant needs to uped to the flow equalization basin. The p to 9.6 days between the plant capacity stifications Recommend appro- cation basin has a volume of 2.7 millio The large flow equalization basin, provides of the clarifiers can be accessed and set Approved 5/1/98 approved 7/2/98	aerated lagoon treatment facility and constructing nod). The city will convert one of the lagoons int riance from IA 17.5.2.3(4) requiring final clarifier vice, the remaining units shall have a design load hat unit operation. In a case where a portion of th oposed will meet the 75% reliability by routing th tion basin. In two trains that can function independent from be removed from service, flows in excess of 0.48 he flow equalization basin has sufficient capacity ty 0.48 mgd and the MWW flow of 0.72 mgd. $\overline{vvcl}$ on gallons that will provide significant hydraulic vides additional reliability. serviced without dewatering the clarifier.	
<ul> <li>a new mechanica a flow equalizati to be constructed capacity of at lea plant needs to be flow above the c</li> <li>16. <u>Consulting Engin</u></li> <li>The plant has a c each other. If the mgd will be pure store flows for u</li> <li>17. <u>Department's Jus</u></li> <li>The flow equalization time. The Most of the parts</li> <li>18. <u>Precedents Used</u></li> <li>City of Waukee,</li> <li>City of Osage, A</li> <li>19. Staff Reviewers:</li> <li>20. Supervisor: The state of the parts</li> </ul>	al wastewater treatment facility (Aeron on basin. The City has requested a val l so that with the largest unit out of ser est 75% of the total design loading to the removed from service the plant as pro- larifier's capacity to the flow equalization neer's Justifications capacity of 0.96 mgd and operates with e need arises that ½ the plant needs to uped to the flow equalization basin. The p to 9.6 days between the plant capacities stifications Recommend appro- cation basin has a volume of 2.7 millio The large flow equalization basin, provides of the clarifiers can be accessed and set Approved 5/1/98 Approved 5/1/98	aerated lagoon treatment facility and constructing nod). The city will convert one of the lagoons int riance from IA 17.5.2.3(4) requiring final clarifier vice, the remaining units shall have a design load hat unit operation. In a case where a portion of th oposed will meet the 75% reliability by routing th tion basin. In two trains that can function independent from be removed from service, flows in excess of 0.48 he flow equalization basin has sufficient capacity ty 0.48 mgd and the MWW flow of 0.72 mgd. $\overline{evel}$ on gallons that will provide significant hydraulic vides additional reliability. serviced without dewatering the clarifier. Date: $6/18/03$ Date: $6/25/03$	

## HART-FREDERICK CONSULTANTS P.C.

510 E. State Street • P.O. Box 560 • Tiffin, IA 52340-0560 • 319-545-7215 • Fax: 319-545-7220

March 25, 2003

Mr. James Hallmark Iowa Department of Natural Resources 900 E. Grand Avenue Henry A. Wallace Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319

Re: Reply to Comments on "Supplement to Preliminary Engineering Report" Wastewater Treatment Improvement Project Walford, Iowa

Dear Mr. Hallmark,

The intent of this letter is in response to your review comments on the "Supplement to Preliminary Engineering Report" in your letter on November 26, 2002 and March 5, 2003, and to make the necessary changes on the design process accordingly. Some questions addressed in November 26, 2002's letter have been clarified during phone conversations. In response to the two said letters, we offer the following itemized comments and clarifications for your review and approval.

- 1. The sludge will be removed from the lagoons before any lagoon cells to be filled by soil. The land application of the removed sludge will be in accordance with Iowa Administrative Code 567, Chapter 67. A Sludge Plan will be established before any sludge disposal. One copy of the Sludge Plan will be submitted to IDNR. (Question #1 /March 5)
- 2. An emergency generator will be included in the project as indicated in the Supplement to Preliminary Engineering Report. (Question #2/March 5)
- 3. The sludge storage lagoon has capacity to store the sludge for up to 6 years, but the sludge can be land applied more frequently depending on the City's schedule. A revised Sludge Plan will be established after the plant is on-line. (Question #3/March 5 & #6/November 26)
- 4. The original design includes two flow meters, one Mag meter to measure the influent flow, and one Ultrasonic flow meter at the discharge structure to measure the effluent flow. However to measure the influent more accurate as your recommendation, we revised the design (See attached Revised Proposed Process Schematic and Site layout). In lieu of split the flow at the original proposed splitter box, the flow will be split at the proposed lift station. This way the Mag meter will measure all and only the flow to the plant.

We hope the above will clarify your concerns. If you should have any additional questions, please contact our office.

Sincerely

he

Michael W.<sup>1</sup>Hart, P.E. Hart-Frederick Consultants P.C.

Cc: City of Walford



THOMAS J. VILSACK, GOVERNOR SALLY J. PEDERSON, LT. GOVERNOR

## STATE OF IOWA

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES JEFFREY R. VONK, DIRECTOR

June 18, 2003

Mayor Randy Bauer City of Walford 120 5<sup>th</sup> Street N P.O. Box 148 Walford, Iowa 52351

Subject: Variance Request from IA 14.5.2.3(4) Walford, Iowa Wastewater Treatment Improvements CS192223-01

Honorable Mayor Bauer:

The Iowa Department of Natural Resources in accordance with Subrule 567—64.2(9)c of the Iowa Administrative Code has approved your March 25, 2003 request for a variance from the Department Rule 567—64.2(9)b and Chapter 14.5.2.3(4) of the Iowa Wastewater Facilities Design Standards, which requires that final clarifiers be a sufficient number of units of a size such that, with the largest unit out of service, the remaining units shall have a design load capacity of at least 75% of the total design loading to that unit operation. Based on the documentation presented by the design engineer, it is the determination of this Department that satisfactory justification has been presented to warrant the granting of a variance to the City of Walford to design both final clarifiers based on 50% of the total design loading in lieu of the 75% total design loading.

This decision is based on our review of justification presented to support the request and our concurrence that the resulting project will provide substantially equivalent effectiveness as would be provided by technical compliance with the design standard on this issue.

If you have any questions, please call Jim Hallmark at 515-281-7767.

Sincerely.

Jack Riessen, P.E., Chief Water Quality Bureau

cc: Hart-Frederick Field Office #1 DNR File

> WALLACE STATE OFFICE BUILDING / 502 EAST 9th STREET / DES MOINES, IOWA 50319 515-281-5918 TDD 515-242-5967 FAX 515-281-6794 www.state.ia.us/dnr