Iowa Toxics Sampling 2010

Results for Benzene, Acetaldehyde, and Formaldehyde



Iowa DNR
Ambient Air Monitoring Group

Table of Contents

Summary 3
Scope 3
Sampling Schedules 4
Data Capture 4
Data Handling 5
Precision Data 5
Results of the Analysis 6
References 8
Air Toxics Monitoring Network 2010 10
Iowa 2010 Air Toxics Monitoring Network 11
Cedar Rapids Toxics Monitoring Site 12
Davenport Toxics Monitoring Site 13
Des Moines Toxics Monitoring Site 14
Cancer Risk Summary (Excess Cancers per Million People) 15
Concentration Summary (ppb) 16
Percent Data Capture 17
Annual Toxics Precision Statistics 18
Excess Cancer Risks per 1 Million People, 2010 19
Formaldehyde Cancer Risk 2010 20
Acetaldehyde Cancer Risk 2010 21
Benzene Cancer Risk 2010 22
Raw Data - Formaldehyde 23
Raw Data - Acetaldehyde 24
Raw Data – Benzene 25
Appendix A. Precision Calculations 26

Summary

Scope

Section 112 of the Clean Air Act [1] contains the federal strategy for protecting the public from air toxics emissions. The Act specifies a particular list of air toxics called "hazardous air pollutants" (HAPs) for regulatory action [2]. Emitters of large amounts of these HAPs are subject to regulations that require adoption of work practices or installation of control technologies in order to reduce HAP emissions [3]. The Act requires a periodic assessment of the residual health risk posed by the HAPs [4] and adoption of additional control standards where necessary [5].

In order to establish long term trends in HAP concentrations across the nation as a component of its residual risk assessment, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has funded national air toxics trends stations (NATTS) [6]. These sites contain a standard suite of samplers and analytical protocols [7]. Unlike NATTS sites, Iowa's air toxics sites do not have instrumentation to measure toxic metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, or black carbon.

A review of the historical air toxics monitoring dataset [8] argues that benzene, formaldehyde, 1,3-butadiene, acrolein, arsenic, hexavalent chromium, and diesel particulate pose the greatest risk to the public health on a national level. Only two of the seven national risk drivers are quantified by the limited air toxics sampling currently conducted in Iowa.

Sampling Schedules

Samples were gathered on a schedule of one sample every twelfth day. Every sixth day monitoring for carbonyl compounds was conducted during the ozone season (April through October). If a scheduled sample was missed, an unscheduled sample was substituted for the missing data point if that sample was taken before the next scheduled sampling day. In calculations of average pollutant levels and cancer risk the additional samples that were taken during summer time were averaged to estimate a one in twelve sampling schedule and avoid introduction of a seasonal bias to the data.

Data Capture

The data capture rate is defined as the ratio of the number of samples taken (including scheduled and valid substitute samples) divided by the number of scheduled samples.

Data Handling

This report characterizes only the cancer risk associated with exposure to the toxic contaminants measured, and does not quantify other "non-cancer" risks such as neurological or reproductive damage associated with the measured exposure levels. The cancer risk associated with a given exposure level was quantified only when an Air Unit Cancer Risk was available in EPA's Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) database. Pollutants were selected for inclusion in this report, based on the screening criteria that the excess cancer risk resulting from a lifetime exposure to the average contaminant concentration measured was greater than the EPA benchmark of one in a million excess risks. When calculating the cancer risks and annual summary statistics for the selected pollutants, reported data values less than the method detection limit (MDL) are replaced with data values equal to half the MDL. Only Benzene had reported values under the MDL in 2010.

Precision Data

Precision data are reported for the total number of collocated pairs of canisters or cartridges collected. Precision statistics shown in this report have been calculated according to 40 CFR Part 58, Appendix A (2006) using the methodology applicable to collocated fine particulate data pairs. (See Appendix A)

Results of the Analysis

Formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, and benzene were measured at levels above the EPA benchmark at all Iowa sites. Formaldehyde levels measured during the study period are associated with a much higher cancer risk than any other pollutant measured in this study.

IRIS specifies different levels of certainty associated with its cancer risk factors. Benzene is classified as a known human carcinogen (Class A). Formaldehyde is a Class B1 carcinogen, and acetaldehyde is classified as a Class B2 carcinogen. Class B contains probable human carcinogens; Class B1 pollutants are associated with limited evidence of carcinogenicity in humans but sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animals, whereas a B2 classification indicates only sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in animals. [9].

A primary contaminant is directly emitted into the ambient air from its source. A secondary contaminant is formed from a chemical reaction of other contaminants already present in the atmosphere from natural or anthropogenic sources.

Benzene is a primary contaminant, with emissions largely attributed to vehicular traffic. Formaldehyde and acetaldehyde are both primary and secondary contaminants. Motor vehicle emissions contribute to primary emissions by incomplete combustion of fuel; secondary formation results from photochemical oxidation of exhaust pipe pollutants. Secondary formation of these pollutants is enhanced in the summertime due to suitable weather conditions such as higher temperature and greater hours of sunlight. Formaldehyde is also produced in large quantities by natural events such as forest or brush fires [10]. In interpreting the results of the risk assessment contained in this type of report, EPA has encouraged States to compare the risks caused by toxic outdoor air pollution to other risks experienced in everyday life. The highest excess lifetime cancer risk identified in this report is 3.0 excess cancers per 100,000 people ($3.0 \times 10_{-5}$), associated with average measured formaldehyde levels in the outdoor air at the urban Des Moines monitoring site. For comparison, the lifetime risk of dying in a car accident is a 3.8×10^{-3}, or approximately 127 times higher, and the lifetime risk of being killed by lightning is 1.3×10^{-5}, or approximately 2.3 times less than developing cancer at this level of formaldehyde exposure [11].

References

1. Federal rules regulating air toxics:

   http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/eparules.html

2. Current list of HAPs and their health effects:
   http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/hlthef/hapindex.html

3. EPA regulations limiting HAPs emissions:
   http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/mactfnlalph.html

4. EAP's latest national assessment of health risks due to HAPs:
   http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/natamain/

5. Residual risk assessments:
   http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/rrisk/rtrpg.html

6. Current list of NATTS sites:
   http://www.epa.gov/ttnamti1/files/ambient/airtox/nattsite.pdf

7. Sampling protocol used to operate NATTS sites:
   http://www.epa.gov/ttn/amtic/files/ambient/airtox/nattsqapp.pdf

8. Historical review of air toxics monitoring data:
http://www.ladco.org/reports/toxics/sti/

9. Integrated Risk Information System:
http://www.epa.gov/iris

10. Reinhardt TE, Ottmar RD. "Baseline Measurements of Smoke Exposure Among Wildland Firefighters." Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene 2004 Sep; 1 (9):593-606.
http://www.ncbi.nih.gov/pubmed

11. Mortality Odds:
http://www.nsc.org/research/odds.aspx

Air Toxics Monitoring Network 2010				
Site ID	**Site Label**	**City**	**Address**	**County**
191130040	Cedar Rapids, Public Health	Cedar Rapids	500 11th St. NW	Linn
191530030	Des Moines, Public Health	Des Moines	1907 Carpenter Ave.	Polk
191630015	Davenport, Jefferson School	Davenport	10th St. & Vine St.	Scott

Iowa 2010 Air Toxics Monitoring Network




Cedar Rapids Toxics Monitoring Site
Public Health
I-380
0
0.5
1
2 Miles
N

Davenport Toxics Monitoring Site
Jefferson School
0
0.25
0.5
1 Miles
N


Des Moines Toxics Monitoring Site
Public Health
I-235
0
0.35
0.7
1.4 Miles
N

Cancer Risk Summary (Excess Cancers per Million People)			
Site / Pollutant	Cedar Rapids, Public Health	Des Moines, Public Health	Davenport, Jefferson School
Formaldehyde	23.0	30.3	27.3
Acetaldehyde	3.3	2.9	2.8
Benzene*	8.4	7.9	6.4

*IRIS lists two cancer risk estimates for Benzene, and the higher risk estimate is used for the statistics in this report.

Concentration Summary (ppb)			
Site / Pollutant	Cedar Rapids Public Health	Des Moines Public Health	Davenport Jefferson School
Formaldehyde	1.50 (+/- 0.22)	1.97 (+/- 0.28)	1.78 (+/- 0.20)
Acetaldehyde	0.91 (+/- 0.12)	0.80 (+/- 0.06)	0.78 (+/- 0.08)
Benzene	0.34 (+/- 0.08)	0.32 (+/- 0.06)	0.26 (+/- 0.05)

Note: value indicated are the average concentrations in parts per billion measured at each site in 2010.

Data from enhanced summer monitoring at the three sites were averaged to prevent seasonal bias.

Values listed in parentheses represent the 95% Confidence Interval for the mean.

Percent Data Capture			
Site / Pollutant	Cedar Rapids Public Health	Des Moines Public Health	Devenport Jefferson Elementary
Formaldehyde	93%	100%	100%
Acetaldehyde	90%	100%	97%
Benzene	77%	97%	90%

Annual Toxics Precision Statistics				
Statistic/ Pollutant	Number of Pairs	Coefficient of Variation	Lower 95% Confidence Limit	Upper 95% Confidence Limit
Formaldehyde	38	3.4%	2.6%	3.9%
Acetaldehyde	38	3.6%	2.8%	4.1%
Benzene	23	18.9%	12.0%	19.7%

Note: Statistics generated from collocated sample pairs. CV and confidence limits calculated according to 2006 methods in Appendix A.

Excess Cancer Risks per 1 Million People, 2010



Formaldehyde Cance Risk 2010



Acetaldehyde Cancer Risk 2010



Benzene Cancer Risk 2010



Raw Data - Formaldehyde

(Concentration in ppb)

Date	Cedar Rapids Public Health	Des Moines Public Health	Davenport Jefferson School
1/8/2010	1	0.73	0.97
1/20/2010		1.4	1.1
2/1/2010	1.7	1.5	1.5
2/7/2010		1.7	
2/13/2010	0.95	1.8	1.3
2/25/2010	1.3	2.2	1.4
3/9/2010	0.9	1	
3/21/2010	1		1.1
4/2/2010	1.5	1.5	1.9
4/8/2010	0.77	1.6	1
4/11/2010		2.4	
4/14/2010		3.6	3
4/20/2010		3.6	2.6
4/26/2010		1.3	1.2
5/1/2010			
5/2/2010			1.4
5/8/2010		1.7	1
5/14/2010		2.2	1.7
5/20/2010	1.5	1.9	1.4
5/23/2010		3	
5/26/2010	2.2	2.1	2.3
6/1/2010	2.6	3.1	3.2
6/7/2010	1.8		
6/8/2010		2.4	
6/13/2010	1.4	1.4	1.6
6/19/2010	2	2.4	2.2
6/21/2010			2.5
6/25/2010	2.5	3.4	2.6
7/1/2010	2.3	3.1	2.2
7/7/2010	2.3	2.3	2.5
7/13/2010	2	2.7	2.2
7/19/2010		2	2.1
7/25/2010	2	2.2	2.1
7/31/2010	1.7	2	2.1
8/6/2010	1.8	3	2.1
8/12/2010	3.1	5.1	2.9
8/18/2010		2.2	1.9
8/21/2010	1.4		
8/24/2010	1.5	1.9	2
8/30/2010	2.4	3.4	2.1
9/5/2010	2	2.7	
9/11/2010	1.5	1.6	1.8
9/17/2010	1.5	2.2	2.1
9/20/2010	2.8		
9/23/2010	2	2	2.6
9/26/2010			1.3
9/29/2010	1.6	2.2	2.1
10/5/2010	1.5	3	1.8
10/11/2010	2	2.9	
10/17/2010	1.8	2.2	2
10/23/2010	2.1	2	2.6
10/29/2010	1.2	2.2	1.6
11/4/2010	0.9	1.1	1.3
11/10/2010			
11/16/2010	0.86	1	1.9
11/28/2010	1.1	1.6	1.8
12/10/2010	1.2	2	1.9

12/22/2010	1.3	1.3	2.5

Raw Data - Acetaldehyde

(Concentration in ppb)

Date	Cedar Rapids Public Health	Des Moines Public Health	Davenport Jefferson School
1/8/2010	0.45	0.54	0.44
1/20/2010		0.66	0.56
2/1/2010	1.2	1.1	0.95
2/7/2010		0.76	
2/13/2010	0.55	0.92	0.71
2/25/2010	0.87	0.92	0.62
3/9/2010	0.62	0.61	
3/21/2010	0.76		0.42
4/2/2010	0.83	0.66	0.67
4/8/2010	0.42	0.59	0.35
4/11/2010		0.84	
4/14/2010		1.1	1.2
4/20/2010		1.4	1.3
4/26/2010		0.52	0.45
5/1/2010			
5/2/2010			0.55
5/8/2010		0.5	0.31
5/14/2010		0.67	0.73
5/20/2010	0.77	0.69	0.55
5/23/2010		0.83	
5/26/2010	0.87	0.77	0.79
6/1/2010	1.5	1.2	1.2
6/7/2010	1		
6/8/2010		0.72	
6/13/2010	0.87	0.53	0.68
6/19/2010	1.1	0.94	1.2
6/21/2010			0.76
6/25/2010	0.98	0.9	1.1
7/1/2010	0.83	0.82	0.68
7/7/2010	1	0.93	0.8
7/13/2010	1.1	0.84	0.74
7/19/2010		0.88	0.98
7/25/2010	0.95	0.99	0.71
7/31/2010	0.66	0.82	0.9
8/6/2010	0.77	1	0.8
8/12/2010	1.2	1.3	1
8/18/2010		0.6	0.73
8/21/2010	0.61		
8/24/2010	0.74	0.63	0.77
8/30/2010	0.79	0.73	0.84
9/5/2010	0.88	0.76	
9/11/2010	1	0.71	1.1
9/17/2010	0.88	0.83	1.4
9/20/2010	1.3		
9/23/2010	0.73	0.6	0.86
9/26/2010			0.65
9/29/2010	0.91	0.92	1.2
10/5/2010	1.1	0.89	1.1
10/11/2010	2.2	1.5	
10/17/2010	1.5	1	0.89
10/23/2010	1.5	0.99	1.1
10/29/2010	0.97	1	0.66
11/4/2010	0.42	0.44	0.38
11/10/2010			
11/16/2010	1.6	0.63	0.82
11/28/2010	0.61	0.64	0.56
12/10/2010	1	0.88	1.1
12/22/2010	0.75	0.57	0.78

Raw Data – Benzene

(Concentration in ppb)

Date	Cedar Rapids Public Health	Des Moines Public Health	Davenport Jefferson School
1/8/2010	0.32	0.42	0.33
1/20/2010		0.4	0.35
2/1/2010		0.45	0.3
2/13/2010	0.23	0.28	0.31
2/25/2010	0.32	0.38	0.26
3/9/2010	0.29	0.27	0.31
3/21/2010	0.3		0.23
4/2/2010	0.2	0.2	0.17
4/11/2010		0.36	
4/14/2010		0.36	0.38
4/26/2010		0.29	0.16
5/8/2010		0.36	0*
5/20/2010	0.13	0.22	0.19
6/1/2010	0.44	0.52	0.36
6/13/2010	0.37	0.24	0.43
6/25/2010	0.46	0.63	0.46
7/7/2010		0.15	0.26
7/19/2010			0*
7/25/2010		0.16	
7/31/2010	0.26	0.49	0.14
8/12/2010	0.44	0.28	0.35
8/21/2010	0.41		
8/24/2010	0.32	0.25	0.26
9/5/2010	0.41	0*	
9/17/2010	0.34	0.39	0.35
9/26/2010			0.18
9/29/2010	0.41	0.45	0.44
10/11/2010	1.14	0.8	
10/23/2010	0.19	0.19	
10/29/2010			
11/4/2010	0.14	0.16	0*
11/16/2010	0.42	0.36	0.35
11/28/2010	0.14	0.14	0.16
12/10/2010	0.25	0.17	0.3

*Indicates sample value reported is less than the minimum detectable limit

Appendix A. Precision Calculations

Let c_i^1 and c_i^2 and represent two concentrations from a particular monitoring location taken on the same day. If both are greater than the MDL, then they may be used to estimate the precision of the data at the sampling location as follows:

First compute the average:

$$\bar{c}_i = \frac{c_i^1 + c_i^2}{2}$$

And the mean difference:

$$d_i = \frac{c_i^1 - c_i^2}{c} * 100$$

Define the coefficient of variation for the pair of samples as:

$$CV_i = \frac{d_i}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Compute the root mean square of the individual coefficients of variation to determine the coefficient of variation of the data at the site for the entire year:

$$CV = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} CV_i^2}}{n}$$

Finally, compute confidence limits in the usual way:

$$\text{Lower Confidence Limit} = CV = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\chi^{-1}_{(.05,n)}}$$

$$\text{Upper Confidence Limit} = CV = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\chi^{-1}_{(.95,n)}}$$

Where χ^{-1} represents the inverse of the chi-squared distribution.