# I WA DNR.

# **Exhibit 9A**

# Iowa Department of Natural Resources Wastewater Engineering Section

# **Preliminary Review of Antidegradation Alternatives Analysis**

For situations where a DNR construction permit will be required for construction, installation or modification of a disposal system, this document is intended to supplement the Iowa Wastewater Facilities Design Standards to satisfy the requirements of the Iowa Antidegradation Implementation Procedure (Iowa AIP). When a DNR construction permit will not be required, this document may be used as guidance in development of an alternatives analysis to demonstrate compliance with Iowa's antidegradation policy (567 IAC 61.2(2)). Where antidegradation applies and construction is required, DNR-approval of the antidegradation alternatives analysis is required prior to submittal of a facility plan.

1.	. Is the preferred alternative a non-degrading alternative as defined in the Iowa AIP and agreed to by the DNR? If "yes", the remainder of this checklist does not need to be completed.									
2.	☐ Has the alternatives analysis been dated and certified by an engineer licensed to practice within the State of lowa?									
3.	Is an executive summary of the alternatives analysis provided including descriptions of the purpose(s) of the project and/or analysis, a summary of the results of the analysis and identification of the preferred alternative?									
4.	<ul> <li>☐ Have the public notification and intergovernmental coordination and review requirements as described in Sections 4.1 &amp; 4.2 of the Iowa AIP been fulfilled?</li> <li>☐ Public notice with 30-days notification and proof of publication</li> <li>☐ Public notice copied to applicable agencies (include date):</li> </ul>									
		Required Agencies	Date							
		EPA Region VII								
		US Fish & Wildlife Service								
		Iowa Environmental Council								
		Environmental Law & Policy Center								
		Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska								
		Sac and Fox Tribe of the Mississippi in Iowa								
		Iowa DNR Field Office								
		County Environmental Health Department								
		Other Agencies (where applicable)	Date							
		Iowa League of Cities (municipal projects only)								
		Other state whose waters may be affected								
		Industrial contributors								
		Other applicable agencies								
Summary of comments received and responsiveness summary included?										
5.	Are the existing and design wastewater flows and loadings for the planning period identified?									
6	Are the receivin	g stream network use designations and impairment	status identified?							

11/2023 cmc DNR Form 542-0109

7.		quali	ity crit	•	allocations and	l proposed efflue any applicable ap	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ed numeric water ions) for all	
8.		Are a	all poll	utants of conce	rn including the	assigned Tier pro	otection level fo	r each POC ident	rified?	
9.		Alter	native	s and estimated	d present worth	values:				
			Alt.		Description		Present Worth Value			
			1.				\$			
			2.				\$			
			3.				\$			
			4.				\$			
			5.				\$			
			6.				\$			
			7.				\$			
			8.				\$			
10. Were present worth values for all practicable alternatives developed using the same discount rate?  Discount Rate Used  11. Classification and reasonableness of alternatives evaluated:										
			Alt. B	BPCA, NDA			ive Reasonable?	· 	_	
			No.	or LDA?	Practicable?	Economically Efficient?	Affordable?	Reasonable?		
			1.							
			2.						_	
			3.						_	
		-	<u>4.</u>						-	
		-	5.						$\dashv$	
		-	6. 7.						-	
		-	8.						-	
12		L		nalysis include	a description an	d schematic of ea	ach alternative e	naluated?	_	
13		Does	the a	-					harging alternative	
14	. 🔲	Prefe	rred A	lternative:						
15	. 🔲	Is the	e prefe	erred alternativ	e the least degr	ading reasonable	alternative?			
16	. 🔲	affor	dabilit	y determinatio	oe practicable a n explained and lysis worksheet		efficient but not	affordable, is th	e basis for the	
17	. 🔲	Is a c		stration of the	projects' Social	and Economic Im	portance (SEI) ir	ncluded within th	ne alternatives	

11/2023 cmc DNR Form 542-0109

#### **Definitions**

- "Affordability" is an evaluation of the applicant's ability to pay for a given alternative as described in Section 3.2 of the Iowa AIP. Alternatives identified as practicable and economically efficient are considered affordable if the applicant does not provide an affordability analysis.
- "Base Pollution Control Alternative" or "BPCA" means the most cost-effective alternative necessary to meet the more stringent of technology-based state/federal effluent guidelines or water quality-based limits.
- "Detailed Evaluation" or "Evaluated in Detail" as used in this document means an analysis of a pollution control alternative in terms of its practicability (including anticipated treatment/pollutant removal capability vs. anticipated effluent limitations, if applicable), economic efficiency and affordability.
- "Economic Efficiency" is an evaluation of pollution control costs as described in Section 3.2 of the Iowa AIP.
- "Practicability" is the evaluation of a given alternative's effectiveness, reliability and potential environmental impacts as described in Section 3.2 of the Iowa AIP.
- "Reasonable" means practicable, economically efficient and affordable.
- "Screening Analysis" as used in this document means analysis of multiple pollution control alternatives that may include their practicability (including anticipated treatment/pollutant removal capability vs. anticipated effluent limitations, if applicable), economic efficiency, and affordability. If the alternative is found not to be practicable, the analysis may exclude determinations of economic efficiency and affordability. Likewise, if the alternative is found to be practicable but not economically efficient, the analysis may exclude determination of affordability.

#### **Acronyms**

- BPCA: The Base Pollution Control Alternative as defined above
- LDA: Less-Degrading Alternative as defined in the Iowa AIP
- NDA: Non-Degrading Alternative as defined in the Iowa AIP
- POC: Pollutants of Concern as defined in the Iowa AIP
- SEI: Social and Economic Importance as defined in the Iowa AIP

#### **Alternatives Considered**

Alternatives including the BPCA, NDA, and LDA must be considered in the alternatives analysis.

- 1. The alternatives analysis must identify and include a detailed evaluation of the BPCA.
- 2. The alternatives analysis must include a screening analysis of NDAs as described in Section 3 of the Iowa AIP.
  - a. All potentially practicable NDAs should be considered in the screening analysis. The analysis must clearly demonstrate that the NDAs are not reasonable for the department to consider allowing degradation to result from the proposed new or expanded discharge.
  - b. The applicant should be aware that further evaluation of any NDAs not evaluated within the alternatives analysis may be required as the result of DNR review.
- 3. The alternatives analysis must include screening analysis of LDAs as described in Section 3 of the Iowa AIP.
  - a. The screening analysis must explain how each LDA evaluated would reduce POC loading(s) to the receiving stream below levels that would be provided by the BPCA.
  - b. If more than one LDA is found to be reasonable, the alternative that results in the least degradation will be department's preferred alternative.
  - c. The applicant should be aware that further evaluation of any LDAs not evaluated in detail within the alternatives analysis may be required as the result of DNR review.

## **Practicability, Economic Efficiency and Affordability**

The practicability of each alternative shall be evaluated in the alternatives analysis.

- 1. Potential factors affecting the practicability of any given pollution control method are generally described in Section 3.2 of the lowa AIP. Factors that may affect the practicability of a given alternative that are not enumerated in the lowa AIP must be clearly explained in the alternatives analysis and will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.
- 2. For alternatives found to be practicable, the economic efficiency shall be evaluated in terms of cost comparison as described in Section 3.2 of the Iowa AIP.
- 3. For alternatives found to be both practicable and economically efficient, the affordability should be evaluated as described in Section 3.2 of the lowa AIP. If affordability is not evaluated for an alternative that is found to be both practicable and economically efficient, it will be assumed to be affordable.

### **Social and Economic Importance**

Where the preferred alternative (the least degrading alternative that is reasonable) consists of the BPCA or an LDA, the applicant must demonstrate the SEI of the project as described in Section 4.1 of the lowa AIP.

11/2023 cmc DNR Form 542-0109