

TERRY E. BRANSTAD, GOVERNOR

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES LARRY J. WILSON, DIRECTOR

July 19, 1991

French-Reneker Associates, Inc. 1501 South Main Street P.O. Box 135 Fairfield, IA 52556

ATTENTION: David H. Fredericks, P.E.

SUBJECT: Variance Request Wastewater Collection & Treatment Lockridge, Iowa

Gentlemen:

The Iowa Department of Natural Resources, in accordance with subrule 567--64.2(9) of the Iowa Administrative Code, has denied the request for a variance from Iowa Wastewater Facilities Design Standards sections 12.3.1.1 and 14.4.5.3. These sections of the design standards require that the hydraulic design of sanitary sewers and wastewater treatment facilities to serve new collection systems be based upon a minimum flow value of 100 gpcd for the design average flow.

The engineering justification submitted does not substantially demonstrate that the requested variance from our minimum per capita flow allowance would provide for equivalent or improved effectiveness. Your premise for requesting a variance is apparently based upon a status quo situation whereby there will not be any increase in future per capita water usage; also no increase in loadings from future growth in the community; where adequate control of service connections will be provided to prevent any increase in infiltration and prohibit connection of inflow sources; and where adequate maintenance of the sewer system will be provided to prevent or rehabilitate any possible future deterioration of sewers and manholes. Such potential causes for increased hydraulic loadings in small communities are difficult to predict and control over the long period of time that the sewerage facilities are designed to serve the communities.

It has been the experience of this department that any one or more of the above causes for increased hydraulic loadings in small communities can and do occur. This is particularly critical in small communities (including Lockridge) which are partially funded by FmHA since such funding includes loans which are repaid over a 40 year period. The repayment of such loans severely limits the funding capabilities of these communities if expansion of French-Reneker Associates, Inc. Fairfield, Iowa July 19, 1991 Page 2

the wastewater treatment facilities is required during the funding period for the initial facilities. In this regard, it should also be noted that most of FmHA funded projects are designed on the basis of existing populations at the time of the initial design, and, therefore, do not include any allowances for future growth in the communities.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Fred M. Evans at 515/281-8995.

Sincerely,

DARRELL MCALLISTER, BUREAU CHIEF SURFACE & GROUND WATER PROTECTION BUREAU

DM: FME: pla/FRENCH2

cc: Field Office 6

VARIANCE REQUEST Iowa Department of Natural Resources 1. Date 11 1.91. 13. Decision: Denied : Fred Evans 2. Review Engineer 6-14-91 Date: 2/21/91 3. Date Received Lockridge 4. Facility Name 14. Appeal: 5. County Number Date: CP 6. Program Area 0.05 7. Facility Type 8. Subject Area 300 64,2(9) 9. Rule Reference 10. Design Std. Ref. 18C.4.1 # 12.3.1.1 Evench-Reneken-Associates, Inc. 11. Consulting Engr. 64.2(9)C 12. Variance Rule 15. Description of Variance Request The City of Lockridge does not have a comprehensive Wastewater collection and treatment system. The designing engineer is proposing that the design of a proposed wastewaiter treatment plant be based upon actual water usage plus an allowance for infiltration in Lieu of the minimum busis of design criteria set forth in Sections 12,3,1,1 and 18 C. H. I at the design standards. City records for Callender year 1990 indicate a municipal water usage of approximately 53 gpcd. Based upon the proposed sanitary sewer system and an infiltration rate of 200 gallons per day per inch-mile of pipe, The engineer calculates an additional 23 gpcd for the maximum infiltration rate, and therefore, requests a variance to design for a flow of 76 gpcd in hen of the regulared 100 gpcd design value for new municipal systems. 16. Consulting Engineer's Justification 1. Small municipal wastewater collection systems Cincluding building laterals), properly designed and constructed in accordance with current requirements, can be expected to produce less than 100 gpcd of wastewater.

16. Consulting Engineer's Justification (cont.)

en server server as It is recommended that the requestal 17. Department's Justification variance be denied since the engineer does not provide adequate engineering justification. His premise for requesting a variance is based upon a status gue situation where there will be no increase in future per capita water usage, also no increase in loadings from future growth in the community, where adequate control of service connections will be to prevent any increase in ILI, and where maintenance of the sewer system will be provided adegnate provided to prevent or repubilitate any possible deterioration of sewers and manholes, Such variables are un predictable in small communities such as Locknidge and any or all of above causes for increased by chaulic loadings could occur. is particulary critical in small communities Cincluding This Lochridge) which are funded by Fmtth, since such funding includes, loans which are spread over a 40 Year Beniod. The repayment of such loans severely is the funding Capabilities of these communities is expansion of the wastewater treatment sachities is required during the funding peniod. Also most of the Fm HA funded projects are designed on the basis of existing populations during the initial design. 18. Precedents Used Past variance requests for use of per capita flow Values of less than 100 gped for design of municipal waste-water treatment facilities have been denied for the Cities of Hartford, Grand River, Van Wert, Shambaugh, New Providence Fort Atkinson, and Burn Oak. 18. Precedents Used 19. Staff Reviewer Date: 20. Supervisor Date: 21. Authorized by Date: 6/14/91

FRENCH - RENEKER - ASSOCIATES, Inc. Donald E. French, (1921-1982) 1501 S. MAIN STREET PO BOX 135 FAIRFIELD, IOWA 52556 515-472-5145 CONSULTING ENGINEERS W. Daniel Reneker, PE W. Daniel Reneker, PE David H. Fredericks, PE James I. Warner, PE Jerry W. Long, PE

February 19, 1991

Mr. Fred Evans Wastewater Permits Section Iowa Dept. of Natural Resources 900 East Grand Des Moines, Iowa 50319

> Re: Lockridge Sewerage Preliminary Engineering Report (89-69-06)

Dear Fred:

We are conducting some further work on the above referenced project and, on behalf of the City of Lockridge, request a variance to the hydraulic design factor of 100 gallons per capita per day (gpcd) as found in IDNR Design Chapter 12.3.1.1. By using a lower hydraulic design factor, it may be possible to incrementally reduce the size of the treatment system, and thus lower its cost.

Arbitrarily reducing treatment system capacity to lower its construction cost is inconsistent with good engineering practice. However, small municipal wastewater collection systems (including building laterals), properly designed and constructed in accordance with current requirements, can be expected to produce less than 100 gpcd of wastewater.

The following analysis will help to document our position. In calendar year 1990, the total metered water use for the 104 water meters in Lockridge was 4,657,000 gallons. With a 1990 population of 240, municipal water usage is approximately 53 gpcd.

There will be infiltration with any wastewater collection system, so an allowance must be added to the water usage figures to obtain the wastewater design flow per person. For new collection systems, the IDNR design requirements limit the infiltration to not more than 200 gallons per day per inch mile of pipe.

For the collection and interceptor sewer layout in the Preliminary Engineering Report (including an allowance for individual building laterals), there are approximately 27.4 inch miles of sewer pipe. Therefore, the <u>maximum</u> allowable infiltration rate

Mr. Fred Evans

2/19/91

would be approximately 5,500 gallons per day, or 23 gpcd. Combining the water usage (53 gpcd) and maximum infiltration allowance (23 gcpd) results in a proposed wastewater design flow of 76 gpcd.

We request that a variance be granted to allow 76 gpcd to be used for calculating the Lockridge wastewater treatment plant design flow.

The calculation of the total design flow will depend upon the number of people that will be connected to the system and any allowance for future growth. The 1990 census was 240 (down from 271 in 1980).

We appreciate your consideration of this variance request and request you call if you have any questions.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

FRENCH-RENEKER-ASSOC., INC.

tentit Den H.

David H. Fredericks/ P.E. Project Engineer

DHF/jc

cc: City of Lockridge
 Jim Carroll, FmHA - Des Moines
 Wayne Farrand, IDNR - Des Moines