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The Iowa Department of Natural Resources (DNR) solicited responses from bow hunters for the annual Bowhunter Observation Survey conducted from October 1 to December 4, 2015. This was the twelfth year of the survey, which was designed jointly with William R. Clark, emeritus Professor at Iowa State University. The two primary objectives for this survey are to: 1) provide an independent supplement to other deer data collected by the DNR; and 2) develop a long-term database of selected species data for monitoring and evaluating relative species abundance. Bowhunters are a logical choice for observational-type surveys because the methods used while bowhunting deer are also ideal for viewing most wildlife species in their natural environment. In addition, bowhunters typically spend a large amount of time in bow stands: more than 40 hours/season is not uncommon. We believe avid bowhunters (defined as those purchasing a license three years in a row prior to the survey year) are the best hunters to select for participation in this survey because they not only hunt often, but they also have the most experience in selecting good stand locations, controlling or masking human scent, using camouflage, identifying animals correctly, and returning surveys.

Participants for the 2015 survey were selected either from a core list of avid bowhunters that indicated interest in the survey from 2010, or from a list of avid bowhunters who had purchased a license for each of the 3 years prior to 2015. Our goal was to select approximately 999 bowhunters in each of Iowa’s 9 climate regions. Each climate region contains approximately 11 counties, and approximately 91 bowhunters were selected per county in an effort to evenly distribute observations in each region. Selection of participants consisted of a 3-step process. In each county, participants were first randomly selected from a core group of avid bowhunters who had previously indicated an interest in participating in this survey. If fewer than 91 core group participants existed in a county, additional participants were randomly selected from a separate list of avid bowhunters who were not in the core group. Finally, if the number of “core group” and “randomly selected” participants in a county was less than 91, additional avid hunters were selected from other counties in the region to reach the regional goal of 999 participants. A total statewide sample of 8,991 bowhunters was selected for participation. Of surveys mailed, 143 were either returned due to USPS address issues or hunters indicated they did not hunt this year, making the final statewide sample 8,848.

Responses were obtained from 1,323 bowhunters who recorded their observations during 17,915 hunting trips, yielding 59,890 hours of total observation time (3.34 ± 0.068 hours/trip; mean ± 95% CL). Bowhunters reported a median of 12 trips during the 67-day season. Regionally, the number of bow hunting trips (and hours hunted) ranged from 1,120 (3,544 hours) in northwest Iowa (Region 1) to 3,031 (9,584 hours) in northeast Iowa (Region 3). The raw survey response rate was 15.0%.

Observations were standardized for each of the 12 species to reflect the number of observations per 1,000 hours hunted in each of the 9 regions. In addition, 95% confidence limits were calculated for each estimate. Precision among estimates for common species, such as deer, wild turkeys, and raccoons, was suitable: confidence limits were generally within ±30% of the mean estimate. However, for less common species, such as badgers, bobcats, gray fox, and otters, precision was very low and there was considerable uncertainty in the mean estimate.

A comparison of results from 2005 to 2015 suggests that the number of total deer observed/1,000 hours has decreased or stayed the same across all nine regions of Iowa, except for region 2 where an increasing trend was observed. Turkey observations from 2005 to 2015 generally decreased across regions 4, 6, 7, 8, and 9, and increased or stayed the same for regions 1, 2, 3, and 5. Bobcat observations/1,000 hours remain very low in regions 2 and 3, while regions 7, 8, and 9 appear to have a consistent observation rate with previous years. Although observation rates were relatively low, it appears the bobcat range expanded northward from 2004 to 2015.

We at the DNR thank all participants in the 2015 Bowhunter Observation Survey. The volume of information provided by bowhunters could never be duplicated by the staff of biologists, technicians, and conservation officers in the Iowa DNR. Iowa’s bowhunters are the best group of hunters to provide this observational information, and their participation in this survey plays a critical role in the conservation of these and other wildlife species for the future.

Any differences in observation rates between regions could be related to differences in many factors such as population size, habitat, topography, land use, or any other factor affecting the sightability of animals. For example, deer densities are likely greater in the southeast and northeast regions of Iowa, however, regional differences from the bowhunter survey do not reflect a similar trend.
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