

STATE OF IOWA

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES RICHARD A. LEOPOLD, DIRECTOR

Chester J. Culver, governor Patty Judge, Lt. governor

July 25, 2007

Chad Coburn, Executive Director Poweshiek Water Association 125 Industrial Drive Box 504 Brooklyn IA 52211

RE: Variance Request Wastewater Collection and Treatment System Conroy, Iowa County, Iowa DNR

Dear Mr. Coburn:

The Iowa Department of Natural Resources, in accordance with subrule 567 IAC 64.2(9), has reviewed the variances requested by your organization in the May 31, 2007 letter from your consulting engineer, Garden & Associates, Ltd. These decisions are based on our review of justification presented to support your requests and our concurrence that the resulting project will provide substantially equivalent effectiveness as would be provided by technical compliance with the design standards on these issues.

- Items 1&2: A variance from Design Standard 12.5.1 allowing the use of 6" sewer with the minimum slope of 0.5% in place of the minimum 8" sizing allowed by the standards is approved.
- Item 3: A variance from Design Standard 12.5.7 to allow a maximum manhole spacing of 600 feet is approved. A written assurance from you dated May 23, 2007 was provided to the Department that sewer cleaning equipment capable of cleaning this distance will be made available when necessary.
- Item 4: No variance is needed to utilize glue joints for directional bored PVC SDR 21 sewer pipes. This method of installation is approved.
- Item 5: A variance from Design Standard 18C.5.1 to allow a two-cell controlled discharge lagoon system in lieu of the minimum three cells required by the standards for all facilities greater than one acre total surface area is approved.
- Item 6: A variance from Design Standard 18C.7.4.4 to allow installation of the influent lines at or above the elevation of the pond seal is approved provided

WALLACE STATE OFFICE BUILDING / 502 EAST 9th STREET / DES MOINES, IOWA 50319-0034 515-281-5918 TDD 515-242-5967 FAX 515-281-8895 www.iowadnr.gov the influent lines placed at or above the pond seal must be properly anchored.

- Item 7: A variance from Design Standard 18C.7.4.6 to eliminate the saucer-shaped depression at the discharge point of the influent lines required by the standards is approved.
- Item 8: A variance from Design Standard 18C.7.7 to allow prefilling of lagoons to one foot level instead of two feet is approved. Conroy is currently building a water treatment facility.
- Item 9: A variance from Design Standard 18C.10.6 to allow pond level measurement via riser piping in lieu of pond level gauges as required by the standards is approved provided that another acceptable means of cell depth measurement will be installed if freezing proves to be a problem.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Gabe Lee at gabe.lee@dnr.state.ia.us or at 515.262.8456.

Sincerely,

Wayne Farrand, PE, Supervisor Wastewater Construction

Cc: Garden & Associates, Oskaloosa, IA Field Office 6

| | VARIAN | CE REQUEST |
|---|--|---|
| Iowa Department of Natural Resources | | |
| Data | July 3, 2007 | 13. Decision: approx |
| . Date: . Review Engineer: | Gabe Lee | Date: 7/25/07 |
| . Date Received: | May 31, 2007 | the pilot projects. There were an output |
| Facility Name: | Conroy | 14. Appeal: |
| . County Number: | 48 (lowa) | Date: |
| . Program Area: | CP (Wastewater Construction | n) |
| . Facility Type : | C05 (Biological Treatment) | |
| 3. Subject Area : | Collection System | |
| an reburn murch and | Treatment System | |
| . Rule Reference: | 567-64.2(9)a | |
| 10. Design Stds Ref: | See below | Uale / Carlos / Carlos / Carlos |
| 11. Consulting Engr: | Garden & Associates, Oskal | oosa |
| 12. Variance Rule: | 567-64.2(9)c | |
| 15. Description of Va | ariance Request: | |
| Project Backgroun | <u>nd</u> | proposing a new gravity collection system and a controlled |
| this is a USDA-RD regarding the colle |) funded project the City is pro | flows are 0.015 MGD and 0.0208 MGD, respectively. As oposing several variances from the design standards However, it should be noted that the Poweshiek Water reatment works. |
| Variance Poquest | + | |
| Variance Request Collection System | | |
| 1 Design Std 12 | 5 1 - Allow 6-inch sewer instea | d of 8-inch a minimum diameter for collection system |
| 2 Design Std 12 | 5.3 – Allow installation of 6-inc | h sewer at 0.5% slope |
| 3. Design Std 12. | 5.7.1 – Allow maximum manho | ble spacing and cleanout spacing up to 600 feet |
| 4. Allow directiona | al boring of sewer using PVC S | SDR 21 glue joint pipe |
| | | |
| Treatment System | | |
| | | scharged lagoon with a total surface area of approx. 4 acre |
| 6. Design Std 180 | C.7.4.4 - Installation of influent | line at or above pond seal |
| 7. Design Std 18C | 2.7.4.6 – Eliminate saucer-sha | ped depression at discharge point at primary and seconda |
| CCII | | |
| o. Design Std 180 | C.7.7 – Allow prefilling of lagoo | n to one foot level |
| Q Design Ctd 400 | 2.10.6 – Pond measurement to | be accomplished in riser piping in lieu of pond gauges |
| 9. Design Std 18C | | i i o men perio gaagoo |
| 5. Design Std ToC | soorle hart's a | |
| 16. Consulting Engin | neer's Justifications | |
| 16. <u>Consulting Engin</u> | lent effectiveness Those war | |
| 16. <u>Consulting Engin</u> Cost savings, equiva | alent effectiveness. These varia | ances have been approved as part of the small unsewered |
| 16. <u>Consulting Engin</u> Cost savings, equiva community pilot prog equally effective in th | alent effectiveness. These varia gram in the 1990's. Small facilit he treatment of the wastewater | ances have been approved as part of the small unsewered ies with these variances in their design had proved to |
| 16. <u>Consulting Engin</u> Cost savings, equiva community pilot prog equally effective in th | alent effectiveness. These varia gram in the 1990's. Small facilit ne treatment of the wastewater | ances have been approved as part of the small unsewered ies with these variances in their design had proved to |
| 16. <u>Consulting Engin</u> Cost savings, equiva community pilot prog equally effective in th The collection system | alent effectiveness. These varia gram in the 1990's. Small facilit the treatment of the wastewater in variance requests are suitab | ances have been approved as part of the small unsewered |
| 16. <u>Consulting Engin</u> Cost savings, equiva community pilot prog equally effective in th The collection system population. The main equirement in the de | alent effectiveness. These varia gram in the 1990's. Small facilit ne treatment of the wastewater n variance requests are suitable tainance requirements are rea esign standards. | ances have been approved as part of the small unsewered ies with these variances in their design had proved to the for the small community of Conroy beacuase a stable dility avaiblabe to PWA. Item 4 listed above is not a |
| 16. <u>Consulting Engin</u> Cost savings, equiva community pilot prog equally effective in th The collection system population. The main requirement in the de The variances reques approved for previous | alent effectiveness. These varia gram in the 1990's. Small facilit ne treatment of the wastewater n variance requests are suitable atainance requirements are rea esign standards. sted are suitable for a community s small community projects and | ances have been approved as part of the small unsewered ties with these variances in their design had proved to be for the small community of Conroy beacuase a stable dility avaiblabe to PWA. Item 4 listed above is not a hity the size of Conroy. These variances have been d have proven equivalent effectiveness |
| 16. <u>Consulting Engin</u> Cost savings, equiva community pilot prog equally effective in th The collection system population. The main equirement in the de The variances reques pproved for previous | alent effectiveness. These varia gram in the 1990's. Small facilit ne treatment of the wastewater n variance requests are suitable atainance requirements are rea esign standards. sted are suitable for a community s small community projects and | ances have been approved as part of the small unsewered ties with these variances in their design had proved to the for the small community of Conroy beacuase a stable dility avaiblabe to PWA. Item 4 listed above is not a |

| variances. | |
|---|--|
| 17. Department's Justifications | |
| Recommend variance approval: | |
| These variances have been previously approved for the | pilot projects. There were no problems reported. |
| | |
| 18. Precedents Used | |
| Persia (Shelby County RWA) - Approved 7/3/95 (5.4 acres) | Tingley (Southern Iowa RWA) - Approved 7/11/96 |
| Arispe (Southern Iowa RWA) - Approved 7/15/96 | Floris (Wapello RWA) - Approved 8/1/95 |
| Exline (Wapello RWA) - Approved 1/3/97 | Unionville (Rathbun RWA) - Approved 2/19/98 |
| Crawfordsville (Wapello RWA) - Approved 11/15/99 (4.31 acro | es)Kinross (RUSS) – Approved 7/19/2001 |
| Martinsburg (RUSS) – Approved 10/2/03 | Webster (RUSS) – Approved 10/2/03 |
| 19. Staff Reviewer: Galiz h | Date: 7/25/07 |
| 20. Supervisor: | Date: |
| | 1 / |
| 21. Authorized by: I for the former | Date: 7/25/07 |
| | |