

Iowa Department of Natural Resources
Underground Storage Tank Section
502 East 9th Street
Des Moines, IA 50319-0034

Secondary Containment Testing Report Form

This form is intended for use by UST Professionals performing periodic testing of UST secondary containment systems. Secondary containment systems must be liquid tight and must be inspected and tested every two years [567-135.3(9)"g"]. Use the appropriate pages of this form to report results for all components tested. The completed form, written test procedures, and printouts from tests (if applicable), should be provided to the facility owner/operator and available for review by DNR and compliance inspectors.

1. FACILITY INFORMATION																		
												Degistration N						
Facility Name: Contact:											Phone:	Registration N	0.					
Address:											Phone.	•						
City:											State:		7	IP:				
											State.			ır.				
2. TESTING CONTRACTOR INFO	RMA	ATI	ON									<u> </u>						
Company Name:												Date of Testin	g:					
Technician Conducting Test:								-										
License Type:									Lic	ense N	umber:							
MANUFACTURER TRAINING	_																	
Manufacturer	Со	m	pone	ent(s)									Dat	te Trai	ning	g Expires	,	
3. SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS	S																	
Component		P:	ass	Fail		Not		Repa		Compo	nent		Pass	Fai	ı	Not		pairs
Component						Tested		Mad	e 1	Compo	, inclie		1 033			Tested	N	lade
			<u> </u>						<u> </u>				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1		 	
		L				Ц_								<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Ļļ	
		L																
		Ī				\Box									ĪĪ			
		Ī													it	П	Ť	
		Ť	=			Ħ							Ħ	1	it	П	Ħ	=
		Ť	1	Ħ		Ħ		Ħ	<u> </u>					╽┝	it	Ħ	Ħ	=
	-	┢	=	┢		$\overline{\Box}$			<u> </u> 				H	╁	it	$\overline{\Box}$	Ħ	ᆿ
		╁	\dashv	⊨		-		\vdash	<u> </u>				\dashv	╁┝	<u> </u>		+	\dashv
		L		L		Ш										Ш		
If hydrostatic testing was per	forn	ne	d, de	escrib	e v	vhat wa	s do	one v	with	the wa	iter after	completion of te	sts:					
CEI	RTIF	IC	ATIC	N OI	TE	CHNICI	AN	RES	POI	NSIBLE I	OR CON	DUCTING THIS TE	STING	;				
To the best of my knowledge, the facts stated in this document are accurate and in full compliance with legal requirements																		
Technician's Signature:												Date:						

4. TANK ANNULAR TESTING				
Test Method Developed By:	Tank Manufactu	rer Industry	Profession	nal Engineer
	Other (Specify):			
Test Method Used:	Pressure	Vacuum	Hydrosta	ıtic
Test Foreigns and Heads	Other (Specify):		Familian and Baselistics	
Test Equipment Used:			Equipment Resolution:	:
	Tank #	Tank #	Tank #	Tank #
Tank Capacity:				
Tank Material:				
Tank Manufacturer:				
Product Stored:				
Wait time between applying pressure/vacuum/water and starting test:				
Test Start Time:				
Initial Reading (R _I):				
Test End Time:				
Final Reading (R _F):				
Test Duration:				
Change in Reading (R _F -R _I):				
Pass/Fail Threshold or Criteria:				
TEST RESULTS:				
Was sensor removed for testing?	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA
Was sensor properly replaced and verified functional after testing?	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA
COMMENTS— (include information of	on repairs made prior to	testing, and recommend	ed follow-up for failed te	ests)

5. SECONDARY PIPE TESTING							
Test Method Developed By:	Piping Manufa		Industry Standard Professional Engineer				
	☐ Other (Specify): ☐ Pressure ☐ Vacuum ☐ Hydrostatic						
Test Method Used:	Pressure	☐ Hyd	rostatic				
	Other (Specify	<i>ı</i>):					
Test Equipment Used:		Equipment Resolution:					
	Piping Run #	Piping Run #	Piping Run #	Piping Run #			
Piping Material:							
Piping Manufacturer:							
Piping Diameter:							
Length of Piping Run:							
Product Stored:							
Method and location of							
piping-run isolation:							
Wait time between applying pressure/vacuum/water and							
starting test:							
Test Start Time:							
Initial Reading (R _I):							
Test End Time:							
Final Reading (R _F):							
Test Duration:							
Change in Reading (R _F -R _I):							
Pass/Fail Threshold or							
Criteria:							
TEST RESULTS:	Pass Fail	Pass Fail	Pass Fail	Pass Fail			
COMMENTS — (include informa	tion on repairs made prior	r to testing, and recomme	ended follow-up for failed	d tests)			

6. PIPING SUMP TESTING					
Test Method Developed By:	Sump Manufactur	er Industry Sta	ndard Professi	ional Engineer	
	Other (Specify):				
Test Method Used:	Pressure	☐ Vacuum	Hydrost	atic	
	Other (Specify):				
Test Equipment Used:			Equipment Resolution:		
	Sump #	Sump #	Sump #	Sump #	
Sump Diameter:					
Sump Depth:					
Sump Material:					
Height from Tank Top to Top of Highest Piping Penetration:					
Height from Tank Top to Lowest					
Electrical Penetration:					
Condition of sump prior to testing:					
Does turbine shut down when					
sump sensor detects liquid (both product and water)?*	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	
Turbine shutdown response time					
Wait time between applying					
pressure/vacuum/water and					
starting test:					
Test Start Time:					
Initial Reading (R _I):					
Test End Time:					
Final Reading (R _F):					
Test Duration:					
Change in Reading (R _F -R _I):					
Pass/Fail Threshold or Criteria:					
TEST RESULTS:			_		
Is there a liquid phase sensor in the sump?	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	
Does the sensor alarm when					
either product or water is detected?	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	
Is the alarm operational?	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	
Is the sensor located in the lowest part of the sump?	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	
Was sensor removed for testing?	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	
Was sensor properly replaced and verified functional after testing?	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	
COMMENTS — (include information on repairs made prior to testing, and recommended follow-up for failed tests)					
		<i>y,</i>			

7. Under-Dispenser Containment (UDC) Testing					
Test Method Developed By:	UDC Manufacture	r Industry St	andard Profess	ional Engineer	
	Other (Specify):				
Test Method Used:	Pressure	Vacuum	Hydrost	tatic	
	Other (Specify):				
Test Equipment Used:			Equipment Resolution:		
- Cost Equipment Costs			<u> </u>		
112011	UDC#	UDC #	UDC#	UDC#	
UDC Manufacturer:					
UDC Material:					
UDC Depth:					
Height from UDC Bottom to Top of					
Highest Piping Penetration:					
Height from UDC Bottom to Lowest Electrical Penetration:					
Condition of UDC prior to testing: Does turbine shut down when					
		Yes No NA			
UDC sensor detects liquid (both	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	
product and water)?					
Turbine shutdown response time					
Wait time between applying					
pressure/vacuum/water and					
starting test					
Test Start Time:					
Initial Reading (R _I):					
Test End Time:					
Final Reading (R _F):					
Test Duration:					
Change in Reading (R _F -R _I):					
Pass/Fail Threshold or Criteria:					
TEST RESULTS:					
Is there a sensor in the sump?	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	
Does the sensor alarm when					
either product or water is	Yes No NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	Yes No NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	
detected?				 	
Is the alarm operational?	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	
Is the sensor located in the lowest part of the sump?	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	
Was sensor removed for testing?	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	
Was sensor properly replaced and	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	
verified functional after testing?					
COMMENTS — (include information of	on repairs made prior to t	testing, and recommend	ed follow-up for failed te	sts)	

8. TANK TOP SUMP TESTING	8. TANK TOP SUMP TESTING					
Facility is Not Equipped With Fill Ri	ser Containment Sumps					
Fill Riser Containment Sumps are P	resent, but were Not Tes	sted 🗌				
Test Method Developed By:	Sump Manufacturer Industry Standard Professional Engineer					
	Other (Specify):					
Test Method Used:	Pressure	☐ Vacuum	Hydrosta	ntic		
	Other (Specify):					
Test Equipment Used:			Equipment Resolution:			
	Fill Sump #	Fill Sump #	Fill Sump #	Fill Sump #		
Sump Diameter:	riii Suilip #	riii Suilip #	riii Suilip #	riii Suilip #		
Sump Depth:						
Height from Tank Top to Top of						
Highest Piping Penetration:						
Height from Tank Top to Lowest						
Electrical Penetration:						
Condition of sump prior to						
testing:						
Sump Material:						
Wait time between applying						
pressure/vacuum/water and starting test:						
Test Start Time:						
Initial Reading (R _I):						
Test End Time:						
Final Reading (R _F):						
Test Duration:						
Change in Reading (R _F -R _I):						
Pass/Fail Threshold or Criteria:						
TEST RESULTS:						
Is there a sensor in the sump?	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA		
Does the sensor alarm when						
either product or water is	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA		
detected?						
Was sensor removed for	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA		
testing?						
Was sensor properly replaced						
and verified functional after	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA	Yes No NA	Yes No NA	☐Yes ☐No ☐NA		
testing?						
COMMENTS — (include information	on repairs made prior to	testing, and recommen	ded follow-up for failed	tests)		

9. SPILL BUCKET TESTING								
This form is intended for use by contractors performing testing of UST spill containment structures. These structures must be liquid tight. The completed form should be provided to the facility owner/operator and available for review by DNR and compliance inspectors.								
FACILITY INFORMATION	FACILITY INFORMATION							
Facility Name:	Facility Name: Date of Testing:							
Facility Address:								
Facility Contact:		Phon	e:					
Date Local Agency Was Notif	fied of Testing :							
Name of Local Agency Inspec	ctor (if present during testii	ng):						
TESTING CONTRACTOR INFOR	MATION							
Company Name:	MATION							
Technician Conducting Test:								
Credentials: CSLB Con	tractor ICC Service	Tech. SWRCB Tank T	ester Other (Specify	<i>'</i>)				
License Number(s):				,				
License (vamser(s).								
SPILL BUCKET TESTING INFORM	MATION							
Test Method Used:	Hydrostatic	☐ Vacuum	Other (Specify):					
Test Equipment Used:			Equipment Resolution:					
Identify Spill Bucket (By								
Tank Number, Stored	TANK 1	TANK 2	TANK 3	TANK 4				
Product, etc.)								
Bucket Installation Type:	☐ Direct Bury ☐ Contained in Sump							
Bucket Diameter:								
Bucket Depth:								
Wait time between								
applying vacuum/water								
and start of test:								
Test Start Time (T _I):								
Initial Reading (R _I):								
Test End Time (T _F):								
Final Reading (R _F):								
Test Duration $(T_F - T_I)$:								
Change in Reading (R _F - R _I):								
Pass/Fail Threshold or								
Criteria:								
TEST RESULTS:	Pass Fail	Pass Fail	Pass Fail	Pass Fail				
COMMENTS — (include inform	nation on repairs made pric	or to testing, and recomme	nded follow-up for failed to	ests)				
CERTIFICATION OF TECHNICIAN RESPONSIBLE FOR CONDUCTING THIS TESTING To the best of my knowledge, the facts stated in this document are accurate and in full compliance with legal requirements								
Technician's Signature:			Date:					

10. RESULTS	10. RESULTS OF VACUUM / PRESSURE MONITORING EQUIPMENT TESTING (CONTINUOUS INTERSTITIAL LINE / TANK MONITORING METHOD)					
, ,	This page should be used to document testing and servicing of vacuum and pressure interstitial sensors. Interstitial sensing devices					
	ed at least every two years for proper function [567 $-$ 135.3(9)"g"(2)]. A copy of this form must be provided to the tank er/operator. This form must be available for review by DNR and compliance inspectors.					
Manufacture						
SENSOR ID	уулан түүл шин түүл түүл түүл түүл түү түү түү түү түү					
	Component(s) Monitored by this Sensor:					
	Sensor Functionality Test Result: Pass Fail Interstitial Communication Test Result: Pass Fail					
	Component(s) Monitored by this Sensor:					
	Sensor Functionality Test Result: Pass Fail Interstitial Communication Test Result: Pass Fail					
	Component(s) Monitored by this Sensor:					
	Sensor Functionality Test Result: Pass Fail Interstitial Communication Test Result: Pass Fail					
	Component(s) Monitored by this Sensor:					
	Sensor Functionality Test Result: Pass Fail Interstitial Communication Test Result: Pass Fail					
	Component(s) Monitored by this Sensor:					
	Sensor Functionality Test Result: Pass Fail Interstitial Communication Test Result: Pass Fail					
	Component(s) Monitored by this Sensor:					
	Sensor Functionality Test Result: Pass Fail Interstitial Communication Test Result: Pass Fail					
	Component(s) Monitored by this Sensor:					
	Sensor Functionality Test Result: Pass Fail Interstitial Communication Test Result: Pass Fail					
	Component(s) Monitored by this Sensor:					
	Sensor Functionality Test Result: Pass Fail Interstitial Communication Test Result: Pass Fail					
	Component(s) Monitored by this Sensor:					
	Sensor Functionality Test Result: Pass Fail Interstitial Communication Test Result: Pass Fail					
	Component(s) Monitored by this Sensor:					
	Sensor Functionality Test Result: Pass Fail Interstitial Communication Test Result: Pass Fail					
	terstitial communication verified?					
Leak Intro	oduced at Far End of Interstitial Space Gauge Visual Inspection Other (Describe Comments Below)					
Vacuum was	s restored to operating levels in all interstitial spaces: Yes No (If no, describe in comments below)					
COMMENTS:						

NOTE: If the sensor successfully detects a simulated vacuum/pressure leak introduced in the interstitial space at the farthest point from the sensor, vacuum/pressure has been demonstrated to be communicating throughout the interstitial space.