HOWARD R GREEN COMPANY + CONSULTING ENGINEERS + CEDAR RAPIDS, IOWA

VARIANCE REQUEST COLONY VILLAGE RESTAURANT LAGOON 1982

A variance is requested of the design standards Section 18C6 Aerated Facultative Pond Design as follows:

E-4124 - 3

- 1. 18C6.3 K Modifying the existing lagoon to air will not allow for providing flexibility of operation. Physically there is not enough room to provide earthen embankments for cell separation. The floating baffle will separate the three zones for operating in series only.
- 18C.6.4 The depth of the cells will all be 6.0 feet which is the maximum depth allowable using the existing lagoon. There is no way to deepen and maintain operation or bottom seal.
- 18C.7.5 \checkmark The control structure is existing and cannot be modified. Presently there is the capability to withdraw from the 4.0 foot depth and 1.0 foot depth.
- 18C.7.6.3 A There is no means of providing interconnecting piping. Passage of flow from Aeration Cell #1 to No. 2 will be through a 6-inch opening in the curtain wall, and flow from No. 2 to No. 3 will be through a 6-inch hole in that wall.
- 18.C.8.1 The existing influent manhole cannot be modified to provide for a flume due to channel configuration, manhole depth and sewer slope. See 8.2.
- 18.C.8.2 The final effluent will be provided with a V-notch weir attached to the overflow outlet pipe.
- 18.C.9. We do not plan to provide disinfection, in that the effluent passes into a field tile and has not been traced. We, therefore, don't feel there is a need to protect a Class A, B, or C stream.

As can be noted above, the variances requested all pertain to the fact that the existing lagoon cannot be modified. The topography of the adjacent land does not lend itself to the expansion of the existing lagoon. The owner does have land directly to the east which could be used, however, the cost of building a new 3 cell controlled discharge lagoon has been evaluated as follows:

-1-



iowa department of environmental quality

reply to:

Akanad M. Koshy - Main Office 515/281-8971 phone:

Ch

February 2, 1983

| RECORD COPY |
|---|
| File Name <u>Colony Village Restaurent - Sewage</u> |
| Senders Initials |

Colony Village Restaurant Amana Interchange - I-80 Williamsburg, IA 52361

ATTENTION: Russ Sandensfeld

RE: Proposed Lagoon Modifications and Variance Request Colony Village Restaurant, Amana Interchange - I-80 Iowa County, Iowa

Gentlemen:

We have completed the review of the preliminary engineering report, the variance request for the above referenced project, and your letters dated January 7th and 19th, 1983. We are in general agreement with the concept, conclusions and recommendations contained in the report and the revised schedules and sketches.

The request for variance to permit use of a curtain wall in the lagoon in lieu of earthendikes construction, will be granted, considering the private ownership, location and size of the existing retention lagoon. This variance will be granted, when a construction permit for this project is issued, with the understanding that adequate maintenance, including any repair or, if necessary, replacement of the curtain fabric, will be provided in order to maintain the intergrity of the system.

The approval of the preliminary engineering report in no way relieves the applicant from the responsibilities of ensuring that water quality limitations are met, the plant is designed and constructed in accordance with good engineering judgement and that all applicable agency approvals are obtained.

AMK:mac/WWW033K04.01

Main Office: Henry A. Wallace Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319

Regional Office #1 209 N Franklin St. Manchester 52057

Regional Office #2 509 S. President P.O. Box 1443 Mason City 50401

Regional Office #3 401 Grand Ave. P.O. Box 270 Spencer 51301

Regional Office #4 316 Walnut Atlantic 50022

Regional Office #5 Henry A. Wallace Building Des Moines 50319 Regional Office =6 117 N 2nd Ave. P.O. Box 27 Washington 52353

Colony Village Restaurant Williamsburg, Iowa February 2, 1983 Page 2

Section 455B.45, Code of Iowa, and Subrule 400--19.2 of the Iowa Administrative Code requires that plans and specifications for this facility be approved by this Department prior to commencing construction of any portion of the project. If plans and specifications have not been submitted, they must be prepared by a registered professional engineer holding a valid Iowa registration and should be received by this Department at least 120 days prior to the planned letting or initial construction date.

Contact Akanad M. Koshy at 515/281-8971 if you have any questions or comments.

Stephen W. Ballou, Executive Director

WATER QUALITY DIVISION By:

Pelmary 2, 1483 Date:

cc: Howard R. Green Company, Cedar Rapids, IA Iowa County Board of Health DEQ Region 6

AMK:mac/WWW033K04.02

Engineering Report Distribution

1 Engineer; 1 Region; 1 DEQ File

PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT LAGOON MODIFICATIONS COLONY VILLAGE RESTAURANT AMANA INTERCHANGE I-80 NOVEMBER, 1982

15 K

KEGDES

History

In February, 1974, Nickerson Farms applied and received an operating permit for a retention pond for their restaurant operation. No details of the permit or lagoon are on file. In 1979, Colony Village Restaurant took over ownership and expanded the restaurant facilities. The existing single cell lagoon constructed in 1974 is shown in detail on Attachment Schedule H_1 . All indications are that the single cell lagoon has operated satisfactorily since constructed.

Design Loadings

The Colony Village Restaurant now proposes to add a 60 unit motel to the present restaurant operation. This requires a review of the lagoon operation and existing loadings.

Since the restaurant operations are highly variable not only to weekly but also to seasonal fluctuations, it was determined inappropriate to try and sample the raw loading. Instead, we propose to determine existing loads from published data and estimates.

Throughout the year the restaurant serves anywhere from 100 meals per day up to maybe a 1,000 meals on a special weekend. We have tried to determine averages and the following appears realistic, not only for existing but for future operations.

| Weekdays | | | | | | 30 |)() | meal | s, | /day | | |
|-----------------|---|-----|---|---|---|-----|-----|------|-----|------|---------|----|
| Weekends | | | | | | 60 | 00 | meal | ls, | /day | | |
| Monthly Average | = | 300 | х | 4 | + | 600 | х | 3/7 | = | 430 | meals/d | ay |

In reviewing the available data on waste contributions from restaurants, the estimates ranged from 3 to 20 gallons flow/meal and from 0.02 to 0.06 lbs. BOD/meal.

From this range the following was selected:

| F1 | ΟW |
|----|----|
| BO | D |

15 gallons/meal .05 lbs./meal

AFPROVED

Howard R. Green Compar CONSULTING ENGINEERS Preliminary Engineering Report Lagoon Modifications Colony Village Restaurant Amana Interchange I-80 November, 1982

Page Two

Existing and design loads from the restaurant operation would then be:

| Flow | 430 | Х | 15 gallons/meal | = | 6,450 gallons/day |
|------|-----|---|-----------------|---|-------------------|
| BOD | 430 | х | .05 lbs./meal | = | 21.5 lbs./day |

For the proposed initial operation of 60 units, the literature data ranges from 25 to 100 gallons/day/unit and BOD from 0.075 to .15 lbs./unit/day.

From this range the following was selected:

| Flow | 75 gallons/unit/day |
|------|---------------------|
| BOD | 0.10 lbs./unit/day |

Assuming up to 75% occupancy on a monthly basis, the following design loads are projected:

| Flow | 60 | Х | .75 | Х | 75 = 3,375 gallons/day |
|------|----|---|-----|---|------------------------|
| BOD | 60 | Х | .75 | Х | .10 = 4.5 lbs./day |

Total design loading for the single cell system would then be:

| Flow | 9,875 | gpd | or | 10,000 | gpd |
|------|-------|------|------|--------|-----|
| BOD | 26.0 | lbs. | /day | / | |

Lagoon Design

The existing single cell lagoon has the following physical characteristics:

| Water Surface Dimensions | 155' x 150' |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Depth | 5.2 feet |
| Bottom Dimensions | 120' x 115' |
| Volume | 712,000 gallons |
| Detention Currently | 110 days |
| Surface Area | .53 acres |
| BOD Loading | 40 lbs./acre |
| | |

It would appear that the existing lagoon is operating near the limits of design, however from all indications there appears to be no problems. To handle the additional loadings for the proposed motel, we would propose modifying the system by adding air and then operating a modified aeration basin.

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Howard R. Greer

Preliminary Engineering Report Lagoon Modifications Colony Village Restaurant Amana Interchange I-80 November, 1982

Page Three

An aerated lagoon design is based upon detention, temperature, removal efficiencies and oxygen additions.

Removal Efficiency required would be:

$$E = \frac{\frac{26.0}{.01 \times 8.34}}{\frac{26.0}{.01 \times 8.34}} = \frac{25.0 \text{ mg/l}}{\text{effluent}} = \frac{311-25}{311} = 92\%$$

Aeration Time:

$$\frac{.92}{2.3(.06)(100-92)}$$
 = 83 days

Volume:

$$83 \times 10,000 \text{ gpd} = 830,000 \text{ gallons}$$

Increase depth of lagoon to 6.0 feet from 5.2 feet.

Volume now:

860,700 gallons

Oxygen Requirements

To provide 26.0 lbs. of oxygen per day, it is proposed to use "Edi-Reef" submerged diffusers. Sizing of the units is as follows:

| BOD/day | = | 26.0 lbs. | | |
|--------------------|---|------------|---|----------------------|
| Ultimate | = | 26.0 x 1.5 | | 39.0 lbs./day |
| Converstion to STP | = | 39.0 x 1.6 | = | 62.4 lbs./day |
| lbs./hour | = | 62.4/24 | = | 2.6 lbs. oxygen/hour |

| Howard R. Green Company | / |
|-------------------------|---|
| CONSULTING ENGINEERS | |

Preliminary Engineering Report Lagoon Modifications Colony Village Restaurant Amana Interchange I-80 November, 1982

Page Four

Checking Edi-Reef Graph:

| Depth Air Transfer | 6' 15 cfm/un 0.88 lbs. | it oxygen/hour | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|------|---|---|-------|--|
| | 2.60/.88 | = | 2.93 | = | 3 | units | |

Air

| 3.0 x 15 cfm = 45 cfm | |
|---|----------|
| Depth = 6.0' = | 2.6 psi |
| H ₁ - Fittings, Valves, Header | 1.0 psi |
| Initial Water Clear | 1.0 psi |
| | 4.60 psi |

Blower 45 cfm @ 5.0 psi 2 - Sutorbilt 2 MB - 1.6 Bhp @ 3,800 rpm

The aerators will be aligned on the centerline of the lagoon spaced on 30' centers.

A quiescent cell will be provided at the outlet using a floating baffle curtain. The curtain will be 6.0 feet deep and made of PVC lined polyester with a weight of 22 oz./ft.². A bottom embedded chain along with concrete anchors will maintain the position. The embankment ends will be tapered.

The quiescent basin will be as shown on H_1 and the volume within the area will be:

33 x 12 x 6 + 33 x 21 x 6/2 = 2376 + 2079 = 4455 ft.³ = 33,323 gallons Retention time = 33,000/10,000 gpd = 3 days

Howard R. Green Comp Danv CONSULTING ENGINEERS

PROCESS - The EDI "REEF"* aeration system offers many process advantages:

High Oxygen transfer rates (rate increases with liquid depth)

High pumpage & liquid circulation rates

Effective in any liquid depth

Offers adjustable air flow rates for:

- a. Variable loadings
- b. Tapered aeration
- c. Seasonal variations

Suitable for new installations or for upgrading existing installations Aerated lagoons or activated sludge applications Energy distributed throughout basin for mixing and/or aeration

System can accommodate large variations in lagoon floor elevation . Adaptable to any shape basin

ECONOMY - The economic advantages of the EDI "REEF" aeration system include:

Economical system first cost

Low installation cost for both new installations and upgrade applications Low operation cost because of

a. Low O & M requirements

b. Low energy consumption

Improves land utilization by allowing higher loadings per unit area

MECHANICAL – The mechanical simplicity of the EDI "REEF" aeration system allows reliability and flexibility of application and operation:

No freezing problems in cold climates No moving parts in the aeration basin Heat added to the liquid during operation Corrosion resistant materials used, i.e. concrete, PVC, stainless, etc. No scour of lagoon bottom in earthen basins Can be applied on lined lagoons without special precautions Aerator can be removed from basin for inspection or maintenance without dewatering basin Reliable compressors located on the lagoon or aeration basin berm for ease of maintenance No power lines into basin

FUNCTION – High oxygen transfer and large pumpage rates are assured by exposing large aeration surfaces to the liquid. Ultra fine bubble formation and release creates maximum bubble contact area with optimum oxygen transfer efficiency and optimum liquid pumpage. The large column of air-water mixture creates a major airlift pumping action at each aeration unit. Turbulance from this pumpage results in additional shear and mixing of the small bubbles. A significant air-liquid boil develops above the nominal liquid surface which provides sufficient hydraulic head to create large pumpage currents across the basin surface. The airlift movement of liquid from the basin floor and resultant surface pumpage creates maximum circulation. This circulation is effective over a wide area as direct pumpage plus induced eddy currents distribute oxygen-rich liquid to all areas of the basin.

RELIABLE – EFFICIENT – ECONOMICAL – FLEXIBLE "REEF"* Aeration and Mixing Systems by E.D.I. (*Patents applied for)



ENVIRONMENTAL DYNAMICS INC. Environmental Systems Since 1975

Corporate Office – Columbia, Missouri 65201





.



VIRONMENTAL QUA MENT OF MEMORANDUM Date ____ Action Correction Reply Direct . Approval . Information Do Not Return As Requested Note & Return See Me Review/Comme 2er Conversation Sign File Reply For Signature Colony Village Restaura Request for Additional information requester, As I understand it then, the sole reason for the variance is the need for curtain Walls rather than building earthen berms, I don't have amp problem with this based on our limited dyperience. The permit should contain speak mana From Division/Section Phone ann OA38 (May 79)

requiring maintemme & replacement of the civitian walls is needed. The monitoring program should also be carefully watched some menty Quantum & expected. This V faility will also sense to increase on Enality on these systems,

The second after its issued prepue an agendre stom brief for the EQC.

Kate: 1/24/ 83 To: Jim Brown Anni Lawy Haage Re: Request for a variance Colony Village Restairant Lagoon modification The applicant has requested a voriance

to install curtain walls in a , 5 acre existing lagon. anotion will also be added. Construction of earther dikes would reduce the capacity to the prost where it would not meet our design standarde. the topopping is such that a second cell can not be constructed following the existing cell

at uneld be possible to construct a controlled discharge lagoon at another site hover, the owner does not feel the odditional cost can be justified. The Cost of a new 3- cell lagon is estimated to be 63,000 Compared to 417,500 to modify the existing logon with Curtain walls and acrotionequipment.

The existing lagoon now serves a restaurant and and they are propring to add a 60 Unit moter. Occording to the engineer the existing lagron is operating sate petry and is in good shape physically

In the past we granted a variance to the city of Ely to install curtain walls, they also added austim, the monitoring report date for 1982 shows the is doing a good to fob, the average monthly BOO's for the first 10 months of 1982 were less than 24 mg/1. I talked with Steve Baumgorn of region #1 and he stated the curtain wall oppeared to be in good shopse, alt had been installed for about 12 years, 1 winter, Because of the satisfactory experience we have seen with curtain walls and the reduced cost, d recommend the variance

Se granted.

January 24, 1983

Project Nov 583-21

-avoy Haage:

Re: Colony Village Restaurant Lagoon Modification.

A preliminary engineering letter report was received for the subject project on November 19, 1982. The engineers for the project is Howard R. Green company. The engineers on behalf of the owners, are requesting a Varience to use curtain wells to divide the existing ancell lagoon into 3 cell acrated facultative lagoon system.

History The existing single cell Lagoon constructed in 1974 and owned by Nickemon Farms was operating satisfactorily according to the engineers. DER has no record of a Constructions permit or an operation permit for the said facility.

"Colony Village Restaurant bought put the Nickenson Farms Operations at the Amana Interchange I-80. They are proposing to add a 60 mit Motel to the present restaurant operation.

Using the available user data of the restaurant operations and projected motel occupancie the area contained by the existing single cell lagoon system will be quite satisfactory for convertion to a 3 cell acrated facultative lagoon system But it will not provide space for the construction of intermediate dikes. Thirefore the construction of intermediate dikes. Thirefore the engineer is proposing to use curtain wealls.

The construction cost for the intermediate dike compared to the cutain walls will be wear prohibitive and The engineer in his letter dated November 30, 1982 states that " We would request the varience because it is nearly impossible to construct a second acration cell in the existing lagoon to meet the present design Standardo" Engineer, during our project discussions informed we that plenty of land is available for expansion of the lagoon systers but the topography of the available land is not favorable for the construction of any such favorable for the construction of any such facilities Rough estimate cost estimate

Vis attached for your information.

Thus based on: a) unfavorable topography of the available land for expansion of the existing lagoon system b) prohibitive cost of Construction of intermediate dike and the effect of the be created cells and c) low cost and effective operation of the lagoons, modified with with the use of Curtain walls the request for app a varience to use certain walls for the

2

modification of the existing single cell lagoon, may please be favorably Considered. Sincerly Water Quality Division Alled Allosley Environmental Engineer Construction Permits section



January 19, 1983

Akanad Koshy Construction Permit Section Iowa Department of Environmental Quality Henry A. Wallace Building 900 East Grand Des Moines, IA 50319

Re: Proposed Lagoon Modification Colony Village Restaurant Interchange I-80 Iowa County

Dear Mr. Koshy:

With regard to your letter of January 18, 1983 we can answer as follows:

- We would propose to add water meters to the well supply to obtain influent flow.
- 2. We would withdraw our variance request to delete influent flow measurement.
- 3. We have modified Schedule K2 to reflect the above.

We trust this satisfies all DEQ's requirements and we will receive approval of the engineering report.

Very truly yours,

Robert A. Frederick, P.E.

mp

cc: Colony Village Restaurant

lowa department of environmental quality

phone: 515/281-8971

January 18, 1983

Colony Village Restaurant Amana Interchange - I-80 Williamsburg, IA 52361

ATTENTION: Russ Sandenfeld

RE: Proposed Lagoon Modification and Variance Request, Colony Village Restaurant, Amana Interchange I-80, Iowa City, Iowa

Gentelmen:

We are in receipt of your second variance request, dated January 7, 1983, from your engineers Howard R. Green Company of Cedar Rapids, Iowa. We have noted that you are requesting a variance to permit you to delete the influent flow measurement for the lagoon system.

It is very important to determine influent flow to the treatment facility as accurately as possible. The plant operation and the effluent from the plant should meet the conditions stipulated in the NPDES (Operation) permit. Influent flow measurement is one of the requirements in the NPDES permit.

The projected flow being around 10,000 gallons per day and cannot be accurately measured by using any of the availabe sewage flow measuring equipments, we may not have any objections to your using water meters to measure the water usage for the restaurant and motel facilities. We, therefore, encourage you to reconsider your variance request in this regard.

An assurance that existing lagoon can be used as proposed, will not be given, except that the preliminary engineering report approval will be given as and when the said reports fully complies with the Iowa Wastewater Facilities Design Standards, Chapter 18c, Wastewater Treatment Ponds (Lagoons) and the reliability and safety requirements.

Issuance of construction permit is dependant on the priority of the receipt of the plans, specifications, and all applicable schedules by this Department and their completeness.

AMK:bkp/WWW018P01.01

Main Office: Henry A. Wallace Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319

Regional Office #1 209 N. Franklin St. Manchester 52057 Regional Office #2 509 S. President P.O. Box 1443 Mason City 50401 Regional Office #3 401 Grand Ave. P.O. Box 270 Spencer 51301 Regional Office #4 316 Walnut Atlantic 50022 Regional Office #5 Henry A. Wallace Building Des Moines 50319 Regional Office #6 117 N. 2nd Ave. P.O. Box 27 Washington 52353 Colony Village Restaurant Williamsburg, IA 52361 January 18, 1983 Page 2

If you have any further questions regarding the subject project, please contact Akanad M. Koshy at 515/281-8971.

4-16

Sincerely,

WATER QUALITY DIVISION

19100

Akanad M. Koshy Environmental Engineer Construction Permit Section

AMK:bkp/WWW018P01.02

.....

cc: Howard R. Green Company, Cedar Rapids, IA
 DEQ Region 6

FETENSI Unition State Institution Francisco Institution



January 7, 1983

Akaned Koshy Construction Permit Section Iowa Department of Environmental Quality Henry A. Wallace Building 900 East Grand Des Moines, IA 50319

Re: Proposed Lagoon Modification Colony Village Restaurant Interchange I-80 Iowa County

Dear Mr. Koshy:

With regard to your letter of December 21, 1982, we have the following comments:

- 1. The outlet end of the 4.0' level drawoff pipe will be raised to maintain the depth at 6.0'.
- 2. For flow measurement, we understand that 18C.8.1 requires influent flow monitoring, however, as you know, the daily flow will only be 10,000 gpd or 7 gpm. A 2" Parshall flume will handle 200 gpm, therefore, we would be in the less than 5% reading area and accuracy would be lost. We can't see spending \$2,500.00 and continual maintenance to provide inaccurate readings. In addition, for this type of motel, restaurant operation, there won't be any growth, therefore, flows and loadings will be relatively constant, and the need for monitoring is lessened. We would like to request variance under 18C.8.3 Small Facilities.
- 3. We understand that 18C.7.2.7 requires protection, however, this lagoon has been in operation for seven years and no signs of erosion are evident. The type of aeration proposed will not cause wave action or embankment scour. We would like to again save the estimated \$2,500.00 cost. We would request a variance to not provide the erosion protection now, but if erosion does start, we would then add protection. Since the lagoon is already in operation, there would be no difference in cost or method, adding it later or now. When DEQ inspects the lagoon periodically, they can check for erosion and notify that owner to provide it at that time.

•



iowa department of environmental quality

phone RECORD COPY

File Name <u>Colony Village Restaurant - Sewerge</u> Senders Initials <u>Ildre</u>

Colony Village Restaurant Amana Interchange - 1-80 Villiamsburg, IA - 52361

ATTENTION: Mr. Puss Sandenfeld

RE: Proposed Ladoon Modification and Variance Request Colony Village Restaurant, Adama Interchange - 1-86, Iowa County, Iowa

Sentlemen:

We have completed the neview of the referenced project and the variance request.

- destruct should be made in the control structure or at the withdrawal pipe end to permit operation at six (6) feet depth.
- Definent from present and continuous recording facilities should be prompted with the nergial itation expansion of the lange system.
- P. Proston rotection shall be provided for the inner enhantments of all encated collect regardless of sale. The encoder protection shall be provided on the encoder to the encoder the shall be there from two the two helps the explore contacts tenth to one fill for a showe the next opmention version version of the ventically.

line on a solid general from the respectence of a figure on two (see provide on the solid on the figure of a solid solid of the solid so

St. Jaroba

(Manad), Lochy Environmental Eronner Construction Permits Section

/ ^ 문화되는 영문적 2년, 주말

set Howens I, Green Co., Cater Lating, M. Statistics and S

Main Office: Henry A. Wallace Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319

Regional Office #1 209 N. Franklin St. Manchester 52057 Regional Office #2 509 S. President P.O. Box 1443 Mason City 50401 Regional Office #3 401 Grand Ave. P O. Box 270 Spencer 51301 Regional Office #4 316 Walnut Atlantic 50022 Regional Office #5 Henry A. Wallace Building Des Moines 50319 Regional Office #6 117 N 2nd Ave. P.O Box 27 Washington 52353 Akaned Koshy Construction Permit Section Iowa Department of Environmental Quality Des Moines, IA 50319

2

Page Two January 7, 1983

Re: Proposed Lagoon Modification Colony Villlage Restaurant Interchange I-80 Iowa County

We trust that you will also agree that spending the \$5,000.00 as requested in 2 and 3 is hard to justify and will allow our request for a variance.

As we indicated, the onwer is anxious to proceed with design and layout of the motel, but will not unless we have DEQ's assurance that the existing lagoon can be used as we have proposed. In your reply to this letter, would you indicate the following:

- 1. Assurance that the existing lagoon can be used as proposed.
- 2. Indication that the owner may proceed with the design and construction of the motel.
- 3. Position on Items 2 and 3 presented above.
- 4. Timetable for construction permit.
- 5. Indication of what additional is needed for construction permit.

If there are any questions, please give me a call.

Very truly yours,

Robert A. Frederick, P.E.

/kd

cc: Colony Village Restaurant

Howard R. Green Company consulting engineers



November 30, 1982

Mr. Fred Evans Iowa Department of Environmental Quality Henry A. Wallace Building 900 East Grand Des Moines, IA 50319

Re: Permit Application Lagoon Modifications Colony Village Restaurant Amana Interchange I-80

Dear Fred:

As per our conversation we have enclosed four copies each of Schedules A, G, H, K_2 and page four of the Report which have been revised to show:

- 1. The aeration cell will be divided into 2 cells with a floating baffle.
- 2. We will put a 60° V-notch on the overflow pipe.

We would like to request a variance of the requirement of 2 separate aeration cells, and instead provide the floating baffle wall. This wall will provide flow from one cell to the next through a 6-inch opening. We would request the variance because it is nearly impossible to construct a second aeration cell in the existing lagoon to meet the present design standards.

If there are any questions please feel free to give me a call.

Very truly yours,

The Frederal.

Robert A. Frederick, P.E.

mh Enclosures cc: Russ Sandersfeld, Colony Village Restaurant

HOWARD R GREEN COMPANY . CONSULTING ENGINEERS . CEDAR RAPIDS, IOWA

PET VI 1. 8 2 13 FA DE El el avialit

VARIANCE REQUEST COLONY VILLAGE RESTAURANT LAGOON 1982

| Land | | \$ 0 |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Excavation | | \$30,000 |
| Structures | | \$10,000 |
| Sewer Extension 5 | 00' @ \$10.00/ft. | \$ 5,000 |
| Fence | - | \$ 7,000 |
| Miscellaneous | Total | \$52,000 \$11,000 \$63,000 |

The cost versus the following estimate for modifying the existing:

| Floating Curtain | | \$ 4,000 |
|-------------------|-------|-----------------------------|
| 2 Blowers | | \$ 4,000 |
| Building & Wiring | | \$ 2,000 |
| Aerators & Piping | | \$ 2,000 |
| Installation | | <u>\$ 3,000</u> \$15,000 |
| Miscellaneous | | \$ 2,500 |
| | Total | \$17,500 |

Since the Owner is only installing a 60 unit motel, there can't be any cost justification for a \$63,000 expenditure.

With the modifications as proposed, it is felt that the level of treatment will be greater than that existing which is currently providing no problems. With the small amount of additional wastes proposed, the modifications will benefit the overall lagoon effluent far more than any variances granted will possibly reduce effluent reliability.



June 11, 1985

Mr. Akanad Koshy Construction Permit Section Iowa Dept. of Water, Air & Waste Management Henry A. Wallace Building 900 East Grand Des Moines, IA 50319

Re: Proposed Lagoon Modifications Plans and Specifications Colony Village Restaurant Interchange I-8, Iowa County Project No. F-83-21

Dear Mr. Koshy:

As per our conversation, we have added a Section 12.10 on Page 1-5. The guarantee will be provided by the aerator manufacturer to provide the necessary aeration capability at no cost to the owner.

As noted, the quarantee will be in writing, which the Owner may use, which we feel is satisfactory. In talking with Aeration Industries, they will furnish a 2.0 Hp for the same cost as a 1.0 Hp and hence we'll go with the larger unit as a greater safety factor.

We trust this satisfies your requirements.

Very truly yours,

Jeg Joseph

JUN 13 AM 15 31

RECEIVED

Robert A. Frederick, P.E.

pam



June 5, 1985

Mr. Akanad Koshy Construction Permit Section Iowa Dept. of Water, Air & Waste Management Henry A. Wallace Building 900 East Grand Des Moines, IA 50319

Re: Proposed Lagoon Modifications Plans and Specifications Colony Village Restaurant Interchange I-80, Iowa County Project No. F-83-21

Dear Mr. Koshy:

We are enclosing four (4) sets of revised plans and specifications for this project.

The plans and specifications have been changed to provide two (2) aerators in the lagoon with no standby, as per our conversation.

We are in touch with three (3) aerator manufacturers and the units could be 1.0, 1.5 or 2.0 HP depending upon the supplier. Two (2) 1.0 HP units will supply 6.0 lbs. 02/HP/hr. or 144 lbs. 02/day at STP versus our calculated 39.0 lbs./day. We have included a revised Schedule H and K2 noting this change.

Very truly yours,

relent

Robert A. Frederick, P.E.

RECEIVED

Eld-

02

tc Enclosures

Cu RECORD COPY File Name Colony Village Restaurant - Sewag Lowa County Senders Initials _ department of water, air and waste management

June 4, 1985

Howard R. Green Company 4250 Glass Road, N.E. P. O. Box 9009 Cedar Rapids, IA 52409

ATTENTION: Mr. Robert A. Frederick, P.E.

RE: Proposed Lagoon Modification Colony Village Restaurant Interchange I-80, Iowa County Project No. F-83-21

Gentlemen:

We have reviewed your request for variance to change the configuration of the proposed modification to the existing single cell controlled Discharge Lagoon for Colony Village Restaurant. After due consideration of the facts presented by you in your letter of May, 1, 1985, we are hereby granting you variance to allow one aerated cell, under the following conditions.

- 1. Two floating aerators shall be installed with capacity to maintain 2 mg/l of oxygen in all cells at all times.
- One aerator shall be located close to the discharge of the influent pipe in the lagoon.
- 3. Submit revised plans, specifications and schedules A and K₂ incorporating the above conditions and requirements for our review.

If you have any comments or questions, please contact this Department at 515/281-8960.

Sincerely,

PROGRAM OPERATIONS DIVISION

Lavoy Hadge, Chief Wastewater Permits Branch

LH:AMK:rlz/WWPW151K05.01

cc: Region 6

RECORD COPY

CONSTRUCTION PERMIT

Colony VIIIage Restaurant Amana Interchange at 1-80 Williamsburg, Iowa 52361

File: Colony Village Resturant - Sewage Amana Interchange at I-80 lowa County Re: Modification of the Lagoon System

WAWM Project No.: \$83-21

Permit No.: 85-143-5

In accordance with the provisions of Sections 4558.173.9 and 4558.174.4, Code of Iowa, and Rule 900-64.2(4558) or Rule 900-65.5(4558), or Rule 900-41.12(4558) of the Iowa Administrative Code, the Executive Director of the Department of Water, Air and Waste Management does hereby issue a permit for the construction of:

The modification of the existing single lagoon controlled discharge lagoon system to a two cell aerated lagoon continuous discharge system.

By the issuance of this permit, the permittee is granted variance to use curtain walls to seperate the aerated pond and quiescent cell and to construct a single aerated pond and a quiescent cell instead of two aerated ponds and quiescent cell as approved after review of the preliminary engineering report.

The wastewater treatment facility approved under this construction permit is designed to treat an organic loading of 26 pounds of BOD₅ per day while handling an average daily hydraulic loading of 10,000 GPD. The facility has been designed to meet the effluent limitations of:

| Parameter | Dally Ave | e. Maximum |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Blochemical Oxygen Demand (| 5~day) 30 mg/1 | 45 mg/1 |
| Suspended Sollds | 80 mg/) | 120 mg/1 |
| рН | Minimum 6.0 | Maximum 9.0 |

A monthly average removal of 85% is also required.

The construction of the project shall be initiated within one year of issuance of this permit or this permit is no longer valid. Within thirty days after completion of construction, the permit holder shall submit a certification by a registered professional engineer that the project was completed in accordance with the approved project documents.

Pursuant to Section 4558.174.4, Code of lowa, you have the right to appeal any condition of this permit by filing with the Executive Director of the Department of Water, Air and Waste Management a notice of appeal and request for administrative hearing within thirty days of receipt of this permit.

Contact Akanad M. Koshy at 515/281-8960 with any questions or comments.

For the Department of Water, Alr and Waste Management:

| | Stephen W. Ballou, Executive Director |
|-------|---------------------------------------|
| By: | Lavoy Harge |
| Date: | him 25 1985 |
| | |

cc: Howard R. Green Company, Cedar Rapids, IA County Board of Supervisors, Iowa County, IA County Board of Health, Iowa County, IA Iowa Department of Health, LOCAL WAWM Region 6

AMK:pla/WWPW198M01.01

Plan Distribution

1 Engineer: 1 Region: 1 WAWM File

| Lice | Prepared | | |
|------|----------|---------|--|
| Dute | Pevised | 4-29-85 | |

IDEQ-C&WQ Project No. F-83-21

Schedule H1 - Schematic Flow Diagram

Project Identity Colony Village Restaurant - Amana Interchange I-80



| Date | Prepared | |
|------|----------|---------|
| Date | Revised | 4-29-85 |

IDEQ-C&WQ Project No. <u>F-83-21</u>

Schedule H1 - Schematic Flow Diagram

Project Identity _____ Colony Village Restaurant - Amana Interchange I-80



| Schedule KZ - Aerated Pond Interchange I-80 Design Basis: APV MAN PENN The Manual State INA. Data Sachuded In the Pristing Lagoor Schedule KZ - Aerated Pond Penn Manual State INA. Data Sachuded In the Pristing Lagoor State States INA. Data Sachuded In the Pristing Lagoor State States INA. Data Sachuded In the Pristing Lagoor State States INA. Data Sachuded In the Pristing Lagoor State States INA. Data Sachuded In the Pristing Lagoor State States INA. Data Sachuded In the Pristing Lagoor State States INA. Data Sachuded In the Pristing Lagoor State States INA. Data Sachuded In the Pristing Lagoor State States INA. Data Sachuded In the Pristing Lagoor State States INA. Data Sachuded In the Pristing Lagoor State States INA. Data Sachuded In the Pristing Lagoor State States INA. Data Sachuded In the Pristing Lagoor State States INA. Data Sachude Interchange InterchangeInterchangeInterchange Interchange Interchange Interchan | 5 F 8- | Revised A-20-95 | | | (Project | IDEQ-CENQ | -21 |
|--|--------|--|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------|
| <pre>1. Project IdentityColony Village Restaurant - Annua Interchange 1-80 ProvideDOI</pre> | | Schedule K | $2 - \lambda erater$ | Pond | | | |
| Description fastic: AVW MVN PRAV Pilow, MGD | | Protoct Identity Colony Villag | - Postaurar | $ = \Delta mana $ | Interchan | ne I-80 | |
| Piest M Piest Piest Flow, WDD | • | Project Identity | | | Therenan | <u>qe 1-00</u> | |
| soD ₅ . 4/Day Z6.0 Z6.0 Z6.0 Stap groundwater elevation (MSL) 10 feet below bottom Stop of dike elevation (MSL) NA ft. 100 year flood elevation (MSL) NA ft. Surface area § maximum depth (A) .54 .02 Surface area § maximum depth (ft) .5.2 Effective storage volume (MG) | | Flow, HGD .01 | .015 | LHMM | | | |
| 2. No. of soil borings taken NA. Data included in the <u>existing lagoo</u> | | BOD, #/Day 26.0 | 26.0 | | | | |
| Bigh groundwater elevation (MSL) NA 10 feet below bottom J. Top of dike elevation (MSL) NA ft. 100 year flood elevation (MSL) NA ft. Pond Data Cell 1 Cell 2 Cell 4 Total Surface area 9 maximu depth (fc) 5.2 5.2 Minimum operation depth (fc) 5.2 5.2 Minimum operation depth (fc) 5.2 Provided (17/2000) 1.5 Provided (10/2000) 1.5 Ninteum 0.0. Tevel (cg/1) 1.0 Top wided of dike (fc) 5.0 Socto drawoff level (fc) 4.0 Socto drawoff level (fc) 1.0 | 2. | No. of soil borings taken NA. D | ats include | d in the _ | existing | 1000 · | |
| Decide the elevation (MSL) MA ft. 100 year flood elevation (MSL) NA ft. Pond Data Surface area 2 maximum depth (A) Amaximum operation depth (ft) 5.0 Tiffective storage volume (MSC) 6.0 Biffective detention time (days) 86 7.7 Provided (ft /JB00) 1.5 Required (402/3800) 1.5 Surface area 2 maximum depth (ft) 1.6 7.7 Provided (ft /JB00) Provided (ft /JB00) 1.5 Required 402/3800) 1.1 Minamum D.O. Tevel (cg/1) 1.0 Provided 7 maximum depth (ft) 2.01 Cource meanight of the area for maximum depth (ft) 1.0 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 | | Bigh groundwater elevation (MSL) _ | 10 feet b | elow botto | m | | |
| Pond Data Cell 1 Cell 2 Cell 3 Cell 4 Total Surface area g maximum depth (A) | | Top of dike elevation (MSL) NA | ft. 100 | year flood | elevation | (MSL) NA | £=. |
| Surface area 9 maximum depth (A) .54 .02 .56 Maximum operation depth (ft) 5.2 | • | Pond Data | <u>Cell 1</u> | <u>Cell 2</u> | Cell 3 | <u>Cell 4</u> | Total |
| <pre>Maximum operation depth (ft) <u>6.0</u> <u>6.0</u> <u>6.0</u> <u></u></pre> | | Surface area @ maximum depth (A) | .54 | . 02 | | | 56 |
| Initiality operation depth (IC) | | Maximum operation depth (ft) | 6.0 | 6.0 | | | |
| Effective detention time (days) 360 2 100 Air Requirements 88 2 88 Provided (ft/JEDD) 1.5 88 88 Provided (ft/JEDD) 1.1 88 88 Required (40%/JEDD) 1.1 88 88 Top vidth of dike (ft) 2.0' 2.0' 88 Top vidth of dike (ft) 5.0 5.0 10 Top vidth of dike (ft) 5.0 5.0 10 Outer embankment slope B/V 4:1 4:1 11 Top vidth of dike (ft) 4.0' 4:1 4:1 Top vidth of dike (ft) 4.0' 4.0' 4:1 Top drawoff level (ft) 4.0' 4.0' 4.0' Middle drawoff level (ft) 1.0 140 140 Startion Equipment: Design Air Temperature 100 °F to °F. 7 7 Type Surface aerator Manufacturer & Model Airolator-SA-15-1 70 No of Units 2 . RP or CFN/unit 1.5 HP Total RP or CFN 3.0 HP. 8 K value06 //day at design temperature 1 °C Is a layout of the aeration system given on Schedue | | Effective storage volume (MG) | | 02 | | | 86 |
| Air Requirecequs: Provided (ff /300) Provided (ff /300) Provided (ff /300) Infamo D.O. Tevel (ag/1) I.0 Freeboard @ maximum depth (ft) S.O. S.O. Top width of dike (ft) Top width of dike (ft) S.O. S.O. Inner enbackment slope H/V Outer enbackment slope H/V Ail. Top width of dike (ft) Socton drawoff level (ft) Hiddu drawoff level (ft) Socton drawoff level (ft) Socton drawoff level (ft) Holdurer is Manufacturer is Model Airolator-SA-15-1 No. of Units Z Ho of the aeration system given on Schedule H1? Yes X No | | Effective detention time (days) | 86 | 2 | | | 88 |
| Provided (#7/380D) 1.5 | | Air Requirements: | | | | | |
| Required (00,7800) 1.1 Minimum D.O. Tevel (mg/1) 1.0 Freeboard & maximum depth (ft) 2.0' Top vidth of dike (ft) 5.0 5.0 Inner embankment slope B/V 4:1 4:1 Outer embankment slope B/V 4:1 4:1 Top vidth of dike (ft) 6" CIP CURTAIN Top drawoff level (ft) 4.0 4.1 Middle drawoff level (ft) 4.0 4.1 Sequire drawoff level (ft) 1.0 1.0 Bottom drawoff level (ft) 1.0 1.0 Scattarian Squipment: Design Air Temperature 100 °F to °F. Type Surface aerator . Manufacturer 6 Model AirOlator-SA-15-1 30 HP No. of Units 2 . BP or CFM/unit 1.5 HP Total HP or CFM 3.0 HP K value .06 _d/day at design temperature 10 °C S. Is a layout of the aeration system given on Schedule HIT Yes X No | | Provided (ft ⁷ /JBOD) | 1.5 | | | | |
| Minimum D.O. Tevel (mg/1) 1.0 1.0 1.0 Preeboard @ maximum depth (ft) 2.0' 2.0' 1.0 Top width of dike (ft) 5.0 5.0 1.0 Inner embankment slope B/V 4.1 4.1 1.1 Outer embankment slope B/V 4.1 4.1 1.1 Type of inlet 6" CIP CURTAIN 1.1 Type of inlet 6" CIP 1.0 1.0 Middle dravoff level (ft) 1.0 1.0 1.0 Bottom dravoff level (ft) 1.0 1.0 1.0 Startion Equipment: Design Air Temperature 100 °F to °F. Type Surface aerator . Manufacturer & Model Airolator-SA-15-1 We to Units 2 . No. of Units 2 | | Required (#02/#BOD) | 1.5 | | | | |
| Freeboard @ maximum depth (ft) 2.0' 2.0' 2.0' Top width of dike (ft) 5.0 5.0 5.0 Inner embankment slope H/V 4:1 4:1 4:1 Outer embankment slope H/V 4:0' 4:0' 4:0' Wildle dravoff level (ft) 1:0 1:0 1:0 1:0 Bottom Gravoff level (ft) 1:0 1:0 1:0 1:0 State H/Y 0:0 1:0 1:0 1:0 1:0 State H/Y 0:1 1:0 1:0 1:0 1:0 State H/Y 0:1 1:0 1:0 1:0 1:0 State H/Y < | | Minimum D.O. Ievel (mg/l) | 1.0 | | | | . · |
| Top width of dike (ft) 5.0 5.0 5.0 Inner embankment slope H/V 4:1 4:1 4:1 Outer embankment slope H/V 4:1 4:1 4:1 Type of inlet 6" CIP CURIAIN 4:0 4:1 Top dravoff level (ft) 4.0' 4.0' 4:1 Middle dravoff level (ft) 1.0 1.0 1.0 Attration Equipment: Design Air Temperature 100 °F to ?F. 7. 7. Type Surface aerator . HP or CFM/unit 1.5 HP Total #P or CFM _3.0 HP. . No. of Units 2 . HP or CFM/unit 1.5 HP Total #P or CFM _3.0 HP. . Is a layout of the aeration system given on Schedule H1? Yes X_N No | | Freeboard @ maximum depth (ft) | 2.0' | 2.0' | | | |
| Outer enbankment slope H/V 4:1 4:1 4:1 Opter enbankment slope H/V 4:1 4:1 4:1 Top drawoff level (ft) 4.0' 4.0' 4.0' Middle drawoff level (ft) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 Bottom drawoff level (ft) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 Arration Equipment: Design Air Temperature 100 °F co °F. °F. °F. No. of Units 2 HP or CTM/unit 1.5 HP Total HP or CTM 3.0 HP · No. of Units 2 HP or CTM/unit 1.5 HP Total HP or CTM 3.0 HP · Is a layout of the aeration system given on Schedule H1? Yes X No . · Schood of raw flow diversion to cells None . · · Stando of interconnection of cells Flotation curtain opening to final cell . Provision to prevent drawoff of floating solids Submerged outlet . . O. Method of sampling None - grab . . . I. Type of flow measurenet Water meters Location Well supply . . . J | | Top width of dike (ft) | | | | | - |
| Type of inlet 6" CIP CURTAIN | | Outer embankment slope H/V | 4.1 | 4.1 | | | • - |
| Top dravoff level (ft) 4.0' 4.0' 4.0' Middle dravoff level (ft) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 Botton dravoff level (ft) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 Aeration Equippent: Design Air Temperature 100 °F to °F. °F. ? Type Surface aerator . Manufacturer 6 Model Airolator-SA-15-1 No. of Units 2 Por CFM/unit 1.5 HP Total AP or CFM 3.0 HP K value .06 /day at design temperature 1 °C Is a layout of the aeration system given on Schedule H1? Yes X No No Is cold weather protection provided? Yes Row? Submerged motor '. Method of raw flow diversion to cells None | | Type of inlet | 6" CIP | CURTAIN | | | |
| Middle drawoff level (ft) 1.0 1.0 1.0 | | Top drawoff level (ft) | 4.0' | 4.0' | | | |
| Aeration Equipment: Design Air Temperature 100 °F to °F. Type Surface aerator Manufacturer 6 Model Airolator-SA-15-1 No. of Units 2 RP or CFM/unit 1.5 HP Total HP or CFM 3.0 HP. K valueO6/day at design temperature 1 °C Is a layout of the aeration system given on Schedule H1? Yes X No S. Is cold weather protection provided? Yes Row? Submerged motor Method of raw flow diversion to cells | | Middle drawoff level (it) Borron drawoff level (it) | | 1.0 | | | |
| Arration luppent: Design Air Temperature100 F to?. Type Sunface aerator Manufacturer & Model Airolator-SA-15-1 No. of Units _2 PP or CFM/unit _1.5 HPTotal HP or CFM3.0 HP K valueO6 //day at design temperatureOc Is a layout of the aeration system given on Schedule H1? Yes _XNo S. Is cold weather protection provided? Yes How? Submerged motor Method of raw flow diversion to cells Method of interconnection of cells Flow and flow diversion to cells Method of sampling None - grab Is tore flow measurement Water meters Location Well supply Import for warning signs _4. Location all four sides Mumber of varning signs _4. Location all ready Filled Method of testing leakage rate No Mumber of varning signs for included for: a. Seeding Method of testing leakage rate No Method of testing leakage | | | <u> </u> | 100 0- | | | |
| Type SUFFACE AGRATOR Manufacturer & Model Mindule SATST No. of Units 2 . RP or CFM/unit1.5 HP Total HP or CFM AND K value .06 ./day at design temperatureC Is a layout of the aeration system given on Schedule H1? Yes X_ No S. Is cold weather protection provided? Yes How? Submerged motor 7. Method of raw flow diversion to cells None 8. Method of interconnection of cells Flotation curtain opening to final cell. 9. Provision to prevent drawoff of floating solids Submerged outlet 0. Method of sampling None - grab 1. Type of flow measurement Water meters 1. Type of flow measurement Water meters 13. Number of varning signs Location all four sides 14. Will pond be pre-filled to 2 ft. level? Yes No 15. Maximum allowable leakage rate No 16. Pond bottcm uniformity Yes No 17. Is service Dypass provided? No Subscharge to 17. Is service Dypass provided? No Lischarge to | • | Aration Equipment: Design Air le | mperature _ | 150 FEO | 2 | 1 | |
| K value | | Type SUFTACE derator Manufa | CEUTER & Mo | 1 5 HP | Total H | -I C C FM | 3.0 HP |
| Is a layout of the aeration system given on Schedule H1? Yes X No | | K value .06 /day at | design ter | perature | | · · · · · · | |
| S. Is cold weather protection provided? Yes Row? Submerged motor 7. Method of raw flow diversion to cells <u>None</u> 8. Method of interconnection of cells <u>Flotation curtain opening to final cell</u>. 9. Provision to prevent drawoff of floating solids <u>Submerged outlet</u> 9. Method of sampling <u>None - grab</u> 1. Type of flow measurement <u>Water meters</u> <u>Location Well supply</u>. 2. Fence Height <u>42</u>" No. strands of barbed vire: Top <u>1</u> <u>Bottom</u> 3. Number of varning signs <u>4</u>. Location <u>all four sides</u> 4. Will pond be pre-filled to 2 ft. level? Yes <u>No</u> <u>Already Filled</u> 15. Maximum allowable leakage rate <u>NA</u> in/day. Method of testing leakage rate <u>NA</u> in/day. Method of testing leakage rate <u>NA</u> in/day. Are specifications included for: <u>a. Seeding Yes No</u> NA <i>C. Pond bottom uniformity</i> <u>Yes No</u> NA 17. Is service bypass provided? No Discharge to <u>Tile</u> | | Is a layout of the aeration system | given on S | Schedule HI | ? ?es _X | No | 1 |
| Method of raw flow diversion to cells <u>None</u> Method of interconnection of cells <u>Flotation curtain opening to final cell</u>. Provision to prevent drawoff of floating solids <u>Submerged outlet</u> Method of sampling <u>None - grab</u> Type of flow measurement <u>Water meters</u> Location <u>Well supply</u> Fence Height <u>42"</u> No. strands of barbed vire: Top <u>1</u> Bottom Number of varning signs <u>4</u>. Location <u>all four sides</u> Will pond be pre-filled to 2 ft. level? Yes <u>No</u> <u>Already Filled</u> Maximum allovable leakage rate <u>NA</u> in/day. Method of testing leakage rate <u>NA</u> in/day. Pond bottom uniformity Yes <u>No</u> NA d. Pond sealing <u>Yes</u> <u>No</u> NA Is service bypass provided? No Discharge to <u>Tile</u> | | Is cold weather protection provide | d? Yes Eo | Submo | erged moto | r | · |
| Method of interconnection of cells Flotation curtain opening to final cell Provision to prevent drawoff of floating solids Submerged outlet Method of sampling None - grab Type of flow measurement Water meters Location Fence Height <u>42</u>" No. strands of barbed vire: Top Bottom Number of warning signs <u>4</u>. Location all four sides Will pond be pre-filled to 2 ft. level? Yes No Maximum allowable leakage rate in/day. Method of testing leakage rate Soil sterilization Pond bottom uniformity Yes No If are specifications included for: a. Seeding Soil sterilization Pond bottom uniformity Yes No If a service bypass provided? No | 7. | Method of raw flow diversion to ce | Noi | ne | | | · |
| 9. Provision to prevent drawoff of floating solidsSubmerged outlet | Β. | Method of interconnection of cells | F1 | <u>otation cu</u> | <u>rtain oper</u> | ing to fir | al cell. |
| Nethod of sampling <u>None - grab</u> Type of flow measurement <u>Water meters</u> Location <u>Well supply</u>. Fence Height <u>42</u>" No. strands of barbed wire: Top <u>1</u> Bottom Number of warning signs <u>4</u>. Location <u>all four sides</u> Will pond be pre-filled to 2 ft. level? Yes <u>No</u> <u>Already Filled</u> Maximum allowable leakage rate <u>NA</u> in/day. Method of testing leakage rate <u>NA</u> in/day. <i>Are specifications included for:</i> <u>a. Seeding Yes No</u> <u>No</u> <u>Already Filled</u> Soil sterilization <u>Yes</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>17</u>. Is service bypass provided? No Discharge to <u>Tile</u> | 9. | Provision to prevent drawoff of fi | loating sol | ids Subm | erged out] | et | |
| 1. Type of flow measurement | 0. | Method of sampling None - gr | ab | | | | · |
| 2. Fence Height <u>42"</u> No. strands of barbed vire: Top <u>1</u> Bottom 3. Number of varning signs <u>4</u>. Location <u>all four sides</u> 4. Will pond be pre-filled to 2 ft. level? Yes <u>No</u> Already Filled 15. Maximum allowable leakage rate <u>NA</u> in/day. Method of testing leakage rate <u>NA</u> in/day. 16. Are specifications included for: a. Seeding <u>Yes No</u> a. Seeding <u>Yes No</u> b. Soil sterilization <u>Yes No</u> c. Pond bottom uniformity <u>Yes No</u> if a service pypass provided? No | 1. | Type of flow measurement Water | meters | | Locatio | a <u>Well su</u> | pply |
| 3. Number of varning signs <u>4</u>. Location <u>all four sides</u> 4. Will pond be pre-filled to 2 ft. level? Yes <u>No</u> <u>Already Filled</u> 15. Maximum allowable leakage rate <u>NA</u> in/day. Method of testing leakage rate <u>NA</u> in/day. 11. Are specifications included for: <u>a. Seeding</u> <u>Yes</u> <u>No</u> <u>Ues</u> <u>No</u> <u>C</u> <u>b. Soil sterilization</u> <u>Yes</u> <u>No</u> <u>C</u> <u>c. Pond bottom uniformity</u> <u>Yes</u> <u>No</u> <u>No</u> <u>C</u> <u>c. Fond sealing</u> <u>Yes</u> <u>No</u> <u>C</u> <u>c. Erosion protection</u> <u>Yes</u> <u>No</u> <u>C</u> <u>17. Is service bypass provided? No</u> <u>Discharge to</u> <u>Tile</u> | 2. | Fence Height 42" No. strands of | barbed wire | : Top | <u> </u> | ttos | • |
| Will pond be pre-filled to 2 ft. level? YesNo Already Filled Maximum allowable leakage rateNAin/day. Method of testing leakage rate Are specifications included for: a. Seeding b. Soil sterilization YesNo c. Pond bottom uniformity YesNo d. Pond sealing YesNo i. Erosion protection YesNo 17. Is service bypass provided? NoDischarge to | 3. | Number of warning signs <u>4</u> . Lo | cation <u>all</u> | four side | 5 | | |
| Maximum allowable leakage rate <u>NA</u> in/day. Method of testing leakage rate | 4. | Will pond be pre-filled to 2 ft. | level? Yes | No | Alr | eady Fille | D |
| Maximum allowable leakage rate <u>NA</u> in/day. Method of testing leakage rate Are specifications included for: a. Seeding Yes <u>No</u> b. Soil sterilization Yes <u>No</u> c. Pond bottom uniformity Yes <u>No</u> d. Pond sealing Yes <u>No</u> 17. Is service bypass provided? No <u>Discharge to Tile</u> | | | | | | | |
| If Are specifications included for: a. Seeding Yes No b. Soil sterilization Yes No | 15. | Maximum allowable leakage rate Method of testing leakage rate | <u>NA</u> | in/day. | | | |
| b. Soil sterilization YesNO NA c. Pond bottom uniformity YesNO NA d. Pond sealing YesNO e. Erosion protection YesNO 17. Is service bypass provided? NoDischarge toIile | 11 | Are specifications included for: | a. Seed: | Lng | | Yes No | <u> </u> |
| e. Pond bottom uniformity fes | 1 | | b. Soil | sterilizat | ion | Yes N | • N |
| e. Erosion protection Yes No 17. Is service bypass provided? No Discharge to | 1 | | c. Pond | Sottem un | lioraity | Yes N | 0 |
| 17. Is service bypass provided? No_Discharge to | | | e. Eros | ion protec | tion | Yes | lo |
| 17. Is service pypass provided: NO | | | Discharge | to Ti | le | | |
| 11/10 | 17 | . Is service bypass provided? NO | Just lier st | | | | |
| 101133 - X. U. (77) | ::0 | 133 - K2 (1/79) | | | | | |



May 1, 1985

Akanad Koshy Construction Permit Section Iowa Department of Water, Air & Waste Management Henry A. Wallace Building 900 East Grand Des Moines, IA 50319

Re: Proposed Lagoon Modification Plans & Specifications Colony Village Restaurant Interchange I-80, Iowa County Project No. F-83-21

Dear Mr. Koshy:

We are enclosing four (4) sets of plans and specifications for the proposed Lagoon Modification which Preliminary Report was submitted to IDWAWM in November, 1982 and approved February 2, 1983.

285

Plu l

B

AM 11

26

RECEIVED

Brar

WATER. AN AND WASTE

MARIE

EFARTMENT

0

As can be noted from the plans and specifications, two changes have been made since the Preliminary Report.

- 1. The aeration basin configuration has been changed to a single cell with a floating baffle provided to establish the quiescent cell. We would ask for a variance for the single aeration cell proposal and for the use of the floating baffle concept. The variance request is based upon the following justification.
 - a) Installation of a floating baffle (or any baffle) in the existing lagoon to provide two aeration cells would, in our estimation, prove detrimental to the process. As can be noted, the flow is only 10,000 gals/day, or less than 10 gpm. With this low flow, and less than a water tight baffle, flow would tend to short circuit to the quiescent cell, leaving the second cell with little flow through and effectively cutting the aeration time in half.

Colony Village Motel, lowa County.

5/15/85 Mariance request was discussed with FE and LH. Then handed to LH for consultation with Joe Abr.

5/15/85 Engineer Contacted me. Descussed about the project before any reared. Engracer was informed that I will have to discuss the varies Horse power requirement with havay House and Joe Abr. During the discussions Engineer Bob Fredericks Stated in strong Terms "Whether you issue the permet or not becare going to doit.

He is exthe opinica that the aeration or quire-ment well be changed in the Desegn standard

5/30/85 Drafted Varience request approval letter. Handed to LH for review - LH reviewed - Handed fortyping. • Descuss the review comments with FE before control Engineer. Did discuss with FE. Items on page 1-2 and 1-5 to be descensed with Engencer Via phase. 5/30/85 Tried to contact Engineer. He casoutof the opice cevill 2:30 mget back tome. T.C. Engineer. 5/30/85 at 2:15 Pm.

Revise Mans, Spees and schedule K2_

| | RECORD COPY |
|--|--|
| | STATE OF IONA File Name <u>Colony Villour Restaurent</u> - Source Among Inter, air, and waste Managentents HENRY A. WALLACE BUILDING DES MOINES, IOWA 50319 |
| | CONSTRUCTION PERMIT |
| Colony Village Restaurant Amana Interchance at 1-80 | Permit No+: 85-143-5 |
| Williamsburg, Iowa 52361 | File: Colony Village Resturant - Sewage |

File: Colony Village Resturant - Sewage Amana Interchange at 1-80 Iowa County Re: Modification of the Lagoon System

WAWM Project No.: \$83-21

In accordance with the provisions of Sections 4558.173.9 and 4558.174.4, Code of Iowa, and Rule 900-64.2(4558) or Rule 900-65.5(4558), or Rule 900-41.12(4558) of the Iowa Administrative Code, the Executive Director of the Department of Water, Air and Waste Management does hereby issue a permit for the construction of:

The modification of the existing single lagoon controlled discharge lagoon system to a two cell aerated lagoon continuous discharge system.

By the issuance of this permit, the permittee is granted variance to use curtain walls to seperate the aerated pond and quiescent cell and to construct a single aerated pond and a quiescent cell instead of two aerated ponds and quiescent cell as approved after review of the preliminary engineering report.

The wastewater treatment facility approved under this construction permit is designed to treat an organic loading of 26 pounds of BOD₅ per day while handling an average daily hydraulic loading of 10,000 GPD. The facility has been designed to meet the effluent limitations of:

| Parameter | Dally Ave. | Maximum |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Blochemica) Oxygen Demand (5-day) | 30 mg/1 | 45 mg/1 |
| Suspended Sollds | 80 mg/) | 120 mg/1 |
| pH Mini | mum 6.0 | Maximum 9.0 |

A monthly average removal of 85% is also required.

The construction of the project shall be initiated within one year of issuance of this permit or this permit is no longer valid. Within thirty days after completion of construction, the permit holder shall submit a certification by a registered professional engineer that the project was completed in accordance with the approved project documents.

Pursuant to Section 4558.174.4, Code of lowa, you have the right to appeal any condition of this permit by filing with the Executive Director of the Department of Water, Air and Waste Management a notice of appeal and request for administrative hearing within thirty days of receipt of this permit.

Contact Akanad M. Koshy at 515/281-8960 with any questions or comments.

For the Department of Water, Air and Waste Management:

| | Stephen W. Ballou, Executive Director |
|-------|---------------------------------------|
| By: | HOGRAM OPERATIONS OF ISTON |
| Date: | June 25 1985 |
| | 0 |

cc: Howard R. Green Company, Cedar Rapids, IA County Board of Supervisors, Iowa County, IA County Board of Health, Iowa County, IA Iowa Department of Health, LOCAL WAWM Region 6

AMK:pla/WWPW198M01.01

Distribution

1 Engineer; 1 Region; 1 WAWM File

| LIC | Prepared | |
|-----|----------|---------|
| ate | Revised | 4-29-85 |

IDEQ-C&WQ Project No. <u>F+83-21</u>

Schedule H1 - Schematic Flow Diagram

Project Identity _____ Colony Village Restaurant - Amana Interchange I-80



| uate Dura | Project No. F-83-21 |
|--------------|--|
| Dire | Schedule K2 - Aerated Pond |
| 1. | Produce Telepiter Colony Village Restaurant - Amana Interchange I-80 |
| 1+ | Project Identity Colony Prilade Restaurant - Pullana Interchange 1 00 |
| | Flow, MGD .01 .015 |
| | BOD, #/Day 26.0 26.0 |
| 2. | No. of soil borings taken NA. Data included in the <u>existing lagoo</u> |
| | Bigh groundwater elevation (MSL) 10 feet below bottom |
| 3. | Top of dike elevation (MSL) NA ft. 100 year flood elevation (MSL) NA ft. |
| 4. | Pond Data Cell 1 Cell 2 Cell 3 Cell 4 Total |
| | Surface area @ maximum depth (A) .54 .02 .56 |
| | Maximum operation depth (ft) 6.0 5.2 |
| | Effective storage volume (MG) .84 .02 .86 |
| | Effective detention time (days) 86 2 88 |
| | Air Requirements: |
| | Provided (IC / $\#$ BOD) 15 |
| | Required (#0 ² /#BOD) <u>11</u> |
| | Minimum D.O. Ievel (mg/l) <u>1.0</u> |
| | Top width of dike (ft) 50 50 |
| | Inner enbankment slope E/V 4.1 4.1 |
| | Outer embankment slope H/V <u>A.1</u> <u>A.1</u> |
| | Top drawoff level (ft) 4.0' 4.0' |
| | Middle drawoff level (ft) |
| | Bottom drawoff level (ft) <u>1.0</u> |
| 5. | Aeration Equipment: Design Air Temperature 100 F to F. |
| | Type surface aerator . Manufacturer & Model Airolator-SA-15-1 |
| | No. of Units <u>2</u> . HP or CFM/unit <u>1.5 HP</u> Total HP or CFM <u>3.0 HP</u> K value <u>06</u> /day at design termetature <u>1</u> °C |
| | Is a layout of the aeration system given on Schedule H1? Yes X No |
| 6. | Is cold weather protection provided? Yes Row? Submerged motor |
| 7. | Method of raw flow diversion to cells None . |
| 8. | Method of interconnection of cells Flotation curtain opening to final cell. |
| 9. | Provision to prevent drawoff of floating solids <u>Submerged outlet</u> . |
| 10. | Method of sampling None - grab |
| 11. | Type of flow measurement Water meters Location Well supply |
| 12. | Fence Height <u>42"</u> No. strands of barbed wire: Top <u>1</u> Bottom |
| 13. | Number of warning signs <u>4</u> . Location <u>all four sides</u> |
| 14. | Will pond be pre-filled to 2 ft. level? Yes No Already Filled |
| 15. | Maximum allowable leakage rate <u>NA</u> in/day. Method of testing leakage rate |
| 1 | Are specifications included for: a. Seeding Yes No |
| | b. Soil sterilization Yes No |
| | c. Pond bottom uniformity Yes No NA |
| | e. Erosion protection Yes No |
| 17. | Is service pypess provided? No Discharge to Tile |

E SO EIVED

Her They add the big of Contrals and Water Quality Invision

Wartewater Collection and/or Treatment Facility Construction Fermit Application

Schedule A - General Information

| Engineering Tirp: Howard R. Green Company | For Department Use Only |
|--|--------------------------|
| Project Officer: R.A. Frederick | Project No. |
| Address: P.O. Box 9009, Cedar Rapids. IA 52409 | Facility No. |
| Telephone: 319/395/7805 | Grant No. |
| Applicant: COLONY VILlage Restaurant Authorized Re | pr: Howard R. Green Co |
| Address: Amana Interchange - 1-80 | Telephone: / / |
| Project Identity: Single Cell Lagoon Modification wit | h Air |
| Identify all categories included in this project and the applica | ble schedules submitted: |

| Schedule | Title | Included | Subatted |
|----------|--|----------|----------|
| B | Collection System | | |
| с | Lateral Sever Extension | | |
| D | Trunk & Interceptor Sever | | |
| E | Wastevater Pump Station | | |
| ī | Treatment Project Site Selection | | |
| C | Trestment Project Design Data | X | X |
| 81 | Schematic Flow Diagram | X | Χ |
| H2 | Treatment Process Removal Efficiency | | |
| НЗ | Mechanical Plant Relizoility | | |
| I | Screening, Grit Removal 5 Flow Measurement | | |
| J | Septic Tank System | | |
| K1 | Controlled Discharge Pond | | |
| К2 | Aerated Pond | X | X |
| К3 | Anzerobic Lagoon | | |
| L | Settling Tanks | | |
| M | Fixed Film Reactor-Stationary Media | | |
| N | Rotating Biological Contactor | | |
| 0 | Aeration Tacks or Easins | | |
| P | Gas Chlorination | | |
| 0 | Sludge Digestion and Holding | | |
| 31 | Sludge Devatering and Disposal | | - |
| R2 | Low Rate Land Application of Sludge | | |
| S | Irrigation/Land Application | | 1 |

1. Identify any categories included in this project which are not provided in the above cable:

- 2. Has an engineering report, facility plan or other information previously been submitted for this project? Yes _____ Wo ____ If yes, identify ______ Submitted with enclosed ______ Have there been any changes in project since DEQ approval of the engineering report or facility plan? Yes NA No ____. Is a list of the changes attached? Yes _____ No
- 3. No. of plans submitted 4 No. of specifications submitted _____. Approved standard specifications of

- 4. Is Sewage Treatment Agreement included? Yes <u>No</u> Not Applicable X.
 5. Does each copy of report, plans & specifications contain engineering certification? Yes 6. Is any overflow, bypass pipe or drain proposed which can result in discharge of raw or
- partially treated sewage to a vatercourse? Yes ____ No X.
- 7. Is this a joint water supply and wastewater project? Yes ____ No ____.
- 8. Construction inspection will be provided by <u>Engineer</u> 9. Are any facilities such as public or private water supply wells, recreation areas or similar facilities located in proximity to any construction proposed? Yes _____ No ____ NA If yes, are they identified on the plans? Yes No ____ If not, attach data. 10. Estimated construction completion date _____May, 1983

I hereby certify that all aspects of design included in this application conform to all applicable standards contained in the Chemicals and Water Quality Design Manual or GLUMRB "Recommended Standards for Sevage Works", or that an explanation and justification for any proposed variation from such standards is attached to this application. I am familiar with the information contained in this application, and to the best of my knowledge, such information is true, complete and accurate.

| Ell Fredentr | 5902 | November 8, 1982 |
|---------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Robert A. Frederick, P.E. | Registration 🖻 | Date |

UQ 133-A (1/79)

| Date | Prepared | |
|------|----------|--|
| Date | Revised | |

IDEQ-C&WQ

Project No.

Schedule G - Treatment Project Design Data

Project Identity <u>Colony Village Restaurant - Amana Interchange I-80</u> Project Description <u>Single Cell Lagoon Modification with Air</u>

3. Design Basis:

| | | | | Present | | Design Year (1983) | | | |
|--------------|-----------------|---|-----|---------|--------------|--------------------|--------|------------|---------|
| Plant Design | Loading | 1 | ADW | I AWW | I MWW | 11 | ADW | I AWW | I MWW |
| | Population | 1 | | | | 11 | | K Story Y. | |
| Residential | Flow, MGD | 1 | | 1 | I | 11 | | 1 | 1 |
| Waste | BOD, #/dav | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 11 | | 1 | 1 |
| | TKN , #/day | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 11 | | 1 | 1 |
| | Number | | | | | 11 | | | |
| Out of Town | Flow, MGD | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 11 | | 1 | 1 |
| Students | BOD, 1/day | 1 | | | 1 | 11 | | 1 | 1 |
| | TKN , #/day | 1 | | | | 11 | | 1 | 1 |
| | Flow, MGD | 1 | | 1 | lass and the | 11 | | | 1 |
| Industrial | Rated Flow, MGD | 1 | | I | | 11 | | 1 | 1 |
| | BOD, #/day | 1 | | | | 11 | | 1 | 1 |
| | TKN , #/day | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 11 | | 1 | 1 |
| | Flow, MGD | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 10,000 | | 115,000 |
| Other | Rated Flow, MGD | 1 | | | 1 | 11 | NA | 1 | INA |
| (Specify) | BOD, #/day | 1 | 70. | 1 | 1 | 11 | 26.0 | 1 | 126.0 |
| | TKN , #/day | I | | 1 | 1 | 11 | NA | 1 | I NA |
| Infiltration | MGD | 1 | | | 1 | 11 | NA | 1 | INA |
| Inflow | MGD | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 11 | NA | 1 | I NA |
| | Flow, MGD | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 11 | 10.000 | 1 | 115.000 |
| stal | Rated Flow, MGD | 1 | | | 1 | 11 | 10.000 | | 115,000 |
| | BOD, mg/1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 11 | 311 | 1 | 1208 |
| | BOD, #/day | ł | | 1 | 1 | 11 | 26.0 | | 126 0 |
| | TKN , mg/l | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 11 | NA | 1 | INA |
| | TKN , #/day | 1 | | | 1 | 11 | | 1 | 1 |

4. Peak Hourly Dry Weather Flow <u>.015MGD</u>+ Peak Hourly Infiltration <u>0</u> MGD+ Peak Hourly Inflow <u>0</u> MGD = Total Peak Hourly Wet Weather Flow <u>.015MGD</u> (In Design Tear)

| 5. | Identify effluent | 1 | BOD-5 day Susp | Solids | NH3-N | 1 | | 1 | | Ì |
|----|-------------------------|-----|-----------------|---------|-----------|-----|-----------|-----|-----|---|
| | limitations | 1 | Avg Max Avg | Max | Avg Max | 1.4 | Avg Max | Ave | Mex | 1 |
| | Operation Permit mg/l | 1 | 30 45 80 | 120 1 | NA I NA | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Effluent Limits #/day | 7 | 2.5 3.8 6.7 | 110.01 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Design Effluent mg/l | 1 | 25 38 75 | 1112 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Quality #/day | 7 1 | 2.1 3.1 6.3 | 3 9 5 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 |

6. Identify significant industrial/commercial contributors:

| | 1 1 | Oper | at | tion | 1 | | | Des | sign Loa | dings | | | |
|-------------|----------|------|----|------|------------------|-------|-------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Waste | Pre- | | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | Susp. | | 1011 & | 1 | 1 |
| Contributo: | rs treat | Hrs | E | Davs | 1 | Flow | MGD | BOD | Solids | TKN | Greas | e | 1 |
| | | Day | 1 | Week | 1 | Total | Rated | 1#/day | 1º/day | 1#/day | 1ª/day | 1#/day | v #/22 |
| | 1 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | t | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | <u> </u> | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | 1 1 | | 1 | | $\left \right $ | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | 1 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | 1 1 | | I | | T | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | I | 1 |
| | 1 1 | | ł | | ł | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | 1 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | T | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | i | 1 |
| | | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

WQ 133-G (1/79)

| Date | Prepared | - |
|------|----------|---|
| Date | Revised | der biste bestellen ogenangen an en |

Project No.

Schedule H1 - Schematic Flow Diagram

Project Identity _____ Colony Village Restaurant - Amana Interchange I-80



WQ 133-H1 (1/79)

| Date | Project No. | |
|------|--|----------------|
| Dere | Schedule K2 - Aerated Pond | |
| 1 | Protect Identity Colony Village Restaurant - Amana Interchange I-80 | |
| 1 | Design Basis: AW MUN PHUN | |
| | ESIGN Basis: $AabFlow, MGD.01.015BOD, 4/Day26.026.0$ | |
| 2. | No. of soil borings taken NA. Data included in the existing lagoon | |
| | Bigh groundwater elevation (MSL) 10 feet below bottom | |
| 3. | Top of dike elevation (MSL) NA ft. 100 year flood elevation (MSL) NA ft | £ . |
| 4. | Pond Data Cell 1 Cell 2 Cell 3 Cell 4 Total | |
| | Surface area @ maximum depth (A).27.27.02.56Maximum operation depth (ft) 6.0 6.0 6.0 Minimum operation depth (ft) 5.2 5.2 5.2 Effective storage volume (MG) $.42$ $.42$ $.02$ $$ Effective detention time (days) 43 43 2 $.86$ Air Requirements:Provided (ft /#BOD) $$ $$ | - |
| | Provided (10 /#BOD) 1.5 1.5 Required (#0 /#BOD) 1.1 1.1 Minimum D.O. fevel (mg/l) 1.0 1.0 Freeboard @ maximum depth (ft) 2.0' 2.0' 2.0 Top width of dike (ft) 5.0 5.0 5.0 Inner embankment slope H/V 4.1 4.1 4.1 Outer embankment slope H/V 6" CIP CURIAIN CURTAIN | |
| | Top drawoff level (ft) $4.0'$ 4.0 | |
| | Bottom drawoff level (ft) <u>1.0 1.0</u> | |
| 5. | Aeration Equipment: Design Air Temperature -20 °F to 100 °F. | |
| | Type Diffused . Manufacturer & Model <u>Environmental Dynamics-Edi Ree</u> No. of Units <u>3</u> HP or CFM/unit <u>15 cfm</u> Total HP or CFM <u>45 cfm</u> K value <u>.06</u> /day at design temperature <u>1</u> C Is a layout of the aeration system given on Schedule H1? Yes <u>X</u> No | <u>f</u> . |
| 6. | Is cold weather protection provided? Yes Eow? Submerged | |
| 7. | Method of raw flow diversion to cells None | |
| 8. | Method of interconnection of cells Flotation curtain opening | • |
| 9. | Provision to prevent drawoff of floating solids Submerged outlet | |
| 10. | Method of sampling None - grab | |
| 11. | Type of flow measurement Water meters Location Well supply | |
| 12. | Fence Height 42" No. strands of barbed wire: Top 1 Bottom | |
| 13. | Number of warning signs () . Location | - |
| 14. | Will pond be pre-filled to 2 ft. level? Yes No Already Filled | |
| 15. | Maximum allowable leakage rate <u>NA</u> in/day. Method of testing leakage rate | |
| 17. | Are specifications included for: a. Seeding Yes No b. Soil sterilization Yes No | IA |
| | | |

.1

| 2 | 133 | - K2 | (1/ | 79) |
|---|-----|------|-----|-----|
| | | | | |

Akanad Koshy Iowa Department of Water, Air & Waste Management May 1, 1985 Page 2

- Re: Proposed Lagoon Modification Plans & Specifications Colony Village Restaurant Interchange I-80, Iowa County Project No. F-83-21
 - b) The formula for detention time determination as shown in 18C.6.2.1 is based upon the single cell concept. This we have used to determine our required 83 days. However, if this formula was used for two cells, the detention time requirement would drop to 37 days.
 - c) For a cost comparison ratio, we feel the proposed two cell system will perform as well, or even better, than other cell with baffle wall. The elimination of the baffle wall will save 130 feet of 6 ft. deep floating baffle or around \$3,000.00. The comparison ratio of proposed cost would be \$3,000/\$0 or an infinite cost ratio.
 - d) We would also wish to note that the existing lagoon is currently loaded at an estimated 6,450 gpd and 21.5 lbs. The noted addition will only add 3,375 gpd and 4.5 lbs. of BOD. For the small additional loading (20%) we are adding aeration for the total load.
- For the aeration system, we have proposed to use a floating surface aerator - Air-O-Lator. The unit will be 1.5 HP which will provide 4.5 lbs. oxygen/hour at standard conditions. For our Preliminary Report, only 2.6 lbs/hour are required. Therefore, ample oxygen will be provided to handle any diurnal loadings.

As noted we plan to provide one installed aerator. To meet the reliability requirements we propose to purchase a spare motor and propeller which are the only two components of the aerator which would fail. We would estimate that either the spare motor or propeller could be replaced in less than 8 hours. Which is a minimal time when we have 83 days detention.

As also shown we have provided for warning sign on all four sides of the lagoon, and have added an extension modification to the outlet to raise the depth up to the 6.0 foot level.

Howard R. Green Company consulting Engineers Akanad Koshy Iowa Department of Water, Air & Waste Management May 1, 1985 Page 3

Re: Proposed Lagoon Modification Plans & Specifications Colony Village Restaurant Interchange I-80, Iowa County Project No. F-83-21

As mentioned in our previous correspondence, the Owner plans to monitor raw flow with flow meters on the well supply.

We have also modified the Schedules K2 and H1, to reflect the above changes proposed, and would appreciate your earliest review and approval of the variance request and the plans and specifications.

Very truly yours,

Ry Fuler

Robert A. Frederick, P.E.

mp enclosures

cc: Beltaine Assoc.

Howard R. Green Company consulting engineers