Iowa Toxics Sampling 2014

Results for Benzene, Acetaldehyde, and Formaldehyde



Table of Contents

Summary	
Scope	3
Sampling Schedules	3
Data Capture	3
Data Handling	4
Precision Data	4
Results of the Analysis	4
References	6
Air Toxics Monitoring Network 2014	7
Iowa 2014 Air Toxics Monitoring Network	8
Clinton Toxics Monitoring Site	9
Cedar Rapids Toxics Monitoring Site	
Muscatine Toxics Monitoring Site	11
Des Moines Toxics Monitoring Site	
Davenport Toxics Monitoring Site	13
Excess Cancer Risk per Million People, Aldehydes – 2014	14
Excess Cancer Risk per Million People, Aldehydes – 2014	
Concentration Summary – Aldehydes (ppb)	
Excess Cancer Risk* per Million People, Benzene – 2014	
Excess Cancer Risk per Million People, Benzene – 2014	
Concentration Summary – Benzene (ppb)	
Percent Data Capture	20
Annual Toxics Precision Statistics	21
Formaldehyde Concentrations 2014	
Acetaldehyde Concentrations 2014	23
Benzene Concentrations 2014	24
Raw Data – Formaldehyde Concentration (ppb)	25
Raw Data – Acetaldehyde Concentration (ppb)	26
Raw Data – Benzene Concentration (ppb)	27
Appendix A. Precision Calculations	

Summary

Scope

This report has been edited from its previous iteration to incorporate the corrected benzene data. A description of what transpired is included in the *Data Handling* section of this summary.

Section 112 of the Clean Air Act [1] contains the federal strategy for protecting the public from air toxics emissions. The Act specifies a particular list of air toxics called "hazardous air pollutants" (HAPs) for regulatory action [2]. Emitters of large amounts of these HAPs are subject to regulations that require adoption of work practices or installation of control technologies in order to reduce HAP emissions [3]. The Act requires a periodic assessment of the residual health risk posed by the HAPs [4] and adoption of additional control standards where necessary [5].

In order to establish long term trends in HAP concentrations across the nation as a component of its residual risk assessment, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has funded national air toxics trends stations (NATTS) [6]. These sites contain a standard suite of samplers and analytical protocols [7]. Unlike NATTS sites, Iowa's air toxics sites do not have instrumentation to measure toxic metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, or black carbon.

A review of the historical air toxics monitoring dataset [8] argues that benzene, formaldehyde, 1,3-butadiene, acrolein, arsenic, hexavalent chromium, and diesel particulate pose the greatest risk to the public health on a national level. Only two of the seven national risk drivers are discussed in this report, and only four are quantified by the limited air toxics sampling currently conducted in lowa.

Sampling Schedules

Samples were gathered on a nominal schedule of one sample every twelfth day. In calculations of average pollutant levels and cancer risks, samples collected on a more frequent schedule were averaged over the twelve day period between scheduled samples to estimate a one in twelve sampling schedule and avoid introduction of bias to the data. The monitoring schedule for formaldehyde and acetaldehyde was accelerated to one in six days during ozone season (April through October).

Data Capture

For the purpose of this report, we define a valid twelve-day average as an average constructed from one or more samples collected during the scheduled twelve-day sampling period. The data capture rate is defined as the ratio of the number of valid twelve-day averages divided by the number of scheduled twelve-day periods in the year (30). EPA data analysis guidelines usually require 75% data completeness across each sampling quarter.

Several sites failed to meet quarterly completeness guidelines for benzene. Des Moines, Health Dept. failed to meeting requirements in quarter 2 (63% each). In quarter 3 Cedar Rapids, Public Health and Davenport, Jefferson School failed to meet the completeness requirement (71% each).

Data Handling

This report characterizes only the cancer risk associated with exposure to the toxic contaminants measured, and does not quantify other "non-cancer" risks such as neurological or reproductive damage associated with the measured exposure levels. The cancer risk associated with a given exposure level was quantified only when an Air Unit Cancer Risk was available in EPA's Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) database. Pollutants were selected for inclusion in this report based on the screening criterion that the excess cancer risk resulting from a lifetime exposure to the average contaminant concentration was greater than the EPA benchmark of one in a million. When calculating the cancer risks and annual summary statistics for the selected pollutants, reported data values less than the method detection limit (MDL) are replaced with data values equal to half the MDL. No sites reported concentrations under the MDL in 2014.

Data from May 23, 2014 through December 25, 2014 was affected by a lab issue and should be considered as estimates.

Precision Data

Precision data are reported for the total number of collocated pairs of canisters or cartridges collected. Precision statistics shown in this report have been calculated according to 40 CFR Part 58, Appendix A (2006) using the methodology applicable to collocated fine particulate data pairs. The formulas are reproduced in Appendix A.

Results of the Analysis

Formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, and benzene concentrations were measured at levels above the EPA benchmark at all Iowa sites. Formaldehyde levels measured during the study period are associated with a much higher cancer risk than any other pollutant measured in this study.

IRIS specifies different levels of certainty associated with its cancer risk factors. Benzene is classified as a known human carcinogen (Class A). Formaldehyde is a Class B1 carcinogen, and acetaldehyde is classified as a Class B2 carcinogen. Class B contains probable human carcinogens; Class B1 pollutants are associated with limited evidence of carcinogenicity in humans but sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animals, whereas a B2 classification indicates only sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animals. [9].

A primary contaminant is directly emitted into the ambient air from its source. A secondary contaminant is formed from a chemical reaction of other contaminants already present in the atmosphere from natural or anthropogenic sources.

Benzene is a primary contaminant, with emissions largely attributed to vehicular traffic. Formaldehyde and acetaldehyde are both primary and secondary contaminants. Motor vehicle emissions contribute to primary emissions by incomplete combustion of fuel; secondary formation results from photochemical oxidation of exhaust pipe pollutants. Secondary formation of these pollutants is enhanced in the summertime due to suitable weather conditions such as higher temperature and greater hours of sunlight. Formaldehyde is also produced in large quantities by natural events such as forest or brush fires [10]. In interpreting the results of the risk assessment contained in this type of report, EPA has encouraged States to compare the risks caused by toxic outdoor air pollution to other risks experienced in everyday life. The highest excess lifetime cancer risk identified in this report is approximately three excess cancers per 100,000 people (3 x 10^{-5}), associated with average formaldehyde levels at the urban Des Moines monitoring site and source-oriented site in Clinton. For comparison, according to the 2014 edition of Injury Facts published by the National Safety Council, the lifetime risk of dying in a motor vehicle accident as 8.9 x 10⁻³, or approximately 280 times higher. The lifetime risk of being killed by lightning is 7.4 x 10⁻⁶, or approximately 4.3 times lower than developing cancer at this level of formaldehyde exposure [11].

References

- 1. Federal rules regulating air toxics: <u>http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/eparules.html</u>
- 2. Current list of HAPs and their health effects: http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/hlthef/hapindex.html
- 3. EPA regulations limiting HAPs emissions: <u>http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/mactfnlalph.html</u>
- 4. EAP's latest national assessment of health risks due to HAPs: <u>http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/natamain/</u>
- 5. Residual risk assessments: <u>http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/rrisk/rtrpg.html</u>
- Current list of NATTS sites: <u>http://www.epa.gov/ttnamti1/files/ambient/airtox/nattsite.pdf</u>
- 7. Sampling protocol used to operate NATTS sites: <u>http://www.epa.gov/ttn/amtic/files/ambient/airtox/NATTS_Model_QAPP.pdf</u>
- 8. Historical review of air toxics monitoring data: http://www.ladco.org/reports/toxics/sti/
- 9. Integrated Risk Information System: <u>http://www.epa.gov/iris</u>
- Reinhardt TE, Ottmar RD. "Baseline Measurements of Smoke Exposure Among Wildland Firefighters." Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene 2004 Sep; 1 (9):593-606. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed?term=Baseline%20Measurements%20of%20Smo

ke%20Exposure%20Among%20Wildland

11. Mortality Odds: <u>http://www.nsc.org/learn/safety-knowledge/Pages/injury-facts-</u> <u>chart.aspx</u>

Air Toxics Monitoring Network 2014						
Site ID	Site Label	City	Address	County		
190450019	Clinton, Chancy Park	Clinton	23 rd & Camanche	Clinton		
191130040	Cedar Rapids, Public Health	Cedar Rapids	500 11 th St. NW	Linn		
191390020	Muscatine, Musser Park	Muscatine	Oregon St. & Earl Ave.	Muscatine		
191530030	Des Moines, Health Dept.	Des Moines	1907 Carpenter Ave.	Polk		
191630015	Davenport, Jefferson School	Davenport	10 th St. & Vine St.	Scott		

Iowa 2014 Air Toxics Monitoring Network













Excess Cancer Risk per Million People, Aldehydes – 2014

Site / Pollutant	Clinton, Chancy Park	Cedar Rapids, Public Health	Muscatine, Musser Park	Des Moines, Public Health	Davenport, Jefferson School
Formaldehyde	29 (±2)	25 (±3)	25 (±3)	32 (±5)	25 (±5)
Acetaldehyde	7.5 (±1.7)	3.3 (±0.5)	6.6 (±1.6)	3.2 (±0.3)	2.7 (±0.4)

Values listed in parentheses represent the 95% Confidence Interval.



Excess Cancer Risk per Million People, Aldehydes – 2014

Concentration Summary – Aldehydes (ppb)

Site / Pollutant	Clinton, Chancy Park	Cedar Rapids, Public Health	Muscatine, Musser Park	Des Moines, Public Health	Davenport, Jefferson School
Formaldehyde	1.8 (±0.1)	1.6 (±0.2)	1.6 (±0.2)	2.0 (±0.3)	1.6 (±0.3)
Acetaldehyde	1.9 (±0.4)	0.8 (±0.1)	1.7 (±0.4)	0.8 (±0.1)	0.7 (±0.1)

Notes: Values indicated are the average concentrations in parts per billion measured at each site in 2013. Data from enhanced summer monitoring at the site were averaged to prevent seasonal bias. Values listed in parentheses represent the 95% Confidence Interval for the mean.

Excess Cancer Risk* per Million People, Benzene - 2014

Site / Pollutant	Cedar Rapids,	Des Moines,	Davenport,
	Public Health	Public Health	Jefferson School
Benzene	4.6 (±0.6)	5.1 (±0.9)	5.1 (±0.9)

*IRIS lists two cancer risk estimates for Benzene, and the higher risk estimate is used for the statistics in this report. Values listed in parentheses represent the 95% Confidence Interval.

Excess Cancer Risk per Million People, Benzene – 2014



Concentration Summary – Benzene (ppb)

Site / Pollutant	Cedar Rapids,	Des Moines,	Davenport,
	Public Health	Public Health	Jefferson School
Benzene	0.18 (±0.03)	0.21 (±0.03)	0.21 (±0.04)

Notes: Values indicated are the average concentrations in parts per billion measured at each site in 2014. Values listed in parentheses represent the 95% Confidence Interval for the mean.

Percent Data Capture

Site / Pollutant	Clinton, Chancy Park	Cedar Rapids, Public Health	Muscatine, Musser Park	Des Moines, Public Health	Davenport, Jefferson School
Formaldehyde	97%	100%	93%	97%	97%
Acetaldehyde	97%	100%	93%	97%	97%
Benzene	-	87%*	-	80%*	77%*

Note: Values indicated represent the number of valid samples taken relative to the scheduled number of samples at each site in 2014.

*Benzene failed to meet quarterly completeness (75%) during quarter 2 at Des Moines.

Benzene failed to meet quarterly completeness at Cedar Rapids, Des Moines and Davenport during quarter 3.

Annual Toxics Precision Statistics

Statistic / Pollutant	Number of	Coefficient of	Lower 90%	Upper 90%
	Pairs	Variation	Confidence Limit	Confidence Limit
Formaldehyde	64	3.25%	2.84%	3.80%
Acetaldehyde	64	3.30%	2.89%	3.87%
Benzene	28	13.0%	10.7%	16.7%

Notes: Statistic generated from collocated sample pairs. Coefficient of variation and confidence limits were calculated according to 2006 methods in Appendix A.



Formaldehyde Concentrations 2014



Table of Contents



	Raw Data -		-		נטן
Date	Clinton, Chancy	Cedar Rapids,	Muscatine,	Des Moines,	Davenport,
	Park	Public Health	Musser Park	Health Dept.	Jefferson School
1/11/14	1.4	1.2		1.1	
1/23/14	1.8	0.97	0.96	0.91	0.62
2/4/14	1.8	1.7	1.2	1.6	1.2
2/10/14			1.2		0.81
2/16/14	2.2	2.2	1.6		1.3
2/28/14	1.7	1.4	1.2	2.8	1.1
3/12/14	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.5	0.63
3/24/14	1.7	1.1	1	2.3	0.75
3/30/14				3.7	
4/5/14	1.7	1.5	1.5	2.4	1.3
4/11/14	2.7	2.7	2.2	4	1.7
4/17/14	1.8	1.4	1.7	2.5	1.1
4/23/14	1.7	1.6	1.6	2.3	1.2
4/29/14	0.99	0.85	1	1.1	0.7
5/5/14	1.8	1.3	1.7	2.7	1.2
5/11/14	2.2	1.7	2.1		1.8
5/17/14	1.8		1.8	2	1.1
5/23/14	2	1.9	2.1	3.1	1.8
5/29/14	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.9	2
6/1/14				2.5	
6/4/14	2	1.4	1.7	2	1.5
6/10/14	2	2.2	1.9	2.8	1.8
6/16/14	2.9		2.8	2.9	2.8
6/19/14		1.7			
6/22/14	1.6	1.3	1.4	2.3	1.9
6/28/14	2.1	2.3	2.1	2.3	2.3
7/4/14	1.7	1.9	1.7	2.6	2.4
7/10/14	2.1	2.2	1.7	3	2.3
7/13/14	2.1	1.7	1.7		2.5
7/16/14	1.4	1.7	1.4	2.1	1.4
7/22/14	3.7	4.3	3.6	3.5	3.8
7/28/14	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.5	1.3
8/3/14	2.6	2.9	1.2	2.8	2.2
8/9/14	2.5	2.7	2	2.3	2.2
8/12/14	2.5	2.7	1.3	2.5	2.2
8/15/14	2	2.2	1.7	1.8	1.7
8/21/14	2.3	2.4	1.6	3.3	2.5
8/27/14	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.1	2.1
9/2/14	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.9	1.5
9/8/14	2	1.4	2	2.2	1.5
9/14/14	1.8	1.9	1.4	1.5	1.8
9/20/14	2.4	1.8	1.4	1.3	2.3
9/26/14	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.8	2.3
9/26/14 10/2/14	1	1.1	2.3	1.1	1.3
10/2/14	1.7	1.1	2	1.1	1.3
10/8/14	0.85	0.56	0.71	1.8	0.72
10/14/14	1.9	1.8	2.2	2.3	2.8
10/20/14	1.9	1.0	2.2	2.3	2.0
10/23/14	2.3	1.9	3.1	2.7	6
10/28/14	1.4	1.9	1.4	2.7	
10/29/14	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.7	1.2
	1./	1.5	1./		1.2
11/13/14	1.0	0.96		0.77	1 2
11/19/14	1.9	0.86	0.64	1.1	1.3
12/1/14	1.3	0.6	0.64	0.63	0.77
12/13/14	1.5	0.81	0.76	0.93	1.1
12/19/14		0.74	0.79	0.70	0.70
12/25/14	1	0.71	0.77	0.79	0.78

Raw Data – Formaldehyde Concentration (ppb)

	Null Dutu	Aletalueli	yue concen	in ación (Pr	,0)
Date	Clinton, Chancy Park	Cedar Rapids, Public Health	Muscatine, Musser Park	Des Moines, Health Dept.	Davenport, Jefferson School
1/11/14	0.72		IVIUSSEI PAIK		Jenerson School
1/11/14		0.58	0.54	0.68	0.29
1/23/14	0.66	0.51	0.54	0.46	0.38
2/4/14	1.1	1.6	0.83	0.84	0.81
2/10/14	2.1	0.07	0.63		0.52
2/16/14	2.1	0.97	0.91		0.69
2/28/14	4.7	1	0.78	0.81	0.57
3/12/14	0.71	0.63	0.56	0.59	0.42
3/24/14	0.74	0.8	0.59	0.65	0.49
3/30/14				1.1	
4/5/14	2.1	0.62	2.7	0.81	0.63
4/11/14	1.4	1.3	4	1.3	0.9
4/17/14	1.4	0.53	2	0.68	0.54
4/23/14	1.9	0.93	0.59	0.77	0.57
4/29/14	1.3	0.99	2.5	0.48	0.4
5/5/14	0.88	0.44	0.59	0.78	0.54
5/11/14	3.4	0.87	5		0.83
5/17/14	0.89		0.8	0.85	0.57
5/23/14	0.84	1.1	0.88	1.5	0.86
5/29/14	1.1	1.9	0.74	1.2	0.77
6/1/14				0.91	
6/4/14	1.6	0.85	0.99	0.93	0.56
6/10/14	0.73	1.9	0.69	1	0.6
6/16/14	2.2		4.3	1.1	0.85
6/19/14		0.73			
6/22/14	2.5	0.77	0.91	0.98	0.74
6/28/14	4.9	0.51	5.3	0.69	0.55
7/4/14	2.3	0.94	1.4	1.4	1.1
7/10/14	5.2	0.85	2	0.89	0.81
7/13/14		0.6			
7/16/14	0.79	0.57	0.66	1	0.5
7/22/14	1.6	1	5.7	1.4	1
7/28/14	0.57	0.45	0.49	0.56	0.41
8/3/14	1.1	0.96		0.92	0.75
8/9/14	1.2	0.94	0.69	0.97	0.8
8/12/14			0.49		
8/15/14	4.9	0.76	0.94	0.64	0.63
8/21/14	3.4	0.82	0.84	1	0.78
8/27/14	0.85	1.4	0.81	0.8	0.68
9/2/14	0.78	0.58	0.71	1.1	0.59
9/8/14	6.4	0.93	4	0.69	0.66
9/14/14	2.5	0.74	3.5	0.8	0.66
9/20/14	1.1	0.79	1.3	0.95	0.91
9/26/14		1.4	1.8	1.2	1.5
10/2/14		0.67		0.53	0.72
10/8/14	0.85	0.72	0.77	0.91	0.74
10/14/14	1.9	0.37	0.51	0.47	0.44
10/20/14	0.74	0.84	0.87	1	1.1
10/23/14	3.1				1
10/26/14	5.5	1	1.8	1.2	2
10/29/14	0.99		0.72		
11/7/14	4	0.71	7.2	0.65	0.56
11/13/14		-		0.41	
11/19/14	1.7	0.45		0.49	0.59
12/1/14	0.51	0.42	0.44	0.38	0.35
12/13/14	1.1	0.69	2.7	0.74	0.65
12/13/14		0.05	0.72	0.74	0.05
12/13/14	2.8	0.5	4.4	0.42	0.4
14/23/14	2.0	0.5	7.4	0.42	0.4

Raw Data - Acetaldehyde Concentration (ppb)

 		u delon d	
Date	Cedar Rapids,	Des Moines,	Davenport,
	Public Health	Health Dept.	Jefferson School
1/11/2014	0.245	0.161	
1/23/2014	0.111	0.127	0.095
2/4/2014	0.264	0.231	0.273
2/10/2014			0.183
2/16/2014	0.209	0.199	0.221
2/28/2014	0.206	0.230	0.238
3/12/2014	0.177		0.147
3/24/2014	0.204	0.216	0.214
3/30/2014		0.360	
4/5/2014	0.243	0.267	0.342
4/17/2014	0.203	0.208	0.182
4/29/2014	0.206	0.263	0.214
5/11/2014			
5/23/2014	0.178	0.316	0.226
6/4/2014			
6/16/2014	0.096		0.198
6/28/2014	0.103		0.092
7/4/2014	0.327	0.456	0.337
7/10/2014	0.244		0.210
7/22/2014			
8/3/2014		0.072	0.166
8/15/2014	0.121	0.130	0.113
8/21/2014	0.137	0.106	0.116
8/27/2014	0.090	0.122	0.088
9/2/2014	0.180	0.173	
9/8/2014	0.153	0.169	
9/20/2014	0.120	0.146	0.160
9/26/2014		0.220	
10/2/2014	0.171	0.267	0.266
10/8/2014	0.154	0.221	0.138
10/14/2014	0.100	0.107	0.131
10/26/2014	0.275	0.261	0.219
11/7/2014	0.216	0.178	0.189
11/19/2014	0.137	0.168	
12/1/2014	0.154	0.187	
12/7/2014			0.176
12/13/2014	0.343	0.278	0.343
12/19/2014		0.219	0.251
12/25/2014	0.191	0.202	0.406

Raw Data - Benzene Concentration (ppb)

* Data from May 23, 2014 through December 25, 2014 was affected by a lab issue and should be considered as estimates.

Appendix A. Precision Calculations

Let c_i^1 and c_i^2 and represent two concentrations from a particular monitoring location taken on the same day. If both are greater than the MDL, then they may be used to estimate the precision of the data at the sampling location as follows:

First compute the average:

$$\overline{c_i} = \frac{c_i^1 + c_i^2}{2}$$

And the mean difference:

$$d_i = \frac{c_i^1 - c_i^2}{\overline{c_i}} * 100$$

Define the coefficient of variation for the pair of samples as:

$$\mathrm{CV}_i = \frac{d_i}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Compute the root mean square of the individual coefficients of variation to determine the coefficient of variation of the data at the site for the entire year:

$$CV = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} CV_i^2}{n}}$$

Finally, compute confidence limits in the usual way:

Lower Confidence Limit = $CV \sqrt{\frac{n}{X^{-1}(0.05,n)}}$

Upper Confidence Limit = $CV\sqrt{\frac{n}{X^{-1}(0.95,n)}}$

Where X^{-1} represents the inverse of the chi-squared distribution.