## Iowa Department of Natural Resources Municipal Solid Waste Unit Construction Request Engineering Review Checklist

Sanitary Disposal Project:		Permit Number:							
Contact Person:									
Address:									
Telephone: Email:	:								
Engineer:									
Engineering Firm:									
Firm Address:									
Firm Telephone: Em	ail:								
Site Name:									
Construction Request and Cell Information	Voc	No	ΝΔ	Comment Value and/or Date					
1. Has the overall MSWLF Unit design been approved in	163	NO	NA	comment, value and/or bate					
the "Master Plan", which includes the proposed									
cell[s]? If yes, note date and doc#.									
note date and doc#.									
3. Cell name to be constructed and estimated lifespan									
(years).									
4. Expected construction start date (month/year)									
5. Expected construction finish date (month/year)									
Yards, CY)									
7. Proposed Cell Area (acres)									
8. Proposed Cell Area (acres)									
9. Proposed Cell Waste Fill Volume (Cubic Yards, CY)									
Siting	Yes	No	NA	Comment, Value and/or Date					
<ol> <li>Each construction request triggers an update of the following: Please note date and Doc# for siting related</li> </ol>									
to this construction request.									
a. 113.6(2) "a" Airports									
b. 113.6(2) "b" Floodplains									
c. 113.6(2) "c" Wetlands									
d. 113.6(2) "d" Fault Areas									
e. 113.6(2) "e" Seismic Impact Zones									
f. 113.6(2) "f" Unstable Areas									
g. 113.6(2) "g" Threatened or Endangered Flora and Fauna									
h. 113.6(2) "h" Cultural Resources									
i. 113.6(2) "i" Separation from Groundwater									
j. 113.6(2) "j" Wells and Community Water Systems									

Siting		Yes	No	NA	Comment, Value and/or Date
k.	113.6(2) "k" Property Line Setback				
I.	113.6(2) "I" Housing and Sensitive Populations				

De	Design Content Template		Yes	No	NA	Location in Design Report or Value or Date
1.	113	3.7(2)"a" Plans and Specifications- Plans include				
	suf	ficient detail to confirm compliance with the				
2	rec	Juirements?				
Ζ.	api	proved documents?				
3.	113	3.7(4) Subgrade				
-	a.	Field Observations – Pumping, proof rolling,				
		qualitative measure of slope stability, etc.				
		included in QC&A program.				
	b.	QC&A program updated or included?				
	c.	Settlement or Swell Calculations				
	d.	Strength of Materials – List minimum factor of safety for slope stability.				
	e.	Settlement calculations address potential slope				
		flattening/reversal. Swell calculations if soil				
	f	conditions warrant.				
	1.	control and assurance plan?				
	g.	Frozen Materials – Is it addressed in QCA				
	h.	Details of Groundwater Control. Is one				
		included/required?				
		1. Type of System				
		2. Thickness				
		3. Material(s)				
		4. Piezometer				
		5. Name of outfall (to be added to HMSP)				
		6. Drainage area per outfall (acres) less than 10 acres?				
		7. Modeling and/or calculations show				
4	111	separation will be attained under entire cell?				
4.	LT: Svs	3.7(5) MSWLF Unit Liners and Leachate Collection				
	a.	Is this an alternative liner design? If yes, note				
		date and Doc# of approval.				
	b.	Are any soil amendments proposed?				
		<ol> <li>If yes, submit method of placement and include in QCA program.</li> </ol>				
	c.	Recompacted Clay Liner				
		1. Source of Soil				
		2. Proposed number and location of in-situ				
		hydraulic conductivity tests and statistical				
		significance of testing				
		3. List required hydraulic conductivity if				
		4 Proposed number and location of	_	_	-	
		moisture/density tests and statistical significance of testing				

Design	Content Template	Yes	No	NA	Location in Design Report or Value or Date
-	5. Thickness of Liner				
	6. Maximum and minimum liner slopes				
d.	Flexible Membrane Liner				
	1. Material and thickness (mil)				
	2. Textured?				
	3. Smoothness of subgrade requirements				
	included in QC&A program?				
	<ol> <li>Location and frequency of destructive testing included in QC&amp;A program?</li> </ol>				
e.	Geonet, geocomposite, or other manufactured drainage media system being used?				
	1. Calculated transmissivity both before and after reduction factors included?				
	<ol> <li>Evaluation of geonet performance under field conditions.</li> </ol>				
	3. Overlying Protective Layer				
	a. Thickness, 12" minimum?				
	<ul> <li>b. Hydraulic conductivity meets 1E-3 cm/sec requirement?</li> </ul>				
f.	Drainage material other than non-calcareous sand or gravel				
	1. If alternative materials are proposed, provide				
	2. If auto shredder residue is proposed, provide				
	plan to demonstrate that material is non- hazardous.				
g.	Non-calcareous Granular Drainage Media				
	<ol> <li>Gradation requirements and maximum particle size.</li> </ol>				
	<ol><li>Hydraulic conductivity meets 1E-2 cm/sec requirement?</li></ol>				
	<ol> <li>Maximum fines content (Passing #200 Sieve) is less than 5%?</li> </ol>				
	4. Is a geotextile necessary for puncture protection of liner per (113.7(5)"h"(7)1)? If yes, does geotextile specification meet requirements of puncture protection calculations?				
h.	Any proposed manholes placed on the liner or in the new cell for access to leachate lines for cleaning or inspection?				
	<ol> <li>If yes, does the design consider the effect of forces on manhole and livers below manhole.</li> </ol>				
i.	Is leachate recirculation proposed? If yes, not the rates and methods. Does leachate head on liner calculations remain below 12"?				
j.	Piping				
	<ol> <li>What method(s) is used to clean and inspect leachate collection pipes?</li> </ol>				
	2. For gravity lines, what are the pipe sizes and minimum slope?				
	3. Provide the pipe strength calculations.				

Design Content Template	Yes	No	NA	Location in Design Report or Value or Date
4. Provide the filter design to impede the				
migration of fines into pipe perforations.				
5. Does leachate head on liner calculations				
k Does each unit have a proposed leachate head				
measurement device, the installation location.				
and method of measurement?				
I. Has seven-day storage requirement been met		_	_	
and does it show sufficient capacity for the				
proposed cell?				
m. Any increases in leachate storage or addition of				
If ves:				
1. Describe containment and countermeasures				
(dual-wall piping, bentonite, etc.)				
2. Does containment meet or exceed cell liner				
performance?				
n. What is the anticipated leachate generation rate				
and how will leachate be treated?				
1. Include the evaluation that estimates				
a. Pre-Construction Model Results				
Compared to Actual Leachate				
Generation – Test of Model Calibration				
b. Post-Construction Model Results				
2. Provide a plan for the initial surge in leachate				
volume during excessive rainfall.				
5. 113.7(6) Quality Control and Assurance Program.				
a. List the name of QCA program Officer.				
b. List names of delegated persons, if any.				
c. List the date of Approved QC&A plan.				
d. Provide statistical significance of all testing?				
6. 113.7(7) Vertical and Horizontal Expansions of MSWLF				
Units.				
a. Analyze Slope Stability. What is minimum factor				
b If this is a vertical expansion has a line-of-sight				
analysis been performed?				
7. 113.7(8) Run-on and runoff control systems. Review		_	_	
the impact of new construction. Include allowance for				
siltation in channels, if used.				
	Vac	No	NIA	Comment Value and (or Date
Operating Requirements (update if necessary)	Yes	NO	NA	Comment, value and/or Date
is there a plan for achieving compliance?				
2. 113.8(2) "c" Fill Sequencing – Is the impact of new				
construction adequately addressed?				
3. 113.8(2)"g" Disposal Operations and Activities. If				
construction is adjacent to existing waste how will				
leachate seeps be controlled and or captured during				
CONSTRUCTION?				
an update necessary? If ves. has an updated DOP				
been submitted and approved?				

Operating Requirements (update if necessary)	Yes	No	NA	Comment, Value and/or Date
<ol> <li>113.8(5) Emergency Response and Remedial Action Plan (ERRAP). Do items such as emergency routes, gathering locations, etc. need updating? If yes, has an updated ERRAP been submitted and approved?</li> </ol>				

Landfill Gas	Yes	No	NA	Comment, Value and/or Date
<ol> <li>113.9(2) Landfill gas. Does construction require removal and/or addition of monitoring points? If so, has the gas monitoring plan been updated?</li> </ol>				

Gre	oundwater Monitoring	Yes	No	NA	Comment, Value and/or Date
1.	1. 113.10(1)"b" - A new MSWLF unit must be in compliance with the groundwater monitoring requirements specified in subrules 113.10(2), 113.10(4), 113.10(5) and 113.10(6) before waste can be placed in the unit. Is it compliant?				
2.	113.10(2)"a" and "b" – Have new monitoring points been approved, installed, and documentation submitted?				
3.	113.10(2)"d" - Have monitoring wells that are in the new cell area been approved for removal, been abandoned, and documentation submitted.				
4.	113.10(2)"e" – Has the HMSP been updated to include the addition of any new monitoring points, including sampling points from an underdrain used to maintain 5-foot separation?				

Clos	ure and Post-Closure Plans	Yes	No	NA	Comment, Value and/or Date
1.	<ul> <li>113.12(3) Updates to Closure Plan – Are any updates to the closure plan necessary (see below)? If yes, is the updated plan approved/approvable?</li> <li>a. Final Grades</li> <li>b. Storm Water System</li> <li>c. Update Soil Balance through Closure</li> <li>d. Cap slope stability analysis</li> <li>e. Subsurface infiltration conveyance</li> <li>f. Erosion control</li> </ul>				
2.	113.13(3) Post-Closure plan (PCP) – Are any updates to the PCP necessary? If yes, is the updated PCP approved/approvable?				

Directions

- 1. Where applicable, please include the Document # (Doc#) from the solid waste database and the page number within the PDF.
- 2. This document is intended solely as guidance, and does not contain any mandatory requirements except where requirements found in statute or administrative rule are referenced. This guidance does not establish or affect legal rights or obligations and is not finally determinative of any of the issues addressed. This guidance does not create any rights enforceable by any party in litigation with the State of Iowa or the Department of Natural Resources. Any regulatory decisions made by the Department of Natural Resources in any matter addressed by this guidance will be made by applying the governing statutes and administrative rules to the relevant facts.