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## WHITE-TAILED DEER

## Historical Perspective

White-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus; hereafter deer) were reported to be abundant when European settlers arrived in lowa in the early 1800's. Although the clearing and cultivating of land for agriculture may have initially improved the suitability of the landscape for deer, uncontrolled exploitation for food and hides rapidly reduced deer numbers. By 1880, deer were rarely sighted in much of the state and in 1898 the deer season was legally closed. By this time, deer had been virtually eliminated from all parts of the state.

Re-establishment of deer into the state can be traced back to escapes and releases from captive herds and translocation and natural immigration from deer herds in surrounding states. A conservative estimate of the population in 1936 placed statewide numbers between 500 and 700 animals. This small herd grew steadily. By 1950, deer were reported in most counties and the statewide estimate topped 10,000 animals. Concentrations in some areas were beginning to cause conflicts with lowa including increased agricultural damage and collisions with vehicles. In response to these problems, the first modern deer season was held in December of 1953, and 4,000 deer were harvested. The statewide harvest steadily increased, and in 1996 topped 100,000 for the first time in history.

Although deer are frequently associated with forested areas, they are very adaptable and will utilize many different types of habitat as long as the area provides adequate cover. Examples of these types of areas include brushy draws and fence lines, marshes, and grassy areas like those provided by the federal Conservation Reserve Program (CRP). Standing corn also provides ideal habitat for part of the year since it provides cover and food during portions of the growth cycle. Urban environments can also prove to be good habitat for deer, especially if there are green belts, parks or other natural spaces nearby.

Deer utilize almost all plants for food at one time or another during the year. Deer feeding habits can best be described as being widely selective as deer will sample many plants while feeding, but often utilize a single, palatable source of food for the majority of their diet seasonally. Preferred foods change throughout the year in response to changing metabolic demands and forage availability.

Deer thrive in lowa mostly due to abundant, reliable food sources and a relatively mild winter climate where snow depths rarely exceed 12 " for a prolonged length of time. Droughts are also rare and do not impact the availability of food like they do in some areas of the United States. Together, these factors allow deer to come through the "winter bottleneck" in excellent condition. The excellent nutrition also enables deer to have high reproductive rates. Some one year old does can give birth to single fawn, and many will give birth to multiple fawns each subsequent year. Deer in the wild can maintain these high reproductive rates past 10 years of age. Research in lowa has found that greater than 95\% of adult does become pregnant each year on average, and that most of these does are pregnant with twins with approximately $8 \%$ on average being pregnant with triplets.

Another reason that deer do so well in lowa is that they are very mobile. Although many deer never move far from the area where they were born, a significant number ( $10-20 \%$ on average) leave and travel to new areas before establishing a core area. These core areas may change seasonally with deer shifting between wintering areas and fawning areas. These movements allow deer to fill voids left open due to deaths and changing habitat. Thus, deer easily pioneer into new areas when habitat is suitable. The highest rates of movement occur during 2 periods of the year. The first is in the spring when does move to their fawning areas. Many of the previous year's fawns find areas of their own at this time. The second period is in the fall during the breeding season. The breeding season or rut begins in mid-October and runs through mid-January, although the peak of activity occurs in mid-November.

Careful management of deer populations by man has also played an important role in allowing deer numbers to return to the levels enjoyed today. Management consists primarily of regulating the doe harvest since hunting provides the major source of mortality for deer in lowa today. Without active management, lowa's deer herd could grow at a rate of $20 \%$ to $40 \%$ each year. At this rate, deer numbers would double in as few as 3 years. With lowa's long growing season and agricultural crops providing abundant food, densities could exceed 100 or more deer per square mile in year-round deer habitat before natural regulatory mechanisms would begin to affect deer health and slow the rate of population
growth. Deer numbers this high would cause severe conflicts with lowa citizens as well as alter the natural vegetative community on the landscape. Maintaining a deer population in balance with the differing and often competing wants and needs of the people in the state is a difficult task and hunting is the only viable management option to achieve this goal.

## 2019-2020 Hunting Season Results

lowa deer hunters harvested a total of 99,999 deer during the 2019-2020 season (Table 1.1), which is approximately 8\% lower than in 2018-2019 season (Table 1.2). Deer license sales decreased by 3\% from the 2018-2019 to 2019-2020 hunting season, which is the greatest change in license sales (positive or negative) since 2014.

A sustained harvest of 100,000-120,000 deer is consistent with the population goal established by the lowa Deer Study Advisory Committee and is indicative of a healthy deer population statewide. Harvest increased slowly from 2013 to 2018 ranging from 99,414 in 2013 to 107,857 in 2018 before dropping back to 99,999 in 2019 (Table 1.3). This considerable decline between the 2018-2019 and 2019-2020 seasons was likely the result of reduced deer numbers due to an outbreak of Epizootic Hemorrhagic Disease (EHD) across much of the state during the summer of 2019. However, we would also expect a decrease in harvest to correspond with a decrease in license sales. Despite the decline from 2018-2019 to 2019-2020, the statewide harvest remains very close to our harvest-based population goal.

Antlerless deer (i.e., does) represented $48 \%$ of the total harvest during the 2019-2020 season, a slight decrease compared to the 2018-2019 season (Table 1.1). Similar to the 2018-2019 season, antlered deer comprised 42\% of the total harvest in 2019-2020 (shed-antlered bucks are included in this statistic). There were 898 shed-antlered bucks reported in the harvest, which is $39 \%$ higher than what was reported in the 2018-2019 season. Much of the increased harvest of shed-antlered bucks during the 2019-2020 season was during earlier seasons (e.g., archery, early muzzleloader, and shogun), which could indicate bucks were stressed last fall and therefore prematurely shedding antlers.

Figure 1.1 compares the electronic harvest reporting system (a known minimum harvest level) with the post-season postcard survey harvest estimates conducted prior to the 2006 hunting season. The figure shows what the actual harvest might have looked like using the calculated relationship between the two systems.

Since 2014, hunters have been restricted to harvesting only antlerless deer during the early muzzleloader season and first shotgun season in twenty-seven northwestern counties (Table 1.6) in attempt to allow the population to recover to goal levels in this area of lowa. The January antlerless-only season was continued in Allamakee, Appanoose, Clayton, and Wayne counties and was reinstated in Winneshiek County for the 2019-2020 season. This season was coupled with an increase in the number of county-specific antlerless licenses available in these counties to help slow the spread of Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD). As in previous years, landowners could get 1 reduced price either-sex license and up to 4 reduced price antlerless licenses in addition to the regular tags a deer hunter could legally obtain. Sixty-four counties had additional antlerless licenses available. Thirty-five counties in northern and central lowa had no antlerless quota. A total of 77,225 antlerless licenses were available statewide during the 2019-2020 season, an increase of 3,525 antlerless licenses available compared to 2018-2019. Resident hunters in all seasons could obtain an unlimited number of antlerless licenses before the county quota was met, but were limited to the purchase of one antlerless license prior to September 15th. Antlerless licenses were restricted to a specific county and season.

A total of 1,432 deer were reported taken during special management hunts in urban areas and in state and county parks (Table 1.7). Hunters using special antlerless depredation licenses that were allotted to landowners who were experiencing crop damage problems reported a total harvest of 2,273 deer (Table 1.1).

Clayton was again the top county for total reported harvest with 4,179 deer reported in the 2019-2020 season (Table 1.4). Grundy County had the lowest harvest with a reported 108 deer.

## Shotgun Season

Total harvest for the first and second shotgun seasons was $3 \%$ and $16 \%$ lower, respectively, in 2019-2020 compared to 2018-2019. Although weather conditions during the shotgun seasons were mostly favorable statewide, much of the
state experienced above-average precipitation in the fall resulting in delayed crop harvest statewide. Standing corn still in fields likely resulted in greater escape cover for deer and difficulty in patterning deer by hunters. Additionally, the statewide outbreak of EHD referenced earlier likely resulted in decreased deer numbers during the entirety of the deer hunting season, thus resulting in decreased harvest for many of the seasons in 2019-2020. Overall, the total reported harvest during the entirety of the shotgun season was about 10\% lower than that reported in 2018-2019 and license sales about 3\% lower than in 2018-2019. (Table 1.2).

Antlered bucks comprised $41 \%$ of the total harvest during the shotgun seasons, while $59 \%$ of the reported harvest was antlerless deer. Button bucks made up 10\% of the reported harvest and shed-antlered bucks accounted for about 1\% (Table 1.1).

Similar to 2018-2019, the reported antlered deer kill per square mile (Figure 1.4) was highest in northeastern and southern lowa as would be expected due to deer densities and hunting opportunities.

## Archery

Archery hunters harvested 22,142 deer including the deer killed on the senior cross bow license, a 4\% increase from 2018-2019.

Antlered deer comprised 58\% of the total reported harvest (includes shed-antlered bucks, Table 1.1 and Table 1.9).

## Muzzleloader

The reported harvest during the early muzzleloader season was 3,347, a 7\% decrease from 2018-2019 (Table 1.1 and Table 1.2). License sales during the early muzzleloader season also decreased 7\% compared to 2018-2019 (Table 1.1 and Table 1.2). The total reported harvest included 51\% antlered bucks and $43 \%$ does.

Hunters reported harvesting 7,564 deer during the 2019-2020 late muzzleloader season (Table 1.1 and Table 1.2), a staggering $23 \%$ decrease in harvest compared to 2018-2019. Thirty-seven percent of the deer harvested during the late muzzleloader season were bucks (includes shed-antlered bucks).

## Nonresidents

Nonresidents were issued 6,042 any-deer licenses for the 2019-2020 deer hunting seasons (Table 1.1). All nonresident hunters also received an antlerless-only license. Additional optional antlerless-only licenses were also available to nonresident hunters.

The reported success rate for the nonresident any-deer and antlerless-only licenses was $43 \%$ and $29 \%$, respectively (Table 1.1). In total, nonresidents reported harvesting 2,579 antlered bucks (including shed-antlered bucks) and 2,529 antlerless deer in 2019-2020.

## Special Youth/Disabled Hunter Season

The total number of youth season licenses issued (10,084) was 4\% higher than in 2018-2019 (Table 1.1 and Table 1.2), continuing an upward trend in youth licenses issued. Disabled hunters were issued 401 licenses, which was essentially the same number of licenses issued in 2018-2019 (397). Youth season hunters who did not take a deer during the youth deer hunting season were able to use the deer hunting license and unused tag during any of the subsequent deer hunting seasons following all rules set forth for each specific season. Also, an either-sex deer license purchased by either a youth or disabled season hunter did not count towards the maximum number of any-deer licenses allowed to that hunter in lowa.

The success rate for youth licenses was $35 \%$ with 3,567 deer reported. Fifty-seven percent of the deer reported were antlered bucks (including shed-antlered bucks). The success rate for disabled licenses was $34 \%$ with 135 deer reported. Forty-five percent of the deer reported were antlered bucks (Table 1.1). Reported harvest by both youth and disabled hunters was 2\% lower than in 2018-2019.

## Special Deer Management Zones

Special management hunts were conducted at 52 locations in 2019-2020 during which the total reported harvest was 2,021 deer (Table 1.7). These hunts are designed to meet the management needs of areas such as state and county parks and urban areas that are not suitable to be opened to general regulations. Almost all deer taken were antlerless and deer tagged did not count against a hunter's regular licenses. Most hunts were very successful in removing deer in these problem areas.

An additional 4,275 licenses and permits were issued to hunters/landowners in depredation situations which resulted in a reported harvest of 2,273 deer. This is a 1\% increase in the depredation harvest from 2018-2019 (Table 1.1 and Table 1.2).

## Population Trend Surveys

Four techniques are currently used to monitor trends in lowa deer populations. These are (1) spotlight surveys conducted by lowa DNR staff in March and April, (2) the number of deer killed on lowa's rural highways throughout the year, coupled with annual highway use estimates, (3) the number of animal-related accidents reported to the Department of Transportation, and (4) the annual Bow Hunter Observation Survey coordinated by the lowa DNR and conducted by volunteers during October-November. All of these surveys correlate well with the reported antlered harvest, and appear to provide reliable long-term trend indices. However, none of these surveys can be considered absolutely reliable indicators of annual changes in the population because of the high variability in the survey conditions, deer behavior, habitat conditions and weather.

The deer population is stable to slightly increasing statewide but is still within our population goal (Figure 1.5). The goal was to return deer population levels to those that existed in the mid-to-late 1990s, specifically to sustain an annual harvest of 100,000-120,000 deer. This goal has been achieved on a statewide basis and we continue to adjust antlerless harvest in specific areas to address localized population concerns.

The number of deer killed on rural highways decreased by $21 \%$ in 2019 (Table 1.10) after decreasing by $28 \%$ in 2019. The trend in road kills (KPBM) has generally declined since 2004 as the deer population was decreased by a concerted effort of hunters utilizing the antlerless licenses authorized by the DNR.

New spotlight routes were initiated in 2006 and replaced the old spotlight routes in 2012. The new routes consist of 199 transects distributed among all counties for a total survey mileage of about 4,750 miles; more than double the transect length of the old spotlight routes. The new spotlight survey transects are also set up to be more representative of the available rural habitats within a county. The average number of deer observed per 25 miles decreased by $3 \%$ in 2020 (Table 1.10).

Data collected through the annual Bow Hunter Observation Survey has replaced the aerial deer survey as a trend index. This survey represents approximately 80,000 hours of observation distributed throughout the state and is conducted voluntarily by a randomly selected group of lowa bow hunters. The tactics typically used during this season (stand hunting) make easier for hunters to gather observational data. Deer observations per hour decreased by $10 \%$ in 2019.

The estimated harvest from 2006-2019 was utilized in the population model and the resulting "best fit" simulation indicates a stable to slightly increasing deer population statewide (Figure 1.5). The model has its best correlations with the harvest and bowhunter observation data.

The data indicate that, statewide, the deer herd declined from 2006-2013, stabilized from 2013-2017, and has been slightly increasing since 2017. All of lowa's counties have reached or are close to the established goal. Now that the deer herd has stabilized statewide, management efforts are being focused at local scales (e.g., single or multiple counties) in response to local population concerns as a result of disease or other population changes.

## Outlook for 2020

After 10 years of increased doe harvest from 2003 to 2013, the deer population declined from all-time highs in the early 2000 's. The goal is a stable population at a level comparable to the mid-to-late 1990s. A population at this level should sustain an annual reported harvest of 100,000 to 120,000 deer, a goal that has been met since the 2012 hunting season.

To stabilize populations, the regulations for 2020-2021 season restrict the harvest to antlered deer during the first shotgun season in 20 northwest counties. We continue to monitor population trends in this area closely and are seeing favorable results of this temporary restriction in terms of population recovery.

Adjustments were made to the county-specific antlerless quotas in 23 counties for the 2020-2021 season, largely in response to local population changes and management needs. Specifically, quotas are being increased in 11 counties and decreased in 12 counties resulting in a net increase of 125 county-specific antlerless licenses available statewide.


## Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD)

The DNR actively monitors diseases affecting deer in the state. Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD) is a neurological disease affecting primarily deer and elk. An abnormal protein, called a prion, attacks the brains of infected animals causing them to lose weight, display abnormal behavior and lose bodily functions. Signs of CWD in deer include excessive salivation, thirst and urination, loss of appetite, weight loss, listlessness and drooping ears and head. It is always fatal to the infected animal.

Iowa has tested more than 74,000 wild deer and more than 4,000 captive deer and elk as part of CWD surveillance efforts since 2002. Samples are collected from all 99 counties in lowa; however, the majority have been taken in the counties nearest to areas where CWD has been detected in other states and in lowa counties where CWD has been previously detected in wild deer. Samples are collected from both roadkill and hunter-harvested deer as well as sick deer that are found dead or dispatched.

In April 2014, the DNR was notified that a deer harvested south of Harpers Ferry in Yellow River State Forest during the 2013 regular gun season tested positive for CWD. This was the first known case of CWD in a wild deer in the state.

Since the fall of 2013, 90 wild deer have tested positive for CWD statewide, 44 of which were detected in 2019. Decatur, Fayette, Winneshiek, and Woodbury counties were added to the list of counties within which CWD was detected in freeranging deer in 2019, bringing the total number of counties in which the disease was detected to 8 (Figure 1.6).

## Epizootic Hemorrhagic Disease (EHD)

Epizootic Hemorrhagic Disease (EHD), is spread be a biting midge that causes high fever in infected deer and also causes the cell membranes in their heart, lungs and diaphragm to weaken and burst. In dry, drought years it can be worse as deer are more concentrated around water. The deer are attracted to the water to combat the fever and dehydration due to the hemorrhaging. Most deer die in one to four days after being infected with EHD.

In 2019, we received 1,927 reports of deer suspected of dying from EHD. Reports were received from 64 counties statewide with $40 \%$ of reports coming from Warren County (see below figure). This outbreak represents the secondlargest outbreak in lowa, the largest outbreak occurring in 2012-2013.

Figures


Figure 1.1 Post-season reported harvest and estimates from 1995-1996 to 2019-2020.


Figure 1.2 Number of does, button bucks, and antlered bucks harvested from 1995-1996 to 2019-2020. Since 2006, harvest was reported and is not directly comparable to previous estimates from mail card survey.

## Antlerless Deer Quota, Antlerless-only Deer Licenses Sold, and Total Doe Deer Harvest by lowa County, 2019



Figure 1.3 Resident antlerless-only deer quota, resident antlerless-only deer licenses sold, and total doe harvest in each county 2019-2020. Doe harvest may exceed licenses sold because antlerless deer could be harvested using either-sex, depredation, or special deer management unit tags.

## Buck Harvest Per Square Mile



Figure 1.4 Average reported antlered deer harvest/mi ${ }^{2}$ in each county during 2019-2020.

*KPBM = recovered deer-vehicle collisions (IADOT and Salvage Tags) divided by billion miles driven on secondary highways (IADOT estimate).
*Crashes = animal-related crashes reported to IADOT.
*Bow obs = bow hunter observation survey from start of archery season through Friday before $1^{\text {st }}$ weekend in December.
*Antld harv = reported antlered deer harvest.
*Pre-fawn Pop. Est. = pre-fawning ( $\sim$ end-May) population index from deterministic 2 -sex, 10 -age class accounting model.

Figure 1.5 Deer population indices with simulation, 1995-2019.

CWD Positive Locations 2013-2019


Figure 1.6 Locations of deer that tested positive for Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD) in lowa, 2013-2019.

## Tables

Table 1.1 License sales, hunters, reported harvest, and success rates by license type and season for 2019-2020.

| Season | Group ${ }^{1}$ | Type | Licenses | Hunters | Reported Harvest |  |  |  |  | Success Rate ${ }^{2}$ | Percent Does |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Does | Antlered | Buttons | Sheds | Total |  |  |
| Youth | Paid | Either-sex | 9,443 | 9,437 | 1,110 | 1,983 | 220 | 17 | 3,330 | 35\% | 33\% |
|  |  | Antlerless | 515 | 441 | 162 | 5 | 28 | 1 | 196 | 38\% | 83\% |
|  | LOT | Either-Sex | 63 | 63 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 27\% | 18\% |
|  |  | Antlerless | 63 | 60 | 20 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 38\% | 83\% |
|  |  | Total | 10,084 | 9,537 | 1,295 | 2,001 | 253 | 18 | 3,567 | 35\% | 36\% |
| Disabled | Paid | Either-sex | 320 | 300 | 36 | 57 | 2 | 4 | 99 | 31\% | 36\% |
|  |  | Antlerless | 59 | 39 | 26 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 53\% | 84\% |
|  | LOT | Either-Sex | 11 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 27\% | 100\% |
|  |  | Antlerless | 11 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18\% | 100\% |
|  |  | Total | 401 | 334 | 67 | 57 | 7 | 4 | 135 | 34\% | 50\% |
| Early | Paid | Either-sex | 6,254 | 6,254 | 580 | 1,497 | 86 | 15 | 2,177 | 35\% | 27\% |
| Muzzleloader |  | Antlerless | 1,555 | 1,144 | 557 | 5 | 93 | 0 | 655 | 42\% | 85\% |
|  | LOT | Either-Sex | 1,097 | 1,097 | 89 | 166 | 10 | 7 | 272 | 25\% | 33\% |
|  |  | Antlerless | 842 | 792 | 211 | 7 | 24 | 1 | 243 | 29\% | 87\% |
|  |  | Total | 9,748 | 7,968 | 1,437 | 1,675 | 213 | 23 | 3,347 | 34\% | 43\% |
| Shotgun 1 | Paid | Either-sex | 43,346 | 43,334 | 4,185 | 10,612 | 1,079 | 137 | 16,012 | 37\% | 26\% |
|  |  | Antlerless | 16,140 | 10,102 | 6,318 | 76 | 1,091 | 26 | 7,513 | 47\% | 84\% |
| Shotgun 2 | Paid | Either-sex | 44,908 | 44,900 | 4,514 | 7,147 | 1,098 | 174 | 12,932 | 29\% | 35\% |
|  |  | Antlerless | 17,281 | 10,380 | 5,675 | 40 | 928 | 54 | 6,697 | 39\% | 85\% |
| Shotgun 1 \& 2 | LOT | Either-Sex | 22,228 | 22,220 | 1,403 | 3,169 | 315 | 62 | 4,949 | 22\% | 28\% |
|  |  | Antlerless | 17,484 | 14,468 | 4,170 | 131 | 688 | 29 | 5,018 | 29\% | 83\% |
|  |  | Total | 161,387 | 51,029 | 26,265 | 21,175 | 5,199 | 482 | 53,121 | 33\% | 49\% |
| Late | Paid | Either-sex | 20,177 | 20,175 | 1,397 | 2,481 | 238 | 75 | 4,190 | 21\% | 33\% |
| Muzzleloader |  | Antlerless | 10,264 | 6,909 | 2,064 | 11 | 345 | 50 | 2,470 | 24\% | 84\% |
|  | LOT | Either-Sex | 2,210 | 2,210 | 112 | 173 | 14 | 4 | 303 | 14\% | 37\% |
|  |  | Antlerless | 3,537 | 3,242 | 510 | 7 | 71 | 13 | 601 | 17\% | 85\% |
|  |  | Total | 36,188 | 28,332 | 4,083 | 2,672 | 668 | 142 | 7,564 | 21\% | 54\% |
| Archery | Paid | Either-sex | 51,892 | 51,881 | 1,126 | 11,573 | 227 | 90 | 13,017 | 25\% | 9\% |
|  |  | Antlerless | 22,763 | 15,497 | 5,593 | 72 | 737 | 8 | 6,410 | 28\% | 87\% |
|  | LOT | Either-Sex | 5,084 | 5,083 | 191 | 1,112 | 29 | 14 | 1,346 | 26\% | 14\% |


| Season | Group ${ }^{1}$ | Type | Licenses | Hunters | Reported Harvest |  |  |  |  | Success Rate ${ }^{2}$ | Percent Does |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Does | Antlered | Buttons | Sheds | Total |  |  |
|  |  | Antlerless | 5,156 | 4,470 | 1,137 | 28 | 128 | 2 | 1,295 | 25\% | 88\% |
|  |  | Total | 84,895 | 57,526 | 8,047 | 12,785 | 1,121 | 114 | 22,068 | 26\% | 36\% |
| Senior Crossbow | Paid | Antlerless | 322 | 322 | 59 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 74 | 23\% | 80\% |
| Special Hunts |  | Antlerless | 3,045 | 1,301 | 1,281 | 4 | 141 | 6 | 1,432 | 47\% | 89\% |
| Depredation |  | Antlerless | 4,275 | 1,670 | 2,060 | 12 | 187 | 14 | 2,273 | 53\% | 91\% |
| Nonresidents ${ }^{3}$ | Paid | Either-sex | 6,042 | 6,039 | 122 | 2,426 | 16 | 15 | 2,579 | 43\% | 5\% |
|  |  | Antlerless | 8,816 | 8,816 | 1,996 | 280 | 242 | 10 | 2,529 | 29\% | 79\% |
| Total |  |  | 330,185 | 160,519 | 47,697 | 43,195 | 8,209 | 898 | 99,999 | 30\% | 48\% |

${ }^{1}$ LOT = landowner/tenant licenses; Paid = non-landowner/tenant licenses.
${ }^{2}$ Percent of licenses that reported harvested deer.
${ }^{3}$ Nonresident licenses for either shotgun 1, shotgun 2, archery, late muzzleloader, disabled hunter, or holiday antlerless-only season.
-Quota of 6,000 nonresident general deer/antlerless-only licenses, $35 \%$ of which can be archery licenses. An additional 4,500 antlerless-only licenses are available for either one of the shotgun seasons or the disabled hunter season.

Table 1.2 Comparison of license sales and reported harvest by season for the previous 2 years.

| Season | 2018-2019 |  | 2019-2020 |  | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Licenses | Harvest | Licenses | Harvest | Licenses | Harvest |
| Youth | 9,693 | 3,650 | 10,084 | 3,567 | 4\% | -2\% |
| Disabled | 397 | 138 | 401 | 135 | 1\% | -2\% |
| Archery | 87,559 | 21,344 | 85,217 | 22,142 | -3\% | 4\% |
| Early Muzzleloader | 10,514 | 3,594 | 9,748 | 3,347 | -7\% | -7\% |
| Shotgun 1 (Paid) ${ }^{1}$ | 60,087 | 24,142 | 59,486 | 23,525 | -1\% | -3\% |
| Shotgun 2 (Paid) ${ }^{2}$ | 64,508 | 23,259 | 62,189 | 19,629 | -4\% | -16\% |
| Shotgun LOT ${ }^{3}$ | 42,302 | 11,837 | 39,712 | 9,967 | -6\% | -16\% |
| Late Muzzleloader | 39,972 | 9,885 | 36,188 | 7,564 | -9\% | -23\% |
| Special Hunts | 2,827 | 1,405 | 3,045 | 1,432 | 8\% | 2\% |
| Depredation | 3,875 | 2,242 | 4,275 | 2,273 | 10\% | 1\% |
| January Antlerless | 3,059 | 797 | 4,244 | 1,198 | 39\% | 50\% |
| Nonresidents ${ }^{4}$ | 15,002 | 5,476 | 14,858 | 5,108 | -1\% | -7\% |
| Total | 340,252 | 107,857 | 330,185 | 99,999 | -3\% | -7\% |

${ }^{1} 1^{\text {st }}$ shotgun season (5-days beginning $1^{\text {st }}$ weekend in Dec) for licenses not claiming landowner/tenant preference.
${ }^{2} 2^{\text {nd }}$ shotgun season (9-days beginning $2^{\text {nd }}$ weekend in Dec) for licenses not claiming landowner/tenant preference.
${ }^{3}$ Both shotgun seasons (14-days) for landowner/tenants choosing the shotgun firearm season.
${ }^{4}$ Nonresident licenses for either shotgun 1, shotgun 2, archery, late muzzleloader, disabled hunter, or holiday antlerless-only season.
-Quota of 6,000 nonresident general deer/antlerless-only licenses, $35 \%$ of which can be archery licenses. An additional 4,500 antlerless-only licenses are available for either one of the shotgun seasons or the disabled hunter season.

Table 1.3 Historical data on deer harvest by license type (1953 to present).

| Year | Regular Gun |  |  | Muzzleloader |  |  | Archery | Grand Total* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Paid | Landowner | Total | Early | Late | Total |  |  |
| 1953 | 2,401 | 1,606 | 4,007 |  |  |  | 1 | 4,008 |
| 1954 | 1,827 | 586 | 2,413 |  |  |  | 10 | 2,423 |
| 1955 | 2,438 | 568 | 3,006 |  |  |  | 58 | 3,064 |
| 1956 | 2,000 | 561 | 2,561 |  |  |  | 117 | 2,678 |
| 1957 | 2,187 | 480 | 2,667 |  |  |  | 138 | 2,805 |
| 1958 | 2,141 | 588 | 2,729 |  |  |  | 162 | 2,891 |
| 1959 | 1,935 | 541 | 2,476 |  |  |  | 255 | 2,731 |
| 1960 | 3,188 | 804 | 3,992 |  |  |  | 277 | 4,269 |
| 1961 | 4,033 | 964 | 4,997 |  |  |  | 367 | 5,364 |
| 1962 | 4,281 | 1,018 | 5,299 |  |  |  | 404 | 5,703 |
| 1963 | 5,595 | 1,017 | 6,612 |  |  |  | 538 | 7,151 |
| 1964 | 7,274 | 1,750 | 9,024 |  |  |  | 670 | 9,694 |
| 1965 | 6,588 | 1,322 | 7,910 |  |  |  | 710 | 8,620 |
| 1966 | 9,070 | 1,672 | 10,742 |  |  |  | 579 | 11,321 |
| 1967 | 7,628 | 2,764 | 10,392 |  |  |  | 791 | 11,183 |
| 1968 | 9,051 | 3,890 | 12,941 |  |  |  | 830 | 13,771 |
| 1969 | 6,952 | 3,779 | 10,731 |  |  |  | 851 | 11,582 |
| 1970 | 8,398 | 4,345 | 12,743 |  |  |  | 1,037 | 13,780 |


| Year | Regular Gun |  |  | Muzzleloader |  |  | Archery | Grand <br> Total* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Paid | Landowner | Total | Early | Late | Total |  |  |
| 1971 | 7,779 | 2,680 | 10,459 |  |  |  | 1,232 | 11,691 |
| 1972 | 7,747 | 2,738 | 10,485 |  |  |  | 1,328 | 11,813 |
| 1973 | 10,017 | 2,191 | 12,208 |  |  |  | 1,822 | 14,030 |
| 1974 | 11,720 | 4,097 | 15,817 |  |  |  | 2,173 | 17,990 |
| 1975 | 15,293 | 3,655 | 18,948 |  |  |  | 2,219 | 21,167 |
| 1976 | 11,728 | 2,529 | 14,257 |  |  |  | 2,350 | 16,607 |
| 1977 | 10,737 | 2,051 | 12,788 |  |  |  | 2,400 | 15,188 |
| 1978 | 12,815 | 2,353 | 15,168 |  |  |  | 2,957 | 18,125 |
| 1979 | 14,178 | 1,971 | 16,149 |  |  |  | 3,305 | 19,454 |
| 1980 | 16,511 | 2,346 | 18,857 |  |  |  | 3,803 | 22,660 |
| 1981 | 19,224 | 2,354 | 21,578 |  |  |  | 4,368 | 25,946 |
| 1982 | 19,269 | 2,472 | 21,741 |  |  |  | 4,720 | 26,461 |
| 1983 | 27,078 | 3,297 | 30,375 |  |  |  | 5,244 | 35,619 |
| 1984 | 29,912 | 3,537 | 33,449 |  | 307 | 307 | 5,599 | 39,355 |
| 1985 | 32,613 | 5,344 | 37,957 |  | 457 | 457 | 5,805 | 44,219 |
| 1986 | 41,352 | 10,378 | 51,730 | 349 | 728 | 1,077 | 9,895 | 62,702 |
| 1987 | 53,230 | 10,270 | 63,500 | 1,509 | 1,027 | 2,536 | 9,722 | 75,758 |
| 1988 | 66,757 | 13,298 | 80,055 | 1,835 | 1,294 | 3,129 | 9,897 | 93,756 |
| 1989 | 67,606 | 12,963 | 80,569 | 2,619 | 3,715 | 6,334 | 11,857 | 99,712 |
| 1990 | 69,101 | 9,095 | 78,196 | 2,819 | 5,884 | 8,703 | 10,146 | 98,002 |
| 1991 | 56,811 | 11,575 | 68,386 | 3,120 | 2,766 | 5,886 | 8,807 | 83,635 |
| 1992 | 50,822 | 10,453 | 61,275 | 3,316 | 3,231 | 6,564 | 8,814 | 77,684 |
| 1993 | 52,624 | 8,354 | 60,978 | 2,219 | 2,883 | 5,102 | 9,291 | 76,430 |
| 1994 | 59,054 | 8,735 | 67,789 | 2,610 | 3,196 | 5,806 | 12,040 | 87,231 |
| 1995 | 65,206 | 7,917 | 73,123 | 2,831 | 3,408 | 6,363 | 13,372 | 97,256 |
| 1996 | 71,577 | 10,896 | 82,473 | 2,895 | 4,558 | 7,453 | 12,314 | 107,632 |
| 1997 | 77,169 | 10,588 | 87,757 | 4,062 | 5,508 | 9,570 | 14,313 | 118,404 |
| 1998 | 73,165 | 9,989 | 83,154 | 4,448 | 5,343 | 9,791 | 12,302 | 112,608 |
| 1999 | 74,362 | 12,966 | 87,328 | 5,277 | 5,329 | 10,606 | 15,266 | 121,635 |
| 2000 | 77,743 | 13,189 | 90,932 | 4,585 | 5,936 | 10,521 | 17,727 | 126,535 |
| 2001 | 82,721 | 14,801 | 97,522 | 4,593 | 7,320 | 11,913 | 18,798 | 136,655 |
| 2002 | 77,940 | 18,932 | 96,872 | 5,091 | 7,772 | 12,863 | 20,703 | 140,490 |
| 2003 | 96,757 | 25,353 | 122,110 | 6,155 | 12,049 | 18,204 | 26,486 | 182,856 |
| 2004 | 97,830 | 26,333 | 124,163 | 6,818 | 13,550 | 20,368 | 30,025 | 194,512 |
| 2005 | 96,110 | 27,988 | 124,098 | 7,209 | 13,930 | 21,139 | 32,986 | 211,451 |
| 2006 | 76,218 | 14,956 | 91,174 | 5,431 | 8,698 | 14,129 | 22,008 | 150,552 |
| 2007 | 67,175 | 13,862 | 81,037 | 4,462 | 10,530 | 14,992 | 22,240 | 146,214 |
| 2008 | 63,330 | 12,762 | 76,092 | 4,342 | 10,254 | 14,596 | 21,793 | 142,194 |
| 2009 | 58,801 | 12,630 | 71,431 | 4,495 | 9,482 | 13,977 | 23,172 | 136,504 |
| 2010 | 56,511 | 11,455 | 67,966 | 4,026 | 8,838 | 12,864 | 21,154 | 127,094 |
| 2011 | 52,130 | 11,009 | 63,139 | 4,427 | 8,165 | 12,592 | 21,983 | 121,407 |
| 2012 | 49,110 | 10,931 | 60,041 | 3,896 | 10,823 | 14,719 | 21,981 | 115,608 |
| 2013 | 42,442 | 9,271 | 51,713 | 4,027 | 6,828 | 10,855 | 20,319 | 99,414 |


| Year | Regular Gun |  |  | Muzzleloader |  |  | Archery | Grand <br> Total* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Paid | Landowner | Total | Early | Late | Total |  |  |
| 2014 | 44,910 | 10,701 | 55,611 | 3,700 | 8,793 | 12,493 | 21,128 | 101,595 |
| 2015 | 45,214 | 11,041 | 56,255 | 4,042 | 9,604 | 13,646 | 22,489 | 105,401 |
| 2016 | 43,205 | 10,358 | 53,563 | 3,450 | 9,560 | 13,010 | 22,389 | 101,397 |
| 2017 | 46,559 | 11,161 | 57,720 | 3,423 | 9,629 | 13,052 | 22,665 | 105,578 |
| 2018 | 47,401 | 11,837 | 59,238 | 3,594 | 9,885 | 13,479 | 21,271 | 107,857 |
| 2019 | 43,154 | 9,967 | 53,121 | 3,347 | 7,564 | 10,911 | 22,142 | 99,999 |

${ }^{1}$ Grand Total includes special management unit hunts, nonresidents and youth. Harvest estimates from 2005 and prior are not comparable to subsequent years.

Table 1.4 Total reported deer kill by county during the 2019-2020 deer season.

| County | Antlered Bucks | Does | Button Bucks | Shedantlered Bucks | Total | Percent of kill |  | Antld. Kill/ Sq. Mile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Does | Antlered Bucks |  |
| Adair | 451 | 500 | 73 | 5 | 1029 | 49 | 44 | 0.79 |
| Adams | 411 | 388 | 67 | 6 | 872 | 44 | 47 | 0.96 |
| Allamakee | 1406 | 1795 | 243 | 44 | 3488 | 51 | 40 | 2.21 |
| Appanoose | 856 | 1063 | 198 | 30 | 2147 | 50 | 40 | 1.64 |
| Audubon | 166 | 63 | 12 | 3 | 244 | 26 | 68 | 0.37 |
| Benton | 430 | 423 | 58 | 2 | 913 | 46 | 47 | 0.6 |
| Black Hawk | 277 | 295 | 38 | 3 | 613 | 48 | 45 | 0.49 |
| Boone | 456 | 436 | 86 | 10 | 988 | 44 | 46 | 0.8 |
| Bremer | 348 | 357 | 62 | 6 | 773 | 46 | 45 | 0.79 |
| Buchanan | 327 | 345 | 71 | 8 | 751 | 46 | 44 | 0.58 |
| Buena Vista | 134 | 107 | 17 | 4 | 262 | 41 | 51 | 0.23 |
| Butler | 444 | 431 | 66 | 6 | 947 | 46 | 47 | 0.76 |
| Calhoun | 88 | 25 | 11 | 2 | 126 | 20 | 70 | 0.15 |
| Carroll | 176 | 108 | 26 | 4 | 314 | 34 | 56 | 0.31 |
| Cass | 316 | 291 | 34 | 5 | 646 | 45 | 49 | 0.57 |
| Cedar | 489 | 526 | 103 | 9 | 1127 | 47 | 43 | 0.84 |
| Cerro Gordo | 295 | 189 | 20 | 8 | 512 | 37 | 58 | 0.51 |
| Cherokee | 292 | 179 | 26 | 2 | 499 | 36 | 59 | 0.51 |
| Chickasaw | 347 | 383 | 77 | 5 | 812 | 47 | 43 | 0.69 |
| Clarke | 495 | 741 | 162 | 9 | 1407 | 53 | 35 | 1.15 |
| Clay | 256 | 144 | 24 | 5 | 429 | 34 | 60 | 0.45 |
| Clayton | 1639 | 2205 | 288 | 47 | 4179 | 53 | 39 | 2.1 |
| Clinton | 479 | 481 | 116 | 14 | 1090 | 44 | 44 | 0.69 |
| Crawford | 232 | 134 | 17 | 3 | 386 | 35 | 60 | 0.32 |
| Dallas | 458 | 660 | 156 | 9 | 1283 | 51 | 36 | 0.77 |
| Davis | 698 | 872 | 182 | 27 | 1779 | 49 | 39 | 1.37 |
| Decatur | 662 | 933 | 100 | 11 | 1706 | 55 | 39 | 1.25 |
| Delaware | 535 | 678 | 115 | 5 | 1333 | 51 | 40 | 0.94 |
| Des Moines | 457 | 600 | 124 | 9 | 1190 | 50 | 38 | 1.12 |
| Dickinson | 156 | 103 | 25 | 9 | 293 | 35 | 53 | 0.41 |
| Dubuque | 773 | 1033 | 156 | 15 | 1977 | 52 | 39 | 1.26 |


| County | Antlered Bucks | Does | Button Bucks | Shedantlered Bucks | Total | Percent of kill |  | Antld. Kill/ Sq. Mile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Does | Antlered Bucks |  |
| Emmet | 150 | 82 | 11 | 3 | 246 | 33 | 61 | 0.38 |
| Fayette | 689 | 855 | 145 | 14 | 1703 | 50 | 40 | 0.95 |
| Floyd | 370 | 340 | 81 | 8 | 799 | 43 | 46 | 0.74 |
| Franklin | 213 | 136 | 21 | 0 | 370 | 37 | 58 | 0.36 |
| Fremont | 272 | 172 | 22 | 4 | 470 | 37 | 58 | 0.52 |
| Greene | 242 | 159 | 33 | 7 | 441 | 36 | 55 | 0.43 |
| Grundy | 68 | 35 | 5 | 0 | 108 | 32 | 63 | 0.14 |
| Guthrie | 767 | 999 | 170 | 15 | 1951 | 51 | 39 | 1.29 |
| Hamilton | 186 | 92 | 13 | 5 | 296 | 31 | 63 | 0.32 |
| Hancock | 164 | 91 | 14 | 3 | 272 | 33 | 60 | 0.29 |
| Hardin | 352 | 315 | 41 | 7 | 715 | 44 | 49 | 0.61 |
| Harrison | 499 | 517 | 75 | 6 | 1097 | 47 | 45 | 0.72 |
| Henry | 525 | 552 | 106 | 8 | 1191 | 46 | 44 | 1.19 |
| Howard | 313 | 378 | 64 | 4 | 759 | 50 | 41 | 0.66 |
| Humboldt | 122 | 54 | 9 | 1 | 186 | 29 | 66 | 0.28 |
| Ida | 104 | 33 | 11 | 2 | 150 | 22 | 69 | 0.24 |
| lowa | 600 | 655 | 113 | 11 | 1379 | 47 | 44 | 1.03 |
| Jackson | 934 | 1193 | 208 | 20 | 2355 | 51 | 40 | 1.45 |
| Jasper | 353 | 385 | 73 | 8 | 819 | 47 | 43 | 0.48 |
| Jefferson | 545 | 671 | 139 | 7 | 1362 | 49 | 40 | 1.25 |
| Johnson | 750 | 816 | 133 | 8 | 1707 | 48 | 44 | 1.21 |
| Jones | 643 | 786 | 160 | 14 | 1603 | 49 | 40 | 1.1 |
| Keokuk | 545 | 495 | 93 | 12 | 1145 | 43 | 48 | 0.94 |
| Kossuth | 206 | 110 | 21 | 6 | 343 | 32 | 60 | 0.21 |
| Lee | 687 | 777 | 152 | 13 | 1629 | 48 | 42 | 1.3 |
| Linn | 731 | 948 | 142 | 23 | 1844 | 51 | 40 | 1.02 |
| Louisa | 540 | 480 | 98 | 10 | 1128 | 43 | 48 | 1.34 |
| Lucas | 647 | 900 | 165 | 10 | 1722 | 52 | 38 | 1.49 |
| Lyon | 202 | 104 | 22 | 4 | 332 | 31 | 61 | 0.34 |
| Madison | 876 | 1480 | 223 | 19 | 2598 | 57 | 34 | 1.55 |
| Mahaska | 453 | 370 | 91 | 4 | 918 | 40 | 49 | 0.79 |
| Marion | 715 | 895 | 191 | 15 | 1816 | 49 | 39 | 1.26 |
| Marshall | 281 | 225 | 44 | 2 | 552 | 41 | 51 | 0.49 |
| Mills | 217 | 177 | 36 | 3 | 433 | 41 | 50 | 0.49 |
| Mitchell | 362 | 268 | 53 | 10 | 693 | 39 | 52 | 0.78 |
| Monona | 414 | 452 | 42 | 8 | 916 | 49 | 45 | 0.59 |
| Monroe | 731 | 951 | 165 | 14 | 1861 | 51 | 39 | 1.68 |
| Montgomery | 278 | 288 | 32 | 3 | 601 | 48 | 46 | 0.66 |
| Muscatine | 508 | 558 | 131 | 10 | 1207 | 46 | 42 | 1.15 |
| Obrien | 171 | 90 | 12 | 4 | 277 | 32 | 62 | 0.3 |
| Osceola | 78 | 40 | 16 | 4 | 138 | 29 | 57 | 0.2 |
| Page | 289 | 275 | 34 | 6 | 604 | 46 | 48 | 0.54 |


| County | Antlered Bucks | Does | Button Bucks | Shedantlered Bucks | Total | Percent of kill |  | Antld. Kill/ Sq. Mile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Does | Antlered Bucks |  |
| Palo Alto | 162 | 122 | 16 | 5 | 305 | 40 | 53 | 0.29 |
| Plymouth | 224 | 112 | 17 | 3 | 356 | 31 | 63 | 0.26 |
| Pocahontas | 123 | 51 | 3 | 0 | 177 | 29 | 69 | 0.21 |
| Polk | 317 | 563 | 107 | 5 | 992 | 57 | 32 | 0.53 |
| Pottawattamie | 425 | 410 | 59 | 6 | 900 | 46 | 47 | 0.44 |
| Poweshiek | 361 | 275 | 66 | 2 | 704 | 39 | 51 | 0.61 |
| Ringgold | 609 | 645 | 87 | 9 | 1350 | 48 | 45 | 1.13 |
| Sac | 172 | 85 | 9 | 4 | 270 | 31 | 64 | 0.3 |
| Scott | 296 | 326 | 54 | 5 | 681 | 48 | 43 | 0.65 |
| Shelby | 195 | 87 | 20 | 3 | 305 | 29 | 64 | 0.33 |
| Sioux | 152 | 89 | 20 | 2 | 263 | 34 | 58 | 0.2 |
| Story | 239 | 179 | 30 | 5 | 453 | 40 | 53 | 0.42 |
| Tama | 630 | 570 | 102 | 9 | 1311 | 43 | 48 | 0.88 |
| Taylor | 640 | 730 | 92 | 12 | 1474 | 50 | 43 | 1.21 |
| Union | 476 | 630 | 112 | 6 | 1224 | 51 | 39 | 1.12 |
| Van Buren | 991 | 1334 | 243 | 19 | 2587 | 52 | 38 | 2.03 |
| Wapello | 500 | 586 | 86 | 16 | 1188 | 49 | 42 | 1.14 |
| Warren | 680 | 1080 | 252 | 14 | 2026 | 53 | 34 | 1.19 |
| Washington | 625 | 802 | 146 | 10 | 1583 | 51 | 39 | 1.1 |
| Wayne | 784 | 1203 | 188 | 44 | 2219 | 54 | 35 | 1.47 |
| Webster | 389 | 274 | 45 | 8 | 716 | 38 | 54 | 0.54 |
| Winnebago | 134 | 85 | 20 | 6 | 245 | 35 | 55 | 0.33 |
| Winneshiek | 909 | 1253 | 168 | 30 | 2360 | 53 | 39 | 1.32 |
| Woodbury | 367 | 300 | 57 | 4 | 728 | 41 | 50 | 0.42 |
| Worth | 226 | 128 | 30 | 6 | 390 | 33 | 58 | 0.56 |
| Wright | 228 | 91 | 17 | 5 | 341 | 27 | 67 | 0.4 |
| Total | 43,195 | 47,697 | 8,209 | 898 | 99,999 | 48\% | 44\% | 78\% |

Table 1.5 Historical data on deer license issued by license type (1953-present). Grand total includes special management unit hunts, nonresidents, and youth season licenses.

| Year | Regular Gun |  |  | Muzzleloader |  |  | Archery | Grand <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Paid | Landowner | Total | Early | Late | Total |  |  |
| 1953 | 3,772 | a | 3,772 |  |  |  | 10 | 3,782 |
| 1954 | 3,778 | 3,368 | 7,146 |  |  |  | 92 | 7,238 |
| 1955 | 5,586 | a | 5,586 |  |  |  | 414 | 6,000 |
| 1956 | 5,440 | a | 5,440 |  |  |  | 1,284 | 6,724 |
| 1957 | 5,997 | a | 5,997 |  |  |  | 1,227 | 7,224 |
| 1958 | 6,000 | a | 6,000 |  |  |  | 1,380 | 7,380 |
| 1959 | 5,999 | a | 5,999 |  |  |  | 1,627 | 7,626 |
| 1960 | 7,000 | a | 7,000 |  |  |  | 1,772 | 8,772 |
| 1961 | 8,000 | a | 8,000 |  |  |  | 2,190 | 10,190 |
| 1962 | 10,001 | a | 10,001 |  |  |  | 2,404 | 12,405 |


| Year | Regular Gun |  |  | Muzzleloader |  |  | Archery | Grand <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Paid | Landowner | Total | Early | Late | Total |  |  |
| 1963 | 12,001 | a | 12,001 |  |  |  | 2,858 | 14,859 |
| 1964 | 15,993 | a | 15,993 |  |  |  | 3,687 | 19,680 |
| 1965 | 17,491 | a | 17,491 |  |  |  | 4,342 | 21,833 |
| 1966 | 20,811 | a | 20,811 |  |  |  | 4,576 | 25,387 |
| 1967 | 20,812 | 21,121 | 41,933 |  |  |  | 4,413 | 46,346 |
| 1968 | 20,485 | 24,796 | 45,281 |  |  |  | 5,136 | 50,417 |
| 1969 | 18,000 | 23,476 | 41,476 |  |  |  | 5,465 | 46,941 |
| 1970 | 18,000 | 21,697 | 39,697 |  |  |  | 5,930 | 45,627 |
| 1971 | 18,000 | 10,522 | 28,522 |  |  |  | 6,789 | 35,311 |
| 1972 | 19,000 | 11,205 | 30,205 |  |  |  | 6,916 | 37,121 |
| 1973 | 27,530 | 9,686 | 37,216 |  |  |  | 10,506 | 47,722 |
| 1974 | 33,772 | 16,329 | 50,101 |  |  |  | 12,040 | 62,141 |
| 1975 | 56,003 | 17,821 | 73,824 |  |  |  | 12,296 | 86,120 |
| 1976 | 60,196 | 17,818 | 78,014 |  |  |  | 12,522 | 90,536 |
| 1977 | 58,715 | 16,289 | 75,004 |  |  |  | 12,994 | 87,998 |
| 1978 | 51,934 | 15,699 | 67,633 |  |  |  | 12,809 | 80,442 |
| 1979 | 55,718 | 10,504 | 66,222 |  |  |  | 13,378 | 79,600 |
| 1980 | 64,462 | 12,858 | 77,320 |  |  |  | 15,398 | 92,718 |
| 1981 | 69,530 | 14,068 | 83,598 |  |  |  | 17,258 | 100,856 |
| 1982 | 74,331 | 15,431 | 89,762 |  |  |  | 18,824 | 108,586 |
| 1983 | 75,918 | 15,067 | 90,985 |  |  |  | 19,945 | 110,930 |
| 1984 | 79,697 | 16,777 | 96,474 |  | 1,644 | 1,644 | 21,648 | 119,766 |
| 1985 | 82,218 | 20,674 | 102,892 |  | 1,522 | 1,522 | 22,830 | 127,244 |
| 1986 | 84,858 | 25,432 | 110,290 | 2,246 | 1,973 | 4,219 | 26,521 | 141,030 |
| 1987 | 91,804 | 26,780 | 118,584 | 3,091 | 2,710 | 5,801 | 28,910 | 153,295 |
| 1988 | 101,338 | 28,002 | 129,340 | 3,565 | 3,618 | 7,183 | 30,020 | 166,543 |
| 1989 | 107,171 | 33,798 | 140,969 | 5,995 | 12,201 | 18,196 | 34,745 | 194,611 |
| 1990 | 106,781 | 27,106 | 133,887 | 6,602 | 15,949 | 22,551 | 35,217 | 192,551 |
| 1991 | 100,587 | 30,834 | 131,421 | 7,064 | 11,458 | 18,522 | 33,359 | 184,041 |
| 1992 | 100,461 | 30,084 | 130,545 | 8,280 | 10,978 | 19,315 | 34,165 | 186,436 |
| 1993 | 96,577 | 21,887 | 118,464 | 7,306 | 8,926 | 16,232 | 30,938 | 168,017 |
| 1994 | 102,773 | 22,809 | 125,582 | 8,113 | 9,737 | 17,850 | 34,222 | 180,525 |
| 1995 | 101,053 | 18,157 | 119,210 | 7,193 | 8,059 | 15,463 | 34,434 | 177,441 |
| 1996 | 106,746 | 28,080 | 134,826 | 8,806 | 11,820 | 20,626 | 36,351 | 202,834 |
| 1997 | 109,169 | 24,423 | 133,592 | 8,979 | 15,049 | 24,028 | 37,106 | 211,118 |
| 1998 | 114,358 | 25,960 | 140,318 | 9,504 | 12,721 | 22,225 | 39,506 | 223,419 |
| 1999 | 113,695 | 31,196 | 144,891 | 10,246 | 13,260 | 23,506 | 43,687 | 233,690 |
| 2000 | 113,728 | 32,116 | 145,844 | 10,279 | 15,242 | 25,521 | 44,658 | 229,800 |
| 2001 | 128,041 | 38,820 | 166,861 | 10,037 | 18,751 | 28,788 | 52,002 | 265,939 |
| 2002 | 118,973 | 42,989 | 161,962 | 9,807 | 19,479 | 29,286 | 51,534 | 265,185 |
| 2003 | 136,810 | 52,148 | 188,958 | 11,907 | 23,905 | 35,812 | 60,320 | 322,096 |
| 2004 | 147,797 | 53,682 | 201,479 | 13,125 | 29,237 | 42,362 | 67,393 | 353,172 |
| 2005 | 143,856 | 58,248 | 202,104 | 13,693 | 30,717 | 44,410 | 73,518 | 391,864 |


| Year | Regular Gun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Paid | Landowner | Total | Early | Late | Total |  | Archery | Grand <br> Total |
| 2006 | 149,650 | 40,831 | 190,481 | 12,664 | 32,492 | 45,156 | 76,358 | 377,525 |  |
| 2007 | 147,424 | 41,460 | 188,884 | 12,558 | 34,832 | 47,390 | 79,991 | 389,163 |  |
| 2008 | 150,642 | 42,186 | 192,828 | 12,498 | 36,611 | 49,109 | 84,615 | 406,169 |  |
| 2009 | 149,646 | 41,197 | 190,843 | 13,083 | 37,614 | 50,697 | 89,646 | 405,547 |  |
| 2010 | 145,107 | 41,519 | 186,626 | 12,433 | 36,577 | 49,010 | 87,734 | 394,298 |  |
| 2011 | 143,995 | 41,973 | 185,968 | 12,433 | 38,192 | 50,625 | 88,526 | 392,930 |  |
| 2012 | 139,890 | 42,547 | 182,437 | 12,335 | 38,531 | 50,866 | 90,352 | 378,454 |  |
| 2013 | 132,608 | 40,197 | 172,805 | 11,832 | 34,831 | 46,663 | 89,286 | 359,958 |  |
| 2014 | 128,839 | 42,436 | 171,275 | 11,763 | 36,822 | 48,585 | 86,235 | 338,984 |  |
| 2015 | 124,774 | 41,624 | 166,398 | 11,803 | 38,517 | 50,320 | 89,652 | 339,366 |  |
| 2016 | 122,906 | 41,135 | 164,042 | 11,574 | 39,477 | 51,051 | 89,745 | 337,670 |  |
| 2017 | 125,842 | 42,017 | 167,859 | 11,285 | 40,272 | 51,557 | 89,129 | 339,651 |  |
| 2018 | 124,595 | 42,302 | 166,897 | 10,514 | 39,972 | 50,486 | 87,560 | 340,252 |  |
| 2019 | 121,675 | 39,712 | 161,387 | 9,748 | 36,188 | 45,936 | 85,217 | 330,185 |  |
| a-license not required |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a-license not required

Table 1.6 The dates, hours and zones for shotgun, archery, muzzleloader seasons (1953-present).

| Year | Zones | Shotgun Dates | Hours | Archery Dates | Hours | Muzzleloader Dates | Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1953 | 45 Counties | Dec 10-14 | $9 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | Dec 10-14 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $9 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| 1954 | $51_{1 / 2}^{2}$ <br> Counties | Dec 10-12 | 9am-4pm | Dec 10-12 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 9am-4pm |  |  |
| 1955 | Statewide | Dec 3-5 | 9am-4pm | Oct 29-Nov $20^{\circ}$ | 6:30am-4pm |  |  |
| 1956 | Statewide | Dec 8-9 | $8 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | Oct 13-Nov 12 | 6:30am-5pm |  |  |
| 1957 | Statewide | Dec 7-8 | $8 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | Oct 26-Nov 25 | 6:30am-5pm |  |  |
| 1958 | Statewide | Dec 13-14 | $8 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | Nov 1- Nov 30 | 6:30am-5:30pm |  |  |
| 1959 | Statewide | Dec 12-13 | $8 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | Oct 31-Nov 30 | 6:30am-5:30pm |  |  |
| 1960 | Statewide | Dec 17-19 | $8 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | Oct 15-Nov 27 | 6:30am-5:30pm |  |  |
| 1961 | Statewide | Dec 16-18 | $8 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | Oct 14-Nov 30 | 6:30am-5:30pm |  |  |
| 1962 | Statewide | Dec 15-17 | 8am-4pm | Oct 13-Dec 1 | 6:30am-5:30pm |  |  |
| 1963 | Long | Dec 14-16 | $8 \mathrm{~mm}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | Oct 12-Dec 1 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ before |  |  |
| 1963 | Short | Dec 14-15 | $8 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ |  | sunrise to |  |  |
| 1964 | Long | Dec 12-15 | $8 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | Oct 17-Dec 6 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ after |  |  |
| 1964 | Short | Dec 12-13 | $8 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ |  | sunset |  |  |
| 1965 | Long | Dec 11-14 | $8 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | Oct 16-Dec 5 | " |  |  |
| 1965 | Short | Dec 11-12 | $8 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1966 | Long | Nov 19-22 | $8 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | Oct 15-Nov 13 \& | " |  |  |
| 1966 | Short | Nov 19-20 | $8 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | Nov 26-Dec 16 | " |  |  |
| 1967 | 1-3 | Dec 2-4 | 8am-4:30pm | Sep 30-Nov 30 | " |  |  |
| 1967 | 4-6 | Dec 2-3 | 8am-4:30pm |  |  |  |  |
| 1968 | 1-2 | Dec 7-9 | 8am-4:30pm | Sep 28-Nov 28 | " |  |  |
| 1968 | 3-4 | Dec 7-8 | 8am-4:30pm |  |  |  |  |
| 1969 | 1,2,4 | Dec 6-8 | 8am-4:30pm | Sep 27- Nov 27 | " |  |  |
| 1969 | 3,5 | Dec 6-7 | 8am-4:30pm |  |  |  |  |


| Year | Zones | Shotgun Dates | Hours | Archery Dates | Hours | Muzzleloader Dates | Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | 1,2,4 | Dec 5-7 | 8am-4:30pm | Sep 26-Nov 26 | " |  |  |
| 1970 | 3,5 | Dec 5-6 | 8am-4:30pm |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 1-5 | Dec 4-5 | 8am-4:30pm | Oct 16-Nov 28 \& | " |  |  |
| 1972 | 1,2,4 | Dec 2-3 | 8am-4:30pm | Oct 6-Nov 26 | 1/2 hr before |  |  |
| 1972 | 3,5 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Dec 2-5 | 8am-4:30pm |  | sunrise to |  |  |
| 1973 | 1-5 | Dec 1-5 | Sunrise to | Oct 13-Nov 25 \& | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ after |  |  |
| 1973 | 1-5 |  | Sunset | Dec 8-16 | sunset |  |  |
| 1974 | 1-5 | Dec 7-11 | " | Oct 12-Dec 1 | " |  |  |
| 1975 | 1-5 | Nov 22-25 | " | Oct 11-Nov 21 \& | " |  |  |
| 1975 | 1-5 | Dec 6-12 | " | Nov 26-Dec 5 |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 1-10 | Nov 27-30 | " | Oct 2-Nov 26 | " |  |  |
| 1976 | 1-10 | Dec 4-10 | " |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 1-10 | Dec 3-6 | " | Oct 8-Dec 2 | " |  |  |
| 1977 | 1-10 | Dec 10-16 | " |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 1-10 | Dec 2-5 | " | Oct 7-Dec 1 | " |  |  |
| 1978 | 1-10 | Dec 9-15 | " |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 | 1-10 | Dec 1-4 | " | Oct 6-Nov 30 | " |  |  |
| 1979 | 1-10 | Dec 8-14 | " |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 1-10 | Dec 6-9 | " | Oct 11-Dec 5 | " |  |  |
| 1980 | 1-10 | Dec 13-19 | " |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 1-10 | Dec 5-8 | " | Oct 10-Dec 4 | " |  |  |
| 1981 | 1-10 | Dec 12-18 | " |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 1-10 | Dec 4-7 | " | Oct 9-Dec 3 | " |  |  |
| 1982 | 1-10 | Dec 11-17 | " |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 | 1-10 | Dec 3-6 | " | Oct 8-Dec 2 | " |  |  |
| 1983 | 1-10 | Dec 10-16 | " |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 1-10 | Dec 1-4 | " | Oct 6-Nov 30 | " | Dec 15-21 | Sunrise to |
| 1984 | 1-10 | Dec 8-14 | " |  |  |  | Sunset |
| 1985 | 1-10 | Dec 7-11 | " | Oct 12-Dec 6 | " | Dec 21-27 | " |
| 1985 | 1-10 | Dec 14-20 | " |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | 1-10 | Dec 6-10 | " | Oct 11-Dec 5 | " | Oct 11-17 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ before |
| 1986 | 1-10 | Dec 13-19 | " |  |  | Dec 20-Jan 4 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ after |
| 1987 | $1-10^{\text {e }}$ | Dec 5-9 | Sunrise to | Oct 1-Dec 4 \& | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ before | Oct 10-18 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ before |
| 1987 | 1-10 | Dec 12-20 | Sunset | Dec 21-Jan 10 | sunrise to | Dec 21-Jan 10 | sunrise to |
| 1988 | 1-10 | Dec 3-7 | " | Oct 1-Dec 2 \& | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ after | Oct 15-23 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ after |
| 1988 | 1-10 | Dec 10-18 | " | Dec 19-Jan 10 | sunset | Dec 19-Jan 10 | sunset |
| 1989 | 1-10 | Dec 2-6 | " | Oct 1-Dec 1 \& | " | Oct 14-Oct 22 | " |
| 1989 | 1-10 | Dec 9-17 | " | Dec 18-Jan 10 |  | Dec 18-Jan 10 | " |
| 1990 | $1-10^{\text {e }}$ | Dec 1-5 | " | Oct 1-Nov 30 \& | " | Oct 13- Oct 21 | 1/2 hr before |
| 1990 | 1-10 | Dec 8-16 | " | Dec 17-Jan 10 |  | Dec 17-Jan 10 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ after |
| 1991 | 1-10 | Dec 7-11 | " | Oct 1-Dec 6 \& | " | Oct 12- Oct 20 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ before |
| 1991 | 1-10 | Dec 14-22 | " | Dec 23-Jan 10 |  | Dec 23-Jan 10 | sunrise to |
| 1992 | 1-10 | Dec 5-9 | " | Oct 1-Dec 4 \& | " | Oct 10-Oct 18 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ after |


| Year | Zones | Shotgun | Dates | Hours | Archery Dates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ Hours | Muzzleloader |
| :--- |
| Dates |


| Year | Zones | Shotgun Dates | Hours | Archery Dates | Hours | Muzzleloader Dates | Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Statewide ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Dec 6-10 | " | Oct 1-Dec 5 \& | " | Oct 11- Oct 19 | " |
| 2014 | Statewide | Dec 13-21 | " | Dec 22-Jan 10 |  | Dec 22-Jan 10 | " |
| 2015 | Statewide ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Dec 5-9 | " | Oct 1-Dec 4 \& | " | Oct 17- Oct 25 | " |
| 2015 | Statewide | Dec 12-20 | " | Dec 21-Jan 10 |  | Dec 21-Jan 10 | " |
| 2016 | Statewide ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Dec 3-7 | " | Oct 1-Dec2 \& | " | Oct 15-Oct 23 | " |
| 2016 | Statewide | Dec 10-18 | " | Dec 19-Jan 10 | " | Dec 19-Jan 10 | " |
| 2017 | Statewide ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Dec 2-6 | " | Oct 1-Dec 1 \& | " | Oct 14-Oct 22 | " |
| 2017 | Statewide | Dec 9-17 | " | Dec 18-Jan 10 | " | Dec 18-Jan 10 | " |
| 2018 | Statewide ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Dec 1-5 | " | Oct 1-Nov 30 \& | " | Oct 13-Oct 21 | " |
| 2018 | Statewide | Dec 8-16 | " | Dec 17-Jan 10 | " | Dec 17-Jan 10 | " |
| 2019 | Statewide ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | Dec 7-11 | " | Oct 1-Dec 6 \& | " | Oct 12-Oct 20 | " |
| 2019 | Statewide | Dec 14-22 | " | Dec 23-Jan 10 | " | Dec 23-Jan 10 | " |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Open for same counties as shotgun
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Same counties as shotgun plus $51 / 2$ counties from Dec 1-12 bow-only
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Open statewide in all following years
${ }^{d}$ Modified bucks-only, license quota
${ }^{e}$ Unlimited bucks-only statewide in all following years
${ }^{f} 34$ counties were any-sex during 1 st season and 74 were bucks only during first 7 days of the 2 nd season
${ }^{5} 35$ counties were any-sex during 1st season and 26 were bucks only during the first 5 days of the 2 nd season
hall counties were any-sex during both seasons
${ }^{j} 27$ counties were buck-only during 1st shotgun and early muzzleloader

Table 1.7 Results from controlled hunts in special management deer zone 2019-2020.

| Area | Type | Licenses Available | Licenses Sold | Reported Harvest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AMANA COLONIES ZONE | Archery \& Firearm | 250 | 158 | 73 |
| AMES (CITY) | Archery | 50 | 50 | 23 |
| AMES (PERIMETER) | Archery \& Firearm | 50 | 43 | 12 |
| BELLEVUE STATE PARK | Archery | 50 | 29 | 13 |
| BETTENDORF \& RIVERDALE | Archery | 125 | 64 | 28 |
| BOBWHITE STATE PARK | Archery | 100 | 18 | 9 |
| CEDAR RAPIDS (CITY) | Archery | 400 | 219 | 112 |
| CEDAR RAPIDS (PERIMETER) | Archery \& Firearm | 500 | 280 | 95 |
| CLINTON (CITY) | Archery | 75 | 34 | 13 |
| CORALVILLE (CITY) | Archery | 200 | 133 | 47 |
| CORALVILLE (PERIMETER) | Archery \& Firearm | 500 | 500 | 140 |
| CORYDON CWD PERIMETER | Archery \& Firearm | 350 | 31 | 12 |
| COUNCIL BLUFFS (CITY) | Archery | 300 | 82 | 36 |
| DAVENPORT (CITY) | Archery | 250 | 212 | 87 |
| DE SOTO NWR | Muzzleloader Oct. 22-23 | 100 | 14 | 1 |
| DE SOTO NWR | Muzzleloader Dec. 17-18 | 100 | 7 | 2 |
| DENISON (CITY) | Archery | 50 | 12 | 7 |
| DUBUQUE (CITY) | Archery | 200 | 181 | 104 |
| DUBUQUE (PERIMETER) | Archery \& Firearm | 350 | 141 | 51 |
| ELDORA (CITY) | Archery | 50 | 8 | 4 |
| ELK ROCK STATE PARK | Muzzleloader | 25 | 25 | 17 |
| ELKADER CWD PERIMETER | Archery \& Firearm | 350 | 20 | 6 |


| Area | Type | Licenses <br> Available | Licenses Sold | Reported Harvest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GREEN VALLEY STATE PARK | Muzzleloader | 30 | 25 | 11 |
| HARPERS FERRY CWD PERIMETER | Archery \& Firearm | 350 | 26 | 6 |
| HONEY CREEK STATE PARK | Archery | 50 | 33 | 2 |
| HONEY CREEK STATE PARK | Muzzleloader | 100 | 18 | 4 |
| IAAP | Archery \& Firearm | 1,200 | 419 | 195 |
| IOWA FALLS (CITY) | Archery | 50 | 47 | 32 |
| IOWA FALLS (PERIMETER) | Archery \& Firearm | 30 | 5 | 1 |
| JEFFERSON COUNTY PARK | Archery | 25 | 18 | 10 |
| JONES COUNTY CENTRAL PARK | Archery | 50 | 14 | 3 |
| KENT PARK (ARCHERY) | Archery | 100 | 47 | 22 |
| KEOKUK (CITY) | Archery | 50 | 15 | 8 |
| KNOXVILLE (CITY) | Archery | 25 | 2 | 1 |
| LAKE AHQUABI STATE PARK | Archery | 30 | 10 | 6 |
| LAKE DARLING STATE PARK | Archery | 100 | 67 | 41 |
| LAKE IOWA COUNTY PARK | Archery | 50 | 26 | 13 |
| LAKE IOWA COUNTY PARK | Muzzleloader | 75 | 20 | 5 |
| LAKE MACBRIDE STATE PARK | Archery | 75 | 54 | 30 |
| LAKE MILLS (CITY) | Archery | 50 | 5 | 2 |
| LAKE OF THREE FIRES STATE PARK | Archery | 40 | 35 | 20 |
| LAKE WAPELLO STATE PARK | Archery | 100 | 16 | 3 |
| LEDGES STATE PARK | Archery | 40 | 17 | 5 |
| MAQUOKETA CAVES STATE PARK | Archery | 40 | 32 | 9 |
| MARSHALLTOWN (CITY) | Archery | 60 | 33 | 15 |
| MARSHALLTOWN (PERIMETER) | Archery \& Firearm | 40 | 21 | 1 |
| MASON CITY (CITY) | Archery | 150 | 150 | 48 |
| MOUNT PLEASANT (CITY) | Archery | 50 | 1 | 0 |
| MUSCATINE (CITY) | Archery | 150 | 101 | 55 |
| OSKALOOSA (CITY) | Archery | 100 | 34 | 12 |
| OTTUMWA (CITY) | Archery | 150 | 127 | 74 |
| PIKES PEAK STATE PARK/MCGREGOR | Archery | 100 | 45 | 22 |
| PINE LAKE STATE PARK | Archery | 30 | 19 | 8 |
| POLK-DALLAS ARCHERY ONLY | Archery | 1,000 | 544 | 285 |
| POLK-DALLAS RURAL ZONE | Archery \& Firearm | 75 | 20 | 3 |
| REICHELT AREA | Muzzleloader | 40 | 29 | 8 |
| RIVERSIDE PK CARROLL CCB | Archery | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| SCOTT COUNTY PARK | Archery | 50 | 21 | 14 |
| SMITH WILDLIFE AREA | Firearm Dec. 3-7 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| SMITH WILDLIFE AREA | Firearm Dec. 10-18 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| SMITH WILDLIFE AREA | Firearm Dec. 19-Jan 10. | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| SQUAW CREEK PARK | Archery | 100 | 75 | 32 |
| StONE STATE PARK | Archery | 50 | 45 | 13 |
| WATERLOO \& CEDAR FALLS | Archery | 290 | 227 | 107 |
| Totals |  | 9,569 | 4,710 | 2,021 |

Table 1.8 A summary of archery season dates, hours, success rates and other information (1953-present).

| Year | Dates | Hours | Percent Bucks in Harvest | Success Rate | Mean Days/Hunter | General Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1953 | Dec 10-14 | 9am-4pm |  | 10 |  | Open for same counties as shotgun. 40 lb draw limit. \$15 fee. Limit 1/day |
| 1954 | Dec 1-9 |  |  |  |  | Open in portions of 6 counties |
| 1954 | Dec 10-12 | 9am-4pm |  | 11 |  | Open for same counties as shotgun plus $51 / 2$ others. |
| 1955 | Oct 29-Nov 20 | 6:30am-4pm |  | 14 |  | Open statewide 1955-present. Limit 1/season. \$10 fee. |
| 1956 | Oct 13-Nov 12 | 6:30am-5pm |  | 10 |  | Separate archery license. |
| 1957 | Oct 26-Nov 25 | 6:30am-5pm |  | 11 |  |  |
| 1958 | Nov 1- Nov 30 | 6:30am-5:30pm |  | 12 |  |  |
| 1959 | Oct 31-Nov 30 | 6:30am-5:30pm |  | 16 |  |  |
| 1960 | Oct 15-Nov 27 | 6:30am-5:30pm |  | 16 |  |  |
| 1961 | Oct 14-Nov 30 | 6:30am-5:30pm |  | 17 |  |  |
| 1962 | Oct 13-Dec 1 | 6:30am-5:30pm |  | 17 |  |  |
| 1963 | Oct 12-Dec 1 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ before sunrise to |  | 19 |  |  |
| 1964 | Oct 17-Dec 6 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ after sunset |  | 19 |  | 30 lb minimum limit on draw weight. |
| 1964 | Oct 17-Dec 6 | " |  |  |  |  |
| 1965 | Oct 16-Dec 5 | " |  | 17 |  |  |
| 1966 | Oct 15-Nov 13\& | " |  | 13 |  | No draw limit. |
|  | Nov 26-Dec 16 | " |  |  |  |  |
| 1967 | Sep 30-Nov 30 | " |  | 19 |  |  |
| 1968 | Sep 28-Nov 28 | " |  | 17 |  |  |
| 1969 | Sep 27-Nov 27 | " |  | 16 |  |  |
| 1970 | Sep 26-Nov 26 | " |  | 18 | 14 |  |
| 1971 | Oct 16-Nov 28\& | " |  | 19 | 13 |  |
|  | Dec 6-12 | " |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | Oct 6-Nov 26 | " | 66 | 20 | 13 |  |
| 1973 | Oct 13-Nov 25\& | " | 59 | 18 | 11 |  |
|  | Dec 8-16 | " |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | Oct 12-Dec 1 | " |  |  |  | Licenses issued by county recorder. |
| 1975 | Oct 11-Nov 21\& | " |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nov 26-Dec 5 | " |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | Oct 2-Nov 26 | " | 60 | 20 | 14 |  |




| Year | Dates | Hours | Percent Bucks in Harvest | Success Rate | Mean Days/Hunter | General Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | Oct 1-Dec 5 \& | " | 63 | 24 | NA | Tags for antlerless deer available in 65 counties. |
|  | Dec 22-Jan 10 | " |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | Oct 1-Dec 4 \& | " | 64 | 25 | NA | Tags for antlerless deer available in 65 counties. |
|  | Dec 21-Jan 10 | " |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | Oct 1-Dec 2 \& | " | 65 | 25 | NA | Tags for antlerless deer available in 65 counties |
|  | $\text { Dec 19-Jan } 10$ | " |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 | Oct 1-Dec 1 \& | " | 64 | 26 | NA | Tags for antlerless deer available in 63 counties |
|  | $\text { Dec 18-Jan } 10$ | " |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | Oct 1-Nov 30 \& | " | 60 | 24 | NA | Tags for antlerless deer available in 64 counties |
|  | Dec 17-Jan 10 | " |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | Oct 1-Dec 6 \& | " | 58 | 26 | NA | Tags for antlerless deer available in 64 counties |
|  | Dec 23-Jan 10 | " |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Success rates from 2005 and prior are not comparable to subsequent years.

Table 1.9 Summary of muzzleloader season dates, hours, success rates and other information (1984-present).

| Year | Dates | Hours | Percent Bucks in Harvest | Success Rate | Mean Days/Hunter | General Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | Dec 15-21 | Sunrise to Sunset | 45 | 22 | 6 | 1500 A-S Quota. \$15 fee. |
| 1985 | Dec 21-27 | " | 44 | 34 | 4 | 2000 A-S Quota. \$20 fee. |
| 1986 | Oct 11-17 | 1/2 hr before | 100 | 17 | 4 | 2500 B-O Quota. |
|  | Dec 20-Jan 4 | sunrise to | 43 | 40 | 6 | Unlimited A-S Quota. |
| 1987 | Oct 10-18 | 1/2 hr after | 55 | 52 | 8 | 3000 A-S Quota |
|  | Dec 21-Jan 10 | sunset | 46 | 42 | 6 | Unlimited A-S Quota. |
| 1988 | Oct 15-23 | " | 55 | 55 | 4 | 3500 A-S Quota |
|  | Dec 19-Jan 10 | " | 41 | 39 | 6 | Unlimited A-S Quota. |
| 1989 | Oct 14-22 | " | 55 | 49 | 5 | 5000 A-S Quota |
|  | Dec 18-Jan 10 | " | 28 | 39 | 9 | Unlimited A-S Quota. Could hunt during shotgun \& late muzzleloader seasons. |
| 1990 | Oct 13-21 | " | 53 | 46 | 5 | 5000 A-S Quota. Could hunt shotgun \& late muzzleloader |
|  | Dec 17 -Jan 10 | " | 50 | 45 | 8 | season. |
| 1991 | Oct 12-20 | " | 54 | 47 | 5 | 5000 A-S Quota. Could hunt shotgun \& late muzzleloader |
|  | Dec 23 -Jan 10 | " | 40 | 33 | 8 | 3a,4a,5a\&6. |


| Year | Dates | Hours | Percent Bucks in Harvest | Success Rate | Mean Days/Hunter | General Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1992 | Oct 10-18 | " | 60 | 45 | 4 | 7500 Any sex license quota. All second licenses antlerless, Zones 4a,5a\&6. |
|  | Dec 21-Jan 10 | " | 40 | 36 | 8 |  |
| 1993 | Oct 9-17 | " | 71 | 34 | 5 | 7500 license quota, 65 counties buck-only. Antlerless in 14 counties, 35 counties buck-only. |
|  | Dec 20-Jan 10 | " | 46 | 39 | 8 |  |
| 1994 | Oct 15-23 | " | 78 | 36 | 5 | 7500 license quota, 67 counties buck-only. Antlerless in 14 counties, 35 counties buck-only. |
|  | Dec 19-Jan 10 | " | 52 | 39 | 8 |  |
| 1995 | Oct 14-22 | " | 73 | 43 | 5 | 7500 license quota, 69 counties buck-only. No antlerless tags, 29 counties modified buck-only. |
|  | Dec 18-Jan 10 | " | 55 | 46 | 8 |  |
| 1996 | Oct 12-20 | " | 75 | 39 | 5 | 7500 license quota, 64 counties buck-only. Antlerless in 15 1/2 counties, 26 modified buck-only. |
|  | Dec 23-Jan 10 | " | 49 | 46 | 7 |  |
| 1997 | Oct 11-19 | " | 55 | 62 | 4 | 7500 license quota, no counties buck only. Antlerless in 19 1/2 counties, no counties buck-only. |
|  | Dec 22-Jan 10 | " | 44 | 52 | 7 |  |
| 1998 | Oct 17-25 | " | 64 | 52 | 5 | 7500 license quota, no counties buck only. Antlerless in 20 counties, no counties buck-only. |
|  | Dec 21-Jan 10 | " | 54 | 50 | 7 |  |
| 1999 | Oct 16-24 | " | 60 | 57 | 4 | 7500 license quota, no counties buck only. Antlerless in 21 counties, no counties buck-only. |
|  | Dec 20-Jan 10 | " | 52 | 46 | 7 |  |
| 2000 | Oct 14-22 | " | 60 | 53 | 4 | 7500 license quota, 16 counties modified buck only. Antlerless in 21 counties, no counties buck-only |
|  | Dec 18-Jan 10 | " | 50 | 47 | 7 |  |
| 2001 | Oct 13-21 | " | 54 | 53 | 4 | 7500 license quota, no counties buck only. Antlerless in all counties, no counties buck-only |
|  | Dec 17-Jan 10 | " | 52 | 44 | 8 |  |
| 2002 | Oct 12-Oct 20 | " | 65 | 56 | 4 | 7500 license quota, no counties buck only. Antlerless in all counties, no counties buck-only |
|  | Dec 23-Jan 10 | " | 41 | 46 | 6 |  |
| 2003 | Oct 11- Oct 19 | " | 54 | 55 | 4 | 7500 license quota, no counties buck only. Antlerless in all counties, no counties buck-only |
|  | Dec 22-Jan 10 | " | 37 | 51 | 6 |  |
| 2004 | Oct 16-Oct 24 | " | 55 | 58 | 5 | 7500 license quota, no counties buck only. Antlerless in all counties, no counties buck-only. |
|  | Dec 20-Jan 10 | " | 37 | 48 | 6 |  |
| 2005 | Oct 15- Oct 23 | " | 53 | 58 | 4 | 7500 license quota, no counties buck only. Antlerless in all counties, no counties buck-only |
|  | Dec 19-Jan 10 | " | 32 | 54 | 6 |  |
| 2006 | Oct 14-22 | " | 55 | $43^{a}$ | NA | 7500 license quota, no counties buck only. Antlerless in 79 counties, no counties buck-only |
|  | Dec 18-Jan 10 | " | 41 | 27 | NA |  |
| 2007 | Oct 13-21 | " | 55 | 35 | NA | 7500 license quota, no counties buck only. Antlerless in 77 counties, no counties buck-only |
|  | Dec 17-Jan 10 | " | 44 | 30 | NA |  |


| Year | Dates | Hours | Percent Bucks <br> in Harvest | Success <br> Rate | Mean <br> Days/Hunter |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Oct 11-19 | " | General Comments |  |  |

[^0]Table 1.10 Results of deer population surveys (1976-present)

| Year | Spotlight Survey |  | Aerial Survey |  | Traffic Kill | Traffic Kill Per Billion Vehicle Mi. |  | Bowhunter Obs (Deer per 1000 hrs ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean <br> Count | Percent Change | Weighted Count ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percent Change |  | Number | Percent Change | Number | Percent Change |
| 1976 |  |  | - | - | 2,537 | 225 | -1\% |  |  |
| 1977 |  |  | - | - | 2,929 | 252 | 12\% |  |  |
| 1978 |  |  | - | - | 2,872 | 241 | -4\% |  |  |
| 1979 |  |  | - | - | 3,005 | 259 | 7\% |  |  |
| 1980 |  |  | - | - | 3,743 | 335 | 29\% |  |  |
| 1981 |  |  | - | - | 4,164 | 365 | 9\% |  |  |
| 1982 |  |  | - | - | 4,805 | 412 | 13\% |  |  |
| 1983 |  |  | 5,903 | - | 5,335 | 448 | 9\% |  |  |
| 1984 |  |  | 6,387 | 8\% | 6,177 | 500 | 12\% |  |  |
| 1985 |  |  | 7,607 | 19\% | 5,925 | 495 | -1\% |  |  |
| 1986 |  |  | 9,790 | 29\% | 7,225 | 593 | 20\% |  |  |
| 1987 |  |  | - | - | 8,440 | 678 | 14\% |  |  |
| 1988 |  |  | 10,289 | b | 9,248 | 707 | 4\% |  |  |
| 1989 |  |  | 9,672 | -6\% | 8,914 | 655 | -7\% |  |  |
| 1990 |  |  | 7,070 | -27\% | 8,799 | 607 | -7\% |  |  |
| 1991 |  |  | 9,191 | 30\% | 8,428 | 590 | -3\% |  |  |
| 1992 |  |  | 8,235 | -10\% | 9,135 | 616 | 4\% |  |  |
| 1993 |  |  | 8,680 | 5\% | 9,576 | 624 | 1\% |  |  |
| 1994 |  |  | 10,483 | 21\% | 10,438 | 663 | 6\% |  |  |
| 1995 |  |  | 10,877 | 4\% | 11,167 | 699 | 5\% |  |  |
| 1996 |  |  | 12,051 | 11\% | 12,276 | 748 | 7\% |  |  |
| 1997 |  |  | 13,902 | 15\% | 13,148 | 778 | 4\% |  |  |
| 1998 |  |  | 12,651 | -9\% | 12,427 | 714 | -8\% |  |  |
| 1999 |  |  | 14,928 | 18\% | 11,366 | 637 | -11\% |  |  |
| 2000 |  |  | 15,375 | 3\% | 11,114 | 642 | 1\% |  |  |
| 2001 |  |  | 15,793 | 3\% | 14,243 | 799 | 24\% |  |  |
| 2002 |  |  | 13,107 | -17\% | 12,377 | 662 | -17\% |  |  |
| 2003 |  |  | 15,676 | 20\% | 13,720 | 726 | 10\% |  |  |
| 2004 |  |  | 18,028 | 15\% | 15,361 | 803 | 11\% | 1,624 |  |
| 2005 |  |  | 15,324 | -15\% | 14,364 | 760 | -5\% | 1,698 | 5\% |
| 2006 | 55 |  | 12,565 | -18\% | 14,940 | 783 | 3\% | 1,736 | 2\% |
| 2007 | 59 | 8\% | 13,445 | 7\% | 13,730 | 720 | -8\% | 1,667 | -4\% |
| 2008 | 71 | 20\% | 13,427 | 0\% | 10,961 | 602 | -16\% | 1,500 | -10\% |
| 2009 | 68 | -4\% | 13,528 | 1\% | 13,518 | 726 | 21\% | 1,482 | -1\% |
| 2010 | 58 | -15\% | 13,591 | 0\% | 10,153 | 547 | -25\% | 1,533 | 3\% |
| 2011 | 58 | 1\% | 13,707 | 1\% | 10,626 | 570 | 4\% | 1,475 | -4\% |
| 2012 | 51 | -13\% | discontinued |  | 10,358 | 554 | -3\% | 1,649 | 12\% |
| 2013 | 71 | 40\% |  |  | 9,174 | 481 | -13\% | 1,352 | -18\% |
| 2014 | 61 | -14\% |  |  | 9,085 | 471 | -2\% | 1,558 | 16\% |
| 2015 | 66 | 8\% |  |  | 9,418 | 478 | 1\% | 1,581 | 1\% |


| Year | Spotlight Survey |  | Aerial Survey |  | Traffic Kill | Traffic Kill Per Billion Vehicle Mi. |  | Bowhunter Obs (Deer per 1000 hrs) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean Count | Percent Change | Weighted Count ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percent Change |  | Number | Percent Change | Number | Percent Change |
| 2016 | 66 | 0\% |  |  | 9,041 | 459 | -4\% | 1,488 | -6\% |
| 2017 | 70 | 4\% |  |  | 8,609 | 430 | -5\% | 1,657 | 11\% |
| 2018 | 79 | 13\% |  |  | 6,230 | 312 | -28\% | 1,879 | 13\% |
| 2019 | 86 | 9\% |  |  | 5,019 | 248 | -21\% | 1,694 | -10\% |
| 2020 | 83 | -3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## WILD TURKEYS

## Historical Perspective

History: lowa's primitive oak-hickory forests covered nearly 7 million acres ( 2.8 million ha) during the original land survey in 1859 (Thornton and Morgan 1959). Settlers' records indicate turkeys were associated with most of this timber. Although turkeys may not have been as numerous in lowa as in their primary range east of the Mississippi River, they were still plentiful (Peterson 1943). Unfortunately, wild turkeys were eliminated from lowa by the early 1900's due to habitat loss and partly because of uncontrolled subsistence market hunting (Little 1980).

Habitat: Only 2.6 million acres (1.1million ha) of forest remained when the second land survey was completed in 1956, a reduction of $63 \%$ in a century, and perhaps $50 \%$ of the remaining forest was badly mismanaged through overgrazing (Thornton and Morgan 1959). In 1974, lowa had 1.6 million acres of forestland, which made up $4.3 \%$ of the State's land area. lowa's forests now total 2.1 million acres ( 850,202 ha), just $5.7 \%$ of the State and only $30 \%$ of presettlement forests (Leatherberry et al. 1990). Forest types throughout lowa are second or third growth oak-hickory on uplands and elm-ash-cottonwood on floodplains (Ostrom 1976). Oak types constitute $55 \%$ of all forest stands, with red oak - white oak - hickory ( $35 \%$ of all forests) dominant in all regions. Maple/basswood stands ( $10 \%$ ) are found on mesic sites and are climax in the northeast and central regions, but are replaced by white oak ( $10 \%$ ) and short, scrubby bur oak ( $10 \%$ ) in the southern and arid western regions, respectively. Aspen and other northern hardwoods ( $1 \%$ ) are found occasionally in the Northeast. Statewide, $65 \%$ of all commercial stands are entering sawtimber and $20 \%$ are in poletimber (Leatherberry et al. 1990). Ninety-two percent of lowa's forest land is privately owned, with nearly half of the remaining $8 \%$ in state ownership, $38 \%$ owned by other public agencies and $14 \%$ in park-refuges withdrawn from active management (Ostrom 1976, Leatherberry et al. 1990). Iowa has no national forests, parks or wildlife refuges devoted to forest land management.

Restoration: The lowa Department of Natural Resources (DNR) began experimenting with turkey restoration in 1920 using pen-reared birds. Releases were made over the next 18 years but all releases were uniform failures. The first attempts at releasing transplanted wild turkeys were in the early 1960's. Rio Grande and Merriam's subspecies were released at several sites during the 1960's but ultimately their poor adaptation to lowa's oak-hickory forest led to population failures for both subspecies.

The first release of Eastern wild turkeys was in 1966 in Lee County. The population response of these turkeys was phenomenal - survival of released birds, reproduction, and poult survival were all excellent. The success of the Eastern subspecies stocking led to an additional stocking that also proved successful. By 1971 it was obvious that the Eastern subspecies was the turkey to use in future restoration attempts. Since the initial 1965 release 3,578 eastern wild turkeys have been trapped and released at 259 sites at a stocking rate of approximately 3 adult gobblers and 10 hens per site. Nearly all sites are considered successful. No sites are currently considered to be unsuccessful. Most sites were opened to hunting after populations were established, usually about 5 years post-stocking. Restorations by the DNR during the last 2 decades have returned wild turkeys to about $95 \%$ of the remnant timber stands in the state. Restoration efforts ended in 2001 with the last release site occurring in Linn County.

## Spring Harvest Survey

History: Spring bearded-only turkey hunting seasons began in 1974. The objective of lowa's spring season has been to maximize hunting opportunity while maintaining a quality hunting experience. Quality hunting is defined as the chance to hunt turkeys reasonably free of interference from other hunters. The primary method used to reduce interference is to control hunter densities through license quotas and establish multiple zones and seasons. Annual licenses issued, hunters, and harvest increased gradually from 1974-87 (Figure 2.1). During 1988-99, there were dramatic increases in licenses issued and hunter numbers due to an unlimited license quota in the fourth season. The area open to spring turkey hunting in lowa also increased dramatically from 2 small southern zones and 1 larger northeast zone in 1974 to the entire state during the 1999 spring season (Figure 2.2, a and b). In 2007 mandatory reporting of harvest was implemented and therefore the postcard harvest survey was eliminated (Table 2.2). Spring harvest success rates fluctuated around $20-30 \%$ during the first 12 years (unweighted average $=25.1$ for 1974-85) but success increased each year during 1985-88 (Figure 2.4). Declines observed in spring hunter success rates during 1983 and 1984 (Figure 2.4) can be partially explained by poor brood production during the summers of 1982 (Table 2.9). Similarly, the decline in hunter
success rates between 1988 and 1993 may be explained by 6 years of poor brood production starting in 1988. The success rates from 2002-2006 averaged $46.0 \%$. The decrease in success rates beginning in 2007 and the number of turkeys harvested is likely due the change in survey methods. Starting in the spring of 2007, mandatory harvest reporting required successful hunters to report a harvested turkey. A follow-up post card survey for spring of 2007 revealed $74 \%$ compliance rate, which equated to nearly 4,000 harvested turkeys that were not reported initially during the spring season. The major reasons for the non-reports were attributed to hunters forgetting to report (40\%), difficulty in reporting process (29\%), and unaware of the requirement (22\%).

2020: lowa's 47th modern spring hunting season recorded 14,689 turkeys harvested, with 58,186 licenses sold (Table 2.1 and Table 2.3). This was the 32nd year the entire state was open to spring turkey hunting. The 38-day season (10 April through 17 May, 2020) was partitioned into 5 separate seasons: a 3-day youth-only season, and 4 regular seasons (4,5,7 and 19-days). The 5 -season format, with unlimited resident license quota for all the periods, resulted in 48,573 resident shotgun/bow licenses issued, which was an increase of 9,230 from the 2019 season. In additional 7,900 resident archery-only licenses were issued in 2020. Archery-only licenses harvested 1,551 turkeys, resulting in a $19.6 \%$ success rate. Overall $22 \%$ of the resident hunters were successful in harvesting a gobbler in 2020 (Table 2.4).

This was the 31st spring that nonresidents were allowed to hunt turkeys in lowa. Season 3 and 4 of zone 8 were the only seasons to sell out for nonresident licenses. Of the 2298 tags available 1713 tags issued, 1166 were issued during the drawing period and 546 sold as excess tags. This left 585 licenses unassigned. Of the 150 muzzleloader tags available 11 were applied for and 122 were purchased as excess tags. The majority of these tags were assigned to zone 4 (105) with season 4 being the highest amount (58). Non-resident hunters harvested 610 turkeys (Table 2.1). Nonresidents reported a higher success rate for spring gobblers than did residents ( $35 \%$ versus $22 \%$ respectively) (Table 2.4 ), but were down from recent traditional levels averaging in the low 40 percent range.

In spring of 2020, known jakes (spurs < $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ ) harvested were $12 \%$ of the total harvest ( $16 \%$ the previous year). Turkeys harvested with spurs $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}-3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ were $26 \%$ ( $27 \%$ in 2019) of the total harvest. The majority ( $61 \%$ ) of turkeys harvested in 2020 had spurs greater than $3 / 4$ of an inch in length.

## Youth Turkey Season

Iowa's $16^{\text {th }}$ youth spring turkey season has held April $10^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$. During the 3 -day season, youth 15 and younger were allowed to participate with an accompanied licensed adult (adult licensed for one of the regular seasons). In 2005, the first year of the youth season, ages were limited to ages 12-15. Starting in 2006, ages 15 and younger could participate in the youth season. Youth season license sales increased by 1,080 (5,941) from the 4,861 licenses sold in 2019 (Figure 2.8). Since the inception of ELSI (Electronic Licensing System of lowa) in 2001, hunter age and gender has been recorded. From 2001-2006, youth spring turkey hunters (age 15 and under) increased each year. After the first youth season in 2005, youth licenses have shown an overall upward trend. (Figure 2.8). This year reversed a three-year downward trend with a $22 \%$ increase in youth season license sales. This mirrors the uptick in regular license sales. A code change in 2014 allowed for unfilled youth season tags to be valid for any other spring turkey season until filled. Thirty four percent of youth licenses reported a harvested bird in 2020.

## Fall Harvest Survey

History: Fall, any-sex turkey hunting was initiated in lowa in 1981 to provide additional hunting recreation from the wild turkey resource. Because any-sex hunts are more controversial than male-only hunts and potential exists for overharvesting hens, carefully controlled fall hunts began in 1981 on an experimental basis. These hunts occurred in portions of southern lowa, which had established, stable turkey populations. Fall turkey hunting has changed dramatically since the initial experimental 1981 season. The area encompassed by fall hunting zones has increased from 2 small zones in southern lowa during 1981 to 9 zones in 2005 encompassing the entire state (Figure 2.6, a and b). Fall zone boundaries in 1990 encompassed 9.7 times more area than in 1981, with 13.9 times more by 2005. Although zone boundaries did not change during 1991-1994, only zones 3 and 6 (northeast lowa) had shotgun licenses available (residents only). The 5 remaining fall zones experienced 6 years of poor brood production and therefore did not have any licenses available. However, in 1995, because of increased brood production in 1994, almost the entire state was opened to fall hunting. In 1999, the amount of land open to fall hunting increased slightly from 1998 with the addition of zone 8 (Figure 2.5).
Results from a radio-telemetry study in southern lowa and computer modeling of southern lowa turkey mortality and
hatching data suggest as much as $10 \%$ of the population could be removed during fall hunting without reducing longterm turkey populations. Past seasons' harvest have not approached this theoretical value. The present management objective is to maintain fall hunting opportunities and harvest. A harvest of fall turkeys similar to the number of spring gobblers harvested is the present goal. The number of fall licenses issued, hunter numbers and harvest increased steadily from 1981-89 (Figure 2.7 and Table 2.5 through Table 2.7). As with spring seasons, fall turkey hunters have previously had exceptional statewide success rates, averaging 51\% during 1981-89 (Table 2.8). However, fall success rates have had considerable annual variation, ranging from 6-60\% (Figure 2.3). Fall license quotas generally surpassed applications from 1981-84 and license quotas filled in only one zone in 1985. With the expansion of 2 hunting zones in 1986 a large increase in applications occurred. This resulted in rejecting a number of permit applications. License quota was increased in 1987 and in 1988. After 2 application periods in fall 1988, 51 licenses remained. Therefore, license quota remained unchanged in 1989 although the hunting zone area increased. Because of the documented poor poult production in 1988 and 1989, license quota remained unchanged for 1990. Fall 1990 hunting zones were expanded to distribute (and hopefully reduce) hunting pressure on flocks. Continued poor statewide brood production warranted dramatic reductions in fall harvest for 1991-1994. Only the northeast corner (Zones $3 \& 6$ ) continued to have average brood production that allowed a fall shotgun season. Annual changes in hunter success, harvest and the age-sex composition of the fall harvest are at least partly explained by population events occurring in southern lowa from 1981 to 1985 . Excellent recruitment in the years of 1978 through 1980 produced very high turkey densities ( 100 wintering turkeys $/ \mathrm{mi} 2$ of forest on the southern lowa Stephens Forest study area and region-wide densities of at least 40-50/mi2. A cool wet spring in 1981 led to essentially no recruitment just prior to the first fall season. A large carryover of adults from previous successful hatches meant that hunters had high success rates in the fall of 1981, but harvested almost no juvenile turkeys. A slightly better hatch in 1982, coupled with the reduction in available adult turkeys, led to proportionally more juveniles in the bag in 1982, but the harvest and success rates were reduced. A good hatch in 1983 produced more juveniles in the bag and an increased harvest, suggesting populations were recovering from a 2 -year depression. Another good hatch in 1984 resulted in even more juveniles in the bag and again an increased harvest. Fall 1985 was similar to 1984. The greatest effect was felt in southern lowa where spring weather was least favorable in both 1981 and 1982. Indications of over-harvest on popular public hunting areas were greatest in the years when few juveniles were present to buffer adult turkey harvest. Harvest rates of adult hens (>2 years old), the most important age class reproductively, were greatest when few juveniles were produced and decreased to tolerable levels when recruitment was good. A similar scenario developed during the 6 -year (1988-93) decline in poult production. Climatic factors, i.e., 2 years of drought followed by floods in 1990, 1991, and 1993, are assumed responsible for the reduced poult production observed over that time period. Likewise, harvest and hunting success declined over the same period, presumably as a result of the decrease in poult production. Fall harvest and hunting success rate increased in 1995 following a slight increase in poult production in 1994. Harvest and hunter success increased slightly again in 1996-1999, but decreased slightly in 2000-2001. However, fall harvest levels continue to be below the levels observed in the mid1980's. Fall active hunters have not been estimated since the implementation of harvest mandatory reporting. This survey was conducted by postcard but was discontinued in 2006 (Table 2.6).

2019: Fall turkey hunter success rates increased from $6 \%$ in 2018 to $7.2 \%$ (Table 2.8), this is still well below the 2005 and prior estimates due to the change in harvest estimation (mandatory versus postcard survey as discussed earlier). Since the DNR's main objective for wild turkeys is to maintain populations in all suitable habitats and provide high quality recreational opportunity, a conservative fall turkey hunting season was established in 1992. Shotgun license quotas were reduced from 7,600 licenses available in 1990 to only 1,530 in 1992, 1993, and 1994. An increase in poult production was observed in 1994, and the shotgun license quota was increased in 1995 to 3,450 . Quotas were increased slightly again in 1996 to 3,850 , to 4,550 in 1997, to 5,650 in 1998, to 6,225 in 1999. In 1999, zone 8 was created in north central lowa and zone 6 was reduced east to Highway 63. All other zone boundaries remained the same as in 1998, and all zones had licenses available. In 2009, quotas were decreased. All zones except zone 8 \& 9 decreased (zone 4 from 4,500 to 1,500, zone 5 from 700 to 650, zone 6 from 3,000 to 1,400, and zone 7 from 400 to 250). All fall licenses issued (Gun/bow and bow only) decreased in 2019 to 6,296 from 6,935 in 2018. Bow-only season started October 1 and ran until January $10^{\text {th }} 2020$ with December $6^{\text {th }}$-December $22^{\text {nd }}$ being closed for the shotgun deer season. Gun/bow season was 54 days from October $14^{\text {th }}$-December $6^{\text {th }}$ (Table 2.12). Forty-nine percent of the fall licenses were issued free to landowners, which was $1 \%$ higher than 2018. Estimated numbers of active hunters were undeterminable since there was no post card survey after the season (mandatory reporting eliminated the post card survey). Only $7 \%$ of hunters reported harvesting a turkey, which was a large decrease from 2005, likely compiled with the mandatory reporting and
low compliance rates (Table 2.8). Gun/Bow hunter success rates varied from $11 \%$ in zones 4 and 7 to $21 \%$ in Zone 8 (Table 2.8). Archery only licensed hunters reported a harvest of 88 turkeys in 2019 which was a $18 \%$ decrease from the 2018 season. The $6.8 \%$ success rate for 2019 archery only licenses was higher than the previous year's success rate (Table 2.8). Nonresidents have not been permitted to hunt fall turkeys in lowa since 1990.

Discussion: Fall turkey hunting techniques are sufficiently different from spring hunting so that past experience with spring hunting seems to have little impact on success in the fall. If anything, reliance on camouflage, sitting still, and calling (the basic spring hunting method) may be less successful and less utilized than walking and flushing turkeys in the small woodlot situations which comprise the bulk of lowa turkey habitat. Even though fall shotgun success can be quite high, fall turkey hunting has not been popular. It doesn't seem to appeal to spring hunters and hunter numbers seem to be more related to zone size than anything else. Fall archery hunting has even fewer devotees. In spite of these differences between spring and fall hunting, they have one important feature in common - hunter concentrations on public hunting areas. Hunter densities are much greater on public hunting areas than on private lands. By the nature of fall hunting this has less impact on perceived interference between hunters than it does in spring hunting. Crowding leads to lower success rates on public areas and, on the largest most popular areas, there are some indications of excessive harvest over theoretically desirable levels. Any area that the DNR intends to manage for quality spring hunting may have to be zoned separately in the fall. Even in years of documented poor reproduction, hunters can still find turkeys due to lowa's limited forest habitat and high turkey densities. Interference rates between hunters have not been documented in the fall since 1985. Interference rates have been lower during fall than in spring, which is probably due to the different techniques used for spring and fall hunting. Fall turkey hunter densities on public areas (that were surveyed) have been nearly 50 times greater than the average hunter density for private land. Turkey harvest densities on 13 of 16 public areas surveyed equaled or exceeded the theoretical maximum allowable harvest of 2 turkeys $/ \mathrm{mi} 2$ of forest as determined from empirical population data gathered from Stephens State Forest (DNR, unpubl. data). In 1986, only 4 counties sustained $>4$ hunters/mi2 of forest, combined with turkey harvests of $>2 / \mathrm{mi} 2$ of forest. In 1987 , with the large increase in licenses issued, 12 counties had both hunter densities $>4$, and turkey harvest $>2 / \mathrm{mi} 2$ of timber (out of 43 counties with reporting hunters). The high seasonal hunter densities were somewhat reduced by a 28-day season during 1987. No more than $34 \%$ of the hunters and $39 \%$ of the eligible hunters (those who had not yet bagged a turkey) were afield on any day. The opening 2 days and 4 weekend days were the most popular hunting days. There were no evident relationships between daily hunting pressure and daily success rates. To reduce daily hunter densities, hunter interference rates and increase fall recreation days, the 1988 fall season was extended to 49 days (October 10November 27). However, a large increase in licenses issued in 1988 increased the number of counties exceeding allowable harvest and hunter density values to 16 (out of 53 counties with reported turkey harvest). Another record license issue in 1989 resulted in 24 counties (of 49 counties with reported turkey harvest) exceeding $>4$ hunters, and $>2$ turkeys harvested/mi2 of timber. Fewer licenses were issued in 1990 and correspondingly only 16 counties exceeded hunter and harvest rate maximums. Due to continued poor brood production, both hunter numbers and harvest was dramatically reduced during 1991-1993 and increased only slightly throughout 1994-2000, but decreased slightly in 2001. Unfortunately, the present management concern is how to maintain turkey numbers instead of the enviable situation of being concerned about hunter densities. The record number of active hunters in 2005 (since 1989) may be related to this being the first season that turkey hunters where allowed to use dogs. Likely, pheasant hunters took this opportunity to harvest turkeys opportunistically while pheasant hunting. With mandatory reporting system (initiated in 2006), active hunter numbers are undeterminable.

## Brood Survey

History: Information on annual variations in turkey productivity is needed to evaluate the status of turkey populations in various regions of the state. Because few reliable wild turkey census techniques have been developed, hunter success rates, turkey harvest levels, and age ratios of harvested birds are the best available indicators of relative turkey populations between hunting zones. Lewis (1975 a, b) found significant correlations between both August poult:hen ratios, percent juveniles in the harvest, and total gobbler harvests in the subsequent spring in Missouri, suggesting that an index to productivity would be useful in establishing hunting regulations. Compared to the more formalized census procedures used for more visible wildlife species, indices to eastern wild turkey productivity are generally based on random observations of broods.

Methods: In 2019 a mixed mode sampling system combined the traditional mail survey with an internet-based survey. A list of cooperators was established from DNR personnel and turkey license holders living in selected portions of lowa. All turkey license holders living in designated survey areas are sent a form to be returned if they are willing to participate in the survey. Each cooperator is sent a return-addressed postcard which is completed and returned based on turkey broods sighted between 1 July and 31 August. Productivity indices are constructed from these returns. Hanson (1988) compared the brood survey data with spring turkey harvest and data from a radio-telemetry study in southern lowa. The poult:hen ratio (young/adult) was the variable that correlated best with the telemetry data. Results of additional analyses indicated that the brood survey did have some utility for forecasting turkey numbers available to the hunters in following springs. Additionally, Hanson concluded that in light of the correlations with harvest data the brood survey may also be useful for evaluating the status of turkey populations in various regions of the state. Survey statistics for 2008-2019 are summarized in Table 2.9 and Table 2.10.

The 2019 survey indicated generally consistent production across the state from the five-year average. Production saw a slight dip in the northcentral region but generally the upper third of the State continues on a strong production pace. This is contradicted by the southern two thirds of the State that continue to see declines in production. Southwest and southeast lowa continue to be of concern as well as central lowa. Observers submitted 4,562 observations statewide. Wild turkey brood production in 2019 was stable from 2018 per successful hen, but down with overall poults per hen statewide. Seven of nine regions showed a decline in productive hens with the east central and southwest regions showing the largest one-year change. Overall statewide production was down $25 \%$ from the previous year. (Figure 2.5).
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Figures
Active hunters unknown after 2006 due to survey changes.
Harvest estimation methods changed from mail surveys to mandatory reporting beqinning 2007.


Figure 2.1 lowa spring turkey hunting statewide estimates, 1974-2020


Figure 2.2 Spring resident turkey hunting zones, 1974 (a) and 2020 (b).


Figure 2.3 lowa turkey harvest statewide success rates for residents, 1974-2020.


Figure 2.4 lowa turkey brood survey statewide results, 1976-2019.

Statewide

|  | 2019 | 5 Year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Hens w/Brood | $42.1 \%$ | $51.5 \%$ |
| Poults/Hen | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| \# ofReports | 4562 | 3227 |



Hems whirood= percen of saccessfinhems observed wila brood.
Pontriliea $=$ mer ponts observed per al heas.

Figure 2.5 lowa Summer Turkey Survey, 2019.


Figure 2.6 Fall turkey hunting zones, 1981 (a) and 2020 (b).


Figure 2.7 lowa fall turkey hunting statewide estimates, 1981-2019.


Figure 2.8 lowa spring turkey license issue, 2001-2020.

## Tables

Table 2.1 Number of estimated spring turkeys harvested by zone, 2007-Present.
In 2007, survey methods changed from a post-mailing survey to mandatory reporting, with an estimated $74 \%$ compliance rate.

| Year | Gun/ <br> Bow | Bow <br> Only | Resident <br> Total <br> No, | Non- <br> Resident | Total <br> Harvest <br> 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10,008 | 676 | 10,684 | 843 | 11,527 |  |
| 2008 | 9,643 | 788 | 10,431 | 898 | 11,329 |
| 2009 | 10,166 | 859 | 11,025 | 884 | 11,909 |
| 2010 | 9,156 | 907 | 10,063 | 826 | 10,889 |
| 2011 | 8,031 | 830 | 8,861 | 666 | 9,527 |
| 2012 | 8,906 | 802 | 9,708 | 749 | 10,457 |
| 2013 | 8,838 | 986 | 9,824 | 741 | 10,565 |
| 2014 | 9,587 | 1,060 | 10,647 | 754 | 11,401 |
| 2015 | 9,528 | 1,090 | 10,618 | 787 | 11,405 |
| 2016 | 10,057 | 1,230 | 11,287 | 886 | 12,173 |
| 2017 | 9,748 | 1,188 | 10,936 | 843 | 11,779 |
| 2018 | 9,672 | 1,146 | 10,818 | 883 | 11,701 |
| 2019 | 9,364 | 1,209 | 10,573 | 816 | 11,389 |
| 2020 | 10,398 | 1685 | 14,079 | 610 | 14,689 |

Table 2.2 Number of estimated active lowa spring turkey hunters by zone, 1974-Present.
Starting in 2007, the post card survey was discontinued and active hunters undeterminable.
Archery-only licenses not surveyed.

| Year | Zone |  |  |  |  | Resident Total | NonResident | Total Harvest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 92 | 99 |  | 92 |  | 283 |  |  |
| 1975 | 149 | 168 |  | 223 |  | 540 |  |  |
| 1976 | 124 | 237 |  | 484 |  | 845 |  |  |
| 1977 | 202 | 251 |  | 435 |  | 888 |  |  |
| 1978 | 255 | 289 |  | 1,078 |  | 1,622 |  |  |
| 1979 | 174 | 272 |  | 2,381 |  | 2,827 |  |  |
| 1980 | 176 | 213 | 307 | 2,909 |  | 3,605 |  |  |
| 1981 | 176 | 379 | 3,956 | 3,956 | 61 | 4,572 |  |  |
| 1982 | 493 | 447 | 270 | 4,911 | 123 | 6,244 |  |  |
| 1983 | 447 | 441 | 263 | 5,523 | 161 | 6,835 |  |  |
| 1984 | 233 | 371 | 260 | 8,676 | 243 | 9,783 |  |  |
| 1985 | 232 | 403 | 292 | 8,395 | 249 | 9,571 |  |  |
| 1986 | 232 | 445 | 308 | 9,581 | 319 | 10,885 |  |  |
| 1987 | 236 | 440 | 327 | 10,283 | 355 | 11,641 |  |  |
| 1988 | 246 | 429 | 298 | 14,152 | 547 | 15,672 |  |  |
| 1989 | 225 | 442 | 319 | 15,193 | 588 | 16,767 |  |  |
| 1990 | 231 | 456 | 301 | 21,085 | 862 | 22,935 | 174 | 23,109 |
| 1991 | 234 | 477 | 289 | 20,905 | 868 | 22,773 | 273 | 23,046 |
| 1992 | 200 | 351 | 213 | 24,321 | 919 | 26,004 | 418 | 26,422 |
| 1993 | 124 | 391 | 197 | 24,648 | 888 | 26,248 | 542 | 26,790 |


| Year | Zone |  |  |  |  | Resident Total | NonResident | Total Harvest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 157 | 365 | 217 | 26,561 |  | 27,300 | 527 | 27,827 |
| 1995 | 113 | 331 | 211 | 26,734 |  | 27,389 | 881 | 28,270 |
| 1996 | 178 | 331 | 169 | 31,591 |  | 32,269 | 1,057 | 33,326 |
| 1997 | 152 | 356 | 210 | 34,314 |  | 35,032 | 1,229 | 36,261 |
| 1998 | 174 | 395 | 226 | 35,759 |  | 36,554 | 1,858 | 38,412 |
| 1999 | 139 | 336 | 179 | 37,873 |  | 38,527 | 1,803 | 40,330 |
| 2000 | 183 | 287 | 159 | 46,705 |  | 47,334 | 1,841 | 49,175 |
| 2001 | 75 | 103 | 92 | 47,327 |  | 47,597 | 1,822 | 49,419 |
| 2002 | 70 | 136 | 93 | 46,685 |  | 47,116 | 1,796 | 48,912 |
| 2003 | 100 | 157 | 107 | 47,755 |  | 48,119 | 1,939 | 50,058 |
| 2004 | 76 | 172 | 87 | 48,507 |  | 48,842 | 2,004 | 50,846 |
| 2005 | 115 | 124 | 105 | 47,461 |  | 47,805 | 2,120 | 49,925 |
| 2006 | 113 | 200 | 142 | 47,599 |  | 48,054 | 2,166 | 50,220 |
| 2007 | estimates discontinued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.3 Number of lowa spring turkey-hunting licenses issued by zone, 2007-Present.

| Year | Gun/ <br> Bow | Bow <br> Only | Resident <br> Total | Non- <br> Resident | Total <br> Harvest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | 48,344 | 5,258 | 53,602 | 2,254 | 55,856 |
| 2008 | 46,822 | 5,596 | 52,418 | 2,258 | 54,676 |
| 2009 | 46,470 | 6,139 | 52,609 | 2,158 | 54,767 |
| 2010 | 41,406 | 6,143 | 47,549 | 2,002 | 49,551 |
| 2011 | 40,393 | 6,053 | 46,446 | 1,859 | 48,305 |
| 2012 | 37,995 | 5,287 | 43,282 | 1,877 | 45,159 |
| 2013 | 42,627 | 6,630 | 49,257 | 1,952 | 51,209 |
| 2014 | 38,259 | 6,421 | 42,637 | 1,908 | 50,966 |
| 2015 | 36,857 | 6,886 | 42,328 | 1,929 | 51,143 |
| 2016 | 42,295 | 7,170 | 42,295 | 2,007 | 51,472 |
| 2017 | 41,123 | 6,902 | 48,025 | 2,043 | 50,068 |
| 2018 | 40,466 | 6,701 | 47,167 | 2,047 | 49,214 |
| 2019 | 39,343 | 6,206 | 45,549 | 1,874 | 47,423 |
| 2020 | 48,573 | 7,900 | 56,473 | 1,713 | 58,186 |

Table 2.4 Estimated success rate of active lowa spring turkey hunters by zone, 2007-Present.

| Year | Gun/ <br> Bow | Bow <br> Only | Resident <br> Total | Non- <br> Resident |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | 20.7 | 12.9 | 20.7 | 37.4 |
| 2008 | 20.5 | 14.1 | 20.5 | 39.8 |
| 2009 | 21.9 | 14.0 | 21.0 | 41.0 |
| 2010 | 22.1 | 14.8 | 21.2 | 41.3 |
| 2011 | 19.9 | 13.7 | 19.1 | 35.8 |
| 2012 | 23.4 | 15.2 | 22.4 | 39.9 |


| Year | Gun/ <br> Bow | Bow <br> Only | Resident <br> Total | Non- <br> Resident |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 20.7 | 14.9 | 19.9 | 38.0 |
| 2014 | 22 | 16.5 | 24 | 39.5 |
| 2015 | 22 | 12.6 | 21 | 40.1 |
| 2016 | 23.7 | 17.1 | 23 | 44.1 |
| 2017 | 23.7 | 17.2 | 22.8 | 41.2 |
| 2018 | 23.5 | 18.8 | 22.9 | 43.1 |
| 2019 | 23.8 | 19.5 | 22.3 | 43.5 |
| 2020 | 24.3 | 19.6 | 22.2 | 35.6 |

Table 2.5 Number of licenses issued to lowa fall turkey hunters by zone, 2007-Present. In 1984 and 2001-Present landowners were not broken-down by zone but do appear in the total. No non-resident licenses issued for fall turkey during 1991-Present. Zones 1-3 were eliminated in 2007.

| Year | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | Bow | Resident <br> Total | Non- <br> Resident |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | - | - | - | 2,313 | 658 | 1,544 | 348 | 150 | 200 | 1,721 | 11,024 | 0 |
| 2008 | - | - | - | 1,924 | 620 | 1,375 | 348 | 150 | 200 | 1,746 | 10,243 | 0 |
| 2009 | - | - | - | 1,500 | 560 | 1,284 | 250 | 150 | 187 | 1,808 | 9,526 | 0 |
| 2010 | - | - | - | 1,349 | 456 | 1,112 | 232 | 150 | 176 | 1,956 | 8,492 | 0 |
| 2011 | - | - | - | 1,228 | 357 | 1,081 | 250 | 150 | 170 | 1,913 | 8,172 | 0 |
| 2012 | - | - | - | 1,273 | 346 | 1,190 | 250 | 150 | 196 | 2,310 | 8,664 | 0 |
| 2013 | - | - | - | 1,207 | 312 | 1,052 | 249 | 150 | 197 | 2,242 | 8,272 | 0 |
| 2014 | - | - | - | 1,214 | 292 | 977 | 250 | 150 | 185 | 2,343 | 8,507 | 0 |
| 2015 | - | - | - | 1,149 | 230 | 991 | 260 | 151 | 192 | 2,514 | 8,537 | 0 |
| 2016 | - | - | - | 1,018 | 232 | 862 | 259 | 150 | 154 | 2,488 | 7,919 | 0 |
| 2017 | - | - | - | 894 | 220 | 747 | 261 | 153 | 146 | 2,457 | 7,439 | 0 |
| 2018 | - | - | - | 754 | 194 | 640 | 255 | 150 | 131 | 2,427 | 6,935 | 0 |
| 2019 | - | - | - | 688 | 209 | 545 | 241 | 150 | 125 | 2,220 | 6,296 | 0 |

Table 2.6 Number of estimated active turkey hunters in lowa fall turkey seasons by zone, 1981-Present.
In 1984 and 2001-Present landowners were not broken-down by zone but do appear in the total. No non-resident licenses issued for fall turkey during 1991-Present.
Starting in fall of 2006, the post card survey was discontinued and active hunters undeterminable.

| Year | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unk | Bow | Resident Total | NonResident |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 |  |  |  | 1,710 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 136 | 1,846 |  |
| 1982 |  |  |  | 1,807 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 290 | 2,097 |  |
| 1983 |  |  |  | 1,650 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 | 2,075 |  |
| 1984 |  |  |  | 1,763 | 185 | 530 |  |  |  |  | 473 | 2,981 |  |
| 1985 |  |  |  | 1,906 | 250 | 699 |  |  |  |  | 445 | 3,300 |  |
| 1986 | 89 | 168 |  | 1,953 | 251 | 1,025 | 68 |  |  |  | 543 | 4,097 |  |
| 1987 | 76 | 137 | 92 | 2,966 | 264 | 1,702 | 87 |  |  |  | 738 | 6,062 |  |
| 1988 | 100 | 203 | 91 | 3,576 | 418 | 3,173 | 249 |  |  |  | 1,066 | 8,876 |  |
| 1989 | 83 | 187 | 82 | 4,679 | 585 | 4,572 | 374 |  |  |  | 846 | 11,408 | 139 |
| 1990 | 41 | 125 | 55 | 4,326 | 509 | 4,125 | 400 |  |  |  | 502 | 10,083 | 47 |
| 1991 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 3,064 |  |  |  |  | ? | 3,099 | 0 |
| 1992 |  |  | 22 |  |  | 2,362 |  |  |  |  | ? | 2,384 | 0 |
| 1993 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 2,157 |  |  |  |  | ? | 2,169 | 0 |
| 1994 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 2,343 |  |  |  |  | ? | 2,355 | 0 |
| 1995 | 30 | 11 | 33 | 1,943 | 245 | 2,740 | 234 |  |  |  | ? | 5,236 | 0 |
| 1996 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 1,727 | 334 | 3,038 | 195 |  |  |  | ? | 5,338 | 0 |
| 1997 | 21 | 18 | 11 | 1,572 | 336 | 3,293 | 218 |  |  |  | ? | 5,469 | 0 |
| 1998 | 11 | 27 | 11 | 2,678 | 337 | 3,530 | 297 |  |  |  | ? | 6,891 | 0 |
| 1999 | 22 | 29 | 21 | 2,701 | 347 | 3,605 | 300 | 161 |  | 79 | ? | 7,265 | 0 |
| 2000 | 11 | 26 | 23 | 3,300 | 355 | 3,523 | 309 | 171 |  | 56 | ? | 7,774 | 0 |
| 2001 | 19 | 20 | 10 | 1,835 | 221 | 1,809 | 157 | 67 |  | 234 | ? | 6,069 | 0 |
| 2002 | 12 | 26 | 18 | 1,827 | 233 | 1,940 | 149 | 56 |  | 362 | ? | 7,682 | 0 |
| 2003 | 13 | 9 | 15 | 2,442 | 352 | 1,808 | 139 | 58 |  | 534 | ? | 8,559 | 0 |
| 2004 | 16 | 20 | 22 | 2,214 | 328 | 1,495 | 268 | 109 |  | 622 | ? | 8,718 | 0 |
| 2005 | 19 | 14 | 13 | 2,166 | 392 | 1,256 | 260 | 109 | 116 | 528 | ? | 10,593 | 0 |
| 2006 | estimat | discont |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 2.7 Estimated harvest for lowa fall turkey hunting by zone, 2007-Present.
Zones 1-3 were eliminated in 2007.
In 2006, survey methods changed from a post-mailing survey to mandatory reporting.

| Year | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unk | Bow | Resident Total | NonResident |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | - | - | - | 427 | 131 | 298 | 45 | 38 | 34 | 389 | 105 | 1,362 | 0 |
| 2008 | - | - | - | 286 | 104 | 245 | 48 | 44 | 27 | 321 | 123 | 1,075 | 0 |
| 2009 | - | - | - | 202 | 84 | 224 | 29 | 33 | 17 | 323 | 103 | 912 | 0 |
| 2010 | - | - | - | 192 | 66 | 185 | 25 | 1 | 18 | 268 | 99 | 805 | 0 |
| 2011 | - | - | - | 170 | 50 | 197 | 31 | 31 | 24 | 276 | 112 | 779 | 0 |
| 2012 | - | - | - | 188 | 47 | 232 | 34 | 32 | 30 | 316 | 131 | 879 | 0 |
| 2013 | - | - | - | 164 | 44 | 141 | 28 | 34 | 14 | 278 | 123 | 703 | 0 |
| 2014 | - | - | - | 176 | 34 | 140 | 30 | 40 | 19 | 316 | 85 | 755 | 0 |
| 2015 | - | - | - | 145 | 41 | 150 | 31 | 35 | 24 | 331 | 117 | 757 | 0 |
| 2016 | - | - | - | 115 | 30 | 117 | 24 | 31 | 21 | 289 | 142 | 627 | 0 |
| 2017 | - | - | - | 111 | 25 | 66 | 28 | 25 | 9 | 260 | 142 | 524 | 0 |
| 2018 | - | - | - | 76 | 22 | 61 | 15 | 25 | 7 | 99 | 108 | 413 | 0 |
| 2019 | - | - | - | 76 | 14 | 69 | 26 | 32 | 15 | 91 | 131 | 454 | 0 |

Table 2.8 Success rate lowa fall turkey hunters by zone, 2007-Present.
Landowners were not broken-down by zone but do appear in the total.
In 2006, survey methods changed from a post-mailing survey to mandatory reporting.

| Year | Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |  | Resident <br> Mean |
| 2007 | 18.4 | 19.9 | 19.3 | 12.9 | 25.3 | 17.0 | 6.1 | 13.3 |
| 2008 | 14.9 | 16.8 | 17.8 | 13.8 | 29.3 | 13.5 | 7.0 | 10.5 |
| 2009 | 13.5 | 15.0 | 17.4 | 11.6 | 22.0 | 9.1 | 5.7 | 9.6 |
| 2010 | 14.2 | 14.5 | 16.6 | 10.8 | 34.0 | 10.2 | 5.1 | 9.5 |
| 2011 | 13.8 | 14.0 | 18.2 | 12.4 | 20.7 | 14.1 | 5.9 | 9.5 |
| 2012 | 14.8 | 13.6 | 19.5 | 13.6 | 21.3 | 15.3 | 5.7 | 10.1 |
| 2013 | 13.58 | 14.1 | 13.4 | 11.2 | 22.7 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 8.5 |
| 2014 | 14.5 | 11.6 | 14.3 | 12.0 | 26.7 | 10.3 | 5.5 | 8.8 |
| 2015 | 12.62 | 17.83 | 15.14 | 11.92 | 23.18 | 12.5 | 6.6 | 8.8 |
| 2016 | 11.3 | 12.9 | 13.6 | 9.3 | 20.7 | 13.6 | 5.7 | 7.9 |
| 2017 | 12.4 | 11.4 | 8.8 | 10.7 | 16.3 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 7.0 |
| 2018 | 10.1 | 11.3 | 9.5 | 5.9 | 16.7 | 5.3 | 4.4 | 5.9 |
| 2019 | 11.0 | 6.7 | 12.7 | 10.8 | 21.3 | 12.0 | 5.3 | 7.2 |

Table 2.9 lowa wild turkey brood survey results by region for birds/flock and young/adult, 2008-Present.
$\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{SH}=$ poults per successful hens, and $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{AH}=$ poults per all hens.

| Year | Northwest |  | NorthCentral |  | Northeast |  | West- <br> Central |  | Central |  | East-Central |  | Southwest |  | South- <br> Central |  | Southeast |  | Statewide |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Y/SH | Y/AH | Y/SH | Y/AH | Y/SH | Y/AH | Y/SH | Y/AH | Y/SH | Y/AH | Y/SH | Y/AH | Y/SH | Y/AH | Y/SH | Y/AH | Y/SH | Y/AH | Y/SH | Y/AH |
| 2008 | 4.20 | 2.60 | 2.90 | 1.50 | 3.80 | 1.90 | 3.90 | 1.90 | 4.00 | 1.90 | 3.70 | 1.90 | 3.10 | 1.90 | 3.60 | 2.10 | 3.50 | 1.70 | 3.60 | 1.90 |
| 2009 | 3.70 | 1.50 | 3.30 | 1.80 | 3.80 | 1.90 | 3.10 | 1.50 | 3.10 | 1.50 | 3.40 | 1.60 | 3.50 | 1.80 | 3.50 | 1.60 | 2.90 | 1.10 | 3.30 | 1.60 |
| 2010 | 4.10 | 2.10 | 3.80 | 2.80 | 3.80 | 2.40 | 3.20 | 1.60 | 3.70 | 2.30 | 3.70 | 1.90 | 3.60 | 1.70 | 4.10 | 2.00 | 3.10 | 1.40 | 3.70 | 2.00 |
| 2011 | 3.90 | 2.00 | 3.50 | 2.10 | 3.90 | 2.50 | 3.70 | 1.70 | 3.50 | 1.70 | 3.70 | 1.70 | 3.30 | 1.30 | 3.90 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 1.40 | 3.60 | 1.80 |
| 2012 | 3.90 | 1.90 | 4.20 | 3.00 | 4.70 | 3.80 | 2.70 | 1.50 | 3.50 | 2.10 | 4.00 | 2.70 | 3.70 | 2.20 | 3.90 | 2.30 | 3.10 | 1.50 | 3.80 | 2.30 |
| 2013 | 3.90 | 2.00 | 4.20 | 1.70 | 4.70 | 1.70 | 2.70 | 1.20 | 3.50 | 1.80 | 4.00 | 1.50 | 3.70 | 1.50 | 3.90 | 2.40 | 3.10 | 1.30 | 3.80 | 1.70 |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 3.49 | 2.06 | 2.82 | 1.81 | 3.81 | 2.40 | 2.04 | 1.35 | 3.42 | 1.79 | 3.61 | 1.84 | 4.22 | 1.56 | 3.40 | 1.80 | 3.97 | 1.80 | 3.42 | 1.82 |
| 2016 | 3.97 | 2.14 | 3.60 | 2.33 | 3.86 | 2.37 | 3.20 | 1.64 | 4.57 | 2.10 | 4.40 | 2.72 | 3.84 | 1.80 | 3.79 | 1.87 | 4.32 | 2.43 | 3.89 | 2.20 |
| 2017 | 4.21 | 2.42 | 3.69 | 1.94 | 4.06 | 2.04 | 5.04 | 2.47 | 4.40 | 2.45 | 4.30 | 2.46 | 3.50 | 1.94 | 4.40 | 1.97 | 4.17 | 2.20 | 4.09 | 2.12 |
| 2018 | 4.29 | 2.61 | 3.68 | 1.96 | 3.95 | 2.33 | 3.46 | 2.01 | 5.27 | 2.10 | 4.04 | 1.99 | 4.13 | 1.62 | 4.50 | 2.24 | 3.38 | 1.76 | 4.00 | 2.08 |
| 2019 | 4.51 | 2.28 | 4.08 | 2.04 | 4.28 | 1.99 | 4.60 | 1.33 | 3.56 | 1.47 | 3.50 | 0.90 | 4.53 | 1.64 | 3.65 | 1.53 | 3.57 | 0.79 | 4.02 | 1.56 |

Table 2.10 lowa wild turkey brood survey results by region for reports and percent hens with broods, 2008-Present.
\# = total reports and \% hens with broods.

| Year | Northwest |  | North- <br> Central |  | Northeast |  | West-Central |  | Central |  | East-Central |  | Southwest |  | South- <br> Central |  | Southeast |  | Statewide |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| 2008 | 134 | 62.0 | 303 | 50.2 | 377 | 48.1 | 238 | 48.3 | 145 | 48.7 | 358 | 49.9 | 120 | 60.8 | 353 | 58.3 | 247 | 47.7 | 2275 | 52.7 |
| 2009 | 135 | 41.3 | 403 | 54.1 | 688 | 50.8 | 329 | 48.8 | 213 | 46.6 | 648 | 48.3 | 302 | 51.4 | 470 | 46.8 | 467 | 39.4 | 3655 | 47.4 |
| 2010 | 200 | 51.2 | 433 | 73 | 643 | 63.5 | 389 | 50 | 255 | 63.7 | 636 | 51.4 | 340 | 47.2 | 344 | 50.3 | 377 | 46.2 | 3617 | 54.7 |
| 2011 | 164 | 52.9 | 514 | 60.1 | 629 | 63.5 | 255 | 46.9 | 281 | 49.9 | 512 | 46.6 | 286 | 40.1 | 379 | 52.1 | 424 | 45.8 | 3444 | 50.6 |
| 2012 | 173 | 46.9 | 439 | 72.6 | 641 | 79.9 | 334 | 56 | 281 | 59 | 495 | 68.4 | 308 | 58.4 | 372 | 58.8 | 391 | 48.9 | 3434 | 60.6 |
| 2013 | 128 | 57.8 | 368 | 50.4 | 490 | 50 | 178 | 46.7 | 177 | 54.9 | 343 | 53.4 | 306 | 50.4 | 252 | 63.7 | 252 | 46.1 | 2494 | 52.3 |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 181 | 58.9 | 475 | 64.2 | 545 | 63.1 | 227 | 66.1 | 296 | 52.5 | 413 | 51 | 190 | 36.9 | 485 | 52.8 | 193 | 45.4 | 3005 | 45.4 |
| 2016 | 162 | 53.8 | 575 | 64.7 | 562 | 61.4 | 225 | 51.4 | 191 | 46.5 | 498 | 61.8 | 208 | 47.1 | 489 | 49.5 | 256 | 56.4 | 3166 | 56.6 |
| 2017 | 142 | 57.5 | 517 | 52.6 | 536 | 50.2 | 170 | 49.1 | 246 | 55.7 | 341 | 56.4 | 277 | 55.6 | 523 | 44.9 | 248 | 52.7 | 3037 | 51.9 |
| 2018 | 171 | 60.8 | 512 | 53.4 | 663 | 59.1 | 235 | 58.1 | 224 | 39.9 | 494 | 49.2 | 301 | 39.2 | 731 | 49.9 | 370 | 51.9 | 3701 | 52.0 |
| 2019 | 138 | 57.4 | 576 | 51.4 | 749 | 49.8 | 257 | 28.2 | 274 | 44.7 | 413 | 34.3 | 267 | 36 | 754 | 44.1 | 295 | 21.4 | 4562 | 42.1 |

Table 2.11 lowa's spring turkey hunting seasons, 1974-Present.

| Year | Bag Limit | Poss Limit | Season |  |  |  |  | Splits | Season Length | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Zones } \end{gathered}$ | \# Sq <br> Miles | Major Rule Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Youth | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 1 | 1/License |  | 4 May-10 May | 11 May-19 May |  |  |  | 16 | 3 | 5,682 | \$10 Fee |
| 1975 | 1 | 1/License |  | 26 Apr-2May | 3May-9May | 10 May-18May |  |  | 23 | 3 | 2,749 | Third season added |
| 1976 | 1 | 1/License |  | 24 Apr-28 Apr | 29 Apr-5 May | 6 May-16 May |  |  | 23 | 4 | 2,884 | NE lowa closed for restocking |
| 1977 | 1 | 1/License |  | 21 Apr-27 Apr | 28 Apr-4 May | 5 May-15 May |  |  | 25 | 4 | 3,200 |  |
| 1978 | 1 | 1/License |  | 20 Apr-26 Apr | 27 Apr-3 May | 4 May-14 May |  |  | 25 | 6 | 3,683 |  |
| 1979 | 1 | 1/License |  | 19 Apr-25 Apr | 26 Apr-2 May | 3 May-13 May |  | Zones 1-5 | 25 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1/License |  | 26 Apr-2 May | 3 May-9 May | 10 May-20 May |  | Zones 6-8 | 25 | 8 | 9,958 | \$15, NE lowa reopened |
| 1980 | 1 | 1/License |  | 24 Apr-30 Apr | 1 May-7 May | 8 May-18 May |  | Zones 1-5 | 25 |  |  | Muzzleloader legal, W Iowa Open |
|  |  | 1/License |  | 17 Apr-23 May | 24 Apr-30 May | 1 May-11 May |  | Zones 6-9 | 25 |  | 12,942 | Stephens SF Special Zone |
| 1981 | 1 | 1/License |  | 14 Apr-20 Apr | 21 Apr-28 Apr | 29 Apr-10 May |  |  | 27 | 9 | 21,873 | Yellow River SF special zone, $2^{\text {nd }}$ choice on App, 2 licenses available |
| 1982 | 1 | 1/License |  | 13 Apr-19 Apr | 20 Apr-27 Apr | 28 Apr-9 May |  |  | 27 | 9 | 21,506 |  |
| 1983 | 1 | 1/License |  | 12 Apr-18 Apr | 19 Apr-26 Apr | 27 Apr-8 May |  |  | 27 | 8 | 23,464 |  |
| 1984 | 1 | 1/License |  | 16 Apr-19 Apr | 20 Apr-24 Apr | 25 Apr-1 May | 2 May-13 May |  | 28 | 10 | 25,172 | All 3 SF special zones, $4^{\text {th }}$ season added |
| 1985 | 1 | 1/License |  | 15 Apr-18 Apr | 19 Apr-23 Apr | 24 Apr-30 Apr | 1 May-12 May |  | 28 | 12 | 27,005 | \$20 fee, decoys legal |
| 1986 | 1 | 1/License |  | 14 Apr-17 Apr | 18 Apr-22 Apr | 23 Apr-29 Apr | 30 Apr-11 May |  | 28 | 13 | 39,211 | Combo gun/bow license, free landowner permit, archery only permit |
| 1987 | 1 | 1/License |  | 13 Apr-16 Apr | 17 Apr-21 Apr | 22 Apr-28 Apr | 29 Apr-10 May |  | 28 | 15 | 40,202 |  |
| 1988 | 1 | 1/License |  | 11 Apr-14 Apr | 15 Apr-19 Apr | 20 Apr-26 Apr | 27 Apr-8 May |  | 28 | 13 | 44,112 | Unlimited $4^{\text {th }}$ season permits, all day hunting |
| 1989 | 1 | 1/License |  | 10 Apr-13 Apr | 14 Apr-18 Apr | 19 Apr-25 Apr | 26 Apr-7 May |  | 28 | 11 | 56,043 | Entire state open |
| 1990 | 1 | 1/License |  | $9 \mathrm{Apr}-12$ Apr | 13 Apr-17 Apr | 18 Apr-24 Apr | 25 Apr-6 May |  | 28 | 5 | 56,043 | Nonresidents allowed |
| 1991 | 1 | 1/License |  | 15 Apr-18 Apr | 19 Apr-23 Apr | 24 Apr-30 Apr | 1 May-12 May |  | 28 | 5 | 56,043 |  |
| 1992 | 1 | 1/License |  | 13 Apr-16 Apr | 17 Apr-21 Apr | 22 Apr-28 Apr | 29 Apr-10 May |  | 28 | 5 | 56,043 | \$22 fee |
| 1993 | 1 | 1/License |  | 12 Apr-15 Apr | 16 Apr-20 Apr | 21 Apr-27 Apr | 28 Apr-9 May |  | 28 | 5 | 56,043 |  |
| 1994 | 1 | 1/License |  | 18 Apr-21 Apr | 22 Apr-26 Apr | 27 Apr-3 May | 4 May-15 May |  | 28 | 5 | 56,043 |  |
| 1995 | 1 | 1/License |  | 17 Apr-20 Apr | 21 Apr-25 Apr | 26 Apr-2 May | 3 May-14 May |  | 28 | 4 | 56,043 |  |
| 1996 | 1 | 1/License |  | 15 Apr-18 Apr | 19 Apr-23 Apr | 24 Apr-30 Apr | 1 May-12 May |  | 28 | 4 | 56,043 |  |
| 1997 | 1 | 1/License |  | 14 Apr-17 Apr | 18 Apr-22 Apr | 23 Apr-29 Apr | 30 Apr-11 May |  | 28 | 4 | 56,043 |  |


| Year | Bag <br> Limit | Poss Limit | Season |  |  |  |  | Splits | Season <br> Length | \# Zones | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# Sq } \\ \text { Miles } \end{gathered}$ | Major Rule Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Youth | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 1 | 1/License |  | 13 Apr-16 Apr | 17 Apr-21 Apr | 22 Apr-28 Apr | 29 Apr-10 May |  | 28 | 4 | 56,043 |  |
| 1999 | 1 | 1/License |  | 12 Apr-15 Apr | 16 Apr-20 Apr | 21 Apr-27 Apr | 28 Apr-9 May |  | 28 | 4 | 56,043 | \$22.50 fee, archers allowed 2 permits |
| 2000 | 1 | 1/License |  | 17 Apr-20 Apr | 21 Apr-25 Apr | 26 Apr-2 May | 3 May-21 May |  | 35 | 4 | 56,043 |  |
| 2001 | 1 | 1/License |  | 16 Apr-19 Apr | 20 Apr-24 Apr | 25 Apr-1 May | 2 May-20 May |  | 35 | 4 | 56,043 |  |
| 2002 | 1 | 1/License |  | 15 Apr-18 Apr | 19 Apr-23 Apr | 24 Apr-30 Apr | 1 May-19 May |  | 35 | 4 | 56,043 | \$23 fee |
| 2003 | 1 | 1/License |  | 14 Apr-17 Apr | 18 Apr-22 Apr | 23 Apr-29 Apr | 30 Apr-18 May |  | 35 | 4 | 56,043 |  |
| 2004 | 1 | 1/License |  | 12 Apr-15 Apr | 16 Apr-20 Apr | 21 Apr-27 Apr | 28 Apr-16 May |  | 35 | 4 | 56,043 |  |
| 2005 | 1 | 1/License | 8 Apr-10 Apr | 11 Apr-14 Apr | 15 Apr-19 Apr | 20 Apr-26 Apr | 27 Apr-15 May |  | 38 | 4 | 56,043 | Youth season added |
| 2006 | 1 | 1/License | 7 Apr-9 Apr | 10 Apr-13 Apr | 14 Apr-18 Apr | 19 Apr-25 Apr | 26 Apr-14 May |  | 38 | 4 | 56,043 | NW IA zone added for nonresidents |
| 2007 | 1 | 1/License | 13 Apr-15 Apr | 16 Apr-19 Apr | 20 Apr-24 Apr | 25 Apr-1 May | 2 May-20 May |  | 38 | 1 | 56,043 | Mandatory harvest reporting, 3 SF zones eliminated |
| 2008 | 1 | 1/License | 11 Apr-13 Apr | 14 Apr-17 Apr | 18 Apr-22 Apr | 23 Apr-29 Apr | 30 Apr-18 May |  | 38 | 1 | 56,043 | Season |
| 2009 | 1 | 1/License | 10 Apr-12 Apr | 13 Apr-16 Apr | 17 Apr-21 Apr | 22 Apr-28 Apr | 29 Apr-17 May |  | 38 | 1 | 56,043 |  |
| 2010 | 1 | 1/License | 9 Apr-11 Apr | 12 Apr-15 Apr | 16 Apr-20 Apr | 21 Apr-27 Apr | 28 Apr-16 May |  | 38 | 1 | 56,043 |  |
| 2011 | 1 | 1/License | 8 Apr-10 Apr | 11 Apr-14 Apr | 15 Apr-19 Apr | 20 Apr-26 Apr | 27 Apr-15 May |  | 38 | 1 | 56,043 |  |
| 2012 | 1 | 1/License | 7 Apr-15 Apr | 16 Apr-19 Apr | 20 Apr-24 Apr | 25 Apr-1 May | 2 May-20 May |  | 44 | 1 | 56,043 | Youth season extended 6 days |
| 2013 | 1 | 1/License | 6 Apr-14 Apr | 15 Apr-18 Apr | 19 Apr-23 Apr | 24 Apr-30 Apr | 1 May-19 May |  | 44 | 1 | 56,043 |  |
| 2014 | 1 | 1/License | 5 Apr-13 Apr | 14 Apr-17 Apr | 18 Apr-22 Apr | 23 Apr-29 Apr | 30 Apr-18 May |  | 44 | 1 | 56,043 | Unfilled youth tag valid until filled |
| 2015 | 1 | 1/License | 4 Apr-12 Apr | 13 Apr-16 Apr | 17 Apr-21 Apr | 22 Apr-28 Apr | 29 Apr-17 May |  | 44 | 1 | 56,043 |  |
| 2016 | 1 | 1/License | 9 Apr-17 Apr | 18 Apr-21 Apr | 22 Apr-26 Apr | 27 Apr-3 May | 4 May-22 May |  | 44 | 1 | 56,043 |  |
| 2017 | 1 | 1/License | 8 Apr-16 Apr | 17 Apr-20 Apr | 21 Apr-25 Apr | 26 Apr-2 May | 3 May-21 May |  | 44 | 1 | 56,043 |  |
| 2018 | 1 | 1/License | 7 Apr-15 Apr | 16 Apr-19 Apr | 20 Apr-24 Apr | 25 Apr-1 May | 2 May-20 May |  | 44 | 1 | 56,043 |  |
| 2019 | 1 | 1/License | 5 Apr-7 Apr | 8 Apr-11 Apr | 12 Apr-16 Apr | 17 Apr-23 Apr | 24 Apr-12 May |  | 38 | 1 | 56,043 | $\$ 28.50$ fee, youth 3 days prior to first season, Hard start of $2^{\text {nd }}$ Monday of April, Shot sizes 4-8. |
| 2020 | 1 | 1/License | 10 Apr-12 Apr | 13 Apr-16 Apr | 17 Apr-21 Apr | 22 Apr-28 Apr | 29 Apr-17 May |  | 38 | 1 | 56,043 | Fee \$28.50 |

Table 2.12 lowa's fall turkey gun hunting season, 1981-Present.
Archery only seasons same as deer seasons.

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bag } \\ & \text { Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Poss Limit | Season | Season Length | Zones | \# Sq. <br> Miles | Major Rule Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 1 | 1/License | 21 Oct-1 Nov | 12 | 2 | 4,032 | \$15 fee |
| 1982 | 1 | 1/License | 19 Oct-31 Oct | 13 | 2 | 5,254 | 1 Gun \& 1 Bow, unlimited bow permits in spring zones |
| 1983 | 1 | 1/License | 18 Oct-30 Oct | 13 | 2 | 5,254 | Hunter safety required if born after 1 Jan 1967 |
| 1984 | 1 | 1/License | 16 Oct-28 Oct | 13 | 3 | 13,685 | Decoys legal; Western, Central, and NE Iowa open |
| 1985 | 1 | 1/License | 15 Oct-27 Oct | 13 | 3 | 13,685 | \$20 fee |
| 1986 | 1 | 1/License | 14 Oct-26 Oct | 13 | 6 | 21,575 | Stephens \& Shimek SF special zones, statewide bow season |
| 1987 | 1 | 1/License | 12 Oct-8 Nov | 28 | 7 | 21,575 | 2 licenses possible, Yellow River SF special zone |
| 1988 | 1 | 1/License | 10 Oct-27 Nov | 49 | 7 | 25,402 |  |
| 1989 | 1 | 1/License | 9 Oct-26 Nov | 49 | 7 | 29,610 | Nonresidents allowed |
| 1990 | 1 | 1/License | 15 Oct-30 Nov | 47 | 7 | 39,191 |  |
| 1991 | 1 | 1/License | 14 Oct-30 Nov | 48 | 2 of 7 | 9,060 | $\$ 22$ fee, licenses issued for zones $3 \& 6$ only (NE lowa) |
| 1992 | 1 | 1/License | 17 Oct-29 Nov | 44 | 2 of 7 | 9,060 | Licenses issued for zones 3 \& 6 only (NE lowa) |
| 1993 | 1 | 1/License | 11 Oct-28 Nov | 49 | 2 of 7 | 9,060 | Licenses issued for zones 3 \& 6 only ( NE lowa) |
| 1994 | 1 | 1/License | 10 Oct-30 Nov | 52 | 2 of 7 | 9,060 | Licenses issued for zones 3 \& 6 only ( NE Iowa) |
| 1995 | 1 | 1/License | 16 Oct-30 Nov | 46 | 7 | 39,191 |  |
| 1996 | 1 | 1/License | 14 Oct-30 Nov | 48 | 7 | 39,191 |  |
| 1997 | 1 | 1/License | 13 Oct-30 Nov | 49 | 7 | 39,191 |  |
| 1998 | 1 | 1/License | 12 Oct-30 Nov | 50 | 7 | 39,191 |  |
| 1999 | 1 | 1/License | 11 Oct-30 Nov | 51 | 8 | 44,056 | \$22.50 fee, zone 8 added |
| 2000 | 1 | 1/License | 16 Oct-30 Nov | 46 | 8 | 44,056 |  |
| 2001 | 1 | 1/License | 15 Oct-30 Nov | 47 | 8 | 44,056 |  |
| 2002 | 1 | 1/License | 14 Oct-30 Nov | 48 | 8 | 44,056 | \$23 Fee |
| 2003 | 1 | 1/License | 13 Oct-5 Dec | 54 | 8 | 44,056 |  |
| 2004 | 1 | 1/License | 11 Oct-3 Dec | 54 | 8 | 44,056 |  |
| 2005 | 1 | 1/License | 10 Oct-2 Dec | 54 | 9 | 56,043 | NW IA zone added, $3^{\text {rd }}$ license available, dogs allowed |
| 2006 | 1 | 1/License | 16 Oct-1 Dec | 48 | 9 | 56,043 | Mandatory harvest reporting |
| 2007 | 1 | 1/License | 15 Oct-30 Nov | 47 | 6 | 56,043 | 3 SF zones eliminated |
| 2008 | 1 | 1/License | 13 Oct-5 Dec | 54 | 6 | 56,043 |  |
| 2009 | 1 | 1/License | 12 Oct-4 Dec | 54 | 6 | 56,043 |  |
| 2010 | 1 | 1/License | 11 Oct-3 Dec | 54 | 6 | 56,043 |  |
| 2011 | 1 | 1/License | 10 Oct-2 Dec | 54 | 6 | 56,043 |  |
| 2012 | 1 | 1/License | 15 Oct-30 Nov | 47 | 6 | 56,043 |  |
| 2013 | 1 | 1/License | 14 Oct-6 Dec | 54 | 6 | 56,043 |  |
| 2014 | 1 | 1/License | 13 Oct-5 Dec | 54 | 6 | 56,043 |  |
| 2015 | 1 | 1/License | 12 Oct-4 Dec | 54 | 6 | 56,043 |  |
| 2016 | 1 | 1/License | 10 Oct-2 Dec | 54 | 6 | 56,043 |  |
| 2017 | 1 | 1/License | 16 Oct-1 Dec | 54 | 6 | 56,043 |  |
| 2018 | 1 | 1/License | 16 Oct-30 Nov | 46 | 6 | 56,043 |  |
| 2019 | 1 | 1/License | 14 Oct-6 Dec | 54 | 6 | 56,043 | Fee \$28.50 |



## Introduction

lowa supports a wide diversity of native furbearer species including badger (Taxidea taxus), beaver (Castor canadensis), bobcat (Lynx rufus), coyote (Canis latrans), mink (Mustela vison), muskrat (Ondatra zibethicus), opossum (Didelphis virginiana), river otter (Lutra canadensis), raccoon (Procyon lotor), striped (Mephitis mephitis) and spotted (Spilogale putorius) skunk, red (Vulpes vulpes) and gray (Urocyon cinereoargenteus) fox, and weasel (Mustela spp.). Data regarding population trends for these species is important for effectively evaluating management efforts and the status of furbearer species, statewide. Long-term population data for many furbearer species is difficult to obtain and often lacking at a landscape-scale. However, data such as harvest, road-kill, the bowhunter survey, and spotlight survey indices have shown positive correlations with changes in population abundance for many of these species. The lowa Department of Natural Resources (DNR) monitors population trends of lowa furbearer species through the use of 1) annual furharvest reports, 2) the Spring Spotlight surveys, and 3) the lowa Bowhunter Observation Survey.

Each year since 1930, the lowa DNR has collected harvest data for furbearer species from licensed fur dealers in lowa (Table 3.1). According to lowa Code 109.97, every licensed fur dealer is required to report the total number of furs purchased per species from lowa trappers and hunters by 15 May, annually. Although harvest data may only indicate a trend in population abundance, long-term harvest information provides a retrospective view of the status of various furbearer populations over time. Furthermore, in 1975, in response to debates regarding trapper versus hunter access to furbearer resources in the state, the lowa DNR required licensed fur dealers to report the percent of raccoon, fox, and coyote pelts purchased from trappers and hunters, respectively. These data are useful in determining the impact of each harvest method on furbearer populations and the impact of weather on total harvest per species.

In 1978, the lowa DNR began annual, statewide Spring Spotlight Surveys for raccoons, other furbearer species, and white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus). Since 1978, the average raccoon harvest in lowa has equaled or exceeded the average total harvest of all other furbearer species combined. In recent years, raccoon pelt values have declined significantly, but still contribute to a significant portion of the total harvest value in lowa each year. Thus, the Spring Spotlight Survey provides additional and useful data for managing important furbearer species in the state. To view the full report for the Spring Spotlight Survey, go to: http://www.iowadnr.gov/Hunting/Population-Harvest-Trends

Population trend data for furbearer species have also been gathered annually since 2004 from the lowa Bowhunter Observation Survey. This report can also be viewed on the lowa DNR' website at:
http://www.iowadnr.gov/Hunting/Population-Harvest-Trends
Avid archers were identified a priori for survey and provide statewide observation data for lowa furbearers during which more than 100,000 observation hours occur annually. Avid archers were considered ideal for collecting observation data because most are 1) experts at stand placement and concealment from wildlife, 2) knowledgeable regarding species identification, 3 ) in the field many hours each year, and 4) use methods for observing white-tailed deer that lend well to observation of many furbearer species. This dataset provides a repeatable and potentially long-term survey method for supplementing annual furharvest data.

## Historic Furbearer Harvest

Prior to the $20^{\text {th }}$ century, beaver furs were one of the most desired pelts on the market due to their thickness, durability, and warmth. However, because of high demand, beavers were overharvested around much of the world, even to extinction in Europe. In lowa, beavers were extirpated by the turn of the century and populations were closed to harvest, statewide.

At the turn of the century, skunk furs were in high demand, worldwide. The fur trade was thriving as a result of increased visibility of actresses wearing furs and the high social status associated with fur products. However, in the 1930s, the market for skunk furs declined in response to demand for fox furs by the European fashion industry. During the 1930s, muskrat, mink, skunk, and opossum composed the largest proportion of total furbearer harvest in lowa. By the end of the 1930s, the total skunk harvest in lowa began to decline whereas the red and gray fox harvests were growing.

In the 1930s and 1940s, the lowa Conservation Commission (currently the lowa DNR) initiated a beaver reintroduction program in lowa. Beavers were live captured and transplanted throughout the state and by 1943, the harvest season for beaver was reopened. During the 1943-44 season, 235 beavers were harvested (Table 3.1).

By the mid to late 1940s in lowa, muskrat, mink, red and gray fox, striped and spotted skunk, opossum, coyote, and weasel harvests all faced dramatic declines in response to World War II (WWII). Within 5 years, total harvest collapsed from an all-time high of 418,484 to an all-time low of 135,108 . Twelve species composed the total harvests in the early 1940s but during the 1947-48 season, only muskrat, mink, striped and spotted skunk, red and gray fox, and raccoon were reported.

Following WWII, the fur market continued to depreciate as the production cost for labor- intensive fur products exceeded fur values and the need for fur products was replaced by the development of central heating. Society began viewing fur products as a trend characteristic of the previous generation and the demand for fox furs on the European market declined. Mink products, however, were viewed more favorably by the high class resulting in increased demand compared with previous decades.

Although demand was high, mink harvests in lowa declined sharply in the early 1950s and remained low as a result of extended drought in the region and overall low mink prices, worldwide. Muskrat, striped and spotted skunk, red and gray fox, coyote, opossum, badger, and weasel also faced dramatic harvest crashes; composing less than $5 \%$ of the total harvest during the decade. Ultimately, raccoon and muskrat harvests became more stabilized and composed the greatest proportion of the total harvest in the 1950s.

During the 1960s, total harvest increased and was relatively stable in lowa. Beaver populations had continued to recover with steady harvests averaging 6,800 . Beginning in the early 1970s, raccoon, mink, red and gray fox, coyote, opossum, and badger all saw increased harvests. Striped skunk harvest had remained well below the 1930 average during the previous two decades but also showed a stable, yet small recovery. By the 1979-80 season, record total harvests topped 1 million ( $1,146,311$ ) in lowa for the first time in recorded history.

Although record furbearer harvests were achieved in the 1970s, spotted skunk populations struggled. Reports from the 1940s indicated that spotted skunk were common in portions of lowa but by the 1970s, they were considered rare in the state. In 1976, the spotted skunk harvest season was closed, statewide, and the species was ultimately classified as an endangered species in lowa. Throughout the 1970s and 1980s, the lowa DNR received only 1 or 2 spotted skunk reports per year.

In the late 1970s and early 1980s, anti-furharvest groups formed and began protesting the development of fur products in the United States. Advertisements and celebrity endorsements were used to build public support against the fur trade. Demand for furs in North America subsequently declined although the fur market in Europe remained less affected.

Throughout the early and mid-1980s, total furharvest in lowa remained relatively strong. However, by the late 1980s,
lowa experienced extreme drought conditions. When combined with a weak global fur market, statewide harvests for all species crashed. Total reported harvest decreased by $450 \%$ in a 4 -year period; reaching a low of 216,874 by 1990-91 (Table 3.1).

Total reported furharvest in lowa remained low, stabilizing around 275,000 through the 1990s and early 2000s. Total harvest was primarily composed of raccoon and muskrat, as well as beaver, coyote, opossum, red fox, and mink in lower proportions.

For the past three years (2017-2019), the total fur harvest in lowa has continued to decline. The total harvest of all furbearer species in 2017-18 was 178,935 reported furs. In 2018-19 the total fur harvest was 162,688. For 2019-20, the total fur harvest was 144,819 (Table 3.1).

## Licensed Furharvesters and Fur Dealers

The average number of licensed furharvesters in lowa fluctuates with current fur markets (Figure 3.1). Generally, as fur prices increase, the number of furharvesters in the state increases in subsequent years, and vice versa in years when fur prices are lower. In 2019-20, the number of licensed furharvesters in lowa increased only slightly $(14,536)$ from the previous year 14,141. But remains down from the 10-year high of 20,818 in 2013-14 (Table 3.2).

Over the past 10 years, the number of licensed fur dealers in lowa has fluctuated from 31 to 49 and is also dependent upon the fur market trends (Figure 3.2). In 2019-20, there were 31 registered fur dealers (resident); similar to the previous year (32) in 2018-19-consistent with the fur market trend (Figure 3.2).

## Current Fur Market in Iowa

For the upcoming 2020-21 season, the overall wild fur market outlook again looks fairly weak, but may trend upward slightly from the previous year. Yet, still a stark contrast to when the market was relatively strong from 2010-2013. Demand is still primarily from Russia and China, with several other smaller countries buying fur. Continued instability both politically and economically in several countries of Europe and the Middle East have created a general decline for demand in the global fur market. High quality furs are still prized in the fashion/style industry. Demand for ranch mink, oil prices, current fur inventories, and other factors can give some indication how the wild fur markets will trend for the upcoming year. The trim trade for longer haired pelts such as coyotes continues to do okay. The market for raccoon pelts remains weak which is unfortunate because an increased effort to harvest raccoons in lowa is needed. Prices for wild bobcat, coyote, badger and otter are expected to remain somewhat decent for 2020-21. Muskrat prices may increase slightly from poor to fair. Demand for striped skunk, opossum, gray fox, beaver, and weasel has slowly declined over recent years and may continue that trend in the following year.

In 2019-20, furbearer prices and number of pelts sold in lowa followed current furbearer market trends. Average pelt prices increased slightly from the previous year for coyote, striped skunk and weasels, while other species declined in value. Some only fetched $50 \%$ of their value from just three years ago (Table 3.3). The total value for all species of pelts sold in lowa also declined from the previous year of $\$ 1,512,178$ in 2018 to $\$ 1,053,056$ in 2019, respectively (Table 3.4). Mink, raccoon, and red fox prices were below the 5 -year and long-term pelt price averages in 2019-20. While muskrat prices in 2019-20 were just above the 5 -year average of $\$ 2.48$ at $\$ 2.61$, and slightly above the long-term average of \$2.20 (Table 3.4).

## 2019-20 Furharvest Season in Iowa

Annual and long-term weather events, habitat, and disease significantly impact furbearer populations and harvest success in lowa. Precipitation, water levels, and the timing of freeze-up especially affect aquatic furbearer harvests throughout the state. Species like muskrat and beaver populations can be cyclic and historically fluctuate following wet/dry periods; resulting in fluctuating annual harvests.

Terrestrial furbearer (coyotes, fox, badger, etc.) harvests are impacted by the severity of winters, level of snow cover, and the duration of extreme temperatures because it effects daily animal movement. The severity of harsh winter weather has also been shown to limit hunter and trapper effort in some years. Typically, trapping and raccoon hunting success is greater during mild winters in which snow cover is minimal. Inversely, hunter success harvesting coyote and
fox increases during years of extended snow cover. Ultimately, consideration of annual weather is important for analyzing harvest trends and developing sound management strategies for furbearers in lowa.

The weather for furharvesters during the fall and winter of 2019-20 was similar to the previous year. In 2019, weather conditions were generally already cool heading into November, with extended cold fronts along with snow even by the first or second week of November. This kept temperatures throughout the state below normal (especially night time). Cold temperatures remained throughout the state until mid-December. By mid to late December, temperatures moderated upward to near normal. Moisture levels varied throughout lowa, with many parts in the north half wetter than normal, while parts of southern lowa were variable with snow even blanketing several counties by mid-November. By early to mid-November, many waterbodies in the state were frozen over already which can reduce water trapping efforts. In general, the weather was not ideal for water trapping furbearers throughout the state for much of November and early December, but ice conditions moderated some during early December to mid-January. Trapping on dry land was extremely variable due to the fluctuating temperatures and precipitation events. With low fur market prices on average and early freeze-over, effort was down slightly in 2019-20 with a lower overall harvest than the previous year (Table 3.1).

The gray fox harvest (2) in 2019-20 remained low (again), down from the 2015-16 season (44) and 2016-17 (19) season and the previous year's harvest of (7) (Table 3.3). The continued downward trend in the gray fox harvest is a concern, and obviously indicates severe declines in their population throughout the state. It should also be noted, that more gray fox are taken in for taxidermy or tanning than sold in the fur market. Those numbers are not reported to the lowa DNR. We will continue to monitor the gray fox harvest and population. Further regional (Midwest) research is needed to help answer questions about the cause of their decline over that past 10-20 years.

The proportion of pelts purchased by lowa fur dealers from trappers was higher than those harvested by hunters for raccoon ( $64 \%$ and $36 \%$ ), and fox ( $55 \%$ and $45 \%$ ), however hunters again harvested more coyotes ( $71 \%$ ) than did trappers ( $29 \%$ ) in 2019-20 (Table 3.5). Bobcat harvest by hunter versus trapper is recorded but is not complete because several animals are kept for taxidermy purposes. The total number of coyotes harvested in 2019-20 decreased slightly to 16,326 from the previous year $(18,676)$ - an all-time high since records began in 1930, and still three times above the long-term average. Reasonable fur market prices and a good population were likely reasons for another excellent coyote harvest for 2019-20 (Table 3.1).

The following sections cover 2019-20 harvest and population trends for each specific furbearer species.

## Raccoon

Raccoon harvest in the 1930s was relatively low and comprised only $3 \%$ of the total harvest. By the mid to late 1940s, raccoon harvests had tripled; comprising a significant portion of the total harvest (14\%) for the first time. Harvests steadily increased throughout the next two decades but remained relatively low until the early 1970s (Figure 3.3). During the 1970-71 season, raccoon harvest totaled 94,174. By 1975, raccoon harvests had boomed, experiencing a $300 \%$ increase to 292,064 (Table 3.1). Although harvests had climbed to nearly 100,000 during the previous 2 decades, populations still increased steadily. Corn was being planted on more and more acres creating an abundant food source. High harvest rates likely minimized disease outbreaks such as distemper, helping to maintain healthy populations as well. By the 1986-87 season, harvests reached a current, all-time high of 390,773 . However, within 4 years, harvests crashed to 103,468 (a $378 \%$ decline) as a result of poor market prices and severe regional drought. Average harvest throughout the 1990s and mid-2000s remained around 129,000. In 2011-2012, harvests again peaked to 326,368 when the fur market trended upward (Table 3.1 and Table 3.3).

In 2019-20 the statewide harvest for raccoons was 100,857 which was a decrease in harvest from 2018 (115,132) (Table 3.1). The raccoon trapping and hunting season was open from Nov 2, 2019-Jan. 31, 2020, with no daily bag limits nor possession limit (Table 3.6). The average raccoon pelt price in lowa was $\$ 4.96$ ( $\$ 1.95-\$ 6.11$ ), which was down from the 2018-19 average price ( $\$ 7.90$; Figure 3.4; Table 3.3). Trapping accounted for $64 \%$ of the total harvest, down slightly from the previous season, while hunting accounted for the remaining harvest ( $36 \%$, Table 3.5).

The 2019 lowa Bowhunter Observation Survey indicated populations trended up from the previous year throughout all
regions of lowa, and still remain high on average (Figure 3.5). Results from the 2020 Spring Spotlight Survey indicated the overall statewide raccoon population actually decreased slightly (Figure 3.6). However, individual county by county Spring 2020 Spotlight Survey data also showed results varied in lowa with some of the highest counts occurring in the southwest and east- central counties (Figure 3.7). The regional distribution averaged over the past five years shows a similar trend with higher distributions relative to other counties in southwest and east central lowa (Figure 3.8). Field reports of raccoon litters this spring and summer indicate the population may again trend upward in some regions for 2020-21. Litter sizes and abundance vary drastically by region, but overall the statewide raccoon population remains high. Increased harvest efforts by furharvesters would help keep the population in check and also reduce outbreaks of distemper. In recent years, distemper outbreaks continue to occur throughout the year

## Muskrat

Since the 1930s, muskrat consistently composed the greatest proportion of the total annual harvest in lowa (Table 3.1). Average pelt prices have always remained consistently low compared with species such as raccoon, mink, and red fox (Table 3.4). However, because of the historically high muskrat population in the state and high rate of harvest over time, muskrat furs averaged $25 \%$ of the total harvest value in recorded history.

Fluctuations in the total annual furbearer harvest have primarily been due to the cyclic behavior of muskrat populations. Historic muskrat populations in lowa fluctuated greatly following wet and dry periods. Droughts in the 1930s, 1950s, and late 1980s suppressed muskrat populations in the state. However, in subsequent wet years, populations quickly rebounded due to the prolific reproductive capacity of the species.

In 1979-80, muskrat harvest in lowa reached a current, all-time high of 741,403 (Table 3.1). Harvests varied throughout the early and mid-1980s but by the 1987-88 season, extreme drought, poor wetland conditions, and a suppressed fur market resulted in significantly depressed populations and a 30-year-low harvest (Figure 3.9). Excessive precipitation in the early 1990s improved habitat and by the mid-1990s, populations had rebounded some. In the late 1990s, wetland conditions began to deteriorate as increasing/stable, high water levels degraded marsh vegetation and habitat. Harvests again declined to pre-1993 levels and remained low; averaging 68,500 through the 2000s. In 2010-11, the muskrat harvest reached a decade high of 98,079, yet still remained well below the long-term average. It should be noted the muskrat harvest, and population has been on a downward trend since the late 1980s. Even when the average price increased for muskrats during the 2000s, the harvest didn't track upward with it, indicating a poor population that no longer boomed with the water cycle as is once did (Figure 3.10).

In 2019-20, the muskrat harvest was 14,851 which was another decrease from the previous season (16,320 Table 3.1). In 2019-20, the average muskrat pelt price in lowa was $\$ 2.61$ ( $\$ 1.00-4.89$ ), which was similar to the previous year ( $\$ 2.64$; Figure 3.10; Table 3.3). From 1997-2019, the average pelt price has remained above the long-term average ( $\$ 2.20$ ), but harvest has trended downward overall (Figure 3.10, Table 3.4).

Trapping season length (2 Nov-31 Jan), daily bag limits (no limit), and possession limits (no limit) remained similar to those in previous years (Table 3.6).

Heavy rains and some flooding occurred across much of the state in the spring and fall of 2019, this may have resulted in poor food availability in wetlands across lowa. Figure 3.10 shows that muskrat harvest used to mirror the average pelt price (fur market) until the late 1990s, after that the average pelt price went up especially by 2013, yet harvest no longer rose with the average pelt price. This indicates the population is trending downward. There is also concern whether other environmental factors are suppressing the muskrat population besides water levels. Muskrat populations seem to increase whenever favorable weather conditions do occur especially in our renovated shallow lakes in north lowa. But at the statewide level, the population has not increased in several years as seen in the past. This concern is not unique to lowa. Further studies of muskrats will likely be underway in the Midwest over the next few years.

## Coyote

Coyote harvest in the 1930s was nearly non-existent in lowa and totaled only 517 animals throughout that entire decade (Figure 3.11). Harvests increased in the 1940s and averaged 374 per year, but by the 1950s, had once again dropped off. Through the 1950s and 1960s, harvests averaged fewer than 75 animals per year with annual harvests as low as 10 per
year. Beginning in the 1968-69 season, coyote harvests increased noticeably and by 1976-77, reached a current, all-time high of 12,226 (Table 3.1). Since the late 1970s, harvests varied annually, and gradually decreased in the state but remained high in comparison to previous decades. Except for a dramatic decline in the late 1980s, harvests through the late 2000s averaged 6,800, well above the long-term average (4,207) (Figure 3.11). Then from 2009 to present, the harvest has increased significantly overall.

In 2018-19, the coyote harvest was the highest on record at 18,676 which was an increase from the previous season's harvest $(15,185)$ and well above the long-term average (Table 3.1). It's also notable the coyote harvest had been high for the previous two years (2017 and 2018). The harvest for 2018-19 was nearly twice as high as the harvest in 2016-17 $(9,283)$. For 2019-20, the harvest declined a bit to 16,326. The trapping and hunting season length (trapping: 2 Nov- 31 Jan, hunting: year-round), daily bag limits (no limit), and possession limits (no limit) remained the same to previous years (Table 3.6). The average coyote pelt price in lowa for 2019-20 was $\$ 26.68$ ( $\$ 8.51-35.26$ ), which was slightly higher than the 2018-19 average price ( $\$ 24.97$; Table 3.3). Coyote pelts have held their value during the recent fur market decline. Trapping accounted for a lower proportion of the harvest ( $29 \%$ ) than hunting $(71 \%)$ which is similar to the previous season (Table 3.5). Ideal hunting conditions mainly occurred in January and February with significant snowfall to portions of the state. Strong pelt prices, changes in the technology of the equipment used for coyote hunting, tv shows, videos, etc. have all contributed to an overall popularity surge in coyote trapping and hunting effort.

The lowa Bowhunter Observation Survey indicated the statewide population trends were variable for 2019 depending on the region of the state. There was an upward trend in 2019 throughout the west central, southwest regions of the state, but trended downward slightly in the other regions (Figure 3.12). Overall statewide, coyote population trends from 2012 to 2019 appear to be fairly stable in all regions and remain quite high for many regions of the state, especially the southwest. The 2020 Spring Spotlight Survey trended down slightly overall for number of coyotes seen from the previous year statewide (Figure 3.13). The 5 -year average relative distribution among counties shows the highest abundance in the western half of the state and the east central region of the state, and a relatively high abundance throughout (Figure 3.14). In 2019, there were a similar number of reports to 2018 from towns and cities in lowa that urban coyotes were living within city limits.

## Red Fox

Red fox harvests through the mid-1940s averaged approximately 6,900 in lowa. Steady declines throughout the late 1940s and 1950s resulted in an all-time low harvest of 1,147 during the 1958-59 season. Harvest numbers rebounded in the 1960s and in the 1968-69 season, reached a current, all-time high of 27,661 . Harvests fluctuated sharply throughout the next two decades but remained high, averaging 19,000 through the mid-1980s. In the late 1980s, red fox harvests began a steady decline and since the 2004-05 season, remained below the long-term average of 10,631 (Table 3.1, Figure 3.13).

In addition to depressed fur markets in the 1980s, recent red fox population declines in lowa have been attributed to three occurrences. Since the early 1980s, mange has remained persistent in red fox populations and suppressed population recovery in the state. Secondly, habitat loss especially grasslands hurt fox populations. Furthermore, high coyote populations have resulted in encroachment on areas historically considered red fox habitat, increased competition for food and den sites, and increased predation by coyotes.

In 2019-20, the red fox harvest was 1,487 , which was up slightly from the previous season ( 1,357 ), near the 5 -year average, and $15 \%$ of the long-term average (Table 3.1). Trapping and hunting season length ( 2 Nov- 31 Jan), daily bag limits (no limit), and possession limits (no limit) remained the same to previous years (Table 3.6). In 2019-20, the average red fox pelt price in lowa was $\$ 8.14$ ( $\$ 1.50-12.50$ ), which was down slightly from the 2018-19 price ( $\$ 9.39$; Table 3.3). The average pelt price has remained higher than the harvest since 2005 which also indicates the fox population statewide is still relatively low but stable (Figure 3.15). Trapping accounted for $55 \%$ of the total harvest (red and gray fox), which was down from the previous season (Table 3.5). Hunting accounted for $45 \%$ of the total harvest (red and gray fox).

The 2019 lowa Bowhunter Observation Survey indicated that population trends throughout most of the regions of the state were stable to down slightly compared to previous years, especially the central region. Red fox observations
trended up in the east central region (Figure 3.17). The 2020 Spring Spotlight Survey showed a decrease overall from the previous year (Figure 3.18). That survey also shows the 5 - year average of highest distribution of red fox relative to other counties occurs in the central and eastern half of lowa, and remains lower in western lowa (Figure 3.19). Field reports during the spring and summer of 2020 indicate an increase in red fox litters in some regions of the state, but remain quite variable locally. In recent years, there is an increasing number of red fox litters being reported in urban areas throughout central lowa.

## Gray Fox

Gray fox harvests in lowa have followed similar trends to those of red fox, although historically, populations have always existed at significantly lower numbers. During the 1930s and 1940s, harvests averaged around 1,300. Gray fox harvests dropped below 1,000 in the late 1940s and remained low until the early 1970s. Harvests steadily increased and during the 1979-80 season, reached a current, all-time high of 3,093 . Whereas red fox harvests remained high throughout the 1980s, gray fox harvests have since dramatically declined (Figure 3.20). Since 1996-97, gray fox harvests have remained below their long-term average of 791.

In 2019-20, the reported gray fox harvest was again at an all-time low of just 2 in lowa (Table 3.1). In 2017-18, the gray fox harvest was only 4, well below the recent and long-term averages (Table 3.1). Trapping and hunting season length (2 Nov-31 Jan), daily bag limits (no limit), and possession limits (no limit) remained the same as previous years (Table 3.6). The average gray fox pelt price in lowa was $\$ 10.00$ ( $\$ 10.00-10.00$ ), which was the same to the 2018-19 average price ( $\$ 10.00$; Table 3.3). Trapping accounted for $55 \%$ of the total harvest (red and gray fox), which was lower than the previous season (Table 3.5). Hunting accounted for $45 \%$ of the total harvest (red and gray fox).

The 2019 lowa Bowhunter Observation Survey indicated a low number of observations statewide across most regions of the state. Populations trended upward slightly from the previous year in the east central and south central regions of lowa and stable to downward especially in north east lowa (Figure 3.21). Recent efforts to conduct research on the cause(s) of the gray fox population decline has been initiated in the Midwest. It is a concern.

## Beaver

By the early $20^{\text {th }}$ century, beaver were extirpated from lowa. Harvest seasons remained closed throughout the 1930s and early 1940s while a statewide translocation and reintroduction program occurred. In 1943, the beaver harvest season was reopened and 235 were harvested. Beaver harvests averaged 450 through the late 1940 s and by the early 1950s, began a steady upward trend. Harvests reached a current, all-time high of 18,459 during the 1988-89 season (Table 3.1). Harvests declined in the early 1990s although quickly stabilized, averaging 10,800 through the early 2000s. Harvests progressively declined in the 2000s and dropped below the long-term average ( 7,085 ) during the 2004-05 and 2006-07 through 2010-11 seasons (Figure 3.22).

In 2012-13, the beaver harvest reached a 19-year high of 15,457; a number similar to the harvests recorded during the 1990s (Table 3.1). The harvest in 2019-20 increased to 5,505 from 3,893 in 2018-19. Trapping season length (2 Nov-15 April), daily bag (no limit), and possession (no limit) limits have remained the same since the season was extended from April $1^{\text {st }}$ to April $15^{\text {th }}$ in the spring of 2012 (Table 3.6). The 2019-20 average beaver pelt price in lowa was $\$ 6.37$ ( $\$ 3.50-$ 10.00 ), which was similar as the 2018-19 average pelt price ( $\$ 6.83$; Table 3.3). Field reports, observations, and nuisance complaints actually indicate the beaver population is trending upward despite the low harvest in 2019-20. The low harvest is very likely due to a low amount of trapping effort not a lack of beavers. Trapping beavers and handling their pelts for market is a lot of work. Because their pelt value is so low, many trappers are choosing to pursue other species of furbearers instead. However, the beaver castor market remains strong.

## Mink

The proportion of mink in the total lowa fur harvest has remained relatively constant since the 1930s. Mink harvests reached a current, all-time high of 60,397 during the 1946-47 season as a result of a sudden increase in value from the previous season ( $\$ 6.75$ to $\$ 28.16$ per pelt). During World War II, European demand for furs collapsed and within 2 seasons, lowa mink harvests dramatically fell to 16,571 . Mink harvests stabilized in the early 1950 s and averaged around 16,000 through the next 4 decades. Since the mid-1990s, mink harvests have remained below the long-term average. Harvests in the early and mid-2000s showed a steady decline although in 2010-11, topped the 5 - and 10-year averages
at 11,262 (Figure 3.23). Mink harvest does still continue to mirror the average pelt value in lowa meaning the amount of harvest trends upward or downward with pelt values (Figure 3.24)

The 2019-20 mink harvest was 2,026 which is a decrease from 4,021 in the previous season (Table 3.1). The 2019-20 harvest was also below the 5 -year and 10 -year averages, and well below long- term average ( 19,001 ) (Table 3.1). Again, fur prices, rather than the population level, has reduced the trapper effort for mink. Disease threats to wild mink are another factor that may impact the mink population negatively, but the extent of that impact is unknown at this time. The trapping season length ( 2 Nov-31 Jan), daily bag (no limit), and possession (no limit) limits remained the same as in previous years (Table 3.6). The average mink pelt price in lowa was $\$ 3.36$ ( $\$ 1.00-5.00$ ) in 2019-20, which was lower than the 2018-19 price ( $\$ 5.17$; Table 3.3).

## Opossum

During the 1933-34 harvest season, the opossum harvest reached a current, all-time high of 83,625 (Figure 3.25). In the preceding and following years, harvests more typically averaged around 30,000. In the late 1940s, harvests significantly declined, reaching an all-time low of 953 in 1958-59. Opossum harvests remained below 10,000 until the early 1970s, when harvests again reached numbers comparable to those seen in the mid-1940s. In the late 1980s, harvests decreased again and have remained below the long-term average $(14,549)$ from the 1990 s to present.

The 2019-20 opossum harvest was 532, which decreased from the previous season (914) below the 5 -year, 10-year and long-term averages (Table 3.1). Trapping season length (2 Nov-31 Jan), daily bag (no limit), and possession limits (no limit) remained the same as previous years (Table 3.6). The average opossum pelt price in lowa was $\$ 0.80$ ( $\$ 0.50-5.21$ ), which was slightly lower than the 2018-19 price ( $\$ 1.02$; Table 3.3).

The 2019 lowa Bowhunter Observation Survey indicated the population trended upward in northwest, and the southwest regions of lowa and downward in all other regions of the state (Figure 3.26). The 2020 Spring Spotlight Survey showed overall statewide observations trended upward slightly from the previous spring's survey (Figure 3.27). The fiveyear average distribution of opossum is highest in southwest lowa and lower in northern regions of the state (Figure 3.28).

## Badger

Although an all-time low badger harvest occurred in 1932-33 (17), stable harvests averaging 450 per year were recorded from the mid-1930s until the mid-1940s. Harvests declined in subsequent years and averaged below 100 throughout the 1950s. By the late 1960s, badger harvests reached levels comparable to those recorded in the early 1940s. In the 1970s, harvest rates boomed in lowa, reaching an all-time high of 3,274 during the 1979-80 season. Harvests remained high throughout the 1980s but ultimately crashed to below 500 by the early 1990s. Harvests fluctuated around the long-term average (670) throughout the 1990s and 2000s. The long-term trend in the badger harvest is slightly increasing but fluctuates up and down annually (Figure 3.29, Table 3.1).

In 2019-20, the badger harvest was 559 which was nearly identical to the previous year (547, Table 3.1), slightly above the 5 -year harvest average (425) and slightly below the long-term average (671) for lowa. Trapping season length (2 Nov-31 Jan), daily bag (no limit), and possession limits (no limit) remained the same as previous years (Table 3.6). For 2019-20, the average badger pelt price in lowa was $\$ 11.66$ ( $\$ 3.50-22.50$ ), which was slightly lower than the 2018-19 price (\$12.22; Table 3.3).

The 2019 lowa Bowhunter Observation Survey indicated that populations were variable by region with fewer observations overall than other furbearer species on average. An upward trend was observed in the northwest, southeast, and east central regions of lowa and were down in the southwest region from the previous year. Overall though, this survey shows the statewide population trend for badgers in lowa is mainly stable (Figure 3.30). Populations in western lowa have typically remained a little higher than the remainder of the state in most years. This is especially evident looking at the five-year average distribution of relative abundance among counties (Figure 3.31). This is likely due to the open terrain, less precipitation, and lighter soils which badgers prefer.

## Spotted Skunk

Spotted skunk (also called civet cat) was proportionally one of the top 4 most harvested furbearer species throughout the 1930s in lowa. In 1933-34, an all-time record 88,532 were harvested (Table 3.1). In 1946-47, the spotted skunk harvest crashed, although similar trends were recorded for most furbearer species in the state at that time (Figure 3.32). Harvests stabilized around 1,700 in the 1950s and remained low throughout the decade. Many furbearer species began to show improvements in harvest numbers by the mid-1960s, but spotted skunk populations began a further decline. In 1976, the spotted skunk harvest season was closed and the species was classified as an endangered species in lowa. During the 1970s and 1980s, 1-2 spotted skunk sightings were reported to the lowa DNR per year. Since 1992, the only reported sighting in the state was a road kill individual in Ringgold County in southwest lowa. We do get an occasional report of one in southern lowa, but have not been able to verify any of them to this point. In 2014; two to three spotted skunks were reported/documented in the Camp Dodge area on 7/20/14. This was the first documented case of spotted skunks in lowa in the past 20 years. In 2016, a roadkill spotted skunk was confirmed in Sac County. This animal was retained and kept for genetic study. For 2019, no new documented spotted skunk reports occurred. Spotted skunk numbers are nearly non-existent in lowa. This is likely due to habitat changes and changes in farming practices. Time will tell if more ever show up in lowa, but the outlook for that to occur is probably unlikely.

## Striped Skunk

Striped skunk was proportionally the second most harvested furbearer species during the 1930s in lowa. In 1936-37, an all-time record harvest of 153,497 was reported, although over the subsequent decade, harvest numbers for striped skunk steadily declined. By the early 1950s, harvests dropped below 10,000 and have generally averaged below 1,000 since 2008-09 (Figure 3.33).

In 2019-20, the striped skunk harvest was 738, which was up from the previous season (557), which is above the 5-year average (533) but below the 20-year average (721, Table 3.1). Trapping season length ( 2 Nov- 31 Jan), daily bag limits (no limit), and possession limits (no limit) remained similar to previous years (Table 3.6). The average striped skunk pelt price in 2019-20 for lowa was $\$ 3.26$ ( $\$ 0.80-6.27$ ), which was up only slightly from the 2018-19 price ( $\$ 2.81$; Table 3.3).

The 2019 lowa Bowhunter Observation Survey indicated the population trended upward in central, western, and southern regions from the previous year and trended downward in the north east region (Figure 3.34). This survey shows an overall upward trend in the striped skunk population after a downward trend for the past 10 years (20082018) in all regions of lowa. Populations have typically been highest in western and south-central portions of the state and relatively lower in central and eastern portions since the mid-2000s when this survey started. The 2020 Spring Spotlight Survey showed total striped skunk observations for the entire state decreased slightly from the previous spring 2019 (Figure 3.35). The 5-year average of relative distribution compared among counties is highest in the southwest and eastern regions of Iowa (Figure 3.36).

Although both surveys (bowhunter and spotlight) indicate that decent numbers exist throughout lowa, low market prices for skunk furs have likely kept the effort to harvest relatively low in comparison to other species (e.g., badger) which remain at low population numbers yet produce relatively higher harvests due to good fur prices. The overall downward population trend indicated by the spring spotlight survey will continue to be monitored.

## Weasel

Weasel harvests during the 1930s and 1940s were characterized by dramatic fluctuations (Figure 3.37). In 1936-37, just 4 years following a decade low harvest of 256 , the weasel harvest reached a current, all-time high of 7,190. Harvests averaged 4,400 in the early and mid-1940s but by the mid-1950s, had dropped below 500 per year. Weasel harvests steadily decreased during the next 3 decades and in 1976, the harvest season was closed in lowa. In 1987, the weasel harvest season was once again reopened, although the first reported harvested weasels did not occur until 2009-10. Harvests in 2009-10 and 2010-11 were 56 and 7, respectively, characteristic of the low harvest numbers reported throughout the 1960s and 1970s. Few trappers target weasels.

In 2019-20, the reported weasel harvest was 5 animals (Table 3.1). Although in should be noted that most trappers that target weasels keep most of their weasel pelts for tanning and don't sell them as pelts in the regular fur market. Trapping season length (2 Nov-31 Jan), daily bag (no limit), and possession (no limit) limits remained similar to previous
years (Table 3.6). The average weasel pelt price in 2019-20 for lowa was $\$ 2.00$ ( $\$ 2.00-2.00$ ), which was slightly higher than the 2018-19 price ( $\$ 1.00$; Table 3.3).

Low harvest numbers may indicate that statewide populations have not recovered that much since the 1970s. However, it is also likely that trappers have not yet targeted the species to any great extent since the harvest season was reopened in 1987 due to the low value of weasel pelts. Weasels are extremely hard to survey for population size estimates, right now, little is known about their population size and distribution throughout the state.

## River Otter

Except for small remnant populations along the Upper Mississippi River, the river otter was extirpated from lowa by the early $20^{\text {th }}$ century. In 1985, the lowa DNR and partners initiated a reintroduction program in which 16 otters were released at Red Rock Reservoir in Marion County. Due to state regulations, the lowa DNR was not able to directly purchase otters from Louisiana. A compromise was reached between lowa, Kentucky, and Louisiana in which Kentucky purchased the otters from Louisiana (\$400/otter) and lowa traded wild turkeys to Kentucky (2 turkeys/otter) in exchange for the otters.

Between 1985 and 2003, a total of 345 otters were released throughout the state. By 2006, otter populations had expanded statewide. The lowa DNR created the first regulated otter trapping season in 2006. The harvest quota was set at 400 animals (limit of 2 per licensed furharvester) and a 72 -hour reporting grace period was established until the quota was met (Table 3.8). The 2006 harvest exceeded the quota by 66 otters so in 2007, the reporting grace period was shortened to 24 hours. The shortened grace period proved effective as the 2007 harvest exceeded the quota by only 16 animals. Harvest quotas were increased to 500 for the 2008, 2009, and 2010 seasons with harvests totaling 495, 519, and 515 per year, respectively. The otter harvest quota was increased in subsequent years as the population increased. The otter harvest quota was lifted for the first time during the 2013-14 trapping season. The general furharvest season timing and length was used for this otter trapping season; however, the bag limit was reduced from 3 otters down to 2 otters per trapper. The 2013-14 otter harvest was 1,165.

Otter harvest for 2019-20 season was 771 animals. County by county harvest is documented through CITES tag harvest reports which shows the highest otter harvests again occurred in eastern lowa (Figure 3.38).

The average otter pelt price in 2019-20 was $\$ 15.42$ ( $\$ 11.00-25.00$ ), which was lower than the 2018-19 average price (\$19.04; Table 3.3).

Since the trapping season was established in 2006, the sex ratio of harvested otters has remained relatively even (Figure 3.39). Foothold traps, conibear traps, and snares were the most common harvest method in the state (Figure 3.40; Table 3.9). The number of furharvesters intentionally targeting otters ( $41 \%$ ) is slowly increasing, but incidental captures appear to be the most common cause for capture in lowa at this time ( $53 \%$ ) (Figure 3.41 and Figure 3.42).

The lowa Bowhunter Observation Survey is somewhat useful for otters, but not as much (correlated) as it is for other upland furbearer species that are more readily viewed by bowhunters. It is still a useful survey to gauge regional population trends. The 2019 bowhunter survey indicated the population trended upward across northern regions, but were down in the southeast and southcentral regions (Figure 3.43).

Otter populations appear to be quite variable from region to region throughout lowa, but generally doing very well. Habitat quality is probably the most limiting factor. With the pelt value still fair to poor during the $2019-20$ season, the harvest was down from previous years - still below the 1,000 mark. This is likely due to lower trapper effort and early ice conditions in November, not a decline in the population. We will continue to gauge population trends however. At this time, the trapping regulations in place for the otter harvest are reasonable for managing the population for balance. However, if data indicates the otter population is trending steadily downward or upward; then more restrictive or liberal harvest will be implemented. For otter, trapping is an especially effective population management tool because otter do not have many natural predators in lowa but can be successfully trapped. The otter population must be managed to also fit social acceptance especially with pond owners and fishermen.

## Bobcat

Three felid species including bobcat, Canada lynx, and mountain lion were native to lowa, although historically, bobcats were most common. By the 1930s, only small remnant populations of bobcat remained scattered throughout the state, particularly in northeast lowa. Between the 1940s and 1980s, bobcat sightings were exceedingly rare and the species was likely nearly extirpated for extended periods of time.

Since the early 1990s, bobcat sightings, road kills, and incidental captures by trappers had progressively increased in lowa. By the early 2000s, confirmed bobcat sightings were recorded in 44 counties, primarily in southern lowa and along the Mississippi and Missouri River. Populations were naturally expanding in lowa, which was similarly being documented in Missouri, Nebraska, and Kansas. In 2003, the lowa DNR concluded that populations had steadily increased and stabilized; therefore, bobcats were delisted as a threatened species in the state. Over the next 2 years, bobcat sightings continued to increase. By 2005, confirmed sightings had been recorded in 78 counties.

In 2007, the lowa DNR created the first regulated bobcat harvest season in the state. The harvest quota was set at 150 animals (limit of 1 per licensed furharvester) and a 24 -hour reporting grace period was established until the quota was met (Table 3.10). Bobcat harvest was limited to the bottom two tiers of counties in lowa ( 21 counties). The 2007 harvest included 149 bobcats plus an additional 5 road kill individuals.

Harvest quotas were increased to 200 bobcats during the 2008 and 2009 seasons with harvests totaling 232 and 231, respectively. Woodbury, Monona, Harrison, and Pottawattamie counties along the Missouri river were added to the open zone. In 2010, harvest quotas were further increased to 250 and a total of 263 bobcats were reported. The 2010 open zone was expanded to include the bottom 3 tiers of counties in lowa plus Guthrie County in south-central lowa. In 2011, the harvest quota was set at 350 (limit of 1 per licensed furharvester) and the open harvest zone remained similar to the 2010 zone (Figure 3.44). In 2012, the harvest quota was set at 450 . The bobcat harvest in 2012 was 528 (Table 3.10). The bobcat harvest quota was lifted for the first time in the 2013-14 fur season and the general trapping season length and timing were used; as it was for otters also. Bobcats can be trapped or hunted. The 2013-14 harvest for bobcats was 978 (Table 3.10).

Since then, the fur market declined and the bobcat harvest has decreased to 706 and 535 in 2014-15 and 2015-16, respectively. For the 2017-18 season, the bobcat harvest was 819 . The bobcat harvest decreased to 687 in 2018-19. The bag limit was increased to 3 bobcat/furharvester in the southern three tiers of counties for 2019-20. The bobcat harvest for the 2019-20 season increased to 1160 . The average bobcat pelt price in lowa for 2019-20 was $\$ 29.37$ ( $\$ 10.00-52.00$ ), which was lower than the 2018-19 price ( $\$ 58.60$ ) which makes bobcats the most valuable species for the fur market (average pelt value) of all lowa furbearer species (Table 3.3). Harvest was highest in the southcentral to south east regions of lowa (Figure 3.45). In 2019-20, bobcat harvest occurred more evenly throughout the season. More so than previous years when bobcat harvest was mainly in November and decreased in December and January. The most harvest occurred on weekends and holidays (Figure 3.46). There were 67 bobcats harvested by gun deer hunters in 2019, which is increasing. Archers harvested 72 bobcats in 2018 (Table 3.11).

Since the bobcat harvest season was established in 2007, the sex ratio of harvested bobcats has remained relatively even, with a slightly higher proportion of females harvested (49\%), than males ( $45 \%$ ), and $6 \%$ unknown (Figure 3.47). Snares, conibear traps, and foothold traps were the most common trapping method and calling the most common hunting method in the state (Figure 3.48; Table 3.11). The number of bobcats intentionally harvested (43\%) has been slowly increasing but remained similar to incidental harvest (44\%) in 2018-19 (Figure 3.49 and Figure 3.50).

The 2019 lowa Bowhunter Observation Survey indicated that since regulated bobcat trapping began in 2007, populations have remained fairly stable to increasing throughout the state. For 2019, bobcat observations trended upward in the northwest region, and the southeast region especially (Figure 3.51). Regional population trends show the highest number of observations occurred the southern regions of lowa in 2019. This is fairly consistent with data documented from research, harvest, road kills, incidental trapping captures, and field reports of sightings. Bobcat population expansion rates in central and east central lowa have finally started to increase. Bobcat population expansion into central and northern lowa has been slow but fairly consistent. Lower numbers of bobcats in these regions of lowa is mainly due to a lack of ideal habitat when compared with southern lowa. The bobcat population in lowa is still
expanding in numbers and distribution in a south to north direction generally. Bobcat populations have remained good throughout the state where ideal habitat exists especially in southern and western lowa. Time will tell if bobcats naturally spread into northeast lowa where additional good habitat is available. For 2019-20, bobcat harvest season changes were made. The bag limit was increased from 1 to 3 for the southern 3 tiers of counties in lowa. The season dates and season length will remain the same as it was for the 2018-19 season (2 Nov-31 Jan).
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Figure 3.1 Number of licensed lowa furharvesters and total harvest value in lowa (2001-present).


Figure 3.2 Number of licensed lowa fur dealers and total harvest value in lowa (2001-present).


Figure 3.3 Annual raccoon harvests reported by licensed fur dealers in lowa (1930-present).


Figure 3.4 Raccoon harvest in lowa and average pelt price paid by fur dealers (1977-present).


Figure 3.5 Results of raccoon Bowhunter Observation Survey in lowa (2008-present).


Figure 3.6 Results of April raccoon spotlight surveys in lowa (1977-present).


Figure 3.7 Total number of raccoon observations per county in 2020. Color shading indicates the number of observations per mile surveyed (OPMS).


Figure 3.8 Relative distribution of average Spring Spotlight Survey raccoon observations for the last 5 years. The number of observations per county is relative to the highest and lowest number of observations across all counties during the survey and may not represent an over- or under-abundance of the species (i.e., high counts are considered high relative to those observed in all other counties).


Figure 3.9 Annual muskrat harvests reported by licensed fur dealers in lowa (1930-present).


Figure 3.10 Muskrat harvest in lowa and average pelt price paid by fur dealers (1977-present).


Figure 3.11 Annual coyote harvests reported by licensed fur dealers in lowa (1930-present).


Figure 3.12 Results of coyote Bowhunter Observation Survey in lowa (2008-present).


Figure 3.13 Total coyote observations by year during the lowa Spring Spotlight Survey, 2008- present.


Figure 3.14 Relative distribution of average Spring Spotlight Survey coyote observations for the last 5 years. The number of observations per county is relative to the highest and lowest number of observations across all counties during the survey and may not represent an over- or under-abundance of the species (i.e., high counts are considered high relative to those observed in all other counties).


Figure 3.15 Annual red fox harvests reported by licensed fur dealers in lowa (1930-present).


Figure 3.16 Red fox harvest in lowa and average pelt price paid by fur dealers (1977-present).

## Red Fox Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


Figure 3.17 Results of red fox Bowhunter Observation Survey in Iowa (2008-present).


Figure 3.18 Total red fox observations by year during the lowa Spring Spotlight Survey, 2008- present.


Figure 3.19 Relative distribution of average Spring Spotlight Survey red fox observations for the last 5 years. The number of observations per county is relative to the highest and lowest number of observations across all counties during the survey and may not represent an over- or under-abundance of the species (i.e., high counts are considered high relative to those observed in all other counties).


Figure 3.20 Annual gray fox harvests reported by licensed fur dealers in lowa (1930-present).

## Gray Fox Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


Figure 3.21 Results of gray fox Bowhunter Observation Survey in lowa (2008- present).

Beaver Harvest in lowa (1930-Present)


Figure 3.22 Annual beaver harvests reported by licensed fur dealers in lowa (1930-present).


Figure 3.23 Annual mink harvests reported by licensed fur dealers in lowa (1930-present).

Mink Harvest in lowa in Relation to Average Pelt Price per Year (1977-Present)


Figure 3.24 Mink harvest in lowa and average pelt price paid by fur dealers (1977-present).


Figure 3.25 Annual opossum harvests reported by licensed fur dealers in lowa (1930-present).

## Opossum Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


Figure 3.26 Results of opossum Bowhunter Observation Survey in lowa (2008-present).


Figure 3.27 Total Virginia opossum observations by year during the lowa Spring Spotlight Survey, 2008-present.


Figure 3.28 Relative distribution of average Spring Spotlight Survey Virginia opossum observations for the last 5 years. The number of observations per county is relative to the highest and lowest number of observations across all counties during the survey and may not represent an over- or under-abundance of the species (i.e., high counts are considered high relative to those observed in all other counties).

Badger Harvest in lowa (1930-Present)


Figure 3.29. Annual badger harvests reported by licensed fur dealers in lowa (1930-present).

## Badger Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


Figure 3.30 Results of badger Bowhunter Observation Survey in lowa (2008-present).


Figure 3.31 Relative distribution of average Spring Spotlight Survey American badger observations for the last 5 years. The number of observations per county is relative to the highest and lowest number of observations across all counties during the survey and may not represent an over- or under-abundance of the species (i.e., high counts are considered high relative to those observed in all other counties).


Figure 3.32 Annual spotted skunk harvests reported by licensed fur dealers in lowa (1930-present).


Figure 3.33 Annual striped skunk harvests reported by licensed fur dealers in lowa (1930-present).

## Striped Skunk Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted <br> Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources



Figure 3.34 Results of striped skunk Bowhunter Observation Survey in lowa (2008-present).


Figure 3.35 Total striped skunk observations by year during the lowa Spring Spotlight Survey, 2008-present.


Figure 3.36 Relative distribution of average Spring Spotlight Survey striped skunk observations for the last 5 years. The number of observations per county is relative to the highest and lowest number of observations across all counties during the survey and may not represent an over- or under-abundance of the species (i.e., high counts are considered high relative to those observed in all other counties). Skunk includes all observations recorded as "striped skunk" and "skunk" and likely includes none or few spotted skunk observations due to the rarity of the species in the state.


Figure 3.37 Annual weasel harvests reported by licensed fur dealers in lowa (1930-present).

## River Otters Harvested Per County 2019-20



Figure 3.38 River otter harvest per county in lowa, 2019-20.


Figure 3.39 Sex ratio of harvested river otters in lowa (2006-present).


Figure 3.40 Harvest method of river otters in lowa (2006-present).

## Percent of Otter Harvest Intentionally Targeted by Furharvesters in lowa (2006-Present)


aIntentional
aIncidental
aUnknown

Figure 3.41 Percent of river otters intentionally and incidentally harvested in lowa (2006-present).


Figure 3.42 Trend for furharvesters intentionally targeting river otters in lowa (2006-present).

River Otter Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted
Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


Figure 3.43 Results of river otter Bowhunter Observation Survey in lowa (2008-present).


Figure 3.44 Open harvest zone for bobcat hunting/trapping season in lowa (2019-2020).

Bobcat Harvested Per County 2019-20


Figure 3.45 Bobcat harvest per county in lowa (2019-2020).


Figure 3.46 River Otter and bobcats harvested per day in lowa (2019-2020).

Sex Ratio of Bobcats Harvested in lowa (2007-Present)


Figure 3.47 Sex ratio of harvested bobcats in lowa (2007-present).

Harvest Method of Bobcats in lowa (2007-Present)
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| :--- |
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| $\square$ Other |
| $\square$ Archery |
| $\square$ Gun |
| $\square$ Hounds |
| $\square$ Calling |
| $\square$ Roadkill |
| $\square$ Unknown |

Figure 3.48 Harvest method of bobcats in lowa (2007-present).

Percent of Bobcat Harvest Intentionally Targeted by Furharvesters in lowa (2007-Present)


Figure 3.49 Percent of bobcats intentionally and incidentally harvested in lowa (2007-present).


Figure 3.50 Trend for furharvesters intentionally targeting bobcats in lowa (2007-present).

Bobcat Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted
Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


Figure 3.51 Results of bobcat Bowhunter Observation Survey in lowa (2008-present).

Tables
Table 3.1 Statewide furbearer harvest in lowa listed by species as reported in licensed fur dealer reports, 1930-Present
Data for each year includes harvest for the winter of the succeeding year, e.g., 1930=1930+1931 (winter).

| Season | Muskrat | Mink | Striped Skunk | Raccoon | Spotted Skunk | Red Fox | Gray Fox | Opossum | Weasel | Coyote | Badger | Beaver | Bobcat ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Otter ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1930-31 | 381,651 | 36,842 | 99,321 | 11,740 | 55,938 | 2,550 | 182 | 26,230 | 2,018 |  | 75 |  |  |  |
| 1931-32 | 293,294 | 33,780 | 87,701 | 12,951 | 52,022 | 3,723 | 208 | 37,558 | 801 | 3 | 56 |  |  |  |
| 1932-33 | 181,038 | 25,303 | 41,511 | 10,468 | 29,505 | 2,755 | 35 | 42,415 | 256 | 1 | 17 |  |  |  |
| 1933-34 | 380,275 | 47,119 | 108,776 | 15,447 | 88,532 | 6,807 | 486 | 83,625 | 1,468 |  | 227 |  |  |  |
| 1934-35 | 113,889 | 21,755 | 75,900 | 14,719 | 46,676 | 5,065 | 417 | 54,025 | 1,149 |  | 207 |  |  |  |
| 1935-36 | 351,968 | 31,613 | 68,231 | 19,353 | 35,767 | 6,218 |  | 39,961 | 3,602 |  | 611 |  |  |  |
| 1936-37 | 212,332 | 32,337 | 153,497 | 15,037 | 38,724 | 9,133 | 170 | 20,985 | 7,190 | 22 | 768 |  |  |  |
| 1937-38 | 176,759 | 21,438 | 102,212 | 13,287 | 26,928 | 7,111 | 1,846 | 11,755 | 4,159 | 146 | 569 |  |  |  |
| 1938-39 | 308,015 | 27,783 | 124,322 | 15,014 | 43,971 | 7,403 | 1,900 | 23,303 | 4,529 | 162 | 412 |  |  |  |
| 1939-40 | 46,003 | 2,877 | 91,838 | 16,465 | 56,708 | 5,706 | 1,413 | 39,050 | 6,692 | 183 | 486 |  |  |  |
| 1940-41 | 350,700 | 38,817 | 74,251 | 19,756 | 63,256 | 6,505 | 1,730 | 30,131 | 6,290 | 259 | 470 |  |  |  |
| 1941-42 | 262,007 | 33,650 | 68,840 | 22,512 | 60,944 | 6,137 | 1,967 | 33,839 | 4,440 | 202 | 586 |  |  |  |
| 1942-43 | 262,562 | 23,297 | 32,437 | 20,128 | 38,508 | 6,560 | 1,823 | 29,691 | 2,982 | 209 | 287 |  |  |  |
| 1943-44 | 722,360 | 52,760 | 53,199 | 38,303 | 60,238 | 8,695 | 2,516 | 35,579 | 3,966 | 926 | 538 | 235 |  |  |
| 1944-45 | 457,573 | 47,040 | 35,737 | 36,803 | 41,235 | 9,785 | 2,332 | 27,513 | 2,905 | 388 | 354 | 259 |  |  |
| 1945-46 | 418,417 | 48,145 | 30,755 | 41,084 | 44,827 | 11,554 | 2,350 | 22,501 | 3,607 | 388 | 314 | 623 |  |  |
| 1946-47 | 387,614 | 60,397 | 32,458 | 61,880 | 40,661 | 12,259 | 2,223 | 26,960 | 4,334 | 915 | 553 | 494 |  |  |
| 1947-48 | 17,059 | 27,638 | 11,903 | 55,601 | 13,944 | 8,963 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1948-49 | 164,736 | 16,571 | 9,712 | 61,419 | 7,815 | 6,015 | 192 | 7,563 | 881 | 265 | 182 | 670 |  |  |
| 1949-50 | 171,820 | 17,973 | 6,136 | 58,527 | 4,532 | 4,826 | 983 | 6,681 | 433 | 57 | 136 | 2,489 |  |  |
| 1950-51 | 117,051 | 17,007 | 4,270 | 56,075 | 3,321 | 5,618 | 917 | 4,090 | 509 | 131 | 90 | 3,103 |  |  |
| 1951-52 | 67,211 | 23,257 | 2,558 | 67,211 | 1,842 | 3,703 | 443 | 2,600 | 412 | 34 | 81 | 2,465 |  |  |
| 1952-53 | 62,356 | 27,222 | 2,730 | 62,356 | 2,143 | 3,313 | 420 | 2,632 | 584 | 34 | 67 | 3,790 |  |  |
| 1953-54 | 335,451 | 30,459 | 4,511 | 79,939 | 1,892 | 2,573 | 399 | 3,203 | 470 | 17 | 82 | 6,565 |  |  |
| 1954-55 | 143,886 | 20,051 | 2,278 | 49,592 | 1,122 | 1,679 | 196 | 1,758 | 229 | 45 | 63 | 3,635 |  |  |
| 1955-56 | 80,414 | 10,548 | 2,677 | 50,849 | 1,480 | 1,678 | 156 | 1,774 | 304 | 6 | 57 | 4,336 |  |  |
| 1956-57 | 79,109 | 9,706 | 3,219 | 58,944 | 1,888 | 1,892 | 183 | 2,062 | 263 | 24 | 153 | 2,874 |  |  |
| 1957-58 | 65,969 | 9,838 | 2,690 | 48,134 | 1,778 | 1,389 | 90 | 1,494 | 149 | 9 | 47 | 1,938 |  |  |
| 1958-59 | 130,668 | 13,308 | 1,988 | 29,361 | 1,710 | 1,147 | 132 | 953 | 181 | 6 | 58 | 2,289 |  |  |
| 1959-60 | 164,485 | 16,942 | 1,789 | 59,814 | 1,171 | 4,162 | 262 | 2,065 | 113 | 61 | 77 | 2,980 |  |  |
| 1960-61 | 144,119 | 10,033 | 2,044 | 45,279 | 1,475 | 6,952 | 232 | 1,701 | 183 | 97 | 162 | 4,519 |  |  |


| Season | Muskrat | Mink | Striped Skunk | Raccoon | Spotted Skunk | Red Fox | Gray Fox | Opossum | Weasel | Coyote | Badger | Beaver | Bobcat ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Otter ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961-62 | 351,822 | 16,365 | 1,307 | 49,659 | 918 | 5,486 | 223 | 1,979 | 89 | 113 | 317 | 4,790 |  |  |
| 1962-63 | 467,985 | 14,312 | 1,817 | 64,250 | 1,182 | 6,261 | 356 | 2,339 | 93 | 92 | 121 | 4,269 |  |  |
| 1963-64 | 555,055 | 21,032 | 1,940 | 77,428 | 1,835 | 6,610 | 232 | 3,052 | 203 | 61 | 99 | 9,294 |  |  |
| 1964-65 | 259,908 | 14,394 | 443 | 64,936 | 1,446 | 6,194 | 143 | 2,600 | 172 | 340 | 106 | 4,326 |  |  |
| 1965-66 | 261,459 | 13,105 | 1,097 | 80,801 | 1,121 | 10,853 | 303 | 3,559 | 52 | 732 | 147 | 4,273 |  |  |
| 1966-67 | 389,242 | 16,269 | 1,349 | 85,563 | 764 | 13,072 | 441 | 4,654 | 85 | 864 | 212 | 8,991 |  |  |
| 1967-68 | 231,811 | 13,509 | 830 | 77,435 | 376 | 10,195 | 393 | 2,331 | 66 | 512 | 201 | 7,334 |  |  |
| 1968-69 | 232,133 | 12,974 | 1,290 | 128,228 | 308 | 27,661 | 729 | 6,413 | 47 | 4,922 | 287 | 5,221 |  |  |
| 1969-70 | 306,967 | 12,616 | 1,146 | 137,453 | 197 | 17,993 | 702 | 5,891 | 48 | 3,678 | 502 | 4,905 |  |  |
| 1970-71 | 345,538 | 11,110 | 700 | 94,174 | 113 | 15,725 | 503 | 3,721 | 41 | 4,430 | 446 | 4,073 |  |  |
| 1971-72 | 449,442 | 15,855 | 756 | 131,247 | 109 | 14,978 | 780 | 6,157 | 22 | 5,240 | 373 | 7,138 |  |  |
| 1972-73 | 399,021 | 17,093 | 1,579 | 173,162 | 131 | 18,281 | 722 | 10,849 | 40 | 5,616 | 551 | 4,527 |  |  |
| 1973-74 | 638,317 | 23,269 | 2,779 | 255,212 | 188 | 24,145 | 1,624 | 26,947 | 52 | 8,713 | 1,121 | 5,834 |  |  |
| 1974-75 | 465,488 | 22,517 | 3,935 | 275,518 | 280 | 17,829 | 1,682 | 38,844 | 71 | 12,020 | 1,438 | 5,556 |  |  |
| 1975-76 | 386,679 | 18,406 | 1,937 | 292,064 | 106 | 15,838 | 1,574 | 26,485 | 50 | 9,444 | 1,267 | 5,154 |  |  |
| 1976-77 | 252,754 | 15,956 | 5,441 | 264,819 | 46 | 22,699 | 1,795 | 36,493 | 4 | 12,226 | 2,136 | 7,773 |  |  |
| 1977-78 | 257,237 | 13,037 | 3,588 | 264,367 | 7 | 22,831 | 1,640 | 36,186 | 36 | 12,011 | 1,900 | 3,432 |  |  |
| 1978-79 | 467,721 | 23,277 | 6,545 | 251,985 |  | 24,348 | 2,115 | 26,160 | 82 | 10,627 | 1,936 | 4,327 |  |  |
| 1979-80 | 741,403 | 31,270 | 10,022 | 308,277 |  | 17,629 | 3,093 | 10,978 | 122 | 7,745 | 3,274 | 12,498 |  |  |
| 1980-81 | 739,419 | 32,950 | 5,616 | 235,717 |  | 20,602 | 2,175 | 11,664 | 32 | 6,847 | 2,427 | 11,831 |  |  |
| 1981-82 | 521,945 | 28,455 | 1,913 | 291,227 |  | 22,385 | 1,710 | 18,730 | 16 | 9,860 | 1,946 | 5,705 |  |  |
| 1982-83 | 428,252 | 21,307 | 1,194 | 255,926 |  | 18,527 | 1,953 | 16,761 | 16 | 8,930 | 1,754 | 5,809 |  |  |
| 1983-84 | 464,793 | 22,245 | 1,152 | 261,875 |  | 21,257 | 1,185 | 16,179 |  | 9,636 | 1,298 | 8,563 |  |  |
| 1984-85 | 372,466 | 28,346 | 1,032 | 334,179 |  | 18,916 | 1,896 | 21,455 |  | 7,809 | 1,754 | 16,323 |  |  |
| 1985-86 | 254,412 | 17,116 | 1,861 | 270,805 |  | 16,346 | 1,114 | 16,296 |  | 7,858 | 975 | 14,931 |  |  |
| 1986-87 | 482,811 | 31,139 | 2,540 | 390,773 |  | 19,740 | 1,593 | 30,760 |  | 10,582 | 2,520 | 17,778 |  |  |
| 1987-88 | 515,611 | 27,712 | 1,198 | 307,587 |  | 19,666 | 1,091 | 27,623 |  | 10,348 | 1,642 | 13,509 |  |  |
| 1988-89 | 192,214 | 13,996 | 712 | 190,556 |  | 15,445 | 769 | 19,824 |  | 4,650 | 1,043 | 18,459 |  |  |
| 1989-90 | 73,415 | 8,293 | 245 | 118,653 |  | 13,359 | 374 | 8,114 |  | 4,073 | 468 | 8,706 |  |  |
| 1990-91 | 70,133 | 7,363 | 189 | 103,468 |  | 14,268 | 393 | 6,243 |  | 5,068 | 503 | 9,246 |  |  |
| 1991-92 | 91,206 | 8,469 | 211 | 110,342 |  | 15,463 | 429 | 7,411 |  | 5,213 | 572 | 8,943 |  |  |
| 1992-93 | 124,638 | 12,839 | 791 | 110,203 |  | 14,660 | 1,036 | 8,192 |  | 10,286 | 621 | 15,839 |  |  |
| 1993-94 | 163,842 | 13,946 | 643 | 118,463 |  | 12,986 | 836 | 6,243 |  | 7,313 | 571 | 11,788 |  |  |
| 1994-95 | 178,683 | 11,819 | 510 | 112,686 |  | 12,243 | 789 | 6,782 |  | 6,986 | 502 | 11,643 |  |  |


| Season | Muskrat | Mink | Striped Skunk | Raccoon | Spotted Skunk | Red Fox | Gray <br> Fox | Opossum | Weasel | Coyote | Badger | Beaver | Bobcat ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Otter ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995-96 | 158,241 | 20,392 | 786 | 118,136 |  | 14,136 | 948 | 9,781 |  | 8,462 | 614 | 10,678 |  |  |
| 1996-97 | 123,460 | 18,946 | 693 | 123,698 |  | 12,402 | 721 | 7,643 |  | 7,159 | 832 | 10,481 |  |  |
| 1997-98 | 113,621 | 16,832 | 649 | 149,492 |  | 12,896 | 768 | 6,012 |  | 6,992 | 796 | 11,122 |  |  |
| 1998-99 | 90,126 | 16,461 | 536 | 106,641 |  | 11,646 | 681 | 5,123 |  | 5,786 | 642 | 10,336 |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 86,998 | 15,931 | 528 | 101,233 |  | 11,968 | 631 | 4,649 |  | 5,231 | 597 | 10,108 |  |  |
| 2000-01 | 84,972 | 15,235 | 469 | 94,989 |  | 11,103 | 576 | 3,922 |  | 5,348 | 506 | 10,478 |  |  |
| 2001-02 | 78,867 | 14,162 | 398 | 143,206 |  | 12,349 | 529 | 3,361 |  | 6,702 | 487 | 11,287 |  |  |
| 2002-03 | 89,421 | 14,986 | 417 | 118,531 |  | 14,869 | 507 | 2,905 |  | 5,746 | 402 | 10,431 |  |  |
| 2003-04 | 54,919 | 10,711 | 842 | 177,315 |  | 10,608 | 365 | 6,184 |  | 8,178 | 912 | 8,591 |  |  |
| 2004-05 | 45,516 | 11,662 | 930 | 179,185 |  | 7,122 | 198 | 5,858 |  | 5,197 | 761 | 6,221 |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 79,328 | 13,162 | 793 | 163,746 |  | 8,587 | 219 | 5,916 |  | 7,381 | 606 | 8,698 |  |  |
| 2006-07 | 64,799 | 7,706 | 1,434 | 156,379 |  | 2,013 | 20 | 2,254 |  | 4,258 | 704 | 5,675 |  | 466 |
| 2007-08 | 55,476 | 7,967 | 1,256 | 143,271 |  | 2,143 | 178 | 2,673 |  | 4,513 | 536 | 5,303 | 154 | 416 |
| 2008-09 | 48,794 | 8,236 | 1,042 | 124,789 |  | 3,729 | 217 | 2,251 |  | 5,176 | 431 | 5,829 | 234 | 479 |
| 2009-10 | 44,436 | 6,905 | 388 | 115,349 |  | 1,792 | 13 | 1,261 | 56 | 2,501 | 454 | 3,431 | 236 | 508 |
| 2010-11 | 98,079 | 11,262 | 708 | 236,943 |  | 3,810 | 26 | 3,156 | 7 | 8,089 | 946 | 5,382 | 274 | 456 |
| 2011-12 | 78,422 | 12,977 | 858 | 326,368 |  | 4,209 | 85 | 3,932 | 3 | 7,765 | 1,220 | 11,652 | 398 | 770 |
| 2012-13 | 54,382 | 8,060 | 788 | 303,496 |  | 4,104 | 63 | 4,820 | 31 | 13,261 | 1,343 | 15,457 | 528 | 971 |
| 2013-14 | 30,584 | 5,582 | 779 | 308,025 |  | 4,099 | 16 | 5,668 | 9 | 15,347 | 1,006 | 7,496 | 978 | 1,165 |
| 2014-15 | 44,175 | 5,332 | 642 | 200,509 |  | 2,397 | 182 | 2,187 | 3 | 13,911 | 957 | 4,591 | 706 | 835 |
| 2015-16 | 33,327 | 4,545 | 386 | 89,061 |  | 1,581 | 44 | 940 | 50 | 13,158 | 289 | 4,021 | 535 | 692 |
| 2016-17 | 38,944 | 3,957 | 355 | 82,126 |  | 1,239 | 19 | 1,231 | 10 | 9,283 | 261 | 4,214 | 591 | 556 |
| 2017-18 | 40,913 | 5,182 | 630 | 106,842 |  | 2,284 | 4 | 1,341 | 2 | 15,185 | 470 | 5,438 | 214 | 430 |
| 2018-19 | 16,320 | 4,021 | 557 | 115,132 |  | 1,357 | 7 | 914 | 1 | 18,676 | 547 | 3,893 | 687 | 576 |
| 2019-20 | 14,851 | 2,026 | 738 | 100,857 |  | 1,487 | 2 | 532 | 5 | 16,326 | 559 | 5,505 | 1,160 | 771 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-Year | 28,871 | 3,946 | 533 | 98,804 | 0 | 1,590 | 15 | 992 | 14 | 14,526 | 425 | 4,614 | 758 | 683 |
| 10-Year | 45,000 | 6,294 | 644 | 186,936 | 0 | 2,657 | 45 | 2,472 | 12 | 13,100 | 760 | 6,765 | 668 | 762 |
| 20-Year | 54,826 | 8,684 | 721 | 164,306 | 0 | 5,044 | 164 | 3,065 | 16 | 9,300 | 670 | 7,180 | 561 | 678 |
| 50-Year | 214,928 | 14,800 | 1,494 | 188,172 | 123 | 12,282 | 798 | 10,792 | 32 | 8,463 | 998 | 8,714 | 561 | 678 |
| Long term ${ }^{1}$ | 231,706 | 19,001 | 15,838 | 126,027 | 18,327 | 9,892 | 791 | 13,440 | 1,059 | 5,165 | 671 | 7,005 | 561 | 678 |

[^1]Table 3.2 Number of licensed fur harvesters and fur dealers in lowa, 2003-Present

| Year | Resident Furharvesters | Lifetime Furharvesters | Non-Resident Furharvesters | Total | Resident Fur Dealers | Non-Resident Fur Dealers | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | 14,404 | - | 99 | 14,503 | 43 | 2 | 45 |
| 2004 | 14,607 | - | 91 | 14,698 | 46 | 3 | 49 |
| 2005 | 13,376 | - | 83 | 13,459 | 41 | 2 | 43 |
| 2006 | 14,542 | - | 100 | 14,642 | 38 | 5 | 43 |
| 2007 | 15,279 | - | 134 | 15,413 | 39 | 4 | 43 |
| 2008 | 15,523 | - | 168 | 15,691 | 40 | 4 | 44 |
| 2009 | 14,098 | - | 99 | 14,197 | 34 | 3 | 37 |
| 2010 | 15,033 | - | 144 | 15,177 | 34 | 2 | 36 |
| 2011 | 16,928 | - | 121 | 17,049 | 34 | 5 | 39 |
| 2012 | 19,197 | - | 171 | 19,268 | 36 | 4 | 40 |
| 2013 | 20,148 | 455 | 248 | 20,818 | 36 | 6 | 42 |
| 2014 | 18,482 | 560 | 144 | 19,186 | 44 | 5 | 49 |
| 2015 | 14,659 | 955 | 670 | 16,284 | 40 | 4 | 44 |
| 2016 | 14,539 | 248 | 29 | 14,816 | 34 | 5 | 39 |
| 2017 | 14,100 | 296 | 97 | 14,493 | 31 | 5 | 36 |
| 2018 | 13,656 | 300 | 185 | 14,141 | 32 | 3 | 35 |
| 2019 | 14,112 | 295 | 129 | 14,536 | 31 | 4 | 35 |

Table 3.3 Total number of pelts sold in lowa and average, minimum, and maximum prices paid per species by fur dealers, 2017-
Present

|  | No. of Pelts Sold in Iowa | Price Paid per Pelt (\$) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average | Minimum | Maximum |
| Raccoon |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 106842 | 5.71 | 1.95 | 8.00 |
| 2018-19 | 115132 | 7.90 | 2.65 | 11.00 |
| 2019-20 | 100857 | 4.96 | 1.95 | 6.11 |
| Muskrat |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 40913 | 2.43 | 1.44 | 3.57 |
| 2018-19 | 16320 | 2.64 | 0.79 | 4.00 |
| 2019-20 | 14851 | 2.61 | 1.00 | 4.89 |
| Mink |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 5182 | 5.38 | 2.00 | 8.10 |
| 2018-19 | 4021 | 5.17 | 2.00 | 10.00 |
| 2019-20 | 2026 | 3.36 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| Beaver |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 5438 | 6.80 | 3.00 | 8.69 |
| 2018-19 | 3893 | 6.83 | 4.76 | 10.00 |
| 2019-20 | 5505 | 6.37 | 3.50 | 10.00 |
| Coyote |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 15185 | 21.17 | 2.00 | 28.28 |
| 2018-19 | 18676 | 24.97 | 5.77 | 35.02 |
| 2019-20 | 16326 | 26.68 | 8.51 | 35.26 |


|  | No. of Pelts Sold in lowa | Price Paid per Pelt (\$) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average | Minimum | Maximum |
| Red Fox |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 2284 | 11.81 | 4.00 | 22.33 |
| 2018-19 | 1358 | 9.39 | 7.46 | 15.00 |
| 2019-20 | 1487 | 8.14 | 1.50 | 12.50 |
| Opossum |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 1341 | 0.84 | 0.00 | 3.00 |
| 2018-19 | 914 | 1.02 | 0.50 | 1.50 |
| 2019-20 | 532 | 0.80 | 0.50 | 5.21 |
| Badger |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 470 | 10.02 | 2.00 | 20.00 |
| 2018-19 | 547 | 12.22 | 3.50 | 21.00 |
| 2019-20 | 559 | 11.66 | 3.50 | 22.50 |
| Striped Skunk |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 630 | 2.67 | 0.50 | 7.00 |
| 2018-19 | 557 | 2.81 | 0.75 | 10.00 |
| 2019-20 | 738 | 3.26 | 0.80 | 6.27 |
| River Otter |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 430 | 17.91 | 10.00 | 28.00 |
| 2018-19 | 406 | 19.04 | 8.75 | 30.00 |
| 2019-20 | 512 | 15.42 | 11.00 | 25.00 |
| Bobcat |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 214 | 38.40 | 10.00 | 75.00 |
| 2018-19 | 271 | 58.60 | 14.33 | 100.00 |
| 2019-20 | 230 | 29.37 | 10.00 | 52.00 |
| Gray Fox |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 4 | 11.00 | 8.00 | 15.00 |
| 2018-19 | 7 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| 2019-20 | 2 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| Weasel |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 2 | 1.50 | 1.00 | 2.00 |
| 2018-19 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2019-20 | 8 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |

Table 3.4 Value (\$) of pelts from important furbearer species harvested in lowa, 1930-Present Data for each year includes harvest from the winter of the succeeding year, e.g., $1930=1930+1931$ (winter).

| Season | Mink |  | Muskrat |  | Raccoon |  | Red Fox |  | All Species <br> Total Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean <br> Price | Total <br> Value | Mean Price | Total <br> Value | Mean Price | Total <br> Value | Mean Price | Total Value |  |
| 1930-31 | 3.50 | 128,947 | 0.42 | 160,293 | 4.50 | 52,830 | 6.85 | 17,467 | 534,409 |
| 1931-32 | 3.60 | 121,608 | 0.52 | 152,512 | 4.40 | 56,984 | 4.50 | 16,753 | 497,260 |
| 1932-33 | 3.00 | 75,909 | 0.30 | 54,311 | 2.60 | 27,216 | 3.25 | 8,953 | 213,186 |
| 1933-34 | 4.40 | 207,323 | 0.52 | 197,743 | 3.45 | 53,292 | 4.50 | 30,631 | 615,688 |
| 1934-35 | 4.40 | 95,810 | 0.70 | 79,722 | 3.50 | 51,516 | 4.00 | 20,260 | 348,843 |
| 1935-36 | 5.93 | 187,465 | 0.98 | 344,928 | 3.95 | 76,444 | 2.95 | 18,343 | 723,451 |
| 1936-37 | 9.00 | 291,033 | 1.25 | 265,440 | 4.00 | 60,148 | 3.00 | 27,399 | 842,666 |
| 1937-38 | 5.60 | 120,052 | 0.60 | 106,055 | 3.65 | 48,497 | 3.00 | 21,333 | 412,361 |
| 1938-39 | 7.25 | 201,426 | 0.75 | 231,011 | 2.80 | 42,039 | 3.50 | 25,910 | 723,099 |
| 1939-40 | 6.25 | 17,981 | 1.05 | 48,303 | 2.45 | 40,339 | 2.50 | 14,265 | 277,519 |
| 1940-41 | 7.30 | 283,364 | 1.21 | 424,347 | 3.71 | 73,294 | 2.70 | 17,563 | 979,482 |
| 1941-42 | 6.75 | 227,137 | 1.32 | 345,849 | 4.90 | 110,308 | 4.50 | 27,616 | 903,874 |
| 1942-43 | 6.15 | 143,276 | 1.47 | 385,966 | 3.65 | 73,467 | 5.40 | 35,424 | 741,621 |
| 1943-44 | 12.50 | 659,500 | 2.25 | 1,625,310 | 3.25 | 277,696 | 10.00 | 86,950 | 2,961,462 |
| 1944-45 | 6.75 | 317,520 | 1.32 | 603,966 | 4.90 | 180,334 | 4.50 | 44,032 | 1,267,151 |
| 1945-46 | 28.16 | 1,355,763 | 2.18 | 912,149 | 2.89 | 118,732 | 3.95 | 45,638 | 2,630,655 |
| 1946-47 | 18.14 | 1,095,601 | 1.71 | 622,819 | 1.97 | 121,903 | 2.03 | 24,885 | 2,003,965 |
| 1947-48 | 29.73 | 821,677 | 2.40 | 40,941 | 2.61 | 145,118 | 1.26 | 11,293 | 1,018,093 |
| 1948-49 | 18.30 | 303,249 | 1.62 | 266,872 | 2.23 | 136,964 | 0.88 | 5,293 | 737,577 |
| 1949-50 | 12.15 | 218,371 | 1.38 | 237,371 | 1.95 | 114,127 | 0.60 | 2,895 | 611,352 |
| 1950-51 | 23.50 | 399,664 | 1.81 | 211,862 | 2.95 | 165,421 | 0.75 | 4,213 | 828,250 |
| 1951-52 | 17.48 | 406,532 | 1.37 | 361,081 | 2.67 | 179,453 | 0.39 | 1,444 | 972,134 |
| 1952-53 | 16.40 | 446,440 | 1.13 | 444,587 | 1.72 | 107,252 | 0.42 | 1,391 | 1,026,952 |
| 1953-54 | 13.49 | 380,891 | 0.69 | 231,461 | 1.57 | 125,504 | 0.36 | 926 | 773,398 |
| 1954-55 | 17.59 | 352,697 | 0.93 | 133,813 | 1.71 | 84,802 | 0.36 | 604 | 594,635 |
| 1955-56 | 18.03 | 190,180 | 1.11 | 98,259 | 2.81 | 142,885 | 0.24 | 402 | 458,230 |
| 1956-57 | 15.09 | 146,463 | 0.83 | 65,657 | 1.81 | 106,688 | 0.20 | 378 | 339,464 |
| 1957-58 | 12.50 | 122,975 | 0.75 | 49,476 | 1.15 | 55,354 | 0.25 | 347 | 251,660 |
| 1958-59 | 14.31 | 190,437 | 0.77 | 100,614 | 1.78 | 52,262 | 0.51 | 584 | 363,240 |
| 1959-60 | 16.63 | 281,745 | 0.83 | 136,500 | 2.82 | 168,675 | 1.43 | 5,951 | 621,201 |
| 1960-61 | 10.38 | 104,142 | 0.61 | 87,912 | 1.96 | 88,746 | 1.24 | 8,620 | 327,976 |
| 1961-62 | 10.20 | 166,923 | 0.58 | 204,056 | 2.31 | 114,712 | 1.36 | 7,460 | 527,389 |
| 1962-63 | 11.08 | 158,576 | 0.83 | 388,427 | 2.42 | 155,485 | 1.81 | 11,332 | 743,506 |
| 1963-64 | 10.90 | 229,248 | 1.17 | 649,414 | 1.44 | 111,496 | 1.86 | 12,294 | 1,069,812 |
| 1964-65 | 8.73 | 125,659 | 1.02 | 265,106 | 1.51 | 98,053 | 1.84 | 11,396 | 536,544 |
| 1965-66 | 7.83 | 102,612 | 1.32 | 345,244 | 2.47 | 199,578 | 5.80 | 62,947 | 753,832 |
| 1966-67 | 7.84 | 127,548 | 0.98 | 381,457 | 2.17 | 185,671 | 3.02 | 39,477 | 815,957 |
| 1967-68 | 8.08 | 109,152 | 0.70 | 162,267 | 2.63 | 203,654 | 4.12 | 42,003 | 600,422 |
| 1968-69 | 11.44 | 148,422 | 0.92 | 213,562 | 4.62 | 592,413 | 10.39 | 287,397 | 1,355,639 |
| 1969-70 | 7.06 | 89,068 | 1.15 | 353,012 | 3.43 | 471,463 | 5.86 | 105,448 | 1,090,212 |


| Season | Mink |  | Muskrat |  | Raccoon |  | Red Fox |  | All Species <br> Total Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean <br> Price | Total Value | Mean <br> Price | Total Value | Mean <br> Price | Total <br> Value | Mean <br> Price | Total Value |  |
| 1970-71 | 4.93 | 54,772 | 0.88 | 311,993 | 2.35 | 211,308 | 6.05 | 95,136 | 736,023 |
| 1971-72 | 7.86 | 124,620 | 1.37 | 615,735 | 5.20 | 682,484 | 10.59 | 158,617 | 1,700,782 |
| 1972-73 | 13.50 | 230,755 | 2.05 | 817,993 | 8.50 | 1,471,877 | 21.87 | 399,805 | 3,061,442 |
| 1973-74 | 11.35 | 264,103 | 2.25 | 1,436,213 | 9.80 | 2,501,077 | 26.95 | 650,707 | 5,083,978 |
| 1974-75 | 8.67 | 195,222 | 2.40 | 1,117,171 | 10.60 | 2,920,490 | 19.56 | 348,735 | 4,818,166 |
| 1975-76 | 9.65 | 177,617 | 2.85 | 1,102,035 | 17.85 | 5,213,342 | 39.88 | 631,619 | 7,390,136 |
| 1976-77 | 14.06 | 224,341 | 4.31 | 1,089,369 | 22.51 | 5,961,075 | 46.33 | 1,051,644 | 8,976,168 |
| 1977-78 | 12.44 | 162,180 | 4.77 | 1,227,020 | 22.27 | 5,887,453 | 49.53 | 1,130,819 | 8,871,156 |
| 1978-79 | 14.48 | 337,050 | 4.49 | 2,100,067 | 31.18 | 7,856,892 | 64.65 | 1,574,098 | 12,516,946 |
| 1979-80 | 19.04 | 595,380 | 5.64 | 4,181,512 | 29.97 | 9,239,061 | 48.71 | 858,708 | 15,499,322 |
| 1980-81 | 18.20 | 599,690 | 5.88 | 4,347,783 | 21.47 | 5,060,843 | 42.88 | 883,413 | 11,269,768 |
| 1981-82 | 17.99 | 511,905 | 3.84 | 2,004,268 | 27.69 | 8,064,075 | 46.29 | 1,036,201 | 12,021,854 |
| 1982-83 | 11.18 | 238,212 | 2.18 | 933,589 | 16.54 | 4,233,016 | 28.85 | 534,503 | 6,235,053 |
| 1983-84 | 16.03 | 356,481 | 2.30 | 1,152,686 | 14.23 | 3,726,481 | 33.16 | 704,882 | 6,180,169 |
| 1984-85 | 14.22 | 403,080 | 2.88 | 1,072,702 | 18.94 | 6,329,350 | 25.24 | 477,439 | 8,574,748 |
| 1985-86 | 11.76 | 201,274 | 1.89 | 480,838 | 14.34 | 3,883,343 | 16.70 | 272,978 | 5,163,651 |
| 1986-87 | 20.79 | 647,379 | 3.39 | 1,636,729 | 18.22 | 7,119,884 | 20.73 | 409,210 | 10,335,629 |
| 1987-88 | 20.76 | 575,301 | 3.32 | 1,711,828 | 16.65 | 5,121,323 | 18.07 | 355,365 | 8,097,250 |
| 1988-89 | 22.06 | 308,751 | 2.05 | 394,038 | 7.96 | 1,516,825 | 12.15 | 187,656 | 2,602,695 |
| 1989-90 | 16.34 | 138,890 | 1.02 | 76,500 | 4.74 | 568,800 | 9.70 | 135,800 | 1,018,622 |
| 1990-91 | 18.26 | 134,448 | 2.08 | 145,876 | 4.96 | 513,201 | 10.22 | 145,898 | 1,074,761 |
| 1991-92 | 15.49 | 131,184 | 1.96 | 178,764 | 5.36 | 591,433 | 9.63 | 148,909 | 1,198,863 |
| 1992-93 | 19.46 | 249,846 | 1.58 | 196,928 | 6.36 | 700,891 | 8.43 | 123,078 | 1,579,821 |
| 1993-94 | 16.78 | 234,014 | 1.83 | 299,831 | 5.81 | 688,270 | 8.98 | 116,614 | 1,388,729 |
| 1994-95 | 14.13 | 167,003 | 1.95 | 348,432 | 6.89 | 706,686 | 9.86 | 120,716 | 1,409,848 |
| 1995-96 | 18.01 | 367,259 | 1.78 | 281,670 | 6.83 | 808,371 | 8.76 | 123,831 | 1,745,504 |
| 1996-97 | 19.36 | 336,795 | 1.56 | 182,598 | 8.92 | 1,103,386 | 8.43 | 104,549 | 1,661,687 |
| 1997-98 | 17.86 | 302,303 | 1.51 | 171,568 | 7.79 | 1,169,643 | 7.04 | 90,788 | 1,729,199 |
| 1998-99 | 16.05 | 264,199 | 1.66 | 149,609 | 7.21 | 768,882 | 8.21 | 95,637 | 1,203,362 |
| 1999-00 | 19.16 | 255,583 | 1.55 | 134,847 | 8.13 | 823,024 | 9.68 | 115,850 | 1,329,304 |
| 2000-01 | 15.46 | 235,533 | 2.09 | 177,591 | 9.26 | 879,598 | 9.86 | 109,476 | 1,378,689 |
| 2001-02 | 17.23 | 244,011 | 2.43 | 191,647 | 11.69 | 1,674,078 | 10.86 | 134,110 | 2,168,918 |
| 2002-03 | 14.96 | 244,191 | 1.85 | 165,429 | 12.16 | 1,441,370 | 11.36 | 168,912 | 2,069,869 |
| 2003-04 | 10.51 | 112,573 | 2.06 | 113,133 | 10.11 | 1,792,655 | 19.16 | 203,441 | 2,589,802 |
| 2004-05 | 10.27 | 119,769 | 1.85 | 85,115 | 9.62 | 1,723,760 | 14.68 | 104,551 | 1,965,131 |
| 2005-06 | 12.03 | 158,339 | 6.15 | 487,867 | 11.43 | 1,871,612 | 12.81 | 109,999 | 2,827,822 |
| 2006-07 | 13.07 | 100,703 | 5.79 | 375,339 | 10.18 | 1,591,138 | 15.13 | 36,503 | 2,204,483 |
| 2007-08 | 14.76 | 116,876 | 3.08 | 170,886 | 12.34 | 1,442,250 | 13.55 | 29,038 | 1,757,223 |
| 2008-09 | 9.48 | 78,077 | 2.51 | 122,473 | 9.23 | 1,151,822 | 11.57 | 43,145 | 1,293,846 |
| 2009-10 | 8.22 | 56,760 | 3.97 | 176,411 | 8.80 | 1,015,071 | 10.04 | 17,992 | 1,095,999 |
| 2010-11 | 12.83 | 144,542 | 5.31 | 645,472 | 12.52 | 2,965,833 | 16.81 | 64,030 | 4,020,719 |
| 2011-12a | 12.62 | 193,285 | 5.93 | 511,780 | 10.86 | 4,098,994 | 17.74 | 106,182 | 5,288,094 |


| Season | Mink |  | Muskrat |  | Raccoon |  | Red Fox |  | All Species Total Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean Price | Total Value | Mean Price | Total Value | Mean Price | Total Value | Mean Price | Total Value |  |
| 2012-13 | 15.91 | 305,842 | 7.48 | 423,249 | 13.60 | 4,664,032 | 25.85 | 128,958 | 5,983,493 |
| 2013-14a | 16.50 | 92,077 | 9.28 | 283,731 | 15.85 | 4,882,917 | 36.27 | 148,689 | 6,034,386 |
| 2014-15a | 8.77 | 46,781 | 4.79 | 211,583 | 10.66 | 2,137,468 | 20.14 | 48,281 | 2,905,703 |
| 2015-16a | 5.42 | 24,641 | 2.35 | 78,280 | 4.53 | 403,850 | 10.85 | 17,155 | 926,640 |
| 2016-17 | 6.01 | 23,782 | 2.35 | 97,699 | 4.76 | 390,605 | 10.47 | 12,966 | 728,652 |
| 2017-18 | 5.38 | 27,897 | 2.43 | 99,249 | 5.71 | 610,135 | 11.81 | 26,971 | 1,146,285 |
| 2018-19 | 5.17 | 20,795 | 2.64 | 43,149 | 7.90 | 909,346 | 9.39 | 12,741 | 1,512,178 |
| 2019-20 | 3.36 | 6,817 | 2.61 | 38,800 | 4.96 | 500,632 | 8.14 | 12,109 | 1,053,056 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-Year | 5.07 | 20,786 | 2.48 | 70,235 | 5.57 | 562,913 | 10.13 | 16,388 | 1,073,362 |
| 10-Year | 9.20 | 88,646 | 4.52 | 242,699 | 9.14 | 2,156,381 | 16.75 | 57,808 | 2,959,921 |
| 20-Year | 10.90 | 117,664 | 3.85 | 224,644 | 9.81 | 1,807,358 | 14.82 | 76,763 | 2,447,549 |
| 50-Year | 13.56 | 222,859 | 3.08 | 687,862 | 11.71 | 2,631,799 | 19.47 | 292,369 | 4,068,512 |
| Long-term ${ }^{1}$ | 12.61 | 247,726 | 2.20 | 515,364 | 7.76 | 1,520,675 | 12.11 | 174,733 | 2,627,998 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Long-term ${ }^{\text {a }}$ For years wh total values f furharvester | ata date en ther r those | back to 193 urharveste rharvester | s which were e |  | of of he over | purchase average | thout paid | rage pric pelt calc | aid per pelt, ed from all |

Table 3.5 Percent of fox, raccoon, and coyote furs purchased from hunters and trappers statewide in lowa; determined from fur dealer reports 1975-Present
Data for each year includes harvest from the succeeding year, e.g., 1975=1975+1976 (winter). (Unk: Unknown)

| Season | Raccoon |  |  | Red and Gray Fox |  |  | Coyote |  |  | Bobcat |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trapper | Hunter | Unk | Trapper | Hunter | Unk | Trapper | Hunter | Unk | Trapper | Hunter | Unk |
| 1975-76 | 28 | 60 | 12 | 45 | 48 | 7 | 18 | 72 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 1976-77 | 28 | 66 | 6 | 55 | 41 | 4 | 28 | 68 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 1977-78 | 24 | 68 | 8 | 36 | 55 | 9 | 18 | 72 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 1978-79 | 31 | 61 | 8 | 37 | 58 | 5 | 17 | 74 | 9 |  |  |  |
| 1979-80 | 30 | 58 | 12 | 53 | 32 | 15 | 30 | 59 | 11 |  |  |  |
| 1980-81 | 33 | 60 | 7 | 66 | 29 | 5 | 33 | 60 | 7 |  |  |  |
| 1981-82 | 42 | 46 | 12 | 38 | 46 | 16 | 20 | 74 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 1982-83 | 35 | 53 | 12 | 47 | 45 | 8 | 25 | 69 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 1983-84 | 37 | 50 | 13 | 33 | 59 | 8 | 17 | 67 | 16 |  |  |  |
| 1984-85 | 33 | 41 | 26 | 49 | 31 | 20 | 26 | 60 | 14 |  |  |  |
| 1985-86 | 37 | 52 | 11 | 39 | 54 | 7 | 23 | 65 | 12 |  |  |  |
| 1986-87 | 46 | 49 | 5 | 59 | 35 | 6 | 34 | 62 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 1987-88 | 49 | 47 | 4 | 53 | 43 | 4 | 32 | 62 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 1988-89 | 49 | 46 | 5 | 58 | 34 | 8 | 30 | 67 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 1989-90 | 35 | 45 | 20 | 48 | 28 | 24 | 24 | 61 | 15 |  |  |  |
| 1990-91 | 38 | 55 | 7 | 43 | 46 | 11 | 28 | 66 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 1991-92 | 41 | 51 | 8 | 44 | 49 | 7 | 25 | 67 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 1992-93 | 45 | 50 | 5 | 40 | 52 | 8 | 36 | 54 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 1993-94 | 43 | 52 | 5 | 43 | 50 | 7 | 34 | 57 | 9 |  |  |  |
| 93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Season | Raccoon |  |  | Red and Gray Fox |  |  | Coyote |  |  | Bobcat |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trapper | Hunter | Unk | Trapper | Hunter | Unk | Trapper | Hunter | Unk | Trapper | Hunter | Unk |
| 1994-95 | 44 | 46 | 10 | 39 | 55 | 6 | 33 | 59 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 1995-96 | 47 | 45 | 8 | 41 | 52 | 7 | 30 | 65 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 1996-97 | 48 | 48 | 4 | 44 | 48 | 8 | 32 | 58 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 1997-98 | 48 | 46 | 5 | 40 | 47 | 13 | 29 | 62 | 9 |  |  |  |
| 1998-99 | 46 | 47 | 5 | 46 | 48 | 6 | 33 | 63 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 42 | 53 | 5 | 45 | 46 | 9 | 34 | 61 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 2000-01 | 38 | 46 | 16 | 34 | 58 | 8 | 31 | 58 | 11 |  |  |  |
| 2001-02 | 43 | 47 | 10 | 52 | 43 | 5 | 36 | 56 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 2002-03 | 48 | 42 | 10 | 56 | 38 | 6 | 32 | 59 | 9 |  |  |  |
| 2003-04 | 49 | 43 | 8 | 52 | 44 | 4 | 35 | 58 | 7 |  |  |  |
| 2004-05 | 43 | 49 | 8 | 49 | 45 | 6 | 32 | 60 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 39 | 52 | 9 | 53 | 38 | 9 | 30 | 64 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 2006-07 | 49 | 47 | 4 | 51 | 45 | 4 | 34 | 58 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 2007-08 | 48 | 49 | 6 | 44 | 51 | 6 | 37 | 57 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 2008-09 | 44 | 48 | 8 | 40 | 55 | 5 | 35 | 59 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 2009-10 | 45 | 46 | 9 | 36 | 48 | 6 | 36 | 58 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 63 | 14 | 23 | 46 | 24 | 30 | 18 | 53 | 29 |  |  |  |
| 2011-12a | 63 | 28 | 9 | 73 | 15 | 12 | 41 | 43 | 16 |  |  |  |
| 2012-13 | 69 | 31 | 0 | 80 | 20 | 0 | 47 | 53 | 0 | 70 | 15 | 15 |
| 2013-14a | 73 | 27 | 0 | 82 | 18 | 0 | 47 | 53 | 0 | 96 | 4 | 0 |
| 2014-15a | 78 | 22 | 0 | 84 | 16 | 0 | 49 | 51 | 0 | 62 | 38 | 0 |
| 2015-16a | 68 | 32 | 0 | 73 | 27 | 0 | 40 | 60 | 0 | 92 | 8 | 0 |
| 2016-17 | 67 | 34 | 0 | 72 | 28 | 0 | 40 | 60 | 0 | 90 | 5 | 0 |
| 2017-18 | 72 | 28 | 0 | 76 | 24 | 0 | 42 | 58 | 0 | 97 | 3 | 0 |
| 2018-19 | 70 | 30 | 0 | 64 | 26 | 12 | 36 | 64 | 0 | 95 | 5 | 0 |
| 2019-20 | 64 | 36 | 0 | 55 | 45 | 0 | 29 | 71 | 0 | 83 | 17 | 0 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-Year | 68 | 32 | 0 | 68 | 30 | 2 | 37 | 63 | 0 | 91 | 8 | 1 |
| 10-Year | 69 | 28 | 3 | 71 | 24 | 5 | 39 | 57 | 5 | 86 | 12 | 3 |
| 20-Year | 57 | 37 | 6 | 59 | 35 | 6 | 36 | 58 | 6 | 86 | 12 | 3 |
| Total Avg | 47 | 45 | 8 | 51 | 41 | 8 | 31 | 61 | 7 | 86 | 12 | 3 |

Table 3.6 Trapping and hunting furbearer harvest seasons in lowa, 2010-Present

| Season | Species* | Trapping Season Dates |  | Hunting Season Dates |  | Bag Limit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Open | Close | Open | Close | Daily | Possession |
| 2010-11 | ra, stsk, ba, op, rf, gf | Nov 6 | Jan 31 | Nov 6 | Jan 31 | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | mi, mu, we | Nov 6 | Jan 31 |  |  | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | be | Nov 6 | Apr 01 |  |  | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | co | Nov 6 | Jan 31 | Continu | en season | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | ot ${ }^{1,9}$ | Nov 6 | Jan 31 |  |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | $b c^{4,9}$ | Nov 6 | Jan 31 | Nov 6 | Jan 31 | 1 | 1 |
|  | spsk, gw | Continuous closed season |  | Continuous closed season |  |  |  |
| 2011-12 | ra, stsk, ba, op, rf, gf | Nov 5 | Jan 31 | Nov 5 | Jan 31 | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | mi, mu, we | Nov 5 | Jan 31 |  |  | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | be | Nov 5 | Apr 15 |  |  | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | co | Nov 5 | Jan 31 | Continu | en season | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | ot ${ }^{5,9}$ | Nov 5 | Jan 31 |  |  | 3 | 3 |
|  | $b c^{6,9}$ | Nov 5 | $\text { Jan } 31$ | Nov 5 | Jan 31 | 1 | 1 |
|  | spsk, gw | Continuous closed season |  | Continuous closed season |  |  |  |
| 2012-13 | ra, stsk, ba, op, rf, gf | Nov 3 | Jan 31 | Nov 3 | Jan 31 | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | mi, mu, we | Nov 3 | Jan 31 |  |  | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | be | Nov 5 | Apr 15 |  |  | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | co | Nov 3 | Jan 31 | Continuo | en season | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | ot ${ }^{7,9}$ | Nov 3 | Jan 31 |  |  | 3 | 3 |
|  | $b c^{8,9}$ | Nov 3 | Jan 31 | Nov 3 | Jan 31 | 1 | 1 |
|  | spsk, gw | Continuous closed season |  | Continuous closed season |  |  |  |
| 2013-14 | ra, stsk, ba, op, rf, gf | Nov 2 | Jan 31 |  |  | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | mi, mu, we | Nov 2 | Jan 31 |  |  | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | be |  |  |  |  | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | co | Nov 2 | Jan 31 | Continuo | en season | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | ot ${ }^{9}$ | Nov 2 | Jan 31 |  |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | $b c^{9}$ | Nov 2 | Jan 31 |  |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | spsk, gw | Continuous closed season |  | Continuous closed season |  |  |  |



| Season | Species* | Trapping Season Dates |  | Hunting Season Dates |  | Bag Limit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Open | Close | Open | Close | Daily | Possession |
|  | spsk, gw | Continuous closed season |  | Continuous closed season |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 | ra, stsk, ba, op, rf, gf | Nov 5 | Jan 31 | Nov 5 | Jan 31 | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | mi, mu, we | Nov 5 | Jan 31 |  |  | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | be | Nov 5 | Apr 15 |  |  | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | co | Nov 5 | Jan 31 | Continuo | en season | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | ot ${ }^{9}$ | Nov 5 | Jan 31 |  |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | $b c^{9}$ | Nov 5 | Jan 31 | Nov 5 | Jan 31 | 1 | 1 |
|  | spsk, gw | Continuous closed season |  | Continuous closed season |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | ra, stsk, ba, op, rf, gf | Nov 4 | Jan 31 | Nov 4 | Jan 31 | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | mi, mu, we | Nov 4 | Jan 31 |  |  | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | be | Nov 4 | Apr 15 |  |  | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | co | Nov 4 | Jan 31 | Continuo | en season | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | ot ${ }^{9}$ | Nov 4 | Jan 31 |  |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | $b c^{9}$ | Nov 4 | Jan 31 | Nov 4 | Jan 31 | 1 | 1 |
|  | spsk, gw | Continuous closed season |  | Continuous closed season |  |  |  |
| 2018-19 | ra, stsk, ba, op, rf, gf | Nov 3 | Jan 31 | Nov 3 | Jan 31 | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | mi, mu, we | Nov 3 | Jan 31 |  |  | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | be | Nov 3 | Apr 15 |  |  | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | co | Nov 3 | Jan 31 | Continuo | en season | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | ot ${ }^{9}$ | Nov 3 | Jan 31 |  |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | bc ${ }^{9,10}$ | Nov 3 | Jan 31 | Nov 3 | Jan 31 | 1 | 1 |
|  | spsk, gw | Continuous closed season |  | Continuous closed season |  |  |  |
| 2019-20 | ra, stsk, ba, op, rf, gf | Nov 2 | Jan 31 | Nov 2 | Jan 31 | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | mi, mu, we | Nov 2 | Jan 31 |  |  | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | be | Nov 2 | Apr 15 |  |  | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | co | Nov 2 | Jan 31 | Continuo | en season | No Limit | No Limit |
|  | ot ${ }^{9}$ | Nov 2 | Jan 31 |  |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | $b c^{9,10,11}$ | Nov 2 | Jan 31 | Nov 2 | Jan 31 | 3 | 3 |
|  | spsk, gw | Continuous closed season |  | Continuo | sed season |  |  |

*Species codes: ba-badger; bc-bobcat; be-beaver; co-coyote; gr-gray fox; gw-gray wolf; mi-mink; mu-muskrat; op-opossum; ot-otter; ra-raccoon; rf-red fox; spsk-spotted skunk; stsk-striped skunk; we-weasel.
${ }^{1}$ State-wide quota of 500 animals, plus a 48 -hour grace period. Season bag limit of two per licensed furharvester
${ }^{2}$ Quota of 200 animals in the southern two tiers of counties only, plus a 48-hour grace period. Season bag limit of one per licensed furharvester, either hunted or trapped.
${ }^{3}$ Quota of 200 animals in the southern two tiers of counties and Pottawattamie, Harrison, Monona, and Woodbury counties along the Missouri river only, plus a 48-hour grace period. Season bag limit of one per licensed furharvester, either hunted or trapped.
${ }^{4}$ Quota of 250 animals in the southern three tiers of counties, Harrison, Monona, and Woodbury counties along the Missouri river, and Guthrie County only, plus a 48-hour grace period. Season bag limit of one per licensed furharvester, either hunted or trapped.
${ }^{5}$ State-wide quota of 650 animals, plus a 48 -hour grace period. Season bag limit of three per licensed furharvester.
${ }^{6}$ Quota of 350 animals in the southern three tiers of counties, Harrison, Monona, and Woodbury counties along the Missouri river, and Guthrie County only, plus a 48-hour grace period. Season bag limit of one per licensed furharvester, either hunted or trapped.
${ }^{7}$ State-wide quota of 850 animals, plus a 48 -hour grace period. Season bag limit of three per licensed furharvester.
${ }^{8}$ Quota of 450 animals in the southern three tiers of counties, Harrison, Monona, and Woodbury counties along the Missouri river, and Guthrie County only, plus a 48-hour grace period. Season bag limit of one per licensed furharvester, either hunted or trapped.
${ }^{9}$ CITES tag required.
${ }^{10} 12$ counties added to bobcat harvest zone (=53 total) in 2018.

Table 3.7 Results of the lowa raccoon spotlight survey with raccoon harvest and pelt price, 1977-Present The spotlight survey is conducted in April each year. Harvest data are from previous harvest season.

| Year | Total Number <br> of Routes | Mean Number <br> Observed | Raccoon <br> Harvest | Average Pelt <br> Price (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1977 | 57 | 10 | 264,367 | 22.27 |
| 1978 | 83 | 11 | 251,985 | 31.18 |
| 1979 | 82 | 8 | 308,277 | 29.97 |
| 1980 | 85 | 9 | 235,717 | 21.47 |
| 1981 | 85 | 10 | 291,227 | 27.69 |
| 1982 | 84 | 13 | 255,926 | 16.54 |
| 1983 | 82 | 13 | 261,875 | 14.23 |
| 1984 | 84 | 12 | 334,179 | 18.94 |
| 1985 | 83 | 11 | 270,805 | 13.91 |
| 1986 | 80 | 11 | 390,773 | 18.22 |
| 1987 | 99 | 12 | 307,587 | 16.65 |
| 1988 | 89 | 27 | 15 | 190,556 |


| Year | Total Number <br> of Routes | Mean Number <br> Observed | Raccoon <br> Harvest | Average Pelt <br> Price $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | 99 | 56 | 10,857 | 4.96 |
| 2020 | 99 | 45 |  |  |
| 5-Year Avg | 99 | 45 | 98,804 | 5.57 |
| 10-Year Avg | 97 | 39 | 183,920 | 9.14 |
| 20-Year Avg | 90 | 31 | 162,798 | 9.80 |
| Total Avg | 87 | 23 | 183,541 | 11.76 |

Table 3.8 Otter harvest seasons and harvest data in lowa, 2006-Present

| Season | Harvest Season |  |  |  |  | Male Harvest | Female Harvest | Unknown Sex Harvest | Total Harvest ${ }^{2}$ | Quota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Counties ${ }^{1}$ | Open | Close Date | Season Length | Average Catch Rate per Day |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2006{ }^{\text {a,b,d }}$ | Statewide | Nov 4 | Nov 17 | 14 | 33 | 197 | 191 | 80 | 468 | 400 |
| $2007{ }^{\text {b,e }}$ | Statewide | Nov 3 | Nov 25 | 23 | 18 | 192 | 185 | 42 | 419 | 400 |
| $2008^{\text {b,e }}$ | Statewide | Nov 1 | Nov 27 | 25 | 19 | 222 | 218 | 40 | 480 | 500 |
| $2009{ }^{\text {b,e }}$ | Statewide | Nov 7 | Dec 4 | 28 | 18 | 225 | 240 | 49 | 514 | 500 |
| $2010{ }^{\text {b,e }}$ | Statewide | Nov 6 | Nov 24 | 19 | 24 | 200 | 206 | 51 | 457 | 500 |
| $2011^{\text {c, }}$ | Statewide | Nov 5 | Nov 23 | 19 | 41 | 360 | 335 | 75 | 770 | 650 |
| $2012{ }^{\text {c,e }}$ | Statewide | Nov 3 | Nov 25 | 23 | 42 | 446 | 460 | 67 | 973 | 850 |
| $2013{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Statewide | Nov 2 | Jan 31 | 91 | 13 | 559 | 484 | 122 | 1165 | none |
| $2014{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Statewide | Nov 1 | Jan 31 | 92 | 9 | 409 | 345 | 81 | 835 | none |
| $2015{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Statewide | Nov 7 | Jan 31 | 86 | 8 | 343 | 279 | 70 | 692 | none |
| $2016^{\text {b }}$ | Statewide | Nov 5 | Jan 31 | 88 | 6 | 291 | 228 | 37 | 556 | none |
| $2017{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Statewide | Nov 4 | Jan 31 | 89 | 9 | 392 | 363 | 67 | 822 | None |
| $2018{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Statewide | Nov 3 | Jan 31 | 90 | 6 | 275 | 239 | 62 | 576 | None |
| 2019 | Statewide | Nov 2 | Jan 31 | 91 | 8 | 398 | 349 | 24 | 771 | None |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 4509 | 4122 | 867 | 9498 |  |

*Harvest data excludes known road-killed otters.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ First regulated otter harvest season in lowa.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Season bag limit of two per licensed furharvester.
'Season bag limit of three per licensed furharvester.

${ }^{\text {e Harvest data includes animals harvested during a 48-hour grace period following season closure. }}$
${ }^{1}$ Statewide includes 99 lowa counties.
${ }^{2}$ Data includes harvest from unknown sources; may include road-killed animals. Source of collection was not specified in some harvest reports.

Table 3.9 Otter harvest methods by season in lowa, 2006-Present

| Season | Harvest Method |  |  |  |  |  | Total Harvest | Harvest Quota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Conibear | Foothold | Live Trap | Snare | Other ${ }_{1}$ | Unknown $_{1}$ |  |  |
| $2006{ }^{\text {a,b }}$ | 160 | 254 | 0 | 26 | 4 | 22 | 468 | 400 |
| $2007{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 141 | 231 | 3 | 40 | 0 | 1 | 419 | 400 |
| $2008{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 174 | 239 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 17 | 480 | 500 |
| $2009{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 197 | 249 | 2 | 52 | 0 | 8 | 514 | 500 |
| $2010^{\text {c }}$ | 196 | 198 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 23 | 457 | 500 |
| $2011{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 305 | 340 | 1 | 96 | 0 | 28 | 770 | 650 |
| $2012{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 371 | 470 | 5 | 116 | 2 | 7 | 973 | 850 |
| 2013 | 549 | 471 | 1 | 119 | 6 | 19 | 1165 | none |
| 2014 | 422 | 308 | 2 | 79 | 12 | 12 | 835 | none |
| 2015 | 358 | 228 | 1 | 74 | 18 | 13 | 692 | none |
| 2016 | 288 | 183 | 3 | 58 | 3 | 11 | 556 | none |
| 2017 | 451 | 272 | 2 | 59 | 13 | 25 | 822 | None |
| 2018 | 325 | 184 | 1 | 38 | 11 | 17 | 576 | none |
| 2019 | 468 | 219 | 4 | 65 | 13 | 2 | 771 | none |
| Total | 4405 | 3846 | 25 | 910 | 92 | 205 | 9498 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ First regulated otter harvest season in lowa
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Harvest data includes animals harvested during a 72 -hour grace period following season closure.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Harvest data includes animals harvested during a 48-hour grace period following season closure.
${ }^{1}$ Data may include road-killed animals. Source of collection was not specified in some harvest reports.

Table 3.10 Bobcat harvest seasons and harvest data in lowa, 2007-Present

| Season | Harvest Season |  |  |  |  | Male Harvest | Female Harvest | Unknown Sex Harvest | Total Harvest ${ }^{2}$ | Quota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Counties ${ }^{1}$ | Open <br> Date | Close <br> Date | Season Length | Average Catch Rate per Day |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2007{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 21 | 3-Nov | 21-Nov | 19 | 8 | 69 | 71 | 14 | 154 | 150 |
| 2008 | 25 | 1-Nov | 21-Nov | 21 | 11 | 103 | 117 | 14 | 234 | 200 |
| 2009 | 25 | 7-Nov | 30-Nov | 24 | 9 | 107 | 107 | 21 | 235 | 200 |
| 2010 | 35 | 6-Nov | 23-Nov | 18 | 15 | 100 | 140 | 34 | 274 | 250 |
| 2011 | 35 | 5-Nov | 29-Nov | 25 | 16 | 162 | 209 | 27 | 398 | 350 |
| 2012 | 35 | 3-Nov | 1-Dec | 29 | 18 | 233 | 263 | 32 | 528 | 450 |
| 2013 | 41 | 2-Nov | 31-Jan | 91 | 11 | 436 | 484 | 58 | 978 | None |
| 2014 | 41 | 1-Nov | 31-Jan | 92 | 8 | 315 | 356 | 35 | 706 | None |
| 2015 | 41 | 7-Nov | 31-Jan | 86 | 6 | 228 | 274 | 33 | 535 | None |
| 2016 | 41 | 5-Nov | 31-Jan | 88 | 7 | 253 | 303 | 35 | 591 | None |
| 2017 | 41 | 4-Nov | 31-Jan | 89 | 9 | 364 | 401 | 54 | 819 | None |
| 2018 | 53 | 3-Nov | 31-Jan | 90 | 8 | 330 | 297 | 60 | 687 | None |
| $2019{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 53 | 2-Nov | 31-Jan | 90 | 13 | 552 | 557 | 51 | 1160 | None |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 3252 | 3579 | 468 | 7299 |  |

[^2]Table 3.11 Bobcat harvest methods by season in lowa, 2007-Present

| Season | Harvest Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Harvest | Harvest Quota |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Conibear | Foothold | Live <br> Trap | Snare | Archery | Gun | Calling | Hounds | Roadkill | Other | Unknown |  |  |
| $2007{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 37 | 26 | 0 | 40 | 20 | 4 |  | 6 | 5 |  | 16 | 154 | 150 |
| 2008 | 72 | 35 | 3 | 85 | 23 | 3 |  | 7 | 2 |  | 4 | 234 | 200 |
| 2009 | 56 | 35 | 0 | 82 | 24 | 7 |  | 4 | 14 |  | 13 | 235 | 200 |
| 2010 | 58 | 50 | 1 | 92 | 38 | 6 |  | 4 | 6 |  | 19 | 274 | 250 |
| 2011 | 114 | 85 | 3 | 122 | 32 | 5 |  | 6 | 7 |  | 24 | 398 | 350 |
| 2012 | 107 | 143 | 7 | 167 | 47 | 16 | 15 | 7 | 15 |  | 4 | 528 | 450 |
| 2013 | 223 | 231 | 7 | 328 | 51 | 37 | 51 | 5 | 30 | 10 | 5 | 978 | none |
| 2014 | 124 | 217 | 7 | 174 | 45 | 44 | 31 | 14 | 27 | 4 | 19 | 706 | none |
| 2015 | 63 | 157 | 9 | 89 | 51 | 33 | 64 | 8 | 38 | 19 | 4 | 535 | none |
| 2016 | 88 | 181 | 5 | 91 | 56 | 39 | 74 | 5 | 34 | 11 | 7 | 591 | none |
| 2017 | 90 | 271 | 14 | 132 | 68 | 51 | 112 | 8 | 40 | 8 | 25 | 819 | none |
| 2018 | 81 | 184 | 8 | 107 | 50 | 82 | 106 | 11 | 34 | 12 | 12 | 687 | none |
| 2019 | 147 | 375 | 24 | 224 | 72 | 67 | 172 | 4 | 47 | 6 | 22 | 1160 | none |
| Total | 1260 | 1990 | 88 | 1733 | 577 | 394 | 625 | 89 | 299 | 70 | 174 | 7299 |  |

*Harvest data includes animals harvested during a 48-hour grace period following season closure.
${ }^{\text {a First regulated bobcat harvest season in lowa }}$


## WATERFOWL MANAGEMENT, SEASONS, AND HARVESTS IN IOWA

## Duck Breeding Populations

Breeding population estimates are made each year for 10 key species of ducks in the principal breeding areas of Alaska, Canada, and the northcentral United States (Table 4.1, Figure 4.1). Surveys are conducted in May and early June by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), Canadian Wildlife Service, and provincial and state conservation agencies. Ducks are counted from fixed-wing aircraft on the same transects each year. Estimates of ducks and ponds seen from the air are corrected for visibility bias by conducting ground counts on a sample of transects. The estimates in Table 4.1 are not the entire continental breeding populations of ducks; a portion of each population (potentially $25 \%$ for mallards) nests outside the surveyed areas. Surveys did not occur in spring 2020 due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

Although numbers of breeding ducks have fluctuated substantially from year to year, trend analysis suggests that total duck numbers are near all-time highs. This positive trend, however, is the result of increasing numbers of some species (e.g., mallards and blue-winged teal) and decreasing numbers of others (e.g., pintails and scaup). Despite the improvements in duck numbers in the 1990's, there are still concerns about the long-term loss of both wetland and upland habitat in the prairie pothole region and the long-term outlook for duck populations in the future.

Duck populations have fluctuated substantially over time. The drought of the 1980's pushed many populations to near record low levels. The resiliency of these birds, however, was dramatically illustrated when most populations rebounded after water returned to the prairies in the 1990's. Pintails and scaup were exceptions to this rule; pintails because drought continued to plague their primary nesting areas in Alberta and scaup for reasons apparently related to nutritional deficiencies on migration habitats. Duck populations will continue to fluctuate in the future as the numbers of wetlands on the landscape in north-central North America rise and fall with changes in the weather.

## Iowa's Canada Goose Population

Temperate breeding Canada geese nested throughout lowa prior to European settlement, but were extirpated from most of the Midwest, including lowa, by 1900. The Canada goose restoration program initiated by the lowa Conservation Commission in 1964, the forerunner to the lowa Dept. of Natural Resources (IADNR), has successfully restored this species to most of its former nesting range in lowa (see Giant Canada Goose Restoration). lowa's Canada goose population exhibited steady growth during 1965-2010, declined during 2011-2013, but appears to have recovered since (Figure 4.2). Each summer, IADNR staff estimate the numbers of adult Canada geese and goslings in their wildlife units. To obtain a statistically valid estimate of this population, an aerial survey is also conducted each spring. The results of an aerial survey conducted during April 2019 indicated the population was 83,055 ( $\pm 16,727$ ) ( $\pm 95 \%$ Conf. Limit). The survey was not conducted in 2020 due to Covid-19.

## Waterfowl Harvests

Waterfowl harvests and hunter activity in lowa are estimated annually by the USFWS (Table 4.2). Harvest estimates are calculated by combining the results of 2 surveys: 1) a survey of randomly selected hunters from the Harvest Information Program (HIP), which is used to calculate the total number of waterfowl killed, and 2) a survey that solicits duck wings and goose tails, which is used to estimate the species composition of the harvest.
lowa's duck harvests have fluctuated substantially since 1961. The lowest harvests of all ducks and mallards occurred in the early 1960's, years with low duck populations and restrictive hunting regulations. The highest duck harvest was in 1979, a year with good duck numbers and, perhaps more importantly, excellent habitat conditions in lowa due to above normal rainfall in August and September. Duck harvests began to decline in 1985, bottoming out in 1988 and 1989. Reasons for reduced harvests included smaller breeding populations and fall flights, shorter seasons, reduced bag limits, fewer hunters, and poor local habitat conditions. Duck harvests have increased in recent years as a result of improvements in duck numbers, liberal hunting regulations, and increases in numbers of active hunters.

The addition of an experimental Special September Teal season in 2014-2018 allowed lowan's additional opportunity to hunt teal. Blue-winged teal harvest increased during 2014 and 2015. However, total duck harvest has declined since the implementation of the teal season, particularly for wood ducks.

Iowa's Canada goose harvest was relatively constant during 1967-85, but began to increase in 1986 as a result of increasing numbers of local giant Canada geese (Table 4.2). Canada goose harvests increased substantially after 1988, but were dampened in 1993 when restrictive Canada goose hunting regulations were implemented to reduce the harvest of Eastern Prairie Population (EPP) Canada geese. EPP geese nest on the west coast of Hudson Bay and are one of the two principle migrant Canada goose populations that fly through lowa (the other consists of small Canada geese, commonly called "cacklers" or "hutchies," that nest on Baffin Island in the Arctic). The floods of 1993 may have also contributed to the decrease in the Canada goose harvest that year. Canada goose harvests resumed their increasing trend in the mid 1990's, and peaked at 78,600 in 2005. The apparent drop in harvest in 1998 and 1999 may be an artifact of how the estimates were calculated rather than an actual change in harvest. At that time, the USFWS was converting from the old waterfowl stamp survey methodology to the new Harvest Information Program (HIP) survey. Harvest numbers from 1999 to the present are HIP estimates. Canada goose harvest during 2015-2019 is the first period where lowa's estimated Canada goose harvest was higher than the estimated mallard harvest.

The snow goose harvest in lowa has declined since the early 1970's, despite record high numbers of snow geese in the Flyway in the 1990's and 2000's. Declining harvests resulted from shifting snow goose migration patterns, later migrations, increased use of refuges, and large numbers of older geese in the population. By the mid 1990's, the midcontinent light goose population was severely damaging Arctic breeding habitats. To increase harvests of light geese, more liberal hunting regulations were implemented (liberal bag limits, 107-day seasons) and a conservation order was implemented in 1999 to permit taking light geese after March 10 and to allow for hunting beyond the 107-day limit imposed by the Migratory Bird Treaty with Canada and Mexico. The harvest during the conservation order period in lowa has ranged from 8,200 to 56,830 during 1999-2020. During the 1998-2019 regular light goose seasons, the harvest ranged from 0 to 15,000 .

## Waterfowl Seasons

lowa waterfowl hunters have experienced a wide range of duck and goose seasons since the USFWS began regulating waterfowl hunting in 1918 (Table 4.3 and Table 4.4). Nearly every conceivable season-date combination has been tried in the past 90 years. Duck hunting regulations are inherently complex because they involve many species. The general lack of consistency in regulations, however, has made interpretation of the effects of these regulations on duck harvests very difficult. Goose hunting regulations, on the other hand, have been less complex and more consistent. The relative secure goose breeding habitat, along with consistently conservative seasons and bag limits, have enabled goose populations to prosper. The growing temperate-breeding Canada goose population, however, has complicated traditional Canada goose harvest management. It is particularly challenging to develop hunting regulations that will increase harvests of local Canada geese while limiting harvests of migrant geese from Arctic and sub-Arctic regions.

In 2019 lowa held a 16-day Special September Teal season. This was the second year of an operational season. Estimates of teal harvest during the first two experimental seasons were substantial ( $2014=48,870,2015=33,733$ ), however lowa's entire season duck harvest has not increased.

## Waterfowl Banding

Ducks and geese are captured and banded with leg bands to obtain information on survival rates, hunting mortality, migration patterns and timing, and the relationships of harvest areas to production areas. Banding is conducted at the request of the USFWS and the Mississippi Flyway Council (MFC). Both state and federal personnel band ducks in lowa, but IADNR personnel band all the Canada geese and more than $95 \%$ of the wood ducks (Table 4.5). Nearly 300,000 ducks and geese have been banded by IADNR personnel since 1964.

The USFWS, in concert with the MFC, determines banding priorities. In the 1960's emphasis was placed on banding bluewinged teal to evaluate special teal seasons. Winter mallard banding was conducted in the 1970's to supplement breeding grounds bandings and examine hen mortality during spring and summer. Wood duck bandings were used to evaluate lowa's September duck seasons. Wood duck bandings are also important to measure the effects of hunting on wood duck populations, an aspect that has been particularly important since 2008 when the wood duck bag limit was increased from 2 to 3 birds per day.

Canada goose banding has increased with the growth of the local Canada goose population in lowa. Migrant Canada geese have also been banded as part of cooperative projects with the MFC. Canada goose banding will be increasingly important as the Mississippi Flyway, USFWS and state conservation agencies attempt to balance harvest of abundant temperate breeding Canada goose populations and migrant Canada goose populations, which have been stable or decreasing.


Figures


Figure 4.1 Breeding populations of ducks important to lowa. (Source USFWS)


Figure 4.2 lowa's Canada goose population (Source: lowa DNR).


Figure 4.3 Goose harvests and active hunters in lowa (1961-2019) (Source: USFWS).


Figure 4.4 Duck harvests in Iowa (1961-2019) (Source: USFWS).

## Tables

Table 4.1 Breeding population estimates for 10 species of ducks (in thousands) in the traditional survey region in North America.
(Source: USFWS)

| Year | Mallard | Gadwall | American <br> Wigeon | Green <br> Winged <br> Teal | Blue <br> Winged <br> Teal | Northern <br> Shoveler | Northern <br> Pintail | Red- <br> Head | Canvas <br> Back | Scaup |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1955 | 8,356 | 663 | 3,067 | 1,823 | 5,381 | 1,571 | 9,387 | 572 | 599 | 5,609 |
| 1956 | 9,842 | 783 | 3,118 | 1,480 | 4,763 | 1,630 | 9,897 | 755 | 696 | 5,734 |
| 1957 | 9,151 | 691 | 2,852 | 1,053 | 4,312 | 1,459 | 6,311 | 542 | 615 | 5,745 |
| 1958 | 10,994 | 454 | 2,421 | 1,326 | 5,165 | 1,187 | 5,552 | 443 | 742 | 5,286 |
| 1959 | 8,746 | 527 | 3,703 | 2,601 | 5,046 | 1,456 | 5,483 | 493 | 481 | 6,961 |
| 1960 | 7,164 | 721 | 2,937 | 1,390 | 4,185 | 1,743 | 5,414 | 495 | 600 | 4,826 |
| 1961 | 6,912 | 594 | 2,817 | 1,709 | 3,655 | 1,256 | 3,676 | 319 | 428 | 5,335 |
| 1962 | 5,139 | 846 | 1,882 | 700 | 2,940 | 1,183 | 3,395 | 503 | 354 | 5,240 |
| 1963 | 6,723 | 1,092 | 1,706 | 1,155 | 3,681 | 1,278 | 3,622 | 413 | 499 | 5,396 |
| 1964 | 5,740 | 825 | 2,495 | 1,505 | 3,961 | 1,608 | 3,013 | 527 | 649 | 5,058 |
| 1965 | 5,101 | 1,270 | 2,312 | 1,237 | 3,570 | 1,372 | 3,549 | 599 | 520 | 4,652 |
| 1966 | 6,680 | 1,672 | 2,282 | 1,580 | 3,718 | 2,103 | 4,764 | 713 | 658 | 4,432 |
| 1967 | 7,470 | 1,385 | 2,320 | 1,588 | 4,509 | 2,291 | 5,270 | 734 | 500 | 4,932 |
| 1968 | 7,019 | 1,947 | 2,282 | 1,405 | 3,459 | 1,646 | 3,470 | 493 | 561 | 4,360 |
| 1969 | 7,536 | 1,573 | 2,919 | 1,468 | 4,133 | 2,145 | 5,900 | 633 | 501 | 5,131 |
| 1970 | 9,960 | 1,606 | 3,447 | 2,171 | 4,858 | 2,220 | 6,369 | 624 | 578 | 5,634 |
| 1971 | 9,306 | 1,603 | 3,281 | 1,881 | 4,607 | 2,005 | 5,874 | 534 | 444 | 5,063 |
| 1972 | 9,255 | 1,621 | 3,172 | 1,895 | 4,277 | 2,441 | 7,018 | 551 | 426 | 7,932 |
| 1973 | 8,060 | 1,247 | 2,864 | 1,936 | 3,334 | 1,624 | 4,351 | 498 | 617 | 6,222 |
| 1974 | 6,681 | 1,592 | 2,665 | 1,840 | 4,968 | 2,006 | 6,583 | 627 | 504 | 5,720 |
| 1975 | 7,494 | 1,641 | 2,692 | 1,667 | 5,829 | 1,962 | 5,878 | 829 | 591 | 6,427 |
| 1976 | 7,894 | 1,245 | 2,476 | 1,536 | 4,747 | 1,756 | 5,475 | 668 | 610 | 5,779 |
| 1977 | 7,396 | 1,312 | 2,560 | 1,291 | 4,589 | 1,475 | 3,935 | 637 | 667 | 6,247 |
| 1978 | 7,353 | 1,561 | 3,286 | 2,194 | 4,471 | 1,978 | 5,106 | 738 | 369 | 5,936 |


| Year | Mallard | Gadwall | American Wigeon | Green Winged Teal | $\begin{gathered} \text { Blue } \\ \text { Winged } \\ \text { Teal } \end{gathered}$ | Northern Shoveler | Northern Pintail | Red- <br> Head | Canvas Back | Scaup |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979 | 7,816 | 1,751 | 3,087 | 2,019 | 4,861 | 2,386 | 5,382 | 695 | 573 | 7,540 |
| 1980 | 7,570 | 1,391 | 3,558 | 1,994 | 4,884 | 1,902 | 4,514 | 753 | 727 | 6,314 |
| 1981 | 6,367 | 1,402 | 2,924 | 1,851 | 3,726 | 2,325 | 3,472 | 596 | 610 | 5,918 |
| 1982 | 6,254 | 1,637 | 2,440 | 1,543 | 3,657 | 2,141 | 3,709 | 617 | 510 | 5,468 |
| 1983 | 6,313 | 1,517 | 2,606 | 1,836 | 3,366 | 1,870 | 3,506 | 709 | 523 | 7,136 |
| 1984 | 5,247 | 1,532 | 2,987 | 1,361 | 3,956 | 1,620 | 2,969 | 673 | 520 | 6,909 |
| 1985 | 4,754 | 1,304 | 2,040 | 1,435 | 3,459 | 1,697 | 2,511 | 579 | 373 | 5,038 |
| 1986 | 6,836 | 1,540 | 1,732 | 1,682 | 4,463 | 2,118 | 2,737 | 560 | 437 | 5,204 |
| 1987 | 5,613 | 1,311 | 1,982 | 2,003 | 3,518 | 1,951 | 2,629 | 502 | 451 | 4,837 |
| 1988 | 6,331 | 1,349 | 2,194 | 2,058 | 3,975 | 1,680 | 2,011 | 441 | 436 | 4,684 |
| 1989 | 5,650 | 1,416 | 1,974 | 1,843 | 3,128 | 1,540 | 2,113 | 511 | 478 | 4,344 |
| 1990 | 5,452 | 1,672 | 1,860 | 1,790 | 2,776 | 1,759 | 2,257 | 481 | 539 | 4,294 |
| 1991 | 5,444 | 1,584 | 2,254 | 1,558 | 3,764 | 1,716 | 1,803 | 446 | 491 | 5,255 |
| 1992 | 5,976 | 2,033 | 2,208 | 1,773 | 4,333 | 1,954 | 2,098 | 596 | 482 | 4,639 |
| 1993 | 5,708 | 1,755 | 2,053 | 1,695 | 3,193 | 2,047 | 2,053 | 485 | 472 | 4,080 |
| 1994 | 6,980 | 2,318 | 2,382 | 2,108 | 4,616 | 2,912 | 2,972 | 654 | 526 | 4,529 |
| 1995 | 8,269 | 2,836 | 2,615 | 2,301 | 5,140 | 2,855 | 2,758 | 889 | 771 | 4,446 |
| 1996 | 7,941 | 2,984 | 2,273 | 2,459 | 6,416 | 3,449 | 2,736 | 834 | 849 | 4,250 |
| 1997 | 9,940 | 3,897 | 3,118 | 2,507 | 6,124 | 4,120 | 3,558 | 918 | 689 | 4,112 |
| 1998 | 9,640 | 3,742 | 2,858 | 2,087 | 6,399 | 3,183 | 2,521 | 1,005 | 686 | 3,472 |
| 1999 | 10,806 | 3,236 | 2,920 | 2,631 | 7,150 | 3,890 | 3,058 | 973 | 716 | 4,412 |
| 2000 | 9,470 | 3,158 | 2,733 | 3,194 | 7,431 | 3,521 | 2,908 | 926 | 707 | 4,026 |
| 2001 | 7,904 | 2,679 | 2,494 | 2,509 | 5,757 | 3,314 | 3,296 | 712 | 580 | 3,694 |
| 2002 | 7,504 | 2,235 | 2,334 | 2,334 | 4,207 | 2,138 | 1,790 | 565 | 487 | 3,524 |
| 2003 | 7,950 | 2,549 | 2,551 | 2,679 | 5,518 | 3,620 | 2,558 | 637 | 558 | 3,734 |
| 2004 | 7,425 | 2,590 | 1,981 | 2,461 | 4,073 | 2,810 | 2,185 | 605 | 617 | 3,807 |
| 2005 | 6,755 | 2,179 | 2,225 | 2,157 | 4,586 | 3,592 | 2,561 | 592 | 521 | 3,387 |
| 2006 | 7,277 | 2,825 | 2,171 | 2,587 | 5,860 | 3,680 | 3,386 | 916 | 691 | 3,247 |
| 2007 | 8,307 | 3,356 | 2,807 | 2,890 | 6,708 | 4,553 | 3,335 | 1,009 | 865 | 3,452 |
| 2008 | 7,724 | 2,728 | 2,487 | 2,980 | 6,640 | 3,508 | 2,613 | 1,056 | 489 | 3,738 |
| 2009 | 8,512 | 3,054 | 2,469 | 3,444 | 7,384 | 4,376 | 3,225 | 1,044 | 662 | 4,172 |
| 2010 | 8,430 | 2,977 | 2,425 | 3,476 | 6,329 | 4,057 | 3,509 | 1,064 | 585 | 4,244 |
| 2011 | 9,183 | 3,257 | 2,084 | 2,900 | 8,949 | 4,641 | 4,429 | 1,356 | 692 | 4,319 |
| 2012 | 10,602 | 3,586 | 2,145 | 3,471 | 9,242 | 5,018 | 3,473 | 1,270 | 760 | 5,239 |
| 2013 | 10,372 | 3,351 | 2,644 | 3,053 | 7,732 | 4,751 | 3,335 | 1,202 | 787 | 4,166 |
| 2014 | 10,900 | 3,811 | 3,117 | 3,440 | 8,542 | 5,279 | 3,220 | 1,279 | 685 | 4,611 |
| 2015 | 11,600 | 3,834 | 3,037 | 4,080 | 8,547 | 4,391 | 3,043 | 1,195 | 757 | 4,395 |
| 2016 | 11,793 | 3,712 | 3,411 | 4,275 | 6,689 | 3,967 | 2,618 | 1,289 | 736 | 4,992 |
| 2017 | 10,488 | 4,180 | 2,777 | 3,605 | 7,888 | 4,353 | 2,889 | 1,115 | 732 | 4,371 |
| 2018 | 9,255 | 2,886 | 2,820 | 3,043 | 6,450 | 4,208 | 2,365 | 999 | 686 | 3,989 |
| 2019 | 9,423 | 3,258 | 2,832 | 3,178 | 5,427 | 3,649 | 2,268 | 732 | 652 | 3,590 |

2020
Survey not conducted.

## Percent Change in 2019 from:

| 2018 | $2 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $-16 \%$ | $-13 \%$ | $-4 \%$ | $-27 \%$ | $-5 \%$ | $-10 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1955-2018$ | $20 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $-42 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $-28 \%$ |


| Year | Mallard | Gadwall | American <br> Wigeon | Green <br> Winged <br> Teal | Blue <br> Winged <br> Teal | Northern <br> Shoveler | Northern <br> Pintail | Red- <br> Head | Canvas <br> Back | Scaup |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1955-19 Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 7,873 | 2,044 | 2,603 | 2,165 | 5,093 | 2,614 | 3,841 | 730 | 589 | 4,942 |
| Maximum | 11,793 | 4,180 | 3,703 | 4,275 | 9,242 | 5,279 | 9,897 | 1,356 | 865 | 7,932 |
| Minimum | 4,754 | 454 | 1,706 | 700 | 2,776 | 1,183 | 1,790 | 319 | 354 | 3,247 |

Table 4.2 Selected waterfowl harvest and hunter activity estimates for lowa. (Source is USFWS)
Data for 2001 to the present is based on the Harvest Information Program and is preliminary.

| Year | Days \& Harvest (1,000s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Federal Duck Stamp | Avg Seasonal Duck Bag | Active <br> Adult <br> Hunters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mallard | Wood Duck | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B-W } \\ & \text { Teal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { G-W } \\ & \text { Teal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Ducks } \end{gathered}$ | Canada Geese | Snow Geese | Days Hunted |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 88.5 | 6.8 | 0.5 | 16.3 | 139.4 |  |  | 230.4 | 41,147 | 3.9 | 33,500 |
| 1962 | 21.3 | 7.8 | 0.4 | 5.6 | 45.1 | 6.6 | 12.2 | 162.0 | 30,602 | 2.1 | 24,000 |
| 1963 | 43.0 | 29.0 | 27.9 | 14.9 | 139.2 | 7.2 | 10.4 | 228.2 | 37,166 | 4.7 | 29,700 |
| 1964 | 76.6 | 24.5 | 17.9 | 26.8 | 182.1 | 4.3 | 8.5 | 236.9 | 37,668 | 6.2 | 30,900 |
| 1965 | 79.8 | 15.4 | 43.8 | 22.3 | 174.6 | 6.6 | 26.3 | 271.6 | 39,941 | 6.0 | 34,000 |
| 1966 | 121.3 | 30.8 | 47.3 | 40.7 | 270.2 | 7.2 | 17.9 | 361.2 | 47,438 | 7.4 | 41,300 |
| 1967 | 124.9 | 12.4 | 43.3 | 38.4 | 229.4 | 12.4 | 16.8 | 394.6 | 52,269 | 6.6 | 44,300 |
| 1968 | 40.4 | 16.1 | 0.9 | 19.7 | 96.3 | 10.6 | 10.8 | 270.0 | 45,753 | 2.6 | 37,500 |
| 1969 | 89.9 | 21.1 | 53.3 | 22.3 | 183.7 | 15.5 | 43.2 | 397.3 | 54,807 | 5.1 | 47,500 |
| 1970 | 139.2 | 50.6 | 51.6 | 45.2 | 368.7 | 12.6 | 48.3 | 496.6 | 65,822 | 6.0 | 56,900 |
| 1971 | 160.9 | 59.3 | 49.6 | 26.6 | 376.2 | 10.4 | 46.1 | 536.5 | 68,401 | 6.3 | 58,700 |
| 1972 | 171.8 | 39.3 | 31.2 | 23.9 | 344.5 | 5.0 | 39.3 | 513.8 | 57,907 | 6.4 | 50,800 |
| 1973 | 99.9 | 31.0 | 18.5 | 18.1 | 211.9 | 11.6 | 32.5 | 401.1 | 57,196 | 3.9 | 48,700 |
| 1974 | 106.1 | 46.7 | 26.0 | 24.0 | 238.0 | 7.7 | 45.1 | 450.6 | 60,446 | 4.3 | 51,600 |
| 1975 | 117.4 | 57.5 | 51.0 | 38.6 | 313.6 | 13.5 | 41.2 | 446.1 | 58,791 | 5.9 | 49,700 |
| 1976 | 87.5 | 44.0 | 33.0 | 27.5 | 242.2 | 9.3 | 15.8 | 359.6 | 55,449 | 5.0 | 45,400 |
| 1977 | 138.7 | 37.9 | 17.0 | 38.7 | 280.0 | 7.8 | 29.1 | 407.3 | 57,143 | 5.3 | 46,200 |
| 1978 | 125.6 | 73.6 | 41.1 | 41.7 | 351.4 | 11.9 | 23.9 | 424.9 | 56,259 | 6.7 | 47,800 |
| 1979 | 183.3 | 77.8 | 69.2 | 38.0 | 441.0 | 10.0 | 43.2 | 496.7 | 49,845 | 9.5 | 44,400 |
| 1980 | 118.1 | 49.1 | 39.0 | 37.3 | 299.9 | 11.7 | 23.1 | 384.6 | 47,008 | 6.6 | 41,100 |
| 1981 | 130.2 | 54.3 | 34.6 | 27.7 | 301.1 | 10.2 | 23.1 | 371.5 | 41,648 | 7.9 | 35,900 |
| 1982 | 164.9 | 55.3 | 58.2 | 24.3 | 348.8 | 10.2 | 14.0 | 354.9 | 40,599 | 9.6 | 34,400 |
| 1983 | 115.2 | 47.3 | 74.0 | 27.8 | 324.2 | 11.5 | 16.5 | 310.4 | 40,381 | 8.5 | 34,000 |
| 1984 | 96.3 | 46.3 | 56.8 | 36.2 | 299.5 | 13.3 | 22.0 | 300.3 | 41,078 | 7.5 | 35,300 |
| 1985 | 62.0 | 37.4 | 41.5 | 22.6 | 199.8 | 10.4 | 8.5 | 241.4 | 33,304 | 6.8 | 27,900 |
| 1986 | 88.9 | 46.0 | 26.9 | 18.3 | 217.0 | 17.2 | 11.8 | 244.0 | 33,504 | 7.3 | 27,900 |
| 1987 | 64.8 | 36.1 | 14.2 | 20.1 | 161.1 | 15.1 | 3.6 | 207.0 | 30,248 | 6.0 | 25,500 |
| 1988 | 41.6 | 11.4 | 1.4 | 12.5 | 78.3 | 12.1 | 10.1 | 131.8 | 22,008 | 4.3 | 17,300 |
| 1989 | 32.2 | 17.0 | 2.9 | 17.9 | 87.8 | 20.2 | 4.4 | 127.5 | 21,686 | 4.7 | 16,600 |
| 1990 | 41.3 | 25.6 | 4.6 | 17.8 | 105.8 | 26.6 | 3.1 | 159.3 | 24,686 | 4.9 | 20,800 |
| 1991 | 63.1 | 39.4 | 6.6 | 13.3 | 154.2 | 29.3 | 8.1 | 196.7 | 24,989 | 6.8 | 21,400 |
| 1992 | 64.9 | 18.8 | 2.9 | 14.3 | 122.8 | 28.7 | 4.1 | 198.6 | 26,744 | 5.1 | 22,800 |


| Year | Days \& Harvest (1,000s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Federal Duck Stamp | Avg <br> Seasonal Duck Bag | Active <br> Adult <br> Hunters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mallard | Wood Duck | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B-W } \\ & \text { Teal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G-W } \\ & \text { Teal } \end{aligned}$ | All Ducks | Canada Geese | Snow <br> Geese | Days <br> Hunted |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 52.7 | 22.2 | 4.1 | 7.9 | 100.9 | 17.3 | 9.5 | 176.5 | 25,640 | 4.7 | 21,092 |
| 1994 | 49.1 | 34.9 | 17.5 | 22.5 | 151.8 | 26.1 | 2.4 | 232.6 | 29,206 | 6.0 | 24,523 |
| 1995 | 86.1 | 49.2 | 38.9 | 23.7 | 242.3 | 48.0 | 4.6 | 280.2 | 30,282 | 8.2 | 25,792 |
| 1996 | 90.6 | 42.5 | 36.2 | 31.0 | 244.7 | 59.5 | 5.4 | 284.2 | 30,945 | 7.9 | 26,338 |
| 1997 | 71.2 | 52.1 | 54.5 | 32.7 | 272.0 | 52.2 | 15.2 | 338.3 | 36,062 | 8.3 | 30,737 |
| 1998 | 99.6 | 36.0 | 47.7 | 41.9 | 281.9 | 33.2 | 15.6 | 292.8 | 30,864 | 9.9 | 27,454 |
| 1999 | 55.9 | 35.8 | 41.9 | 17.4 | 176.7 | 33.0 | 12.5 | 271.9 | 32,419 | 7.2 | 27,024 |
| 2000 | 74.2 | 39.9 | 25.3 | 25.4 | 209.6 | 61.0 | 0.6 | 288.4 | 30,951 | 8.2 | 26,693 |
| 2001 | 117.2 | 45.5 | 49.3 | 29.7 | 296.4 | 58.1 | 5.2 | 203.5 | 32,090 | 11.9 | 25,000 |
| 2002 | 97.2 | 44.5 | 50.6 | 43.0 | 287.2 | 67.1 | 1.1 | 185.7 | 30,806 | 12.3 | 23,300 |
| 2003 | 101.7 | 38.6 | 30.1 | 29.4 | 248.9 | 55.5 | 14.4 | 187.1 | 30,206 | 11.0 | 22,500 |
| 2004 | 54.7 | 52.9 | 28.5 | 16.8 | 184.5 | 70.3 | 1.0 | 203.0 | 28,649 | 9.0 | 23,900 |
| 2005 | 77.9 | 38.1 | 39.0 | 21.2 | 205.2 | 78.6 | 0.6 | 128.9 | 26,943 | 11.8 | 20,800 |
| 2006 | 73.2 | 26.7 | 27.8 | 31.9 | 203.3 | 73.9 | 0.2 | 129.9 | 29,380 | 11.3 | 21,300 |
| 2007 | 72.7 | 34.2 | 40.3 | 39.5 | 232.8 | 64.6 | 0.3 | 151.4 | 26,531 | 11.4 | 23,700 |
| 2008 | 72.3 | 38.3 | 15.0 | 31.3 | 206.1 | 62.2 | 0.8 | 135.8 | 26,354 | 10.9 | 21,700 |
| 2009 | 45.3 | 45.1 | 35.5 | 22.5 | 181.5 | 62.0 | 0.0 | 130.3 | Not avail. | 10.3 | 19,500 |
| 2010 | 68.3 | 55.5 | 46.8 | 20.3 | 245.5 | 65.8 | 0.2 | 149.1 | Not avail. | 11.1 | 25,200 |
| 2011 | 72.0 | 43.3 | 23.4 | 19.7 | 201.8 | 52.0 | 0.1 | 136.2 | Not avail. | 10.8 | 24,900 |
| 2012 | 50.0 | 18.2 | 14.8 | 13.0 | 117.7 | 38.9 | 0.0 | 69.7 | Not avail. | 9.4 | 13,800 |
| 2013 | 66.6 | 35.9 | 42.7 | 19.3 | 202.3 | 73.7 | 0.0 | 128.5 | Not avail. | 14.1 | 14,400 |
| 2014 | 60.0 | 16.1 | 56.6 | 17.5 | 174.1 | 47.3 | 1.6 | 96.6 | Not avail. | 12.5 | 13,900 |
| 2015 | 48.8 | 29.9 | 47.1 | 16.1 | 167.8 | 53.6 | 0.0 | 119.8 | NA | 11.5 | 14,555 |
| 2016 | 51.3 | 11.6 | 18.3 | 23.2 | 138.6 | 56.9 | 1.2 | 105.4 | NA | 10.3 | 13,500 |
| 2017 | 44.3 | 23.6 | 37.4 | 21.0 | 156.0 | 59.6 | 0.0 | 99.2 | NA | 11.6 | 15,700 |
| 2018 | 53.9 | 16.9 | 47.6 | 18.0 | 176.1 | 46.1 | 0.2 | 97.8 | NA | 10.9 | 16,700 |
| 2019 | 33.5 | 22.8 | 26.7 | 13.1 | 127.6 | 52.6 | 0.0 | 77.0 | NA | 12.4 | 10,300 |
| Percent Change in 2019 From: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | -38\% | 35\% | -44\% | -274\% | -28\% | 14\% | -100\% | -21\% |  | 14\% | -38\% |
| 1961-2018 <br> Average | -60\% | -36\% | -19\% | -47\% | -41\% | 67\% | -98\% | -69\% |  | 59\% | -65\% |
| 1961-18 Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 84.5 | 36.1 | 32.8 | 25.1 | 217.6 | 31.6 | 13.3 | 257.5 | 39,196.8 | 7.8 | 30,134.6 |
| Maximum | 183.3 | 77.8 | 74.0 | 45.2 | 441.0 | 78.6 | 48.3 | 536.5 | 68,401.0 | 14.1 | 58,700.0 |
| Minimum | 21.3 | 6.8 | 0.4 | 5.6 | 45.1 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 69.7 | 21,686.0 | 2.1 | 13,500.0 |
| 10-yr avg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1961-70 | 82.5 | 21.5 | 28.7 | 25.2 | 182.9 | 9.2 | 21.6 | 304.9 | 45261 | 5.0 | 37960 |
| 1971-80 | 130.9 | 51.6 | 37.6 | 31.4 | 309.9 | 9.9 | 33.9 | 442.1 | 56845 | 6.0 | 48440 |
| 1981-90 | 83.7 | 37.7 | 31.5 | 22.5 | 212.3 | 14.7 | 11.7 | 244.8 | 32914 | 6.8 | 27560 |
| 1991-00 | 70.7 | 37.1 | 27.6 | 23.0 | 195.7 | 39.2 | 7.8 | 256.0 | 29973 | 7.2 | 25385 |
| 2001-10 | 77.6 | 41.7 | 36.1 | 28.4 | 227.9 | 65.3 | 2.4 | 160.5 | 28939.6 | 11.1 | 22690 |

Table 4.3 Duck and coot seasons in lowa

| Year | Season <br> Length | Season Dates | Shooting Hours | Limits |  | Additional Bag Limit Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Duck Bag/Poss | Coot Bag/Poss |  |
|  |  | Statewide |  |  |  |  |
| 1917 | 227 | Sep 1-Apr 15 | Unknown | ? | ? |  |
| 1918 | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | SR-SS | 25/none | 25/none |  |
| 1919 | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | SR-SS | 25/none | 25/none |  |
| 1920 | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | SR-SS | 25/none | 25/none |  |
| 1921 | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | SR-SS | 25/none | 25/none |  |
| 1922 | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | SR-SS | 25/none | 25/none |  |
| 1923 | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | SR-SS | 25/none | 25/none |  |
| 1924 | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | 1/2 SR-SS | 15/50 WF | 25/none | WF = all waterfowl combined |
| 1925 | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | $1 ⁄ 2$ SR-SS | 15/50 WF | 25/none |  |
| 1926 | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | 1/2 SR-SS | 15/50 WF | 25/none |  |
| 1927 | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 15/50 WF | 25/none |  |
| 1928 | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | ½ SR-SS | 15/50 WF | 25/none |  |
| 1929 | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | $1 ⁄ 2$ SR-SS | 15/21 DC | 25/none | DC = all ducks combined |
| 1930 | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | ½ SR-SS | 15/21 DC | 25/none |  |
| 1931 | 30 | Oct 20-Nov 19 | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 15/21 DC | 25/none |  |
| 1932 | 61 | Oct 1-Nov 30 | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 15/21*a | 25/none | ${ }^{*}$ Closed season on Wd, Ru, \& Bu. |
| 1933 | 61 | Oct 1-Nov 30 | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 12/24*a | 25/none |  |
| 1934 | 30 | Oct 10-Nov 18 | SR-SS | 12/24*a | 25/none | Live decoys limited to 25 . Season included 10 rest days. |
| 1935 | 30 | Oct 21-Nov 19 | $7 \mathrm{~mm}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | 10/10*a | 15/15 | Use of live decoys prohibited. |
| 1936 | 30 | Nov 1-Nov 30 | $7 \mathrm{~mm}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | 10/10*b | 15/15 | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{Cl}$ losed sea. on Wd, Cb, Rh, Ru, \& Bu. |
| 1937 | 30 | Oct 9-Nov 7 | $7 \mathrm{~mm}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | 10/10*b | 25/25 |  |
| 1938 | 45 | Oct 15-Nov 28 | 7am-4pm | 10/20*c | 25/25 | ${ }^{* c}$ Only $1 \mathrm{Bu}, 1 \mathrm{Cb}, 1 \mathrm{Ru}$, and 1 Rh , \& no more than 3 in aggregate |
| 1939 | 45 | Oct 22-Dec 5 | 7am-4pm | 10/20*c | 25/25 |  |
| 1940 | 60 | Oct 16-Dec 14 | SR-4pm | 10/20** | 25/25 |  |
| 1941 | 60 | Oct 16-Dec 14 | SR-4pm | 10/20*d | 25/25 | *dOnly 3 Rh or 3 Bu or 3 in aggregate \& only 1 Wd in poss at any time. |
| 1942 | 70 | Oct 15-Dec 23 | SR-SS | 10/20*d | 25/25 |  |
| 1943 | 70 | Sep 25-Dec 3 | ½ SR-SS | 10/20*d | 25/25 |  |
| 1944 | 80 | Sep 20-Dec 8 | ½ SR-SS | 10/20*e | 25/25 | ${ }^{* e}$ Only 5 each or in comb.: Ma, Pt, or Wg \& only $1 \mathrm{Wd}$. or Rm or comb. |
| 1945 | 80 | Sep 20-Dec 8 | ½ SR-SS | 10/20*f | 25/25 | *fonly 1 Wd in poss. at any time 25 Cm or Rm or comb. |


| Year | Season Length | Season Dates | Shooting Hours | Limits |  | Additional Bag Limit Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Duck Bag/Poss | Coot Bag/Poss |  |
| 1946 | 45 | Oct 26-Dec 9 | 1/2 SR-1/2 SS | 7/14*f | 25/25 |  |
| 1947 | 30 | Oct 21-Nov 19 | ½ SR-1 SS | 4/8*f | 15/15 |  |
| 1948 | 30 | Oct 29-Nov 27 | 1⁄2 SR-1 SS | 4/8*f | 15/15 |  |
| 1949 | 40 | Oct 21-Nov 29 | $1 / 2$ SR-1 SS | 4/8*f | 15/15 |  |
| 1950 | 35 | Oct 20-Nov 23 | ½ SR-1 SS | 4/8*f | 15/15 |  |
| 1951 | 45 | Oct 12-Nov 25 | ½ SR-1 SS | 4/8*f | 10/10 |  |
| 1952 | 55 | Oct 8-Dec 1 | ½ SR-1 SS | 4/8*g | 10/10 | *gOnly 1 Wd in poss. at any time. <br> 1 Hm or 25 Cm or Rm or comb. |
| 1953 | 55 | Oct 8-Dec 1 | ½ SR-SS | 4/8*g | 10/10 |  |
| 1954 | 55 | Oct 15-Dec 8 | $1 / 2$ SR-1 SS | 4/8*h | 10/10 | ${ }^{\text {*h }}$ Closed sea. on Wd.; 1 Hm or 25 Cm or Rm or comb. |
| 1955 | 70 | Oct 8-Dec 16 | 1/2 SR-1/2 SS | 4/8*g | 10/10 |  |
| 1956 | 70 | Oct 6-Dec 14 | $1 / 2$ SR-1/2 SS | 4/8*h | 10/10 |  |
| 1957 | 70 | Oct 5-Dec 13 | ½ SR-SS | 4/8*i | 10/10 | ${ }^{*}$ Closed season on Wd.; 5 mergansers, only 1 Hm . |
| 1958 | 70 | Oct 4-Dec 12 | ½ SR-SS | 4/8*ii | 10/10 | *iiOnly 2 Cb or 2 Rh or 2 in comb.; No Wd season. 5 merg. only 1 Hm . |
| 1959 | 50 | Oct 20-Dec 8 | SR-SS | 3/6*j | 3/6 | ${ }^{*}$ Only $1 \mathrm{Wd}, 1 \mathrm{Cb}, 1 \mathrm{Rh}$, or 1 Ru.; 5 mergansers, only 1 Hm . |
| 1960 | 50 | Oct 15-Dec 3 | ½ SR-SS | 3/6*k | 8/12 | *k Only 1 Wd. Closed sea. on Cb \& Rh.; 5 mergansers, only 1 Hm. |
| 1961 | 30 | Oct 21-Nov 19 | SR-SS | 2/4*k | 6/6 |  |
| 1962 | 25 | Oct 27-Nov 20 | SR-SS | 2/4*1 | 6/6 | *IOnly 1 Ma or Bd, 2 Wd. No Cb or Rh.; 2 bonus Sc., 5 merg., only 1 Hm . |
| 1963 | 35 | Oct 5-13 <br> Oct 26-Nov 20 | SR-SS | 4/8*m | 8/8 | *mOnly 2 Ma or Bd, 2 Wd . No Cb or Rh.; 5 mergansers, only 1 Hm. |
| 1964 | 35 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 3-4 } \\ & \text { Oct 24-Nov } 25 \end{aligned}$ | SR-SS | 4/8*n | 10/20 | *nOnly 2 Ma or Bd, 2 Wd , 2 Cb or 2 Rh.; 5 mergansers, only 1 Hm. |
| 1965 | 40 | Sep 11-19 (teal season) Oct 23-Dec 1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SR-SS } \\ 1 ⁄ 2 \text { SR-SS } \end{gathered}$ | 4/8** | 10/20 | ${ }^{* o}$ Only 1 Ma or Pt or Bd, 2 Wd, 2 Cb or Rh.; 5 mergansers, only 1 Hm . |
| 1966 | 45 | Sep 17-25 (teal season) <br> Oct 15-Nov 28 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SR-SS } \\ 1 / 2 \text { SR-SS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 4/8*oo | 10/20 | ${ }^{* 00}$ Only 2 Ma or Bd, $2 \mathrm{Wd}, 2 \mathrm{Cb} . ; 5$ mergansers, only 1 Hm . |
| 1967 | 40 | Sep 16-24 (teal season) Oct 21-Nov 29 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SR-SS } \\ 1 / 2 \text { SR-SS } \end{gathered}$ | 4/8*p | 10/20 | *pOnly 2 Ma or Bd, 1 Wd \& \& 1 Cb.; 5 mergansers, only 1 Hm . |
| 1968 | 30 | Oct 26-Nov 24 | ½ SR-SS | 3/6*a | 10/20 | ${ }^{* q}$ Only 1 Ma, 2 Bd, 2 Wd, 1 Cb or Rh.; 5 mergansers, only 1 Hm. |
| 1969 | 30 | Sep 13-21 (teal season) <br> Oct 25-Nov 23 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SR-SS } \\ 1 / 2 \text { SR-SS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 4/8*r | 10/20 | *rOnly $2 \mathrm{Ma}, 2 \mathrm{Bd}, 2 \mathrm{Wd}, 1 \mathrm{Cb}$ or Rh.; 5 mergansers, only 1 Hm. |
| 1970 | 55 | Oct 3-Nov 26 | SR-SS | PS*s | 15/30 | ${ }^{* s} 90$ pt = Hn Ma, Bd, Wd, Rh, Cb, Hm.; 20 pt= Dr Ma, Hn Pt, $\mathrm{Rn} .10 \mathrm{pt}=$ all other. |


| Year | Season Length | Season Dates |  | Shooting Hours | Limits |  | Additional Bag Limit Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Duck Bag/Poss | Coot Bag/Poss |  |
| 1971 | 50 | Oct 2-Nov 20 |  |  | 1/2 SR-SS | PS*t | 15/30 | *t100 pt= Cb, Rh. 90 pt= Hn Ma, Bd, Wd, Hm.; 20 pt= Dr Ma, $\mathrm{Hn} \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Rn} 10 \mathrm{pt}=$. all other. |
| 1972 | 50 | Oct 7-12 <br> Oct 21-Dec 3 |  | SR-SS | PS*u | 15/30 | ${ }^{* u} 90 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Hn} \mathrm{Ma}, \mathrm{Bd}, \mathrm{Wd}, \mathrm{Hm}$. <br> $20 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Dr} \mathrm{Ma}, \mathrm{Hn} \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Rn} .10 \mathrm{pt}=$ all other.; Closed season on Cb \& Rh. |
| First year state duck stamp required |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 | 45 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 6-10 } \\ & \text { Oct 20-Nov } 28 \end{aligned}$ |  | SR-SS | PS*v | 15/30 | *v100 pt= Cb, Rh. 90 pt= Hn Ma, Wd, Hm.; 25 pt= Dr Ma, Pt, $\mathrm{Bd}, \mathrm{Rn}$ \& all others.; $15 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Bt}, \mathrm{Gt}, \mathrm{Ga}, \mathrm{Wg}, \mathrm{Sh}, \mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Cm}, \mathrm{Rm}$. |
| 1974 | 45 | Oct 5-12 <br> Oct 26-Dec 1 |  | SR-SS | PS*w | 15/30 | ${ }^{* w} 100$ pt= Cb, Rh. 90 pt= Hn Ma, Bd, Wd, Hm.; 35 pt= Dr Ma, $\mathrm{Rn}, \mathrm{Md} .15 \mathrm{pt}=$ all others. |
| 1975 | 45 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 4-11 } \\ & \text { Oct 25-Nov } 30 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1/2 SR-SS | PS*x | 15/30 | *×100 pt= Cb, Rh. 90 pt= Hn Ma, Bd, Wd, Hm.; 35 pt= Dr Ma, $\mathrm{Rn}, \mathrm{Wg}$, \& all others.; $10 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Bwt}, \mathrm{Gwt}, \mathrm{Ga}, \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Sh}, \mathrm{Sc}$. |
| 1976 | 50 | Oct 2-7 <br> Oct 23-Dec 5 |  | 1⁄2 SR-SS | PS* ${ }^{*}$ | 15/30 | ${ }^{* y} 100 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Cb} .70 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Hn} \mathrm{Ma}, \mathrm{Bd}, \mathrm{Wd}, \mathrm{Rh}, \mathrm{Hm.;} 25 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Dr} \mathrm{Ma}$, $\mathrm{Rn}, \mathrm{Wg}$, \& all others.; $10 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Bt}, \mathrm{Gt}, \mathrm{Ct}, \mathrm{Ga}, \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Sh}, \mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Cm}$, Rm. |
| 1977 | 45 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Oct 8-15 } \\ & \text { Oct 22-Nov } 27 \end{aligned}$ |  | SR-SS | PS* ${ }^{*}$ | 15/30 |  |
| 1978 | 50 | Oct 1-8 <br> Oct 21-Dec 1 |  | 1/2 SR-SS | PS*z | 15/30 | ${ }^{* 2} 100 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Cb} .70 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Hn} \mathrm{Ma}, \mathrm{Bd}, \mathrm{Wd}, \mathrm{Rh}, \mathrm{Hm} . ; 35 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Dr} \mathrm{Ma}$, $\mathrm{Rn}, \&$ all others.; $10 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Bt}, \mathrm{Gt}, \mathrm{Ct}, \mathrm{Ga}, \mathrm{Wg}, \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Sh}, \mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Cm}$, Rm. |
| 1979 | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep 22-26 } \\ & \text { Oct 20-Dec } 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1⁄2 SR-SS | PS*aa | 15/30 | *aa100 pt= Cb. 70 pt= Hn Ma, Bd, Wd, Rh, Hm.; 25 pt= Dr Ma, $\mathrm{Rn}, \&$ all others.; $10 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Bt}, \mathrm{Gt}, \mathrm{Ct}, \mathrm{Ga}, \mathrm{Wg}, \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Sh}, \mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Cm}$, Rm. |
| 1980 | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep 20-24 } \\ & \text { Oct 18-Dec } 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1/2 SR-SS | PS*aa | 15/30 |  |
| 1981 | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep 19-23 } \\ & \text { Oct 17-Nov } 30 \end{aligned}$ |  | 1/2 SR-SS | PS*aa | 15/30 |  |
| 1982 | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sep 18-22 } \\ & \text { Oct 23-Dec } 6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | ½ SR-SS | PS*aa | 15/30 |  |
| 1983 | 50 | North Zone (1) <br> Sep 17-21 <br> Oct 15-Nov 28 | South Zone (1) <br> Sep 17-21 <br> Oct 22-Dec 5 | ½ SR-SS | PS*ab | 15/30 | *ab $100 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Cb}, \mathrm{Bd} .70 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Hn} \mathrm{Ma}, \mathrm{Wd}$, Rh, Hm.; $25 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Dr} \mathrm{Ma}$, $\mathrm{Rn}, \&$ all others.; $10 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Bt}, \mathrm{Gt}, \mathrm{Ct}, \mathrm{Ga}, \mathrm{Wg}, \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Sh}, \mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Cm}$, Rm. |
| 1984 | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep 22-26 } \\ & \text { Oct 20-Dec } 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep 22-26 } \\ & \text { Oct 27-Dec } 10 \end{aligned}$ | ½ SR-SS | PS*ab | 15/30 |  |
| 1985 | 40 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep 21-23 } \\ & \text { Oct 19-Nov } 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep 21-23 } \\ & \text { Oct 26-Dec } 1 \end{aligned}$ | 1/2 SR-SS | PS*ac | 15/30 | *ac100 pt= Hn Ma, Cb, Bd. 70 pt= Wd, Rh, Hm.; $35 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Dr} \mathrm{Ma}$, $\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Rn}$, \& all others.; $20 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Bt}, \mathrm{Gt}, \mathrm{Ct}, \mathrm{Ga}, \mathrm{Wg}, \mathrm{Sh}, \mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Cm}$, Rm. |
| 1986 | 40 | Sep 20-24 <br> Oct 18-Nov 21 | Sep 20-22 <br> Oct 25-Nov 30 | 1/2 SR-SS | PS*ad | 15/30 | ${ }^{* 2 d 1} 00 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Hn} \mathrm{Ma}, \mathrm{Bd} .70 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Wd}, \mathrm{Rh}, \mathrm{Hm} . ; 35 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Dr} \mathrm{Ma}, \mathrm{Pt}$, Rn , \& all others.; $20 \mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{Bt}, \mathrm{Gt}, \mathrm{Ct}, \mathrm{Ga}, \mathrm{Wg}, \mathrm{Sh}, \mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Cm}, \mathrm{Rm}$.; Closed season on Cb. |


| Year | Season Length | Season Dates |  | Shooting Hours | Limits |  | Additional Bag Limit Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Duck Bag/Poss | Coot Bag/Poss |  |
|  |  | North Zone (2) | South |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1987 \\ & (* \mathrm{SH}) \end{aligned}$ | 40 | Sep 19-23 <br> Oct 17-Nov 20 | Sep 19-21 <br> Oct 24-Nov 29 | ½ SR-SS | PS*ad | 15/30 |  |
| 1988 | 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 8-9 } \\ & \text { Oct 22-Nov } 18 \end{aligned}$ | Oct 22-28 Nov 5-27 | SR-SS | 3/6*ae | 15/30 | *ae Only $2 \mathrm{Ma}(1 \mathrm{Hn}), 2 \mathrm{Wd}, 1 \mathrm{Pt}, 1 \mathrm{Rh}, 1 \mathrm{Bd}$.; 5 merg., only 1 Hm. Closed sea. on Cb. |
| 1989 | 30 | Oct 7-8 <br> Oct 21-Nov 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 21-27 } \\ & \text { Nov 4-26 } \end{aligned}$ | SR-SS | 3/6*ae | 15/30 |  |
| 1990 | 30 | Oct 6-7 <br> Oct 20-Nov 16 | Oct 20-26 Nov 3-25 | ½ SR-SS | 3/6*ae | 15/30 |  |
| 1991 | 30 | Oct 5-6 <br> Oct 19-Nov 15 | Oct 19-25 <br> Nov 9-Dec 1 | ½ SR-SS | 3/6*ae | 15/30 |  |
| 1992 | 30 | Oct 10-13 <br> Oct 24-Nov 18 | Oct 24-30 Nov 7-29 | ½ SR-SS | 3/6*ae | 15/30 |  |
| 1993 | 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 2-4 } \\ & \text { Oct 23-Nov } 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 23-29 } \\ & \text { Nov 6-28 } \end{aligned}$ | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 3/6*ae | 15/30 |  |
| 1994 | 40 | Sept 17-19 <br> Oct 15-Nov 20 | Oct 1-3 <br> Oct 22-Nov 27 | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 3/6*af | 15/30 | *afOnly $2 \mathrm{Ma}(1 \mathrm{Hn}), 2 \mathrm{Wd}, 1 \mathrm{Pt}, 1 \mathrm{Rh}, 1 \mathrm{Bd}, 1 \mathrm{Cb} . ; 5$ merg., only 1 Hm . |
| 1995 | 50 | Sept 23-27 <br> Oct 15-Nov 28 | Sept 23-25 <br> Oct 21-Dec 6 | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 5/10*ag | 15/30 | *agOnly $4 \mathrm{Ma}(1 \mathrm{Hn}), 2 \mathrm{Wd}, 1 \mathrm{Pt}, 1 \mathrm{Rh}, 1 \mathrm{Bd}, 1 \mathrm{Cb} . ; 5$ merg., only 1 Hm . |
| 1996 | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept 21-25 } \\ & \text { Oct 19-Dec } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept 21-23 } \\ & \text { Oct 19-Dec } 4 \end{aligned}$ | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 5/10*ah | 15/30 | *ah Only $4 \mathrm{Ma}(1 \mathrm{Hn}), 2 \mathrm{Wd}, 1 \mathrm{Pt}, 2 \mathrm{Rh}, 1 \mathrm{Bd}, 1 \mathrm{Cb}$.; 5 merg., only 1 Hm . |
| Youth |  | Oct 5 | Oct 5 | ½ SR-SS | 5/10*ah |  |  |
| 1997 | 60 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sept 20-24 } \\ & \text { Oct 11-Dec } 4 \end{aligned}$ | Sept 20-24 <br> Oct 18-Dec 11 | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 6/12*ai | 15/30 | *aionly $4 \mathrm{Ma}(2 \mathrm{Hn}), 2 \mathrm{Wd}, 3 \mathrm{Pt}, 2 \mathrm{Rh}, 1 \mathrm{Bd}, 1 \mathrm{Cb} . ; 5 \mathrm{merg} .$, only 1 Hm . |
| Youth |  | Sept 27 | Sept 27 | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 6/12*ai | 15/30 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1998 \\ & \left({ }^{*} \mathrm{HIP}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 60 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept 19-23 } \\ & \text { Oct 10-Dec } 3 \end{aligned}$ | Sept 19-23 <br> Oct 17-Dec 10 | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 6/12*aj | 15/30 | *ajonly $4 \mathrm{Ma}(2 \mathrm{Hn}), 2 \mathrm{Wd}, 1 \mathrm{Pt}, 2 \mathrm{Rh}, 1 \mathrm{Bd}, 1 \mathrm{Cb} . ; 5 \mathrm{merg} .$, only 1 Hm . |
| Youth |  | Sept 26 | Sept 26 | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 6/12*aj | 15/30 |  |
| 1999 | 60 | Sept 18-22 <br> Oct 16-Dec 9 | Sept 18-22 <br> Oct 16-Dec 9 | ½ SR-SS | 6/12*ak | 15/30 | *akOnly $4 \mathrm{Ma}(2 \mathrm{Hn}), 2 \mathrm{Wd}, 1 \mathrm{Pt}, 2 \mathrm{Rh}, 1 \mathrm{Bd}, 1 \mathrm{Cb}$ \& 3 Sc .5 merg., only 1 Hm . |
| Youth |  | Oct 9 | Oct 9 | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 6/12*ak | 15/30 |  |
| 2000 | 60 | Sept 23-27 <br> Oct 14-Dec 7 | Sept 23-27 <br> Oct 14-Dec 7 | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 6/12*ak | 15/30 |  |
| Youth |  | Oct 7-8 | Oct 7-8 | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 6/12*ak | 15/30 |  |
| 2001 | 60 | Sept 22-26 <br> Oct 13-Dec 6 | Sept 22-26 <br> Oct 13-Dec 6 | ½ SR-SS | 6/12*ak | 15/30 |  |
| Canvas |  | Oct. 27-Nov 15 | Nov 17-Dec 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Youth |  | Oct 6-7 | Oct 6-7 | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 6/12*ak | 15/30 |  |
| 2002 | 60 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept 21-25 } \\ & \text { Oct 12-Dec } 5 \end{aligned}$ | Sept 21-23 <br> Oct 19-Dec 14 | ½ SR-SS | 6/12*al | 15/30 | *al Only $4 \mathrm{Ma}(2 \mathrm{Hn}), 2 \mathrm{Wd}, 1 \mathrm{Pt}, 2 \mathrm{Rh}, 1 \mathrm{Bd}, \& 3 \mathrm{Sc} .5$ merg., only 1 Hm . Closed sea. on Cb |



| Year | Season Length | Season Dates |  |  | Shooting Hours | Limits |  | Additional Bag Limit Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Duck Bag/Poss | Coot Bag/Poss |  |
|  |  | North Zone (5) | South Zone (5) | Missouri River (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 60 | Sept 22-26 <br> Oct 13-Dec 6 | Sept 22-26 <br> Oct 20-Dec 13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept 22-26 } \\ & \text { Oct 27-Dec } 20 \end{aligned}$ | ½ SR-SS | 6/12*as | 15/30 | *as Only $4 \mathrm{Ma}(2 \mathrm{Hn}), 3 \mathrm{Wd}, 2 \mathrm{Pt}, 2 \mathrm{Rh}, 1 \mathrm{Bd}, 1 \mathrm{Cb}, \& 4 \mathrm{Sc} .5$ merg., only 2 Hm . |
| Youth Day |  | Oct 6-7 | Oct 13-14 | Oct 20-21 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 6/12*as | 15/30 |  |
| 2013 | 60 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept 21-25 } \\ & \text { Oct 12-Dec } 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept 21-25 } \\ & \text { Oct 19-Dec } 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept 21-25 } \\ & \text { Oct 26-Dec } 19 \end{aligned}$ | $1 ⁄ 2$ SR-SS | 6/18*at | 15/45 | *at Only $4 \mathrm{Ma}(2 \mathrm{Hn}), 3 \mathrm{Wd}, 2 \mathrm{Pt}, 2 \mathrm{Rh}, 1 \mathrm{Bd}, 2 \mathrm{Cb}, \& 4 \mathrm{Sc} .5$ merg., only 2 Hm . |
| Youth Day |  | Oct 5-6 | Oct 12-13 | Oct 19-20 | 1/2 SR-SS | 6/18*at | 15/45 |  |
| 2014 | 60 | Oct 4-19 <br> Oct 25-Dec 7 | Oct 4-8 <br> Oct 18-Dec 11 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Oct 4-8 } \\ & \text { Oct 25-Dec } 18 \end{aligned}$ | ½ SR-SS | 6/18*at | 15/45 | *atOnly $4 \mathrm{Ma}(2 \mathrm{Hn}), 3 \mathrm{Wd}, 2 \mathrm{Pt}, 2 \mathrm{Rh}, 1 \mathrm{Bd}, 2 \mathrm{Cb}, \& 4 \mathrm{Sc} .5$ merg., only 2 Hm . |
| Youth Day |  | Sep 27-28 | Oct 11-12 | Oct 18-19 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 6/18*at | 15/45 |  |
| Teal |  | Sep 6-21 | Sep 6-21 | Sep 6-21 | SR-SS | 6/18 |  |  |
| 2015 | 60 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 3-18 } \\ & \text { Oct 24-Dec } 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 3-7 } \\ & \text { Oct 17-Dec } 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Oct 3-7 } \\ & \text { Oct 24-Dec } 17 \end{aligned}$ | 12 SR-SS | 6/18*at | 15/45 | *atOnly $4 \mathrm{Ma}(2 \mathrm{Hn}), 3 \mathrm{Wd}, 2 \mathrm{Pt}, 2 \mathrm{Rh}, 1 \mathrm{Bd}, 2 \mathrm{Cb}, \& 4 \mathrm{Sc} .5$ merg., only 2 Hm . |
| Youth Day |  | Sep 26-27 | Oct 10-11 | Oct 17-18 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 6/18*at | 15/45 |  |
| Teal |  | Sep 5-20 | Sep 5-20 | Sep 5-20 | SR-SS | 6/18 |  |  |
| 2016 | 60 | Sep 24-Oct 2 <br> Oct 15-Dec 4 | Oct 1-5 <br> Oct 22-Dec 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Oct 8-9 } \\ & \text { Oct 22-Dec } 18 \end{aligned}$ | ½ SR-SS | 6/18*at | 15/45 | *at Only $4 \mathrm{Ma}(2 \mathrm{Hn}), 3 \mathrm{Wd}, 2 \mathrm{Pt}, 2 \mathrm{Rh}, 1 \mathrm{Bd}, 2 \mathrm{Cb}, \& 4 \mathrm{Sc} .5$ merg., only 2 Hm . |
| Youth Day |  | Sep 17-18 | Sep 24-25 | Oct 1-2 | 1/2 SR-SS | 6/18*at | 15/45 |  |
| Teal |  | Sep 3-11 | Sep 3-11 | Sep 3-18 | SR-SS | 6/18 |  |  |
| 2017 | 60 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep 23-Oct } 1 \\ & \text { Oct 14-Dec } 3 \end{aligned}$ | Sep 30-Oct 4 Oct 21-Dec 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Oct 7-8 } \\ & \text { Oct 21-Dec } 17 \end{aligned}$ | ½ SR-SS | 6/18*at | 15/45 | *atOnly $4 \mathrm{Ma}(2 \mathrm{Hn}), 3 \mathrm{Wd}, 1 \mathrm{Pt}, 2 \mathrm{Rh}, 2 \mathrm{Bd}, 2 \mathrm{Cb}, \& 3 \mathrm{Sc} .5$ merg., only 2 Hm |
| Youth Day |  | Sep 16-17 | Sep 23-24 | Sep 30-Oct 1 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 6/18*at | 15/45 |  |
| Teal |  | Sep 2-10 | Sep 2-10 | Sep 2-17 | SR-SS | 6/18 |  |  |
| 2018 | 60 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep 29-Oct } 5 \\ & \text { Oct } 13 \text {-Dec } 4 \end{aligned}$ | Oct 4-Oct 12 <br> Oct 20-Dec 11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct 13-19 } \\ & \text { Oct 17-Dec } 18 \end{aligned}$ | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 6/18*at | 15/45 | *atOnly $4 \mathrm{Ma}(2 \mathrm{Hn}), 3 \mathrm{Wd}, 1 \mathrm{Pt}, 2 \mathrm{Rh}, 2 \mathrm{Bd}, 2 \mathrm{Cb}, \& 3 \mathrm{Sc} .5$ merg., only 2 Hm |
| Youth Day |  | Sep 22-23 | Sep 29-30 | Oct 6-Oct 7 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 6/18*at | 15/45 |  |
| Teal |  | Sep 1-16 | Sep 1-16 | Sep 1-16 | SR-SS | 6/18 |  |  |
| 2019 | 60 | Sep 28-Oct 4 Oct 12-Dec 3 | Oct 5-Oct 111 <br> Oct 19-Dec 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Oct 12-18 } \\ & \text { Oct 27-Dec } 17 \end{aligned}$ | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 6/18*at | 15/45 | *atOnly $4 \mathrm{Ma}(2 \mathrm{Hn}), 3 \mathrm{Wd}, 1 \mathrm{Pt}, 2 \mathrm{Rh}, 2 \mathrm{Bd}, 2 \mathrm{Cb}, \& 3 \mathrm{Sc} .5$ merg., only 2 Hm |
| Youth Day |  | Sep 21-22 | Sep 28-29 | Oct 5-Oct 6 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 6/18*at | 15/45 |  |
| Teal |  | Sep 1-16 | Sep 1-16 | Sep 1-16 | SR-SS | 6/18 |  |  |

DUCK SPECIES: Ma = Mallard, Wd = Wood duck, Bd = Black duck, Cb = Canvasback, Rh=Redhead, Ru=Ruddy duck, Bu=Bufflehead, Pt = Pintail, Wg = Wigeon, Sc = Scaup, Rn=Ring-necked duck Bt $=$ Blue-winged teal, Gt = Green-winged teal, Ga = Gadwall, Sh = Shoveler, Ct = Cinnamon teal, Md = Mottled duck, ( $\mathrm{Hn}=\mathrm{Hen}, \mathrm{Dr}=\mathrm{Drake}$ ) $\mathrm{Cm}=$ Common merganser, $\mathrm{Rm}=\mathrm{Red}-\mathrm{breasted}$ merganser, $\mathrm{Hm}=$ Hooded merganser
SHOOTING HOURS: SR to SS = sunrise to sunset, $1 / 2$ SR to $S S=1 / 2$ hour before sunrise to sunset, $1 / 2$ SR to $1 / 2 S S=1 / 2$ hour before sunrise to $1 / 2$ hour before sunset, $1 / 2$ SR to 1 SS = $1 / 2$ hour before sunrise to 1 hour before sunset. Shooting hours began at 12:00 pm (Noon) on opening day for hunting seasons 1931-33, 1947-54, \& 1959-63. Iowa set daily shooting hours at sunrise or later during 27 of
the 72 hunting seasons between 1918-89. Federal regulations set daily shooting hours at sunrise or later during 16 of the 90 hunting seasons between 1918-2007.
LIMIT: BAG = Daily bag limit,
POSS = Possession limit
POSS LIMIT = Twice the daily bag limit unless otherwise noted.

|  |  |  |  | Limits |  | Additional Bag Limit Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Length | Season Dates | Hours | Duck Bag/Poss | Coot Bag/Poss |  |

PS = Point System was used to determine the daily bag limit. The daily bag limit was obtained when the point value of the last duck taken, added to the point values of the previous ducks bagged, equaled or exceeded 100 points.
SPEC. REGULATIONS: Wood duck season was closed by Federal regulation from the 1918 through the 1940 season. Canvasback and redhead seasons were closed on the Mississippi River from 1975 thru 1979. Canvasback season was closed on the Mississippi River in 1980-82. Canvasback season closed on Pools 9 \& 19 on the Mississippi River from 1983-85. Canvasback season closed statewide 1936-37, 1960-63, 1972, 1986-93, 2002, 2008.
DUCK ZONE BOUNDARY (1) = a line running from the Nebraska-lowa border along $1-80$ to the lowa-Illinois border.
DUCK ZONE BOUNDARY (2) = a line running from the Nebraska-lowa border along St Hwy 175, east to St Hwy 37, southeast to US Hwy 59 , south to $\mathrm{I}-80$ and along I-80 to the lowa-Illinois border.
DUCK ZONE BOUNDARY (3) = a line running from the Nebraska-lowa border along St Hwy 175, east to St Hwy 37, southeast to St Hwy 183, northeast to St Hwy 141, east to US Hwy 30, and along US Hwy 30 to the lowa-Illinois border.
DUCK ZONE BOUNDARY (4) = a line beginning on the South Dakota-lowa border at I-29, southeast to Woodbury Co Rd D38, east to Woodbury Co Rd K45, southeast to St Hwy 175 , east to St Hwy 37, southeast to St Hwy 183, northeast to St Hwy 141, east to US Hwy 30, and along US Hwy 30 to the lowa-Illinois border.
DUCK ZONE BOUNDARY (5) = The North Zone is all of lowa north of a line beginning on the on the South Dakota-lowa border at I-29, southeast to St Hwy 175, east to St Hwy 37 , southeast to St Hwy 183, northeast to St Hwy 141, east to US Hwy 30, and along US Hwy 30 to the lowa-Illinois border. The Missouri River Zone includes all lands and water in lowa west of I-29 and north of Hwy 175. The South Zone is the remainder of the state not in the North or Missouri River Zones. (*SH) Steel shot required statewide for hunting all migratory gamebirds except woodcock.

STEEL SHOT REGULATIONS HISTORY: In 1977, no person could hunt waterfowl on all waters and a 150 -yard zone thereto in Fremont and Mills Counties while possessing 12-gauge shotshells loaded with any shot other than steel. Drainage ditches, temporary sheet water and the Missouri River were exempt. During 1978 \& 1979, no person could hunt waterfowl on all waters and a 150 -yard zone thereto in Fremont and Mills Counties and on the Upper Mississippi Wildlife Refuge while possessing 12 -gauge shotshells loaded with any shot other than steel. Drainage ditches, temporary sheet water, and the Missouri River in Mills and Fremont Counties were exempt. In 1980, Sweet Marsh in Bremer County, Big Marsh in Butler County, and the Princeton Area in Scott County, were added to the areas previously described in the steel shot regulations and the rule now applied to all shotgun gauges. In 1981, Green Island in Jackson Country was added to the list of areas previously described where steel shot was required. During the 1982 through 1984 seasons, the previously described list of areas for steel shot remained the same. During the 1985 \& 1986 seasons, no person could hunt migratory game birds except woodcock on any lands or waters under the jurisdiction of the State Conservation Commission, the US Government, or any county conservation board, or on all waters and a 150 -yard zone adjacent to these waters, including reservoirs, lakes, ponds, marshes, bayous, swamps, rivers, streams, and seasonally flooded areas of all types, while possessing shotshells loaded with shot other than steel shot. Temporary sheet water, farm ponds less than 2 acres in size, and streams with water less than 25 feet in width where the hunting was occurring were exempt. In addition, no person could hunt waterfowl in the zone bounded on the west by the Missouri River, on the south by I-680, on the east by I29 and on the north by the Soldier River, while possessing any shotshells loaded with shot other than steel shot. From 1987 to the present, no person could hunt migratory game birds except woodcock on all lands and waters within the State of lowa while possessing any shotshell loaded with shot other than steel shot, or copper or nickel coated steel shot. In 1998, nontoxic shot was required for any shotgun shooting (except turkey hunting) on most DNR managed wildlife areas in lowa's prairie pothole region that had waterfowl production potential. (*HIP) First year migratory bird hunters in lowa registered (by phone) for the federal Harvest Information Program (HIP).

Table 4.4 Goose seasons in Iowa

| Year | Goose Species | Season Length | Season Dates | Shooting Hours | Limit Bag/Poss | Additional Bag Limit Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Statewide |  |  |  |
| 1917 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 227 | Sep 1 Apr 15 | Unknown | ? |  |
| 1918 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | SR-SS | 8/none |  |
| 1919 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | SR-SS | 8/none |  |
| 1920 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | SR-SS | 8/none |  |
| 1921 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | SR-SS | 8/none |  |
| 1922 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | SR-SS | 8/none |  |
| 1923 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | SR-SS | 8/none |  |
| 1924 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 8/50 WF | WF = all waterfowl combined |
| 1925 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | 1/2 SR-SS | 8/50 WF |  |
| 1926 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | 1/2 SR-SS | 8/50 WF |  |
| 1927 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | 1/2 SR-SS | 8/50 WF |  |
| 1928 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | 1/2 SR-SS | 8/50 WF |  |
| 1929 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | 1/2 SR-SS | 8/50 WF |  |
| 1930 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 107 | Sep 16-Dec 31 | 1/2 SR-SS | 4/8 |  |
| 1931 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 30 | Oct 20-Nov 19 | 1/2 SR-SS | 4/8 |  |
| 1932 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 61 | Oct 1-Nov 30 | 1/2 SR-SS | 4/8 |  |
| 1933 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 61 | Oct 1-Nov 30 | 1/2 SR-SS | 4/8 |  |
| 1934 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 30 | Oct 10-Nov 18 | SR-SS | 4/8 | (included 10 rest days) |
| 1935 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 30 | Oct 21-Nov 19 | 7am-4pm | 4/4 |  |
| 1936 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 30 | Nov 1-Nov 30 | $7 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | 4/4 |  |
| 1937 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 30 | Oct 9-Nov 7 | $7 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | 5/5 |  |
| 1938 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 45 | Oct 15-Nov 28 | $7 \mathrm{am}-4 \mathrm{pm}$ | 5/10 |  |
| 1939 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 45 | Oct 22-Dec 5 | 7am-4pm | 4/8 |  |
| 1940 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 60 | Oct 16-Dec 14 | SR-4pm | 3/6 |  |
| 1941 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 60 | Oct 16-Dec 14 | SR-4pm | 3/6 |  |
| 1942 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 70 | Oct 15-Dec 23 | SR-SS | 2/4 |  |
| 1943 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 70 | Sep 25-Dec 3 | 1/2 SR-SS | 2/4 |  |
| 1944 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 80 | Sep 20-Dec 8 | 1/2 SR-SS | 2/4*a | ${ }^{*}$ S $n$ goose poss. limit $=8$. |
| 1945 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 80 | Sep 20-Dec 8 | 1/2SR-SS | 2/4*a |  |
| 1946 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 45 | Oct 26-Dec 9 | $1 / 2$ SR-1/2 SS | 4/4*b | ${ }^{*}$ b Closed Ca goose season. |
| 1947 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 30 | Oct 21-Nov 19 | 1/2 SR-1 SS | 4/4* | ${ }^{*}$ conly 1 Ca or 1 Wf goose in bag. |
| 1948 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 30 | Oct 29-Nov 27 | 1/2 SR-1 SS | 4/4* |  |
| 1949 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 40 | Oct 21-Nov 29 | 1/2 SR-1 SS | 4/4* |  |
| 1950 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 35 | Oct 20-Nov 23 | 1/2 SR-1 SS | 4/4* |  |
| 1951 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 45 | Oct 12-Nov 25 | 1/2 SR-1 SS | 5/5*d | *donly 2 Ca or 2 Wf , or 1 Ca \& 1 Wf. |
| 1952 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 55 | Oct 8-Dec 1 | 1/2 SR-1 SS | 5/5*d |  |
| 1953 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 55 | Oct 8-Dec 1 | 1/2 SR-SS | 5/5*d |  |
| 1954 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 55 | Oct 15-Dec 8 | 1/2 SR-1 SS | 5/5*d |  |
| 1955 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Sn} / \mathrm{Wf}$ | 70 | Oct 8-Dec 16 | $1 / 2$ SR-1/2 SS | 5/5*d |  |




| Year | Goose Species | Season Length | Season Dates | Shooting Hours | Limit Bag/Poss | Additional Bag Limit Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sn/Ro | 107 | Oct 2-Dec 26 | 1/2 SR-SS | 20/none |  |
|  |  |  | Feb 19-Mar 10, 2000 |  |  |  |
|  | Sn/Ro | ${ }^{\text {c Cons. Or. }}$ | March 11-April 16, 2000 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS/1/2 | 20/none |  |




| Year | Goose Species | Season Length | Season Dates |  |  | Shooting Hours | Limit Bag/Poss | Additional Bag Limit Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wf | 74 | Sep 29-Dec 11 | Oct 6-Dec 18 | Oct 13-Dec. 25 | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 2/4 |  |
|  | Sn/Ro | 107 | Sep 24-Jan 8 | Oct 1-Jan 13 | Oct 13-Jan 18 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 20/none |  |
|  | Sn/Ro | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Cons. Or. | Jan 14-April 15, 2013 |  |  | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 20/none |  |
| 2013 | Ca | 9 | Sep 7-15 in metro zones ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 5/15*q |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Ca} \& \mathrm{Br}$ | 98 | Sep 28-Jan 3, | Oct 5-Jan 10 | Oct 12-Jan 17 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 2-3/6-9*r |  |
|  | Wf | 74 | Sep 28-Dec 10 | Oct 5-Dec 17 | Oct 12-Dec. 24 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 2/6 |  |
|  | Sn/Ro | 107 | Sep 28-Jan 12 | Oct 5-Jan 17 | Oct 12-Jan 17 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 20/none |  |
|  | Sn/Ro | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Cons. Or. | Jan 18-April 15, 2014 |  |  | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 20/none |  |
| 2014 | Ca | 9 | Sep 6-14 in metro zones ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  | 112 SR-SS | 5/15*q |  |
|  | Ca \& Br | 98 | Sep 27-Jan 2, | Oct 4-Jan 9 | Oct 11-Jan 16 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 2-3/6-9*r |  |
|  | Wf | 74 | Sep 27-Dec 9 | Oct 4-Dec 16 | Oct 11-Dec. 23 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 2/6 |  |
|  | Sn/Ro | 107 | Sep 27-Jan 11 | Oct 4-Jan 16 | Oct 11-Jan 16 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 20/none |  |
|  | Sn/Ro | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Cons. Or. | Jan 17-April 15, 2015 |  |  | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 20/none |  |
| 2015 | Ca | 9 | Sep 5-13 in metro zones ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 5/15*q |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Ca} \& \mathrm{Br}$ | 98 | Sep 26-Jan 1 | Oct 3-Jan 8 | Oct 10-Jan 15 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 2-3/6-9*r |  |
|  | Wf | 74 | Sep 26-Jan 1 | Oct 3-Jan 8 | Oct 10-Jan 15 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 5/15** | **in aggregate with $\mathrm{Ca} \& \mathrm{Br}$ |
|  | Sn/Ro | 107 | $\text { Sep } 26-\operatorname{Jan} 10$ | Oct 3-Jan 15 | Oct 3-Jan 15 | $1 / 2 \text { SR-SS }$ | 20/none |  |
|  | Sn/Ro | ${ }^{\text {c Cons. Or. }}$ | Jan 16-April 15, 2016 |  |  | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 20/none |  |
| 2016 | Ca | 9 | Sep 3-11 in metro zones ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 5/15*q ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
|  | Dark Geese | 98 | Sep 24-Oct 9 | Oct 1-9 | Oct 8-16 | ½ SR-SS | 5/15*r | ${ }^{*}$ Aggregate bag lim. $=2$ Ca \& 1 Br through Oct. 31 and 3 $\mathrm{Ca}, 5 \mathrm{Wf}$, \& 1 Br thereafter. |
|  |  |  | Oct 15-Jan 4 | Oct 22-Jan 18 | Oct 22-Jan 18 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS |  |  |
|  | Sn/Ro | 107 | Sep 24-Oct 9 | Oct 1-9 | Oct 8-16 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 20/none |  |
|  |  |  | Oct 15-Jan 13 | Oct 22-Jan 27 | Oct 22-Jan 27 | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 20/none |  |
|  | Sn/Ro | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Cons. Or. | Jan 28-April 15, 2017 |  |  | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 20/none |  |
| 2017 | Ca | 9 | Sep 2-10 in metro zones ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  | 1/2 SR-SS | 5/15*q ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
|  | Dark Geese | 98 | Sep 23-Oct 8 | Sep 30-8 |  | 1/2 SR-SS | 5/15*r | ${ }^{* r}$ Aggregate bag lim. $=2$ Ca \& 1 Br through Oct. 31 and 3 $\mathrm{Ca}, 5 \mathrm{Wf}$, \& 1 Br thereafter. |
|  |  |  | Oct 14-Jan 1 | Oct 21-Jan 15 |  | $1 / 2 \text { SR-SS }$ |  |  |
|  | Sn/Ro | 107 | Sep 23-Oct 8 | $\text { Sep } 30 \text { 1-8 }$ |  | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 20/none |  |
|  |  |  | Oct 14-Jan 10 | Oct 21-Jan 24 |  | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 20/none |  |
|  | Sn/Ro | ${ }^{\text {c Cons. Or. }}$ | Jan 25-April 15, 2018 |  |  | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 20/none |  |
| 2018 | Ca | 9 | Sep 1-9 in metro zones ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 5/15*q *rAggreate bag lim 2 2 a 1 Br through Oct 31 and 3 |  |
|  | Dark Geese | 98 | Sep 22-Oct 7 | Sep 29-Oct 14 |  | ½ SR-SS | 5/15*r | ${ }^{*}$ rAggregate bag lim. $=2 \mathrm{Ca} \& 1 \mathrm{Br}$ through Oct. 31 and 3 $\mathrm{Ca}, 5 \mathrm{Wf}$, \& 1 Br thereafter. |
|  | Sn/Ro |  | Oct 13-Dec 4 | Oct 20-Dec 11 |  | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 20/none |  |
|  |  |  | Dec 15-Jan 12 | Dec 22-Jan 19 |  | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 20/none |  |
|  | Sn/Ro | ${ }^{\text {c Cons. Or. }}$ | Jan 27-April 15, 2019 |  |  | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 20/none |  |
| 2019 | Ca | 9 | Sep 7-15 in metro zones ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 5/15*q |  |
|  | Dark Geese | 98 | Sep 21-Oct 6 | Sep 28-Oct 13 |  | 1⁄2 SR-SS | 5/15*r | ${ }^{\text {*r Aggregate bag lim. }=2} 2 \mathrm{Ca} \& 1 \mathrm{Br}$ through Oct. 31 and 3 $\mathrm{Ca}, 5 \mathrm{Wf}$, \& 1 Br thereafter. |
|  | Sn/Ro |  | Oct 12-Dec 3 | Oct 19-Dec 10 |  | $1 / 2$ SR-SS | 20/none <br> 20/none |  |
|  |  |  | Dec 14-Jan 121 | Dec 21-Jan 18 |  | 112 SR-SS |  |  |


| Year | Goose Species | Season Length | Season Dates | Shooting Hours | Limit Bag/Poss | Additional Bag Limit Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sn/Ro | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Cons. Or. | Jan 26-April 15, 2020 | 1/2 SR-SS | 20/none |  |

GOOSE SPECIES: $\mathrm{Ca}=$ Canada goose, $\mathrm{Sn}=$ Snow goose, $\mathrm{Wf}=\mathrm{White}$-fronted goose, $\mathrm{Br}=\mathrm{Brant}$, Ro = Ross's goose
SEASON LENGTH: Maximum number of days the season could be open.

 1992, then $1 / 2$ hour before sunrise to sunset thereafter. $1 / 2$ SR-SS $1 / 2=1 / 2$ hour before sunrise to $1 / 2$ hour after sunset.
LIMIT: BAG = Daily bag limit, POSS = Possession limit

 then NW on Hwy 37 to St Hwy 175, and west on Hwy 175 to the Nebraska-lowa border.
 This was the same boundary used to divide the north and south duck zones during 1993-2003.
GOOSE ZONE BOUNDARY (2) = a line running from the Nebraska-lowa border along St Hwy 20. This change was made in the 2004 season and was maintained through the 2008 season.

 zone boundary was changed to match the duck zone boundary, i.e., along Hwy 30.
 St Hwy 37, southeast to St Hwy 183, northeast to St Hwy 141, east to US Hwy 30, and along US Hwy 30 to the lowa-Illinois border.

 The South Zone is the remainder of the state not in the North or Missouri River Zones.
$(* S H)$ Steel shot required statewide for hunting all migratory gamebirds except woodcock. See lowa's Duck and Coot Seasons for a complete history of steel shot regulations in lowa.
(*HIP) First year migratory bird hunters in lowa registered (by phone) for the federal Harvest Information Program (HIP).
SPECIAL REGULATIONS: Ross's goose season was closed by Federal regulation from 1942-61.
aThe daily limit was 2 Canada geese through Oct. 31 and 1 thereafter except in the south zone where it was 2 after Nov. 30.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ The special 2-day September Canada goose season was only open in the north zone west of Hwy 63.

 registered with HIP.
dThis special September Canada goose season was only open in the Des Moines and Cedar Rapids/lowa City zones.
${ }^{\text {e}}$ This special September Canada goose season was only open in the Des Moines, Cedar Rapids/lowa City and Cedar Falls/Waterloo zones.

Table 4.5 Waterfowl banded in Iowa
(Numbers include both state and federal bandings.)

| Year | Canada Geese | Mallards | Wood Ducks | Bluewinged Teal | Trumpeter Swans | Other Waterfowl Species | Total Waterfowl | Mourning Doves |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1964 | 51 | 440 | 488 | 6,046 |  | 273 | 7,298 | 0 |
| 1965 | 32 | 533 | 571 | 4,485 |  | 120 | 5,741 | 0 |
| 1966 | 61 | 504 | 564 | 3,836 |  | 172 | 5,137 | 0 |
| 1967 | 66 | 1,928 | 410 | 4,022 |  | 113 | 6,539 | 0 |
| 1968 | 91 | 1,809 | 315 | 3,716 |  | 63 | 5,994 | 0 |
| 1969 | 53 | 2,282 | 414 | 1,634 |  | 135 | 4,518 | 0 |
| 1970 | 143 | 2,368 | 935 | 2,649 |  | 236 | 6,331 | 0 |
| 1971 | 301 | 1,901 | 1,644 | 1,395 |  | 330 | 5,571 | 0 |
| 1972 | 148 | 672 | 1,381 | 1,000 |  | 127 | 3,328 | 0 |
| 1973 | 410 | 1,022 | 1,665 | 601 |  | 115 | 3,813 | 0 |
| 1974 | 268 | 522 | 1,333 | 638 |  | 34 | 2,795 | 0 |
| 1975 | 222 | 563 | 2,026 | 248 |  | 164 | 3,223 | 0 |
| 1976 | 544 | 3,165 | 1,620 | 334 |  | 19 | 5,682 | 0 |
| 1977 | 799 | 678 | 1,261 | 223 |  | 25 | 2,986 | 0 |
| 1978 | 633 | 4,418 | 1,765 | 1,022 |  | 98 | 7,936 | 0 |
| 1979 | 409 | 4,683 | 1,490 | 509 |  | 3 | 7,094 | 0 |
| 1980 | 775 | 2,175 | 1,302 | 1,880 |  | 85 | 6,217 | 0 |
| 1981 | 736 | 350 | 1,523 | 919 |  | 86 | 3,614 | 0 |
| 1982 | 975 | 99 | 2,747 | 26 |  | 1 | 3,848 | 0 |
| 1983 | 1,444 | 446 | 2,411 | 35 |  | 3 | 4,339 | 0 |
| 1984 | 1,293 | 110 | 2,489 | 38 |  | 6 | 3,936 | 0 |
| 1985 | 1,710 | 389 | 1,953 | 30 |  | 1 | 4,083 | 0 |
| 1986 | 1,847 | 383 | 2,623 | 18 |  | 3 | 4,874 | 0 |
| 1987 | 2,127 | 380 | 2,199 | 98 |  | 8 | 4,812 | 0 |
| 1988 | 2,421 | 349 | 2,115 | 37 |  | 2 | 4,924 | 0 |
| 1989 | 1,712 | 70 | 2,636 | 0 |  | 0 | 4,418 | 0 |
| 1990 | 1,556 | 13 | 1,908 | 64 |  | 0 | 3,541 | 0 |
| 1991 | 1,880 | 151 | 4,874 | 0 |  | 0 | 6,905 | 0 |
| 1992 | 2,043 | 392 | 3,776 | 0 |  | 13 | 6,224 | 0 |
| 1993 | 2,538 | 130 | 2,931 | 0 |  | 1 | 5,600 | 0 |
| 1994 | 3,737 | 146 | 3,631 | 0 |  | 0 | 7,514 | 0 |
| 1995 | 3,671 | 221 | 6,717 | 0 |  | 0 | 10,609 | 0 |
| 1996 | 3,809 | 263 | 4,188 | 0 |  | 0 | 8,260 | 0 |
| 1997 | 4,852 | 77 | 4,375 | 0 |  | 0 | 9,304 | 0 |
| 1998 | 4,462 | 292 | 4,837 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 9,649 | 0 |
| 1999 | 6,073 | 229 | 4,669 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 11,017 | 0 |
| 2000 | 2,971 | 133 | 2,380 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 5,574 | 0 |
| 2001 | 2,942 | 60 | 3,711 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 6,791 | 0 |
| 2002 | 3,479 | 338 | 3,146 | 207 | 68 | 0 | 7,238 | 0 |
| 2003 | 4,066 | 259 | 4,048 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 8,460 | 1987 |
| 2004 | 3,338 | 143 | 4,769 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 8,341 | 2326 |


| Year | Canada <br> Geese | Mallards | Wood <br> Ducks | Blue- <br> winged <br> Teal | Trumpeter <br> Swans | Other <br> Waterfowl <br> Species | Total <br> Waterfowl | Mourning <br> Doves |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 4,983 | 338 | 2,823 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 8,257 | 2079 |
| 2006 | 4,203 | 210 | 2,729 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 7,220 | 1000 |
| 2007 | 4,283 | 231 | 2,321 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 6,908 | 986 |
| 2008 | 3,288 | 157 | 2,402 | 100 | 69 | 0 | 6,016 | 1,699 |
| 2009 | 3,593 | 31 | 2,552 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 6,257 | 1,266 |
| 2010 | 3,568 | 8 | 2,770 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 6,415 | 1,084 |
| 2011 | 3,765 | 40 | 2,252 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 6,108 | 2,227 |
| 2012 | 3,586 | 254 | 2,917 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 6,777 | 2,205 |
| 2013 | 3,483 | 16 | 3,355 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 6,874 | 2,080 |
| 2014 | 3,464 | 293 | 2,093 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5,868 | 1,976 |
| 2015 | 3,421 | 34 | 3,301 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 6,774 | 1,929 |
| 2016 | 3,945 | 51 | 2,096 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6,097 | 1,914 |
| 2017 | 4,310 | 0 | 1,633 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,943 | 2,212 |
| 2018 | 4,374 | 0 | 1,204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,578 | 1,882 |
| 2019 | 4,110 | 0 | 2,199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,309 | 2,025 |
| Totals | 129,064 | 36,749 | 136,487 | 35,810 | 1,133 | 2,236 | 341,479 | 30,77 |

Recent 10-year

| Avg | 3,784 | 66 | 2,397 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 6,273 | 1,891 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 4.6 Giant Canada goose production and populations in lowa, 1964-Present

| Year | Young Produced | Nesting Adults | Nonbreeding Adults | Total Adults | Total Geese | \% Change from Prev. Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1964 | 24 | 16 | 16 | 32 | 56 |  |
| 1965 | 17 | 28 | 37 | 65 | 82 | 46\% |
| 1966 | 66 | 44 | 34 | 78 | 144 | 76\% |
| 1967 | 66 | 42 | 80 | 122 | 188 | 31\% |
| 1968 | 114 | 66 | 100 | 166 | 280 | 49\% |
| 1969 | 121 | 78 | 304 | 382 | 503 | 80\% |
| 1970 | 348 | 228 | 288 | 516 | 864 | 72\% |
| 1971 | 330 | 208 | 234 | 442 | 772 | -11\% |
| 1972 | 402 | 268 | 481 | 749 | 1,151 | 49\% |
| 1973 | 590 | 404 | 399 | 803 | 1,393 | 21\% |
| 1974 | 763 | 498 | 407 | 905 | 1,668 | 20\% |
| 1975 | 961 | 602 | 356 | 958 | 1,919 | 15\% |
| 1976 | 1,234 | 754 | 433 | 1,187 | 2,421 | 26\% |
| 1977 | 1,401 | 914 | 596 | 1,510 | 2,911 | 20\% |
| 1978 | 2,045 | 1,266 | 610 | 1,876 | 3,921 | 35\% |
| 1979 | 2,459 | 1,588 | 884 | 2,472 | 4,931 | 26\% |
| 1980 | 3,011 | 1,969 | 842 | 2,811 | 5,822 | 18\% |
| 1981 | 3,636 | 2,238 | 912 | 3,150 | 6,786 | 17\% |
| 1982 | 3,966 | 2,531 | 1,298 | 3,829 | 7,795 | 15\% |


| Year | Young Produced | Nesting <br> Adults | Nonbreeding Adults | Total <br> Adults | Total <br> Geese | \% Change from Prev. Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | 5,235 | 3,177 | 1,486 | 4,663 | 9,898 | 27\% |
| 1984 | 5,796 | 3,307 | 1,429 | 4,736 | 10,532 | 6\% |
| 1985 | 6,742 | 3,791 | 2,155 | 5,946 | 12,688 | 20\% |
| 1986 | 8,139 | 4,626 | 2,610 | 7,230 | 15,357 | 22\% |
| 1987 | 9,418 | 5,480 | 2,748 | 8,228 | 17,646 | 15\% |
| 1988 | 10,408 | 5,820 | 3,761 | 9,581 | 19,989 | 13\% |
| 1989 | 8,249 | 4,875 | 4,993 | 9,868 | 18,117 | -9\% |
| 1990 | 8,432 | 5,291 | 6,168 | 11,459 | 19,891 | 10\% |
| 1991 | 11,218 | 7,087 | 7,208 | 14,295 | 25,513 | 28\% |
| 1992 | 16,406 | 8,931 | 9,108 | 18,039 | 34,445 | 35\% |
| 1993 | 17,720 | 10,632 | 10,079 | 20,711 | 38,431 | 11\% |
| 1994 | 24,732 | 13,312 | 12,726 | 26,038 | 50,770 | 32\% |
| 1995 | 28,392 | 15,262 | 16,924 | 32,186 | 60,578 | 19\% |
| 1996 | 29,266 | 16,699 | 22,030 | 38,729 | 67,995 | 12\% |
| 1997 | 34,057 | 18,047 | 22,428 | 40,355 | 74,406 | 9\% |
| 1998 | 36,443 | 18,794 | 24,066 | 42,720 | 79,157 | 6\% |
| 1999 | 33,586 | 17,733 | 24,826 | 42,334 | 75,920 | -4\% |
| 2000 | 33,923 | 17,340 | 27,163 | 44,398 | 78,321 | 3\% |
| 2001 | 30,264 | 17,996 | 27,337 | 45,246 | 75,510 | -4\% |
| 2002 | 36,071 | 19,751 | 30,971 | 50,674 | 86,745 | 15\% |
| 2003 | 36,564 | 21,072 | 33,180 | 54,212 | 90,776 | 5\% |
| 2004 | 39,992 | 22,042 | 34,990 | 56,992 | 96,984 | 7\% |
| 2005 | 42,905 | 23,750 | 37,021 | 60,751 | 103,656 | 7\% |
| 2006 | 42,040 | 23,734 | 36,715 | 60,425 | 102,465 | -1\% |
| 2007 | 37,452 | 24,590 | 40,206 | 64,782 | 102,234 | 0\% |
| 2008 | 30,231 | 23,420 | 39,320 | 62,740 | 92,971 | -9\% |
| 2009 | 38,251 | 23,344 | 37,931 | 61,275 | 99,526 | 8\% |
| 2010 | 40,940 | 23,380 | 41,898 | 65,278 | 106,218 | 7\% |
| 2011 | 40,906 | 24,039 | 40,457 | 64,496 | 105,402 | -1\% |
| 2012 | 37,021 | 23,363 | 43,062 | 66,425 | 103,446 | -2\% |
| 2013 | 23,257 | 20,042 | 38,867 | 55,309 | 77,926 | -25\% |
| 2014 | 26,549 | 19,189 | 37,499 | 54,653 | 79,633 | 2\% |
| 2015 | 31,489 | 20,580 | 38,898 | 56,223 | 85,373 | 7\% |
| 2016 | 35,602 | 21,577 | 39,633 | 58,760 | 91,763 | 7\% |
| 2017 | 38,612 | 21,932 | 41,099 | 63,111 | 99,499 | 3\% |
| 2018 | 32,243 | 21,094 | 39,172 | 60,077 | 92,203 | -7\% |
| 2019 | 33,690 | 21,123 | 38,518 | 59,468 | 92,863 | 1\% |
| 2020 | 39,458 | 22,150 | 10,226 | 62,376 | 101,799 | 10\% |



The lowa Department of Natural Resources (DNR) conducts 2 statewide surveys to monitor upland game populations in Iowa, the August Roadside survey and the Small Game Harvest survey.

DNR Wildlife and Enforcement Bureau personnel throughout the state of lowa conduct August Roadside Survey each year during the first half of August. The survey generates data from 21830 -mile routes on ring-necked pheasants, bobwhite quail, gray partridge, cottontail rabbits, and white-tailed jackrabbits. Staff run routes on sunny, calm mornings with a heavy dew.

The Small Game Harvest Survey is a mail survey of lowa small game hunters conducted following the small game hunting seasons. Each year a random sample of small game hunters ( $5 \%$ of licensed hunters) are send a postcard and survey participants are asked which species they hunted, how many days they hunted, and how many of each species they harvested.

The data from these 2 surveys form the basis for historical information on upland game populations in lowa and are summarized in the historical text and tables. Both surveys have been conducted annually since 1962. The full reports for both surveys can be found on the DNR's website at http://www.iowadnr.gov/pheasantsurvey.

## Historical Summary of Populations \& Harvest



## Ring-necked Pheasant

The genus Phasianus or true pheasant is native to Southeast Asia. The ring-necked pheasant now found in lowa has been classified as (Phasianus colchicus torquatus). This name suggests a cross between 2 of the true Asiatic pheasants. One the Rion Caucasian (Black-necked) pheasant (Phasianus colchicus colchicus) native to the area between the Black and Caspian Seas and the true Chinese ring-necked pheasant (Phasianus torquatus torquatus) found in eastern China and northwestern Indo-China.

Owen Denny first successfully introduced the ring-necked pheasant into the United States in the Willamette Valley of Oregon in 1882. Mr. Denny transported wild birds from China to the US to establish a population on his land. It is believed that the majority of the pheasant range in the US was stocked with birds from this original wild foundation or other wild birds from China.

Early records for lowa are limited, but accounts suggest attempts were made to establish pheasants in lowa as early as 1884, but the first recorded successful release was an accidental release, following a windstorm, of approximately 2,000 birds from the William Benton game farm in Cedar Falls. Where Mr. Benton's birds were from is unknown, but reports
say they were from an importer in Tacoma, Washington and thus very likely wild birds from China or wild birds from the Owen Denny Farm. The conservation department mentions pheasants for the first time in 1910. Early on eggs were purchased from breeders (wild or tame is unknown) and given to landowners to raise and release statewide, the 1910 biennial report indicates 6,000 eggs were distributed to applicants in 82 counties. Egg distribution met with poor success and the conservation department established a hatchery in 1913 and by 1914 mostly young birds were distributed ( 1,088 that year). Another 10,912 birds were distributed statewide from 1915-16. Records show all northwest counties received 200-800 bird plantings of pheasants from 1915 to 1918, with a planting of 2,500 in Winnebago County.

In 1905, it was generally assumed that southern lowa had better pheasant habitat than northern lowa. The existence of this belief is supported by the fact that up until 1913 it was customary to make stockings in timber. It is interesting to note lowa's pheasant populations reached their highest abundance in NW and NC lowa. The early success, 1920-40's, of pheasants in north central lowa was undoubtedly due to the abundance of grassy habitats (tame and native hay, oats, flax, and prairie pothole wetlands) interspersed with weedy crop fields.

Pheasants did extremely well in northern lowa with crop depredation reported in 1923, with the first open season in 1925. Policy changed in 1924-25 and wild birds and eggs were trapped and moved in an effort to establish populations in southern lowa. Between 1925-1931 some 26,498 wild birds and 60,000 wild eggs were gathered from areas of undue abundance in northern lowa and distributed to other regions, mostly southern lowa. From 1927-30 and additional 10,211 birds and 31,372 eggs were distributed in southern lowa counties. During, 1929-30 the average southern lowa county received over 500 birds. However, by 1936 the policy on stocking had changed:
"The old policy of stocking birds without paying attention to the habitat has been discontinued ... for instance, during the past 20-25 years there have been thousands of pheasants released in southern lowa and ... in except a few cases pheasants disappeared after two or three generations in most counties."

With the success of wild birds, the state game farms were shut down in 1932, but following several bad weather years, it was re-established in 1938. Populations recovered with good weather in the 1940's and stocking was greatly reduced, approximately 4,000 chicks and spent adults in 1943. The state game farm operated at approximately the same level until 1961.

Through the 1940-50's it became increasingly evident that pen-raised birds were not contributing to wild pheasant numbers. So similar to 1924-25, in 1955 a new policy of trap and transfer of wild birds was started in southern lowa. Increasing wild populations in Union and Adair counties were trapped ( 1,375 birds) and transplanted to Ringgold, Decatur, Wayne, Washington, and Appanoose counties. Also new wild birds were brought to the state game farm. These new "wild" birds were distributed to unoccupied range (Washington, Keokuk, Henry, Davis, and VanBuren Counties) thru 1973. The state game farm was closed in late 1970's and dismantled.

Iowa's first pheasant season was held October 20-22, 1925 in Kossuth, Humboldt, Winnebago, Hancock, Wright, Cerro Gordo, Franklin, Mitchell, Floyd, Butler, Grundy, Blackhawk and Bremer counties. The hunting season opened $1 / 2$ hour before sunrise and ended at noon with a bag limit of 3 cocks. It appears the decision to open counties to hunting in these early years was based largely on pheasant crop depredation complaints, as annual pheasant censuses, predecessor to the August Roadside Survey, were not begun until 1935. Flush count records show 7 men flushed 850 pheasants in 5 hours in Hancock County in 1931. By 1945 most of northern lowa was open to hunting and by 1965, all of lowa, except a few southeastern counties, were open to pheasant hunting. The entire state was opened to hunting in 1976. Historically (1930-50's), the NW, NC, and C regions had lowa's highest pheasant densities (Figure 5.1). However, intensified agriculture has led to a decline in pheasant populations since the 1960's (Figure 5.2). Regionally, the greatest declines have occurred in the NC, C, and SW regions (Figure 5.7). By the early 1970's southern lowa had become the states premiere pheasant range.

Populations have declined following severe winter weather in 1964-65, 1966-67, 1978-79, 1981-82, 2000-01, and 200711 , with recoveries occurring in years with milder winters (Table 5.1). While the number of broods sighted/30-mile route has also fluctuated with the severity of the winter (Figure 5.3), the all-time lows recorded in 1983, 1984, 1993, 1999, 2001, and 2007-11 were the results of very cool and/or wet conditions during spring and early summer (Table 5.2; Figure
5.3). Observed brood sizes have declined slightly since 1962, with the 2010 estimate of 4.0 chicks/brood the lowest ever recorded (Table 5.2; Figure 5.3). Modest recoveries of all survey parameters occurred between 1984 and 1996 with the enrollment and seeding down of 2.2 million acres of row crops in the 10-year federal Conservation Reserve program (CRP). Pheasant populations in historical ranges, northern and central regions, have rebound since the inception of CRP (Figure 5.7). Populations in the southern regions initially responded to CRP the same way northern and central populations did, but have declined since 1992. Declines in SW and SC regions, in particular, are likely related to wet weather during the nesting season, lack of habitat management on CRP acres and other land use changes. The pheasant season opens the last Saturday in October and runs through January $10^{\text {th }}$, statewide with a bag/possession limit of 3/12 roosters (Table 5.10). Shooting hours are $8 \mathrm{am}-4: 30 \mathrm{pm}$. lowa's first youth pheasant season was held during the 1997-98 hunting season. Youth hunting is allowed statewide for resident hunter's 15 years or younger whom a licensed adult accompanied. The youth pheasant season opens the weekend proceeding the regular season. Bag limit is 1 rooster/day with 2 in possession after the first day (Table 5.10).


## Bobwhite Quail

Our native bobwhite was probably never very abundant on lowa's virgin prairie; most populations were likely restricted to the prairie-timber edges of lowa. Early settlement changed lowa's landscape forever. At least initially these changes proved to be a boom to lowa's quail population. Between 1860-90 settlers began carving up lowa a $1 / 4$ section at a time, but early settlers lacked timber and wire to make fences, so they planted Osage hedges instead. Three to 6 miles of some of the finest quail cover ever grown in ever $1 / 4$ section, all within spitting distance of newly planted "weedy" grain fields. Quail populations exploded like never seen before or likely to be seen again. Quail could be found in every county, but these conditions could not last. By 1920 reports show quail populations beginning to decline as farming practices improved and hedgerows were replaced with barbed wire fence. The 1931-32 winter quail survey reported population densities of 1 quail per $20-40+$ acres in the northern third of the state, 1 quail/6-20 ac. in the central third and 1 quail/16 ac . in the southern third of the state. However, quail populations have declined steadily, both nationally and in lowa since the 1930's. Large scale landscape changes and clean farming practices are considered the major factors in this decline.

Since survey procedures were standardized in the early 1960's the mean number of quail/30 miles sighted on the August roadside survey has fluctuated over the years with significant declines occurring since 1977 (Figure 5.6). This decline, along with the severe fluctuations in SW and SC lowa in recent years, are related to losses in shrubby habitat and clean farming practices that have occurred since row-crop agriculture expanded in the mid 70's and early 80's (Figure 5.8). Similar to pheasants, quail numbers have declined sharply following harsh winters in 1964-65, 1966-67, 1978-79, 198182, 2000-01, and 2007-11 (Figure 5.8). Populations rebounded between 2012-18 with 5 consecutive relatively mild winters across southern lowa, which led to above normal hen survival and increased populations.

Quail have been hunted in lowa since settlement. The first bag limit was set in 1878 at 25 birds/day, it was reduced to $15 /$ day in 1915. The season was closed in 1917 and a limited season reopened in 1933. Currently the season opens the last Saturday in October and runs through January $31^{\text {st }}$, statewide, with a bag/possession limit of 8/16 birds. Shooting hours are $8 \mathrm{am}-4: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ (Table 5.11).


## Gray Partridge

Senator HW Grant of Waterloo made the first release of fifty Hungarian or gray partridge in lowa in Blackhawk County in 1902, but all birds died. The first successful release of Huns in lowa occurred in Palo Alto County in 1905. This release constitutes lowa's first wild stock.

Successful releases were made in Humboldt county in 1906, O'Brien in 1909, and in Kossuth in 1910. By 1914 most northern lowa counties had received standardized releases of 20 pairs each. All releases, similar to pheasants, were made on leased timbered lands. Reports show many local farmers were surprised when the bird promptly moved to the nearest prairie upland. By 1932 it is estimated the state conservation commission had stocked 20,000+ partridge in lowa. Most plantings were in northern lowa, although a few were attempted in south central lowa; all southern attempts failed. The birds gained their strongest hold in northwest lowa in Osceola, O'Brien, Dickinson, and Clay counties and were generally present in most northern lowa counties by 1940.

While numbers of other upland game birds have decreased over time, the number of gray partridge sighted on roadside counts had been increasing until 1990 (Figure 5.6). Not only had the mean number partridge per 30-mile route increased statewide, but partridge populations had expanded their range from the NW and NC regions to all other regions of the state by 1986 (Figure 5.9). While losses of woody cover and nesting cover have created less favorable conditions for pheasant and quail, partridge were more adept at coping with row-crop expansion. The statewide increase in partridge numbers between 1983-89 can be attributed a drought during these years and improved nesting conditions on land enrolled in CRP. Following the drought populations have returned to levels seen prior to 1983 (Figure 5.6). Huns come from the arid, steppe region of southeastern Europe and northern Asia, and research has shown they do not reproduce well in this country during years with wet springs.
lowa's first partridge season was held in 11 northwestern counties in 1937-39. Partridge season was standardized in 1989 to opens the second Saturday in October and runs through January $31^{\text {st }}$, statewide, with a bag/possession limit of $8 / 16$ birds. Shooting hours are $8 \mathrm{am}-4: 30 \mathrm{pm}$. (Table 5.12).


## Eastern Cottontail

Little is known about the presettlement distribution of cottontail rabbits in lowa. Cultivation by man no doubt favored rabbits much the same way it favored quail at the turn of the century. Cottontails prefer habitats similar to quail, favoring shrubby-grassy edge habitats. Cottontails may have up to 6 litters a year in lowa and reproduce best during warm moderately wet springs. Numbers of cottontail rabbits observed on the August roadside survey have fluctuated
with changing land use and weather conditions (Figure 5.6). Hunter interest has declined in recent years (Figure 5.12). Cottontails have been hunted in lowa since settlers first arrived. The cottontail season was standardized in 1978 and opened the first Saturday in September through February $28^{\text {th }}$, statewide, with a bag/possession limit of $10 / 20$ rabbits. Shooting hours are sunrise to sunset (Table 5.13). The rule regarding the opening day of the cottontail season was changed in 1997 to open the 1997-98 season on Sept. $1^{\text {st }}$. This change in date allows inclusion of the Labor Day weekend in all years. It was changed again in 2008 to open the Saturday before Labor Day to allow youth hunters to participate in the opener.


## White-tailed Jackrabbit

Before settlement, white-tailed jackrabbits were found across in lowa, except for a few southeastern counties. Their greatest abundance however was on the glaciated soils of the Des Moines Lobe and the Missouri Loess soils of northwestern lowa. They are most at home on the wide-open expanses of prairie/wetland/pasture habitat types, although moderate cultivation favors the species. Dry growing seasons appear conducive to jackrabbit abundance as population's decline in wet years. Jackrabbit counts have declined greatly over time, closely paralleling the losses of pasture, hay, and small grain acreages. Because of this downward trend, the bag/possession limit was reduced from $2 / 4$ to $1 / 2$ following the 2005-06 hunting season. The hunting season on jackrabbits was closed during 2011-12 hunting season because of continued declines on DNR roadside surveys. It may be reopened if populations recover due to landscape changes like grass-based biomass. Jacks have been hunted in lowa since the time of settlement. Conservation officers reported hunters killing 180+ jacks on two circle hunts in Carroll and Buena Vista counties during the winter of 1960. Historic trends in jackrabbit population, harvest, and hunting seasons can be found in Table 5.3, Table 5.6, and Table 5.13.

## Figures



Figure 5.1 Survey regions for the August Roadside Survey.


Figure 5.2 Statewide trends in pheasant harvest and August roadside survey counts.


Figure 5.3 Statewide trends in pheasant broods and average brood size from August roadside survey.


Figure 5.4 Statewide sex ratio and estimated cock harvest from winter pheasant surveys.


Figure 5.5 Statewide trends in pheasant hens with and without broods from August roadside survey.


Figure 5.6 Statewide trends in small game harvests and August roadside survey counts.


Figure 5.7 Regional trends in ring-necked pheasant numbers from the August roadside survey (1962-present). Note: Because of variation in historical counts, vertical axises among survey regions are not to the same scale.


Figure 5.8 Regional trends in bobwhite quail numbers from the August roadside survey (1962-present).


Figure 5.9 Regional trends in gray partridge numbers from the August roadside survey (1963-present).


Figure 5.10 Regional trends in cottontail rabbit numbers from the August roadside survey (1962-present).


Figure 5.11 Sales of lowa hunting licenses.


Figure 5.12 Estimated number of lowa small-game hunters (resident and non-resident hunters combined).

## Tables

Table 5.1 Mean number of pheasants counted/30-mile route on the August roadside survey regionally and statewide, 1962-
Present
Severe winter weather preceded the August counts in 1965, 75, 79, 01, $0408,10,11$. Abnormally wet weather occurred during $1973,82,84,95,99,01,08,13$ nest seasons. Winter sex ratio and cock harvest data are statewide estimates. Sex ratio counts were done the year succeeding the year listed.

| Year | North <br> West | North <br> Central | North <br> East | West <br> Central | Central | East <br> Central | South <br> West | South <br> Central | South <br> East | State <br> Wide | Sex $^{\mathbf{a}}$ <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962 | 84.2 | 104.6 | 98.0 | 81.7 | 70.6 | 32.3 | 52.4 | 12.0 | 7.4 | 61.1 |  |
| Cock ${ }^{\mathbf{b}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Year | North West | North Central | North East | West Central | Central | East Central | South <br> West | South Central | South <br> East | State wide | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sex } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | Cock ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> Harvest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 | 36.3 | 26.1 | 68.8 | 67.8 | 50.5 | 63.2 | 76.7 | 45.5 | 30.5 | 49.7 | 3.9 | 74\% |
| 1979 | 40.1 | 29.6 | 44.8 | 49.4 | 39.2 | 39.6 | 80.9 | 51.5 | 21.8 | 42.4 | 3.5 | 71\% |
| 1980 | 51.2 | 61.7 | 81.2 | 98.7 | 72.2 | 63.5 | 82.1 | 68.9 | 37.2 | 67.0 | 3.7 | 73\% |
| 1981 | 66.4 | 53.5 | 83.6 | 92.9 | 57.8 | 72.9 | 97.1 | 57.8 | 35.2 | 65.9 | 3.4 | 71\% |
| 1982 | 26.7 | 27.9 | 38.9 | 55.5 | 23.1 | 20.9 | 41.6 | 47.7 | 19.3 | 32.3 | 2.9 | 66\% |
| 1983 | 9.6 | 12.8 | 21.7 | 21.6 | 13.3 | 25.3 | 42.6 | 51.1 | 27.5 | 23.7 | 2.9 | 66\% |
| 1984 | 8.8 | 11.1 | 19.2 | 22.1 | 14.4 | 24.5 | 23.8 | 38.5 | 26.4 | 20.6 | 2.6 | 62\% |
| 1985 | 21.6 | 28.0 | 36.4 | 40.0 | 32.7 | 26.0 | 59.2 | 72.6 | 42.0 | 38.9 | 2.1 | 52\% |
| 1986 | 27.5 | 20.4 | 48.2 | 31.2 | 24.8 | 29.0 | 49.7 | 65.2 | 27.2 | 34.8 | 2.0 | 50\% |
| 1987 | 40.2 | 36.8 | 59.7 | 61.4 | 41.1 | 33.2 | 58.5 | 64.2 | 39.0 | 46.8 | 2.9 | 66\% |
| 1988 | 33.6 | 35.0 | 45.1 | 60.8 | 29.6 | 26.0 | 45.7 | 49.8 | 29.8 | 38.1 | 3.3 | 70\% |
| 1989 | 25.3 | 36.5 | 52.1 | 69.9 | 57.1 | 35.3 | 38.6 | 40.0 | 39.0 | 43.2 | 2.9 | 66\% |
| 1990 | 34.3 | 49.4 | 63.9 | 57.9 | 44.3 | 24.7 | 44.5 | 31.7 | 27.3 | 41.2 | 5.5 | 82\% |
| 1991 | 37.3 | 45.3 | 48.8 | 77.6 | 41.6 | 33.3 | 61.2 | 49.4 | 41.6 | 46.8 | Discontinued |  |
| 1992 | 24.4 | 50.5 | 30.5 | 44.0 | 42.1 | 37.8 | 29.4 | 23.6 | 34.2 | 35.8 |  |  |
| 1993 | 15.8 | 21.4 | 15.2 | 55.2 | 23.8 | 25.0 | 34.3 | 24.0 | 28.1 | 25.9 |  |  |
| 1994 | 45.0 | 74.1 | 33.3 | 83.3 | 55.6 | 67.8 | 47.3 | 46.0 | 56.7 | 56.9 |  |  |
| 1995 | 26.0 | 63.2 | 37.6 | 44.7 | 54.3 | 54.3 | 43.7 | 27.8 | 43.2 | 44.6 |  |  |
| 1996 | 54.7 | 61.8 | 29.5 | 45.2 | 49.8 | 59.4 | 29.8 | 19.5 | 28.2 | 43.4 |  |  |
| 1997 | 46.1 | 62.0 | 41.2 | 37.3 | 54.7 | 47.4 | 31.7 | 28.8 | 41.3 | 44.8 |  |  |
| 1998 | 74.2 | 56.7 | 43.1 | 33.9 | 49.6 | 53.9 | 18.1 | 15.7 | 41.7 | 44.6 |  |  |
| 1999 | 42.7 | 33.6 | 21.6 | 19.5 | 37.9 | 36.0 | 17.5 | 12.9 | 27.0 | 29.1 |  |  |
| 2000 | 60.6 | 33.3 | 14.9 | 29.0 | 50.3 | 37.0 | 25.5 | 19.3 | 22.0 | 34.3 |  |  |
| 2001 | 22.4 | 16.0 | 6.2 | 8.4 | 22.0 | 19.0 | 12.0 | 7.3 | 4.6 | 13.9 |  |  |
| 2002 | 47.0 | 42.9 | 13.6 | 32.0 | 49.9 | 32.0 | 15.7 | 11.7 | 22.6 | 31.7 |  |  |
| 2003 | 81.2 | 67.3 | 20.7 | 36.1 | 61.2 | 35.6 | 29.3 | 21.8 | 28.2 | 44.9 |  |  |
| 2004 | 54.4 | 34.4 | 19.0 | 21.5 | 35.6 | 24.4 | 24.9 | 19.6 | 24.4 | 29.7 |  |  |
| 2005 | 63.5 | 42.3 | 25.3 | 32.0 | 49.9 | 25.9 | 28.9 | 12.6 | 23.5 | 35.1 |  |  |
| 2006 | 48.3 | 36.1 | 18.4 | 23.7 | 36.8 | 20.4 | 20.3 | 9.0 | 20.0 | 27.0 |  |  |
| 2007 | 41.3 | 35.0 | 20.1 | 26.0 | 36.2 | 25.0 | 12.8 | 5.6 | 19.8 | 25.8 |  |  |
| 2008 | 49.4 | 25.4 | 9.1 | 21.2 | 18.6 | 7.4 | 5.7 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 17.5 |  |  |
| 2009 | 35.5 | 16.6 | 2.6 | 23.5 | 19.1 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 4.8 | 10.1 | 15.4 |  |  |
| 2010 | 29.6 | 16.2 | 4.7 | 8.8 | 11.7 | 5.3 | 6.1 | 1.8 | 6.6 | 10.8 |  |  |
| 2011 | 11.1 | 7.3 | 2.4 | 5.5 | 10.2 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 4.7 | 6.6 |  |  |
| 2012 | 16.3 | 10.9 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 12.3 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 5.4 | 7.8 |  |  |
| 2013 | 14.3 | 9.0 | 2.7 | 5.2 | 7.1 | 4.2 | 2.5 | 4.4 | 6.3 | 6.5 |  |  |
| 2014 | 29.3 | 18.1 | 2.6 | 20.8 | 19.9 | 13.0 | 6.5 | 9.8 | 19.8 | 16.3 |  |  |
| 2015 | 42.4 | 22.5 | 8.1 | 23.6 | 36.4 | 16.7 | 11.3 | 8.2 | 27.8 | 23.2 |  |  |
| 2016 | 33.0 | 24.1 | 11.2 | 20.5 | 30.9 | 15.4 | 8.7 | 7.8 | 22.2 | 20.4 |  |  |
| 2017 | 25.8 | 15.1 | 5.3 | 13.0 | 22.7 | 12.0 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 15.5 | 14.4 |  |  |
| 2018 | 25.9 | 18.1 | 13.1 | 22.7 | 37.4 | 12.2 | 8.7 | 12.3 | 22.2 | 20.2 |  |  |
| 2019 | 23.3 | 20.9 | 12.8 | 26.4 | 27.3 | 9.1 | 7.3 | 6.8 | 12.3 | 17.0 |  |  |
| 2020 | 28.5 | 22.9 | 24.4 | 25.4 | 20.9 | 13.6 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 28.2 | 20.0 |  |  |



Table 5.2 Mean number of broods counted/30-mile route and chicks/brood observed on the August roadside survey, 1962-Present

| Year | North West |  | North Central |  | North East |  | West Central |  | Central |  | East Central |  | South West |  | South Central |  | South East |  | Statewide |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Broods per 30 m | Chicks per brood | Broods per 30m | Chicks per brood | Broods per 30 m | Chicks per brood | Broods per 30 m | Chicks per brood | Broods per 30m | Chicks per brood | Broods per 30m | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Chicks } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { brood } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Broods per 30m | Chicks per brood | Broods per 30 m | Chicks <br> per <br> brood | Broods per 30m | Chicks per brood | Broods per 30 m | Chicks per brood |
| 1962 | 10.1 | 5.1 | 11.5 | 5.7 | 10.1 | 6.3 | 9.6 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.8 |  |  | 1.0 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 6.3 |
| 1963 | 17.2 |  | 16.6 |  | 11.7 | 5.2 | 12.3 |  | 8.4 | 5.9 | 5.8 |  | 15.4 | 5.4 | 3.4 |  | 2.6 | 5.4 | 10.4 | 5.4 |
| 1964 | 12.1 | 5.2 | 17.0 | 6.1 | 22.7 | 7.3 | 13.0 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 12.1 | 6.4 | 3.1 | 8.7 | 1.8 | 6.3 | 9.8 | 6.1 |
| 1965 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 8.0 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 8.7 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 4.8 | 7.6 | 13.3 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 2.5 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.0 |
| 1966 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 9.2 | 5.9 | 7.7 | 4.5 | 8.1 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 7.7 | 6.3 | 19.0 | 6.3 | 5.1 | 6.2 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 6.0 |
| 1967 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 7.5 | 5.5 | 13.9 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 5.2 |
| 1968 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 5.1 | 7.1 | 5.8 | 8.5 | 5.6 | 16.8 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 2.3 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 5.8 |
| 1969 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 7.5 | 6.7 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 5.6 | 8.7 | 5.0 | 10.8 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 3.3 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 5.5 |
| 1970 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 7.7 | 6.1 | 7.4 | 5.7 | 12.3 | 5.9 | 11.7 | 6.2 | 18.0 | 6.4 | 8.8 | 5.9 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 8.8 | 6.0 |
| 1971 | 4.2 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 5.0 | 9.6 | 4.9 | 10.7 | 6.2 | 14.0 | 5.8 | 15.0 | 5.7 | 7.4 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 8.5 | 5.5 |
| 1972 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 8.6 | 5.4 | 8.1 | 5.0 | 9.8 | 5.9 | 11.2 | 6.0 | 15.1 | 6.1 | 7.7 | 5.7 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 8.0 | 5.6 |
| 1973 | 6.4 | 4.6 | 7.2 | 5.6 | 8.8 | 5.5 | 8.6 | 4.7 | 11.8 | 5.1 | 13.0 | 5.6 | 9.7 | 5.4 | 7.5 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 8.6 | 5.3 |
| 1974 | 6.7 | 4.6 | 7.3 | 4.8 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 8.5 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 8.3 | 4.4 | 12.1 | 5.4 | 7.8 | 5.0 | 2.2 | 5.2 | 7.0 | 4.9 |
| 1975 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 8.3 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 6.4 | 4.8 | 9.1 | 5.1 | 7.4 | 5.4 | 6.5 | 5.8 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 5.2 |
| 1976 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 9.7 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 5.2 | 8.9 | 4.6 | 11.3 | 5.3 | 9.7 | 5.2 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 7.2 | 5.1 |
| 1977 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 12.8 | 5.6 | 10.7 | 4.6 | 7.7 | 4.7 | 13.1 | 4.8 | 12.3 | 5.2 | 7.1 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 8.3 | 5.0 |
| 1978 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 9.1 | 5.4 | 9.9 | 5.0 | 6.9 | 5.4 | 8.8 | 5.5 | 11.1 | 5.5 | 7.4 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 7.1 | 5.4 |
| 1979 | 6.7 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 11.1 | 5.8 | 8.7 | 5.2 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 6.3 | 5.3 |
| 1980 | 8.1 | 4.9 | 9.4 | 5.2 | 12.1 | 5.2 | 16.6 | 4.9 | 11.3 | 5.0 | 9.9 | 4.8 | 13.5 | 4.5 | 11.6 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 10.7 | 5.0 |
| 1981 | 11.4 | 4.4 | 8.7 | 4.9 | 11.2 | 5.4 | 15.5 | 4.8 | 10.0 | 4.6 | 11.5 | 5.0 | 16.9 | 4.4 | 8.8 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 10.7 | 4.8 |
| 1982 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 4.9 | 8.9 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 5.6 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 6.9 | 4.3 | 6.8 | 5.4 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 5.0 | 4.9 |
| 1983 | 1.6 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 4.9 | 1.8 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 5.3 | 7.5 | 5.9 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 3.4 | 5.3 |
| 1984 | 1.3 | 5.9 | 1.5 | 5.7 | 2.8 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 5.2 | 2.3 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 3.1 | 5.2 |
| 1985 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 8.9 | 5.7 | 12.2 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 5.5 |
| 1986 | 3.9 | 5.9 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 4.4 | 8.1 | 4.9 | 10.3 | 5.3 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 5.0 |
| 1987 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 6.2 | 8.5 | 5.8 | 9.3 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 9.9 | 5.0 | 10.5 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 7.1 | 5.5 |
| 1988 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 6.6 | 9.7 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 5.8 | 7.8 | 4.9 | 8.5 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.5 |
| 1989 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.9 | 8.2 | 5.1 | 10.9 | 5.3 | 8.1 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 4.6 | 6.5 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 5.4 |
| 1990 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 6.9 | 5.4 | 9.6 | 5.4 | 9.8 | 4.5 | 6.6 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 4.9 |
| 1991 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 7.7 | 5.4 | 12.5 | 4.8 | 7.1 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 11.5 | 4.2 | 7.9 | 5.1 | 6.6 | 5.2 | 7.5 | 4.9 |
| 1992 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 7.1 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 6.9 | 4.4 | 6.8 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 4.6 |
| 1993 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 3.4 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 8.9 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 5.1 |
| 1994 | 7.5 | 4.6 | 11.2 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 14.2 | 4.5 | 9.4 | 4.8 | 10.0 | 5.4 | 8.9 | 4.1 | 6.8 | 5.4 | 8.7 | 5.4 | 9.1 | 5.0 |


| Year | North West |  | North Central |  | North East |  | West Central |  | Central |  | East Central |  | South West |  | South Central |  | South East |  | Statewide |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Broods per 30m | Chicks per brood | Broods per 30m | Chicks per brood | Broods per 30m | Chicks per brood | Broods per 30m | Chicks per brood | Broods per 30m | Chicks per brood | Broods per 30m | Chicks per brood | Broods per 30m | Chicks per brood | Broods per 30m | Chicks per brood | Broods per 30m | Chicks per brood | Broods per 30m | Chicks per brood |
| 1995 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 10.1 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 8.1 | 4.5 | 9.4 | 4.5 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 7.3 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 7.2 | 5.1 |
| 1996 | 9.1 | 4.6 | 9.6 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 7.4 | 4.6 | 8.5 | 4.9 | 8.9 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 7.1 | 4.7 |
| 1997 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 9.1 | 5.1 | 6.7 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 8.6 | 5.1 | 7.0 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 5.4 |
| 1998 | 14.1 | 4.2 | 9.6 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 4.7 | 8.3 | 4.6 | 8.8 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 6.3 | 5.1 | 7.7 | 4.6 |
| 1999 | 7.2 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 6.1 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| 2000 | 11.3 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 8.8 | 4.2 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 4.7 |
| 2001 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 4.6 | 0.9 | 5.4 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 2.9 | 5.6 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 4.5 |
| 2002 | 7.4 | 5.1 | 7.8 | 5.0 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 5.9 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 5.1 |
| 2003 | 13.9 | 4.5 | 10.3 | 5.4 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 10.3 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 4.9 |
| 2004 | 9.5 | 4.1 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 4.1 |
| 2005 | 11.7 | 4.2 | 7.2 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 8.3 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 4.6 |
| 2006 | 7.7 | 4.8 | 7.1 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 6.6 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 4.3 |
| 2007 | 7.7 | 4.2 | 6.1 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 4.3 |
| 2008 | 8.6 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 1.1 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 0.7 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 4.4 |
| 2009 | 5.5 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 1.2 | 6.4 | 1.9 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 4.4 |
| 2010 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 4.0 |
| 2011 | 1.7 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 0.4 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 4.8 |
| 2012 | 2.7 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 5.7 | 1.2 | 4.9 |
| 2013 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 4.7 | 0.7 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 4.4 |
| 2014 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 5.5 | 1.2 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 4.4 |
| 2015 | 6.7 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 1.2 | 6.0 | 3.6 | 4.9 | 6.5 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 4.5 |
| 2016 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 2.5 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 4.5 |
| 2017 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 4.2 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 1.6 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 0.9 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 4.3 |
| 2018 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 5.5 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 3.2 | 4.3 |
| 2019 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 1.2 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 4.7 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 4.5 |
| 2020 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 3.1 | 4.5 |


| Statistics: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 Yr Avg. | 4.0 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 4.5 |
| LT Avg | 6.0 | 4.8 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 6.7 | 4.8 | 6.3 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 7.3 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 5.0 |
| Percent Change from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 21.8 | 4.1 | 18.5 | -8.5 | 97.3 | 11.0 | 4.2 | -8.0 | -19.4 | -11.1 | 48.8 | 1.8 | -24.4 | 15.7 | 27.3 | -12.7 | 126.9 | 38.3 | 20.4 | 0.6 |
| 10 Yr Avg. | 15.5 | -5.0 | 32.4 | 3.4 | 168.5 | 19.9 | 55.7 | -1.9 | -5.8 | -14.4 | 13.4 | 4.4 | -18.7 | 17.2 | 3.8 | -3.7 | 43.3 | 15.9 | 25.9 | -0.2 |
| LT Avg | -22.4 | -13.3 | -40.3 | -7.4 | -32.9 | -1.6 | -36.7 | -11.8 | -44.8 | -23.2 | -67.6 | -4.0 | -87.8 | -1.4 | -76.1 | -18.5 | 2.4 | 0.6 | -45.9 | -10.3 |

Table 5.3 Mean number of bobwhite quail and white-tailed jackrabbits counted/30-mile route on the August roadside survey, regionally and statewide, 1962-Present

Quail per Route

| Year | North <br> West | North Central | North East | West Central | Central | East Central | South <br> West | South Central | South East | Statewide | Jack Rabbits Statewide |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.22 | 0.25 | 0.18 | 0.88 |  | 2.00 | 0.62 | 0.449 |
| 1963 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.08 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.13 | 0.54 | 5.58 | 3.20 | 1.12 | 0.408 |
| 1964 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.64 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.83 | 4.69 | 4.47 | 1.39 | 0.530 |
| 1965 | 0.81 | 0.04 | 0.32 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.81 | 2.08 | 6.76 | 8.27 | 2.21 | 0.346 |
| 1966 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.44 | 3.05 | 2.58 | 6.65 | 7.59 | 2.29 | 0.348 |
| 1967 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.56 | 0.20 | 1.81 | 2.17 | 5.48 | 8.09 | 2.10 | 0.599 |
| 1968 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.17 | 0.65 | 2.68 | 3.46 | 5.81 | 5.55 | 2.06 | 0.278 |
| 1969 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 1.68 | 3.00 | 6.83 | 8.58 | 5.40 | 2.60 | 0.308 |
| 1970 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 1.64 | 10.75 | 10.15 | 7.36 | 2.95 | 0.155 |
| 1971 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.52 | 1.35 | 11.42 | 6.82 | 6.79 | 2.64 | 0.345 |
| 1972 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 1.13 | 10.27 | 6.84 | 3.80 | 2.26 | 0.300 |
| 1973 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 1.24 | 1.29 | 13.31 | 6.58 | 5.55 | 2.54 | 0.202 |
| 1974 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 1.00 | 8.07 | 6.39 | 5.13 | 2.11 | 0.072 |
| 1975 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.30 | 0.92 | 7.64 | 3.78 | 5.64 | 1.98 | 0.108 |
| 1976 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 2.21 | 0.16 | 2.04 | 2.40 | 7.39 | 4.68 | 2.19 | 0.109 |
| 1977 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.21 | 0.68 | 1.55 | 5.40 | 12.63 | 3.96 | 2.69 | 0.085 |
| 1978 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.06 | 1.37 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 2.73 | 8.42 | 3.40 | 1.87 | 0.141 |
| 1979 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 2.75 | 2.00 | 0.30 | 0.66 | 0.158 |
| 1980 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 1.39 | 1.00 | 5.27 | 7.88 | 2.61 | 2.05 | 0.149 |
| 1981 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 1.64 | 7.00 | 11.84 | 2.43 | 2.60 | 0.310 |
| 1982 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.87 | 2.64 | 2.83 | 0.79 | 0.099 |
| 1983 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 1.64 | 7.32 | 1.87 | 1.44 | 0.055 |
| 1984 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.80 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 1.13 | 2.40 | 1.57 | 0.66 | 0.078 |
| 1985 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.44 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 1.27 | 6.24 | 3.30 | 1.37 | 0.074 |
| 1986 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 1.73 | 8.16 | 2.09 | 1.42 | 0.118 |
| 1987 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 3.93 | 14.52 | 4.17 | 2.70 | 0.123 |
| 1988 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.87 | 8.46 | 4.13 | 1.96 | 0.173 |
| 1989 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 1.06 | 0.10 | 0.70 | 6.07 | 7.67 | 3.17 | 1.91 | 0.223 |
| 1990 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.72 | 0.13 | 1.04 | 2.93 | 6.25 | 2.21 | 1.48 | 0.188 |
| 1991 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.72 | 0.13 | 0.52 | 3.13 | 5.54 | 2.33 | 1.34 | 0.068 |
| 1992 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 1.50 | 0.07 | 0.96 | 2.43 | 2.83 | 2.71 | 1.07 | 0.143 |
| 1993 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 0.03 | 0.78 | 5.07 | 2.13 | 1.61 | 0.96 | 0.030 |
| 1994 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.87 | 9.19 | 3.21 | 3.04 | 1.58 | 0.155 |
| 1995 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.86 | 2.53 | 5.54 | 3.22 | 1.37 | 0.058 |
| 1996 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 0.09 | 0.71 | 2.73 | 0.88 | 0.65 | 0.51 | 0.092 |
| 1997 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 1.24 | 4.27 | 2.25 | 0.50 | 0.77 | 0.098 |
| 1998 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 1.48 | 1.20 | 2.30 | 1.81 | 0.72 | 0.086 |
| 1999 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 1.07 | 2.50 | 1.50 | 0.57 | 0.060 |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.47 | 0.17 | 4.40 | 0.83 | 0.41 | 0.57 | 0.029 |
| 2001 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.76 | 1.31 | 0.50 | 0.32 | 0.29 | 0.053 |
| 2002 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 0.03 | 0.27 | 1.06 | 0.88 | 0.96 | 0.39 | 0.034 |

Quail per Route

| Year | North West | North Central | North East | West Central | Central | East Central | South West | South Central | South East | Statewide | Jack Rabbits Statewide |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 3.27 | 3.92 | 1.36 | 0.89 | 0.033 |
| 2004 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.05 | 0.19 | 0.55 | 2.19 | 2.64 | 3.19 | 0.93 | 0.033 |
| 2005 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.53 | 0.00 | 1.71 | 2.52 | 1.64 | 0.69 | 0.019 |
| 2006 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.03 | 0.52 | 1.65 | 2.16 | 3.22 | 0.82 | 0.052 |
| 2007 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.78 | 0.00 | 1.40 | 0.63 | 1.52 | 3.30 | 0.81 | 0.019 |
| 2008 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 1.04 | 1.26 | 0.45 | 0.000 |
| 2009 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 1.22 | 2.24 | 1.67 | 0.72 | 0.005 |
| 2010 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 1.32 | 0.33 | 0.000 |
| 2011 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.07 | 1.28 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.019 |
| 2012 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 1.75 | 1.68 | 0.13 | 0.36 | 0.005 |
| 2013 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.78 | 1.68 | 0.78 | 0.36 | 0.009 |
| 2014 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 3.65 | 2.71 | 1.76 | 0.86 | 0.028 |
| 2015 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 4.06 | 3.88 | 4.58 | 1.42 | 0.019 |
| 2016 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 1.14 | 0.07 | 0.41 | 5.83 | 5.50 | 3.00 | 1.65 | 0.005 |
| 2017 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.41 | 4.56 | 1.70 | 1.11 | 0.005 |
| 2018 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.76 | 0.65 | 0.14 | 4.06 | 3.46 | 3.17 | 1.37 | 0.019 |
| 2019 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.52 | 0.09 | 1.32 | 1.94 | 2.88 | 1.25 | 0.84 | 0.005 |
| 2020 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 3.28 | 1.76 | 1.00 | 0.72 | 0.005 |
| Statistics: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{Yr} \\ & \text { Avg. } \end{aligned}$ | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.48 | 0.15 | 0.27 | 2.98 | 2.94 | 1.76 | 0.89 | 0.01 |
| LT <br> Avg. | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.47 | 0.24 | 0.75 | 3.59 | 4.66 | 2.98 | 1.35 | 0.13 |
| Percent Change from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  | -90.1 | 68.9 | -38.9 | -20.0 | -14.2 | 0.00 |
| 10 Yr A |  |  |  |  |  | -52.5 | 9.9 | -40.1 | -43.1 | -19.1 | -58.0 |
| LT Avg. |  |  |  |  |  | -82.7 | -8.7 | -62.2 | -66.4 | -46.4 | -96.1 |

Table 5.4 Mean number of gray partridge counted/30-mile route on the August roadside survey, regionally and statewide, 1962Present

| Year | North <br> West | North <br> Central | North <br> East | West <br> Central | Central | East <br> Central | South <br> West | South <br> Central | South <br> East | Statewide |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962 | 5.77 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 0.82 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | .89 |
| 1963 | 4.67 | 2.92 | 0.00 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.91 |
| 1964 | 4.63 | 2.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.79 |
| 1965 | 2.38 | 1.52 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 |
| 1966 | 2.70 | 4.96 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.05 | 0.00 | 1.30 |
| 1967 | 3.33 | 1.13 | 0.00 | 1.11 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.66 |
| 1968 | 4.13 | 1.30 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.68 |
| 1969 | 1.25 | 1.14 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 |
| 1970 | 8.43 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.66 |
| 1971 | 7.09 | 3.55 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.44 |
| 1972 | 8.92 | 5.44 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 1.92 |


| Year | North West | North Central | North East | West Central | Central | East Central | South West | South Central | South East | Statewide |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1973 | 6.57 | 7.08 | 0.22 | 0.32 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.87 |
| 1974 | 9.00 | 4.79 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.82 |
| 1975 | 8.50 | 6.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.98 |
| 1976 | 9.50 | 7.20 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.14 |
| 1977 | 22.04 | 13.88 | 0.00 | 1.58 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.70 |
| 1978 | 17.23 | 7.68 | 0.11 | 1.42 | 2.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.73 |
| 1979 | 20.28 | 19.32 | 0.18 | 1.58 | 2.90 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.59 |
| 1980 | 35.04 | 28.08 | 0.11 | 3.00 | 4.03 | 0.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.81 |
| 1981 | 31.44 | 23.60 | 1.78 | 5.00 | 4.19 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.08 |
| 1982 | 18.48 | 10.16 | 0.94 | 3.37 | 1.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.21 |
| 1983 | 8.04 | 8.88 | 0.72 | 1.84 | 1.87 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.65 |
| 1984 | 14.16 | 13.24 | 2.11 | 1.05 | 3.03 | 1.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.22 |
| 1985 | 26.84 | 25.23 | 8.06 | 10.68 | 9.26 | 1.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.75 |
| 1986 | 29.48 | 21.04 | 10.00 | 5.79 | 11.13 | 2.41 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.62 |
| 1987 | 36.88 | 35.08 | 10.56 | 17.00 | 20.32 | 3.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 14.93 |
| 1988 | 42.84 | 48.65 | 15.61 | 17.83 | 25.07 | 4.48 | 0.20 | 0.38 | 1.39 | 19.00 |
| 1989 | 36.54 | 31.82 | 14.39 | 12.06 | 37.48 | 0.96 | 2.07 | 0.38 | 0.70 | 17.27 |
| 1990 | 18.40 | 20.12 | 16.68 | 5.89 | 6.93 | 5.52 | 1.00 | 0.38 | 0.88 | 8.75 |
| 1991 | 13.88 | 7.52 | 4.16 | 3.17 | 4.23 | 4.00 | 0.87 | 0.54 | 0.58 | 4.59 |
| 1992 | 5.15 | 4.76 | 6.67 | 2.61 | 3.77 | 4.17 | 0.07 | 1.46 | 2.05 | 3.58 |
| 1993 | 1.33 | 1.39 | 0.84 | 2.00 | 1.19 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.85 |
| 1994 | 7.92 | 14.48 | 4.47 | 10.41 | 8.29 | 5.39 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 6.17 |
| 1995 | 3.72 | 4.86 | 4.11 | 1.28 | 2.52 | 3.18 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.78 | 2.47 |
| 1996 | 4.42 | 6.64 | 3.00 | 2.61 | 1.81 | 1.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.37 |
| 1997 | 9.00 | 7.33 | 6.47 | 3.16 | 10.77 | 3.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 5.10 |
| 1998 | 23.00 | 13.96 | 9.17 | 3.58 | 3.36 | 1.24 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 6.42 |
| 1999 | 11.41 | 2.75 | 2.11 | 1.84 | 3.68 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 2.83 |
| 2000 | 6.54 | 4.75 | 0.90 | 2.05 | 4.00 | 1.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.53 |
| 2001 | 3.23 | 1.30 | 3.44 | 2.75 | 3.94 | 1.33 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.90 |
| 2002 | 7.04 | 2.04 | 2.94 | 4.00 | 5.88 | 1.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.82 |
| 2003 | 6.77 | 3.04 | 3.20 | 1.50 | 7.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.76 |
| 2004 | 7.77 | 2.30 | 1.90 | 0.86 | 3.25 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 2.12 |
| 2005 | 9.31 | 3.59 | 1.80 | 2.68 | 3.53 | 1.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 2.79 |
| 2006 | 2.50 | 4.96 | 2.10 | 2.14 | 3.53 | 0.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 2.01 |
| 2007 | 2.19 | 2.93 | 2.30 | 1.96 | 2.90 | 0.85 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 1.62 |
| 2008 | 2.39 | 4.11 | 0.00 | 1.09 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 1.03 |
| 2009 | 2.92 | 1.39 | 2.29 | 1.57 | 1.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 1.17 |
| 2010 | 1.15 | 1.69 | 1.83 | 0.83 | 1.40 | 1.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.93 |
| 2011 | 2.46 | 4.19 | 0.47 | 0.24 | 1.16 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.15 |
| 2012 | 2.50 | 3.56 | 1.33 | 0.71 | 3.45 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.47 |
| 2013 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 1.65 | 1.09 | 0.63 | 0.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.81 |
| 2014 | 1.81 | 7.74 | 2.65 | 1.91 | 2.53 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.13 |
| 2015 | 3.80 | 12.41 | 3.37 | 1.19 | 4.53 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.26 |


| Year | North <br> West | North <br> Central | North <br> East | West <br> Central | Central | East <br> Central | South <br> West | South <br> Central | South <br> East | Statewide |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | 5.73 | 7.22 | 5.26 | 1.59 | 2.39 | 1.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.76 |
| 2017 | 2.04 | 4.69 | 3.90 | 0.14 | 5.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.99 |
| 2018 | 1.62 | 4.22 | 2.00 | 2.29 | 4.77 | 2.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 2.09 |
| 2019 | 1.13 | 2.78 | 3.10 | 1.52 | 2.81 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.35 |
| 2020 | 1.58 | 3.33 | 5.05 | 0.39 | 4.03 | 1.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.90 |
| Statistics: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Yr | 2.37 | 5.21 | 2.88 | 1.11 | 3.15 | 0.90 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 1.89 |
| Avg. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LT Avg. | 10.17 | 8.63 | 2.95 | 2.65 | 4.13 | 1.10 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 3.65 |
| Percent Change from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 40.7 | 20.0 | 62.9 | -74.3 | 43.3 |  |  |  |  | 40.5 |
| 10 Yr | -33.1 | -36.1 | 75.4 | -64.7 | 28.1 | 102.4 |  |  |  | 0.6 |
| Avg. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LT Avg. | -84.4 | -61.4 | 71.3 | -85.3 | -2.4 | 66.7 |  |  |  | -47.9 |

Table 5.5 Mean number of cottontail rabbits counted/30-mile route on the August roadside survey, regionally and statewide (1962-Present).

| Year | North <br> West | North <br> Central | North <br> East | West <br> Central | Central | East <br> Central | South <br> West | South <br> Central | South <br> East | Statewide |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 5.5 | 9.8 | 5.1 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 6.0 |
| 1963 | 8.9 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 10.8 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 8.0 | 9.9 | 12.8 | 7.9 |
| 1964 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 11.3 | 5.7 | 3.1 | 10.2 | 19.4 | 14.00 | 7.6 |
| 1965 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 7.9 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 16.2 | 24.3 | 11.2 | 8.1 |
| 1966 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 6.5 | 9.7 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 30.2 | 31.7 | 9.5 | 10.3 |
| 1967 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 4.0 | 18.8 | 16.3 | 10.9 | 7.5 |
| 1968 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 6.9 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 17.7 | 17.5 | 8.5 | 7.4 |
| 1969 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 5.6 | 16.6 | 18.0 | 6.8 | 6.3 |
| 1970 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 3.6 | 12.5 | 11.3 | 4.7 | 4.4 |
| 1971 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 14.8 | 16.5 | 5.6 | 5.4 |
| 1972 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 6.4 | 11.7 | 14.8 | 4.7 | 5.5 |
| 1973 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 13.8 | 14.3 | 6.1 | 5.8 |
| 1974 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 5.8 | 8.4 | 6.0 | 4.1 |
| 1975 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 7.0 | 5.2 | 3.2 |
| 1976 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 5.9 | 7.3 | 4.2 | 5.5 | 9.3 | 16.4 | 8.9 | 6.4 |
| 1977 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 5.1 | 7.9 | 11.7 | 5.4 | 4.3 |
| 1978 | 3.8 | 2.0 | 6.9 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 12.7 | 14.0 | 5.2 | 6.2 |
| 1979 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 5.6 | 8.2 | 2.5 | 3.6 |
| 1980 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 5.5 | 9.8 | 4.9 | 4.2 |
| 1981 | 3.4 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 3.2 | 7.4 | 11.1 | 21.1 | 9.0 | 7.8 |
| 1982 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 4.9 | 7.7 | 19.5 | 11.7 | 6.4 |
| 1983 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 6.4 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 7.2 | 17.6 | 12.7 | 6.8 |
| 1984 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 14.7 | 14.0 | 5.6 |
| 1985 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 7.1 | 22.9 | 12.0 | 7.4 |
| 1986 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 9.7 | 25.2 | 12.7 | 7.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 144 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Year | North West | North Central | North <br> East | West Central | Central | East Central | South <br> West | South Central | South <br> East | Statewide |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 8.1 | 34.4 | 7.7 | 8.6 |
| 1988 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 4.6 | 12.8 | 6.7 | 4.5 |
| 1989 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 2.9 | 4.3 | 6.3 | 13.5 | 8.5 | 5.4 |
| 1990 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 7.0 | 7.7 | 5.5 | 7.3 | 9.2 | 26.0 | 14.7 | 9.2 |
| 1991 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 7.0 | 16.3 | 9.1 | 5.5 |
| 1992 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 7.1 | 13.7 | 12.4 | 6.0 |
| 1993 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 3.9 | 6.5 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 6.7 | 15.4 | 10.1 | 5.5 |
| 1994 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.3 | 7.4 | 8.9 | 14.4 | 10.4 | 6.3 |
| 1995 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 6.5 | 13.0 | 15.7 | 9.5 | 7.0 |
| 1996 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 13.8 | 8.5 | 6.2 |
| 1997 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 5.2 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 11.8 | 5.1 | 4.9 |
| 1998 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 10.4 | 7.5 | 5.1 |
| 1999 | 4.1 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 9.1 | 7.9 | 10.6 | 6.0 | 5.9 |
| 2000 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 19.3 | 7.2 | 6.4 |
| 2001 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 5.3 | 12.0 | 4.1 | 3.8 |
| 2002 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 6.5 | 3.8 | 11.2 | 9.3 | 5.3 |
| 2003 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 8.3 | 8.0 | 9.1 | 21.4 | 11.0 | 8.8 |
| 2004 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 5.7 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 6.1 | 8.7 | 24.9 | 14.6 | 8.1 |
| 2005 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 12.6 | 12.1 | 7.0 | 6.2 |
| 2006 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 4.3 | 5.8 | 8.4 | 14.9 | 7.8 | 6.4 |
| 2007 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 8.0 | 4.3 |
| 2008 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 8.8 | 16.9 | 7.0 | 6.3 |
| 2009 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 10.3 | 9.6 | 6.1 | 5.0 |
| 2010 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 3.1 |
| 2011 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 4.3 | 1.7 | 2.2 |
| 2012 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.0 |
| 2013 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 6.9 | 2.5 | 11.4 | 8.2 | 5.1 |
| 2014 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 6.9 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 4.5 | 18.9 | 12.2 | 7.8 |
| 2015 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 15.8 | 11.3 | 7.2 |
| 2016 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 3.9 | 11.9 | 5.7 | 5.2 |
| 2017 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 5.5 | 3.6 | 6.4 | 8.7 | 6.5 | 9.3 | 5.8 | 5.4 |
| 2018 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 7.6 | 10.2 | 9.2 | 13.1 | 6.6 | 6.8 |
| 2019 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 10.8 | 6.7 | 5.7 |
| 2020 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 5.2 |
| Statistics: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Yr Avg. | 2.2 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 4.8 | 10.7 | 7.0 | 5.3 |
| LT Avg. | 2.7 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 8.6 | 14.8 | 8.3 | 6.0 |
| Percent Change from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | 2.7 | -43.3 | -6.2 | -24.2 | -30.5 | 47.0 | 25.6 | -24.2 | 30.9 | -7.1 |
| 10 Yr Avg. | -26.5 | -17.6 | 17.9 | -12.7 | -9.8 | 16.4 | 36.0 | -23.5 | 24.8 | -0.1 |
| LT Avg. | -40.9 | -23.0 | 22.5 | -26.1 | 24.8 | 37.6 | -24.3 | -44.9 | 6.4 | -12.1 |

Table 5.6 Small game harvest estimates from the lowa small-game survey, 1963-Present
Resident and NR hunter harvests combined.

| Year | Pheasant | Quail | Cottontail | Jackrabbit | Squirrel | Huns | Mourning Dove |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1958* | 1,548,564 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1959* | 1,070,285 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1963 | 1,935,000 | 327,977 | 2,066,472 | 75,015 | 1,440,576 | 8,000 |  |
| 1964 | 1,737,400 | 291,030 | 2,260,090 | 97,785 | 1,111,290 | 7,000 |  |
| 1965 | 1,117,500 | 513,760 | 1,602,060 | 133,000 | 1,236,400 | 11,500 |  |
| 1966 | 1,449,400 | 1,051,630 | 2,180,525 | 91,690 | 1,370,250 | 12,000 |  |
| 1967 | 1,212,200 | 736,520 | 1,548,035 | 55,660 | 1,196,810 | 11,300 |  |
| 1968 | 1,393,900 | 777,685 | 1,761,370 | 62,405 | 1,014,940 | 21,600 |  |
| 1969 | 1,642,899 | 1,144,700 | 1,722,280 | 98,930 | 1,164,030 | 20,900 |  |
| 1970 | 1,788,500 | 1,178,685 | 1,725,535 | 71,705 | 1,115,410 | 28,300 |  |
| 1971 | 1,817,000 | 1,037,957 | 1,305,083 | 41,468 | 1,172,742 | 31,100 |  |
| 1972 | 1,396,900 | 657,300 | 1,148,100 | 31,200 | 1,048,000 | 16,800 |  |
| 1973 | 1,905,086 | 791,242 | 1,424,927 | 30,863 | 1,105,271 | 45,284 |  |
| 1974 | 1,672,476 | 727,324 | 1,271,577 | 40,027 | 1,119,048 | 39,976 |  |
| 1975 | 1,230,095 | 543,971 | 996,227 | 19,064 | 1,046,559 | 26,436 |  |
| 1976 | 1,425,500 | 1,080,500 | 1,136,300 | 20,700 | 1,377,500 | 54,800 |  |
| 1977 | 1,357,862 | 849,183 | 1,322,263 | 19,975 | 1,283,043 | 48,991 |  |
| 1978 | 1,428,708 | 660,625 | 856,999 | 26,077 | 815,562 | 108,473 |  |
| 1979 | 1,200,709 | 312,410 | 461,285 | 13,713 | 696,363 | 55,414 |  |
| 1980 | 1,429,617 | 524,450 | 588,363 | 7,932 | 844,999 | 70,764 |  |
| 1981 | 1,447,969 | 563,569 | 1,134,781 | 22,860 | 949,681 | 69,698 |  |
| 1982 | 972,556 | 302,648 | 712,227 | 5,237 | 759,438 | 52,782 |  |
| 1983 | 1,047,027 | 270,690 | 720,012 | 8,845 | 669,490 | 91,035 |  |
| 1984 | 724,192 | 190,708 | 636,209 | 6,376 | 529,316 | 33,306 |  |
| 1985 | 852,716 | 189,236 | 717,631 | 2,108 | 673,665 | 62,931 |  |
| 1986 | 855,894 | 339,000 | 472,585 | 6,082 | 506,769 | 60,018 |  |
| 1987 | 1,412,082 | 397,633 | 690,091 | 8,830 | 532,001 | 109,061 |  |
| 1988 | 1,139,599 | 289,592 | 424,561 | 3,907 | 510,065 | 104,094 |  |
| 1989 | 1,441,990 | 426,302 | 435,791 | 3,025 | 583,183 | 118,282 |  |
| 1990 | 1,407,002 | 321,493 | 608,805 | 4,463 | 466,140 | 147,922 |  |
| 1991 | 1,138,463 | 231,818 | 437,144 | 3,171 | 407,172 | 45,541 |  |
| 1992 | 925,123 | 179,825 | 311,607 | 2,113 | 328,644 | 37,328 |  |
| 1993 | 1,226,010 | 201,461 | 334,667 | 3,212 | 439,477 | 24,577 |  |
| 1994 | 1,245,580 | 178,589 | 288,982 | 262 | 395,232 | 22,331 |  |
| 1995 | 1,443,010 | 220,999 | 335,862 | 6,280 | 377,714 | 6,677 |  |
| 1996 | 1,367,060 | 81,039 | 331,047 | 2,666 | 302,908 | 36,358 |  |
| 1997 | 1,340,050 | 181,025 | 340,661 | 5,063 | 265,874 | 38,045 |  |
| 1998 | 1,237,980 | 100,594 | 255,149 | 10,008 | 319,081 | 25,613 |  |
| 1999 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 899,174 | 110,128 | 237,409 | 8,777 | 242,224 | 20,200 |  |
| $2000^{\text {b }}$ | 1,001,867 | 140,828 | 350,739 | 1,626 | 217,116 | 19,258 |  |
| 2001 | 470,116 | 32,226 | 196,483 | 3,840 | 248,833 | 5,814 |  |
| 2002 | 729,460 | 63,872 | 167,284 | 1,637 | 152,825 | 5,130 |  |


| Year | Pheasant | Quail | Cottontail | Jackrabbit | Squirrel | Huns | Mourning Dove |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | 1,080,466 | 114,067 | 243,699 | 738 | 202,729 | 8,204 |  |
| 2004 | 756,184 | 68,256 | 259,327 | 151 | 233,530 | 12,535 |  |
| 2005 | 806,601 | 40,675 | 210,591 | 671 | 132,195 | 14,674 |  |
| 2006 | 748,025 | 75,276 | 155,892 | 999 | 165,255 | 10,724 |  |
| 2007 | 631,638 | 54,444 | 131,250 | 1,262 | 169,478 | 4,885 |  |
| 2008 | 383,083 | 13,391 | 122,296 | 57 | 120,998 | 1,420 |  |
| 2009 | 271,126 | 12,136 | 127,663 | 608 | 169,041 | 4,643 |  |
| 2010 | 238,208 | 11,620 | 74,044 | 0 | 119,590 | 1,057 |  |
| 2011 | 108,905 | 4,539 | 51,815 | Closed | 108,783 | 1,046 | 57,285 |
| 2012 | 158,099 | 20,474 | 70,003 |  | 158,615 | 611 | 94,864 |
| 2013 | 166,554 | 8,708 | 79,985 |  | 90,167 | 1,370 | 117,915 |
| 2014 | 215,816 | 10,705 | 102,379 |  | 110,600 | 451 | 137,927 |
| 2015 | 268,464 | 28,362 | 113,276 |  | 175,507 | 1,698 | 117,358 |
| 2016 | 244,769 | 24,366 | 99,464 |  | 95,805 | 510 | 131,468 |
| $2017{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 221,291 | 26,955 | 118,942 |  | 125,844 | 1,754 | 76,837 |
| 2018 | 319,811 | 47,305 | 123,309 |  | 80,843 | 6,220 | 118,855 |
| 2019 | 283,684 | 20,710 | 54,285 |  | 88,642 | 853 | 84,827 |
| Statistics: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Yr Avg. | 222,560 | 20,367 | 88,558 |  | 115,435 | 1,557 | 104,108 |
| LT Avg. | 1,033,682 | 329,862 | 660,588 | 22,127 | 568,127 | 32,058 | 104,108 |
| Percent Change from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | -11.3 | -56.2 | -56.0 |  | 9.6 | -86.3 | -28.6 |
| 10 Yr Avg. | 27.5 | 1.7 | -38.7 |  | -23.2 | -45.2 | -18.5 |
| LT Avg. | -72.6 | -93.7 | -91.8 |  | -84.4 | -97.3 | -18.5 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Small Game Harvest Survey changed from a single to a double mailing. Harvest estimates from 1999-
Present are more conservative than pre-1999 estimates.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Survey methodology changed account for unrealistic harvest (e.g. reports of 1 bird harvested for 60 days effort).
'Survey methodology changed for unrealistic harvest/day for quail, huns, rabbits, squirrel, and doves.
*Nomsen RC. 1961. Results of the 1958 and 1959 Pheasant Hunter Survey. Ia Acad. Sci. 68:281-283.

Table 5.7 Estimated hunter and harvest numbers for pheasant and quail by residency status from the lowa small-game survey
(1987-Present).

| Year | Pheasant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Quail |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Resident |  | Non-Resident |  | Resident |  | Non-Resident |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hunters | Harvest | Hunters | Harvest | Hunters | Harvest | Hunters | Harvest |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | 178,203 | $1,129,395$ | 33,915 | 251,613 | 70,026 | 181,378 | 13,727 | 64,760 |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | 170,323 | 902,226 | 33,682 | 237,373 | 59,230 | 212,646 | 13,792 | 76,946 |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 173,017 | $1,122,951$ | 38,569 | 319,039 | 69,591 | 381,321 | 10,380 | 44,981 |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 171,016 | $1,047,529$ | 39,829 | 359,473 | 61,219 | 269,896 | 11,667 | 51,597 |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 161,741 | 852,158 | 40,578 | 286,305 | 49,713 | 184,195 | 11,271 | 47,623 |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 139,681 | 677,670 | 36,749 | 247,453 | 47,641 | 155,919 | 8,646 | 23,906 |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 138,619 | 999,149 | 27,642 | 226,857 | 43,027 | 175,793 | 6,318 | 25,667 |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 147,841 | 876,365 | 41,824 | 369,216 | 41,504 | 156,413 | 8,754 | 22,176 |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 155,308 | $1,118,638$ | 44,995 | 324,368 | 39,653 | 193,544 | 11,185 | 27,454 |  |  |  |  |


| Year | Pheasant |  |  |  | Quail |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Resident |  | Non-Resident |  | Resident |  | Non-Resident |  |
|  | Hunters | Harvest | Hunters | Harvest | Hunters | Harvest | Hunters | Harvest |
| 1996 | 155,889 | 1,059,385 | 49,704 | 307,675 | 33,996 | 62,438 | 10,978 | 18,601 |
| 1997* | 154,855 | 1,037,620 | 50,349 | 302,432 | 24,927 | 134,418 | 10,546 | 46,607 |
| 1998 | 141,838 | 936,181 | 42,748 | 301,797 | 26,393 | 83,067 | 5,985 | 17,527 |
| 1999 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 142,521 | 684,596 | 39,152 | 214,578 | 32,306 | 86,058 | 8,811 | 24,070 |
| $2000^{\text {b }}$ | 134,873 | 781,143 | 32,648 | 220,724 | 33,114 | 114,110 | 6,843 | 26,718 |
| 2001 | 99,125 | 352,469 | 23,781 | 117,620 | 20,459 | 24,812 | 4,132 | 7,414 |
| 2002 | 97,842 | 548,413 | 29,757 | 181,047 | 16,194 | 43,492 | 4,693 | 20,380 |
| 2003 | 108,819 | 849,898 | 33,414 | 230,568 | 19,937 | 99,971 | 4,958 | 14,096 |
| 2004 | 99,753 | 586,632 | 31,009 | 169,552 | 17,139 | 57,486 | 5,197 | 10,770 |
| 2005 | 107,255 | 641,957 | 28,937 | 164,644 | 15,277 | 33,714 | 3,301 | 6,961 |
| 2006 | 91,642 | 558,369 | 27,038 | 189,656 | 17,787 | 49,783 | 4,769 | 25,493 |
| 2007 | 85,803 | 481,754 | 23,426 | 149,884 | 14,227 | 42,799 | 4,007 | 11,645 |
| 2008 | 69,640 | 299,875 | 16,231 | 83,208 | 12,114 | 10,716 | 1,791 | 2,675 |
| 2009 | 60,708 | 217,816 | 13,309 | 53,310 | 8,237 | 11,098 | 1,942 | 1,038 |
| 2010 | 51,258 | 197,266 | 8,800 | 40,942 | 9,150 | 9,572 | 1,454 | 2,048 |
| 2011 | 39,515 | 75,897 | 6,460 | 33,008 | 8,574 | 3,664 | 862 | 875 |
| 2012 | 41,437 | 137,215 | 5,743 | 20,884 | 7,947 | 19,420 | 822 | 1,054 |
| 2013 | 34,688 | 140,348 | 6,293 | 26,206 | 6,165 | 8,467 | 320 | 241 |
| 2014 | 41,200 | 165,000 | 8,725 | 50,816 | 5,428 | 9,666 | 1,118 | 1,039 |
| 2015 | 46,679 | 212,858 | 9,480 | 55,606 | 8,189 | 26,081 | 1,573 | 2,281 |
| 2016 | 46,455 | 200,229 | 10,763 | 44,540 | 9,093 | 21,452 | 912 | 2,914 |
| $2017{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 45,007 | 177,762 | 9,908 | 43,529 | 7,604 | 25,448 | 964 | 1,507 |
| 2018 | 43,707 | 266,237 | 10,196 | 53,574 | 7,811 | 72,791 | 2,071 | 4,514 |
| 2019 | 41,708 | 217,102 | 10,183 | 66,582 | 6,264 | 16,111 | 1,867 | 4,599 |
| Statistics: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Yr Avg. | 43,165 | 182,521 | 9,078 | 44,454 | 7,585 | 20,336 | 1,262 | 2,010 |
| LT Avg. | 103,575 | 592,488 | 26,237 | 174,063 | 25,725 | 90,232 | 56253 | 19,399 |
| Percent Change from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | -4.6 | -18.5 | -0.1 | 24.3 | -19.8 | -77.9 | -9.9 | 1.9 |
| 10 Yr Avg. | -3.4 | 18.9 | 12.2 | 49.8 | -17.4 | -20.8 | 48.0 | 128.8 |
| LT Avg. | -59.7 | 63.4 | -61.2 | -61.7 | -75.6 | -82.1 | -66.8 | -76.3 |

[^3]Table 5.8 Sales of hunting-related licenses and stamps in lowa (1942-Present).

| Year ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Resident |  |  |  |  | Non-Resident |  |  | Habitat Stamp ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | IA Duck Stamp ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | $\underset{\text { Preserve }}{ }{ }^{\text {h }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Furharvester |  |  | Resident Hunt ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Lifetime over 65 | Hunting |  | Total License ${ }^{e}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { over } \\ 16^{b} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { under } \\ 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | over 18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { under } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1942 |  |  |  | 226,046 |  |  |  | 447 |  |  |  |
| 1943 |  |  |  | 193,270 |  |  |  | 612 |  |  |  |
| 1944 |  |  |  | 211,657 |  |  |  | 1,163 |  |  |  |
| 1945 |  |  |  | 245,609 |  |  |  | 998 |  |  |  |
| 1946 |  |  |  | 326,128 |  |  |  | 1,646 |  |  |  |
| 1947 |  |  |  | 273,242 |  |  |  | 632 |  |  |  |
| 1948 |  |  |  | 332,019 |  |  |  | 1,727 |  |  |  |
| 1949 |  |  |  | 349,734 |  |  |  | 2,256 |  |  |  |
| 1950 |  |  |  | 338,111 |  |  |  | 2,393 |  |  |  |
| 1951 |  |  |  | 329,320 |  |  |  | 2,371 |  |  |  |
| 1952 |  |  |  | 340,935 |  |  |  | 2,391 |  |  |  |
| 1953 |  |  |  | 343,982 |  |  |  | 3,115 |  |  |  |
| 1954 |  |  |  | 346,435 |  |  |  | 3,203 |  |  |  |
| 1955 |  |  |  | 369,493 |  |  |  | 3,936 |  |  |  |
| 1956 |  |  |  | 364,985 |  |  |  | 4,544 |  |  |  |
| 1957 |  |  |  | 339,389 |  |  |  | 4,422 |  |  |  |
| 1958 |  |  |  | 355,658 |  |  |  | 5,521 |  |  |  |
| 1959 |  |  |  | 320,246 |  |  |  | 4,535 |  |  |  |
| 1960 |  |  |  | 313,851 |  |  |  | 5,352 |  |  |  |
| 1961 |  |  |  | 301,809 |  |  |  | 5,448 |  |  |  |
| 1962 |  |  |  | 288,087 |  |  |  | 5,470 |  |  |  |
| 1963 |  |  |  | 307,475 |  |  |  | 7,531 |  |  |  |
| 1964 |  |  |  | 301,964 |  |  |  | 8,370 |  |  |  |
| 1965 |  |  |  | 275,640 |  |  |  | 6,505 |  |  |  |
| 1966 |  |  |  | 292,745 |  |  |  | 9,638 |  |  |  |
| 1967 |  |  |  | 295,276 |  |  |  | 11,244 |  |  |  |
| 1968 |  |  |  | 309,424 |  |  |  | 12,223 |  |  |  |
| 1969 |  |  |  | 303,602 |  |  |  | 17,326 |  |  |  |
| 1970 |  |  |  | 322,509 |  |  |  | 21,898 |  |  |  |
| 1971 |  |  |  | 328,542 |  |  |  | 30,264 |  |  |  |
| 1972 |  |  |  | 277,317 |  |  |  | 28,559 |  | 70,446 |  |
| 1973 |  |  |  | 291,755 |  |  |  | 34,497 |  | 67,323 |  |
| 1974 |  |  |  | 318,930 |  |  |  | 42,224 |  | 70,797 |  |
| 1975 |  |  |  | 302,436 |  |  |  | 36,382 |  | 70,814 |  |
| 1976 |  |  |  | 306,489 |  |  |  | 41,849 |  | 66,120 |  |
| 1977 |  |  |  | 296,940 |  |  |  | 39,032 |  | 69,023 |  |
| 1978 |  |  |  | 295,696 |  |  |  | 32,848 |  | 67,041 |  |
| 1979 | 17,602 | 4,813 | 22,415 | 257,676 |  |  |  | 27,302 | 279,621 | 52,865 | 768 |
| 1980 | 19,366 | 5,529 | 24,895 | 266,655 |  |  |  | 30,793 | 296,667 | 50,202 | 822 |
| 1981 | 19,116 | 4,990 | 24,106 | 266,053 |  |  |  | 31,379 | 297,297 | 45,751 | 742 |
| 1982 | 17,505 | 4,248 | 21,753 | 245,969 |  |  |  | 24,002 | 269,290 | 44,391 | 751 |
| 1983 | 14,964 | 3,699 | 18,663 | 237,851 |  |  |  | 23,206 | 261,340 | 42,981 | 766 |
| 1984 | 14,537 | 3,329 | 17,866 | 221,519 |  |  |  | 21,927 | 243,154 | 44,445 | 696 |
| 1985 | 25,156 | 3,519 | 28,675 | 208,444 |  |  |  | 22,977 | 233,779 | 37,681 | 729 |
| 1986 | 23,709 | 3,064 | 26,773 | 205,356 |  |  |  | 27,254 | 236,219 | 40,157 | 882 |
| 1987 | 28,923 | 3,338 | 32,261 | 220,674 |  |  |  | 35,676 | 259,350 | 43,357 | 1,112 |
| 1988 | 24,105 | 2,380 | 26,485 | 218,588 |  |  |  | 35,023 | 257,702 | 34,799 | 1,696 |


| Year ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Resident |  |  |  |  | Non-Resident |  |  | Habitat Stamp ${ }^{f}$ | IA Duck Stamp ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Hunt Preserve ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Furharvester |  |  | Resident <br> Hunt ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Lifetime over 65 | Hunting |  | Total License ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { over } \\ 16^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | under 16 | Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | over 18 | under $18$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 18,411 | 1,530 | 19,941 | 226,124 |  |  |  | 40,197 | 271,342 | 32,920 | 1,499 |
| 1990 | 13,853 | 973 | 14,826 | 219,636 |  |  |  | 41,500 | 263,530 | 31,468 | 1,786 |
| 1991 | 14,208 | 719 | 14,927 | 217,200 |  |  |  | 45,792 | 266,845 | 32,537 | 1,454 |
| 1992 | 14,272 | 793 | 15,065 | 203,508 |  |  |  | 39,211 | 247,673 | 34,304 | 1,810 |
| 1993 | 14,672 | 829 | 15,501 | 197,966 |  |  |  | 29,231 | 232,298 | 31,741 | 2,137 |
| 1994 | 15,811 | 952 | 16,763 | 211,289 |  |  |  | 45,610 | 260,815 | 33,232 | 1,870 |
| 1995 | 15,343 | 903 | 16,246 | 210,727 |  |  |  | 48,028 | 263,531 | 34,903 | 2,467 |
| 1996 | 17,237 | 1,021 | 18,258 | 209,663 |  |  |  | 53,058 | 265,653 | 43,060 | 2,317 |
| 1997 | 18,330 | 1,066 | 19,396 | 211,530 |  |  |  | 52,730 | 269,443 | 38,275 | 2,516 |
| 1998 | 18,325 | 1,078 | 19,403 | 208,790 |  |  |  | 50,511 | 266,519 | 40,349 | 3,107 |
| 1999* | 15,804 | 1,004 | 16,808 | 206,210 | 2,885 | 42,379 | 2,086 | 44,465 | 253,943 | 42,588 | 2,772 |
| 2000 | 12,793 | 1,936 | 14,729 | 200,995 | 1,642 | 39,067 | 1,901 | 40,968 | 245,351 | 40,913 | 2,898 |
| 2001 | 14,665 | 658 | 15,323 | 194,051 | 1,515 | 26,748 | 1,090 | 27,838 | 237,407 | 40,378 | 2,963 |
| 2002 | 14,235 | 644 | 14,879 | 189,138 | 2,339 | 36,728 | 1,532 | 38,260 | 229,829 | 37,574 | 3,282 |
| 2003 | 13,753 | 651 | 14,404 | 193,279 | 1,772 | 43,145 | 1,951 | 45,096 | 240,527 | 35,746 | 3,173 |
| 2004 | 13,906 | 701 | 14,607 | 190,154 | 1,786 | 41,159 | 1,847 | 43,006 | 235,336 | 34,611 | 3,254 |
| 2005 | 12,711 | 665 | 13,376 | 189,813 | 1,886 | 40,159 | 1,801 | 41,960 | 233,416 | 31,666 | 3,165 |
| 2006 | 13,796 | 746 | 14,542 | 188,628 | 1,973 | 39,038 | 1,815 | 40,853 | 231,284 | 31,982 | 3,370 |
| 2007 | 14,445 | 834 | 15,279 | 184,257 | 1,970 | 35,267 | 1,604 | 36,871 | 222,559 | 31,992 | 3,010 |
| 2008 | 14,673 | 850 | 15,523 | 177,723 | 2,074 | 28,427 | 1,167 | 29,594 | 208,461 | 30,560 | 2,665 |
| 2009 | 13,376 | 722 | 14,098 | 172,230 | 2,257 | 24,352 | 1,026 | 25,378 | 198,880 | 29,644 | 2,562 |
| 2010 | 14,162 | 871 | 15,033 | 164,380 | 2,016 | 19,992 | 773 | 20,765 | 185,598 | 28,263 | 2,254 |
| 2011 | 15,908 | 1,020 | 16,928 | 160,256 | 2,109 | 23,657 | 714 | 24,371 | 185,559 | 27,930 | 2,460 |
| 2012 | 17,970 | 1,215 | 19,185 | 161,642 | 2,350 | 23,766 | 793 | 24,559 | 187,698 | 26,420 | 2,270 |
| $2013{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 17,954 | 1,382 | 19,336 | 158,490 | 2,374 | 23,082 | 756 | 23,838 | 178,258 | 27,867 | 2,341 |
| 2014 | 17,272 | 1,206 | 18,478 | 152,696 | 2,399 | 24,348 | 798 | 25,146 | 179,331 | 29,122 | 2,316 |
| 2015 | 15,351 | 958 | 16,309 | 152,147 | 2,531 | 23,349 | 902 | 24,251 | 176,364 | 28,749 | 2,155 |
| 2016 | 13,383 | 701 | 14,084 | 155,186 | 2,726 | 27,598 | 964 | 28,562 | 184,846 | 28,455 | 2,435 |
| 2017 | 13,464 | 636 | 14,100 | 147,596 | 2,976 | 27,370 | 940 | 28,310 | 176,836 | 27,345 | 2,401 |
| $2018{ }^{\text {j }}$ | 12,919 | 584 | 13,503 | 142,763 | 3,668 | 31,298 | 958 | 32,256 | 175,701 | 26,492 | 2,149 |
| 2019 | 13,813 | 594 | 14,407 | 138,983 | 3,292 | 22,181 | 714 | 22,895 | 161,426 | 26,252 | 2,129 |
| Statistics: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Yr Avg. | 15,220 | 917 | 16,136 | 153,414 | 2,644 | 24,664 | 831 | 25,495 | 179,162 | 27,690 | 2,291 |
| LT Avg. | 16,385 | 1,643 | 18,028 | 249,007 | 2,311 | 30,624 | 1,244 | 23,554 | 233,431 | 40,324 | 2,096 |


| Percent Change from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2019 | 6.9 | 1.7 | 6.7 | -2.6 | -10.3 | -29.1 | -25.5 | -29.0 | -8.1 | -0.9 | -0.9 |
| 10 Yr Avg. | -9.2 | -35.2 | -10.7 | -9.4 | 24.5 | -10.1 | -14.1 | -10.2 | -9.9 | -5.2 | -7.1 |
| LT Avg | -15.7 | -63.8 | -20.1 | -44.2 | 42.4 | -27.6 | -42.6 | -2.8 | -30.8 | -34.9 | 1.6 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Change to ELSI electronic licensing system in 1999*. Resident hunting, combination, fur/fish/game licenses and furharvester w ere license types issued prior to ELSI implementation.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Furharvester (over 16) sales is the sum of discontinued fur (over 16) and fur/fish/game licenses, from 1979-99.

${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Total resident licenses is sum of resident hunt, combination, and fur/fish/game, until ELSI system implementation in 1999. License types ( $2,9,29,30,37$ ) beginning in 2013
${ }^{\text {e }}$ For comparisons to previous year's total NR licenses is sum of NR over and under 18 sales after 1999 ELSI implementation.
${ }^{\text {fgh }}$ Numbers represent combined resident and non-resident sales. Habitat fee license types (9,20,28,29,30,31,32,37,38,93,94)
'New combination hunt/fish/fur/habitat licenses started in 2013. LT combined to maintain similar historical tallies.
${ }^{j}$ Includes Lifetime and Vet Lifetime beginning in 2018

Table 5.9 Estimated hunter numbers (resident \& non-resident combined) from the lowa small-game survey

| Year | Pheasant | Quail | Cottontail | Jackrabbit | Squirrel | Huns | Mourning Dove |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1958* | 267,455 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1959* | 238,903 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1963 | 277,400 | 47,028 | 169,994 | 30,494 | 150,932 |  |  |
| 1964 | 271,285 | 46,535 | 179,585 | 31,815 | 136,415 |  |  |
| 1965 | 225,735 | 46,450 | 138,379 | 26,080 | 123,640 |  |  |
| 1966 | 240,400 | 63,785 | 154,647 | 20,355 | 130,500 |  |  |
| 1967 | 244,300 | 62,485 | 150,050 | 20,615 | 138,520 |  |  |
| 1968 | 247,100 | 70,367 | 147,380 | 20,131 | 120,790 |  |  |
| 1969 | 259,100 | 81,100 | 159,000 | 24,810 | 133,600 |  |  |
| 1970 | 283,400 | 87,665 | 167,190 | 26,460 | 136,150 |  |  |
| 1971 | 301,150 | 80,250 | 134,470 | 16,326 | 118,059 |  |  |
| 1972 | 230,000 | 63,900 | 137,000 | 12,800 | 105,000 | 6,400 |  |
| 1973 | 307,974 | 106,150 | 201,560 | 23,209 | 159,473 | 22,374 |  |
| 1974 | 307,200 | 101,101 | 192,100 |  | 159,000 |  |  |
| 1975 | 280,019 | 102,668 | 175,850 |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 289,592 | 125,575 | 173,125 | 11,600 | 143,474 | 22,054 |  |
| 1977 | 279,689 | 103,776 | 170,074 | 11,302 | 141,596 | 17,691 |  |
| 1978 | 270,413 | 101,916 | 142,809 | 14,268 | 120,503 | 34,329 |  |
| 1979 | 241,972 | 73,461 | 114,642 | 10,029 | 111,434 | 23,465 |  |
| 1980 | 252,440 | 86,816 | 119,901 | 8,526 | 111,425 | 27,554 |  |
| 1981 | 254,803 | 97,430 | 150,881 | 11,106 | 117,942 | 28,731 |  |
| 1982 | 214,263 | 68,479 | 118,994 | 4,862 | 105,262 | 21,532 |  |
| 1983 | 203,014 | 63,060 | 118,535 | 7,331 | 98,553 | 25,366 |  |
| 1984 | 176,312 | 58,630 | 102,993 | 5,543 | 86,380 | 21,179 |  |
| 1985 | 175,225 | 54,427 | 107,500 | 6,568 | 88,849 | 25,956 |  |
| 1986 | 184,759 | 63,985 | 92,727 | 5,193 | 84,082 | 30,822 |  |
| 1987 | 212,118 | 83,754 | 103,199 | 7,298 | 77,819 | 40,878 |  |
| 1988 | 204,659 | 74,584 | 84,529 | 4,376 | 74,783 | 44,154 |  |
| 1989 | 211,586 | 79,971 | 89,054 | 5,634 | 80,937 | 48,785 |  |
| 1990 | 210,845 | 72,886 | 87,437 | 4,679 | 70,539 | 49,220 |  |
| 1991 | 202,319 | 62,684 | 83,200 | 4,001 | 63,601 | 25,165 |  |
| 1992 | 176,430 | 56,287 | 66,967 | 5,802 | 60,443 | 22,949 |  |
| 1993 | 166,260 | 49,345 | 65,704 | 1,547 | 62,175 | 14,920 |  |
| 1994 | 189,664 | 50,258 | 68,840 | 1,239 | 57,381 | 18,294 |  |
| 1995 | 200,302 | 50,839 | 68,499 | 4,361 | 57,495 | 15,954 |  |
| 1996 | 205,592 | 44,974 | 75,870 | 2,623 | 56,382 | 21,914 |  |
| 1997 | 205,203 | 35,473 | 51,785 | 2,872 | 43,632 | 12,330 |  |
| 1998 | 184,585 | 32,378 | 54,588 | 1,604 | 53,859 | 13,502 |  |
| 1999 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 181,673 | 41,117 | 50,254 | 2,456 | 46,994 | 11,390 |  |
| $2000^{\text {b }}$ | 167,521 | 39,957 | 46,311 | 1,572 | 35,395 | 6,043 |  |
| 2001 | 122,906 | 24,591 | 36,125 | 2,933 | 36,760 | 5,757 |  |
| 2002 | 127,599 | 20,887 | 27,945 | 1,692 | 25,482 | 4,417 |  |
| 151 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Year | Pheasant | Quail | Cottontail | Jackrabbit | Squirrel | Huns | Mourning Dove |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | 142,233 | 24,895 | 31,600 | 326 | 27,863 | 4,054 |  |
| 2004 | 130,583 | 22,336 | 32,195 | 600 | 29,302 | 4,537 |  |
| 2005 | 136,192 | 18,578 | 40,225 | 1,870 | 25,943 | 7,147 |  |
| 2006 | 118,680 | 22,556 | 34,292 | 1,989 | 27,746 | 5,553 |  |
| 2007 | 109,229 | 18,234 | 31,106 | 1,502 | 23,160 | 3,819 |  |
| 2008 | 85,871 | 13,095 | 27,191 | 1,405 | 22,857 | 2,996 |  |
| 2009 | 74,017 | 10,179 | 25,840 | 1,894 | 24,586 | 3,705 |  |
| 2010 | 60,058 | 10,604 | 22,005 | 541 | 23,440 | 1,229 |  |
| 2011 | 45,975 | 9,436 | 17,197 | Closed | 20,420 | 1,782 | 8,780 |
| 2012 | 47,180 | 8,769 | 18,247 |  | 21,698 | 1,481 | 9,328 |
| 2013 | 40,981 | 6,485 | 18,903 |  | 20,203 | 1,651 | 8,208 |
| 2014 | 49,925 | 6,546 | 20,904 |  | 19,704 | 1,631 | 11,396 |
| 2015 | 56,159 | 9,762 | 24,838 |  | 25,081 | 1,994 | 11,353 |
| 2016 | 57,218 | 10,005 | 23,475 |  | 21,874 | 2,686 | 13,409 |
| 2017 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 54,915 | 8,568 | 23,814 |  | 18,428 | 2,847 | 9,982 |
| 2018 | 53,266 | 9,882 | 20,523 |  | 16,749 | 2,379 | 9,767 |
| 2019 | 51,891 | 8,131 | 15,173 |  | 16,018 | 1,561 | 9,000 |
| Statistics: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Yr Avg. | 51,757 | 8714 | 20,456 | 541 | 20,349 | 1,841 | 10,090 |
| LT Avg. | 183,179 | 50,773 | 87,837 | 9,005 | 73,396 | 15,507 | 10,090 |
| Percent Change from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 | -2.6 | -17.7 | -26.1 |  | -4.4 | -34.4 | -7.9 |
| 10 Yr Avg. | 0.3 | -6.7 | -25.8 |  | -21.3 | -15.2 | -10.8 |
| LT Avg. | -71.7 | -84.0 | -82.7 |  | -78.2 | -89.9 | -10.8 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Small Game Harvest Survey changed from a single to a double mailing. Hunter estimates from 1999Present are more conservative than pre-1999 estimates.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Survey methodology changed account for unrealistic harvest (e.g. report of 1 bird harvested for 60 days effort).
'Survey methodology changed for unrealistic harvest/days for quail, huns, rabbits, squirrel, and doves
*Nomsen RC. 1961. Results of the 1958 and 1959 Pheasant Hunter Survey. la Acad. Sci. 68:281-283.

Table 5.10 lowa's ring-necked pheasant hunting seasons

| Year | Dates Regular/Youth | Season Length (days) | Shooting Hours | Limit Bag/Poss. |  | \# Counties Open |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Regular | Youth |  |
| 1925 | 22-24 Oct | 3 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ before sunrise- $1200$ | 3/? |  | 13 |
| 1926 | 14-16 Oct | 3 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ before sunrise$1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ after sunset | 3/9 |  | 18 |
| 1927 | 14, 15, 21, 22, 29 Oct | 5 in 3 counties |  | 3/? |  | 17 |
|  |  | 3 in 14 counties | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ sunrise-sunset | 3/? |  | 17 |
| 1928 | No Season |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1929 | 30 Oct-2 Nov | 3 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ sunrise-sunset | 3 any sex/? |  | 24 |
| 1930 | 1, 5, 6, 14, 15 Nov | 5 | $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ sunrise-sunset | 3 any sex/? |  | 31 |
| 1931 | 6-7 Nov | 2 | $1 / 2$ hr sunrise-sunset | 3 any sex/? |  | 23 |
| 1932 | 16, 18, 19 | 3 | 1200-sunset | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { (1 hen)/6 (2 } \\ \text { hens) } \end{gathered}$ |  | 21 |


| Year | Dates Regular/Youth | Season Length (days) | Shooting Hours | Limit Bag/Poss. |  | \# Counties Open |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Regular | Youth |  |
| 1933 | 10, 11, 17, 18, 25, 28 Nov | 6 days in all/ parts of 11 counties | 1200-1700 | 3/6 |  |  |
|  |  | 4 days in all/ part of 25 counties ( 6 counties were in both zones) | 1200-1700 | 3/6 |  | 30 |
| 1934 | 24, 27, 28 Nov | 3 | 1200-1700 | 3/6 |  | 27 |
| 1935 | 20-26 Nov | 7 | 1200-1700 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { (1 hen)/6 (2 } \\ & \text { hens) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 38 |
| 1936 | No Season |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1937 | No Season |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1938 | 12-14 Nov | 3 | 1200-1700 | 3/6 |  | 42 |
| 1939 | 12-14 Nov | 3 | 1200-1700 | 3/6 |  | 42 |
| 1940 | 12-18 Nov | 7 | 1200-1700 | 3/6 |  | 46 |
| 1941 | 12-18 Nov | 7 | 1200-1700 | 3/6 |  | 53 |
| 1942 | 12 Nov-2 Dec | 21 in 39 counties | 1200-1700 | 3/6 |  |  |
|  | 12-18 Nov | 7 in 20 counties | 1200-1700 | 3/6 |  |  |
| 1943 | 15-22 Mar | 8 | 0900-1700 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { (2 hens)/10 } \\ & \text { (4 hens) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 11 (Spring) |
|  | 28 Oct-3 Dec | 37 in 38 counties | 0900-1700 | $\begin{gathered} 6(1 \text { hen)/12 (2 } \\ \text { hens) } \end{gathered}$ |  | (Fall) |
|  | 28 Oct-7 Nov | 11 in 27 counties | 0900-1700 | 3/12 |  |  |
| 1944 | 28 Oct-8 Dec | 42 in 37 counties | 0900-1700 | 6/18 |  | 64 |
|  | 28 Oct-6 Nov | 10 in 27 counties | 0900-1700 | 3/18 |  |  |
| 1945 | 28 Oct-30 Nov | 34 in 36 counties | 0900-1700 | 4/8 |  | 66 |
|  | 28 Oct-6 Nov | 10 in 30 counties | 0900-1700 | 4/8 |  | 66 |
| 1946 | 28 Oct-17 Nov | 21 | 1000-1600 | 3/6 |  | 59 |
| 1947 | 11 Nov-20 Nov | 10 | 1200-1600 | 2/2 |  | 64 |
| 1948 | 11 Nov-30 Nov | 20 | 1200-1600 | 2/4 |  | 68 |
|  | 11 Nov-5 Dec | 25 | 1200-1630 | 2/4 |  | 68 |
| 1949 | 11 Nov-17 Nov | 7 | 1200-1630 | 2/4 |  | 11 |
| 1950 | 11 Nov-5 Dec | 25 | 1200-1630 | 3/3 |  | 70 |
|  | 11 Nov-20 Nov | 10 | 1200-1630 | 3/3 |  | 13 |
| 1951 | 11 Nov-5 Dec | 25 | 1200-1630 | 3/3 |  | 65 |
|  | 11 Nov-22 Nov | 12 | 1200-1630 | 3/3 |  | 27 |
| 1952 | 18 Nov-12 Dec | 25 | 1200-1630 | 3/3 |  | 65 |
|  | 18 Nov-29 Nov | 12 | 1200-1630 | 3/3 |  | 27 |
| 1953 | 11 Nov-5 Dec | 25 | 1200-1630 | 3/3 |  | 69 |
|  | 11 Nov-22 Nov | 12 | 1200-1630 | 3/3 |  | 23 |
| 1954 | 11 Nov-5 Dec | 25 | 1200-1630 | 3/3 |  | 70 |
|  | 11 Nov-22 Nov | 12 | 1200-1630 | 3/3 |  | 22 |
| 1955 | 12 Nov-5 Dec | 24 | 1200-1630 | 3/3 |  | 70 |
|  | 12 Nov-24 Nov | 13 | 1200-1630 | 3/3 |  | 22 |
| 1956 | 10 Nov-3 Dec | 24 | 1200-1630 | 3/3 |  | 70 |
|  | 10 Nov-22 Nov | 13 | 1200-1630 | 3/3 |  | 22 |
| 1957 | 9 Nov-2 Dec | 24 | 1200-1630 | 3/3 |  | 70 |
|  | 9 Nov-21 Nov | 13 | 1200-1630 | 3/3 |  | 22 |
| 1958 | 8 Nov-1 Dec | 24 | 1000-1630 | 3/6 |  | 70 |


| Year | Dates Regular/Youth | Season Length (days) | Shooting Hours | Limit Bag/Poss. |  | \# Counties Open |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Regular | Youth |  |
| 1959 | 8 Nov-23 Nov | 16 | 1000-1630 | 3/6 |  | 22 |
|  | 14 Nov-7 Dec | 24 | 0900-1630 | 3/6 |  | 70 |
|  | 14 Nov-29 Nov | 16 | 0900-1630 | 3/6 |  | 22 |
| 1960 | 5 Nov-28Nov | 24 | 0900-1630 | 3/6 |  | 92 |
| 1961 | 11 Nov-15 Dec | 35 | 0900-1630 | 3/6 |  | 92 |
| 1962 | 10 Nov-14 Dec | 35 | 0900-1630 | 3/6 |  | 92 |
| 1963-64 | 9 Nov-1 Jan | 54 | 0830-1700 | 3/9 |  | 92 |
| 1964-65 | 7 Nov-3 Jan | 58 | 0830-1700 | 3/9 |  | 92 |
| 1965-66 | 13 Nov-2 Jan | 51 | 0830-1600 | 2/6 |  | 92 |
| 1966-67 | 12 Nov-2 Jan | 52 | 0800-1630 | 3/6 |  | 92 |
| 1967-68 | 11 Nov-1 Jan | 52 | 0800-1630 | 3/6 |  | 94 |
| 1968-69 | 9 Nov-31 Dec | 53 | 0800-1630 | 3/6 |  | 94 |
| 1969-70 | 8 Nov-31 Dec | 54 | 0800-1630 | 3/6 |  | 94 |
| 1970-71 | 14 Nov-3 Jan | 51 | 0800-1630 | 3/6 |  | 94 |
| 1971-72 | 13 Nov-2 Jan | 51 | 0800-1630 | 3/6 |  | 96 |
| 1972-73 | 11 Nov-1 Jan | 52 | 0800-1630 | 3/12 |  | 96 |
| 1973-74 | 10 Nov-6 Jan | 58 | 0800-1630 | 3/12 |  | 96 |
| 1974-75 | 9 Nov-5 Jan | 58 | Sunrise-Sunset | 3/12 |  | 97 |
| 1975-76 | 8 Nov-4 Jan | 58 | 0800-1630 | 3/6 |  | 97 |
| 1976-77 | 6 Nov-2 Jan | 58 | 0800-1630 | 3/6 |  | Statewide |
| 1977-78 | 5 Nov-1 Jan | 58 | 0800-1630 | 3/6 |  | Statewide |
| 1978-79 | 4 Nov-1 Jan | 60 | 0800-1630 | 3/6 |  | Statewide |
| 1979-80 | 3 Nov-6 Jan | 65 | 0800-1630 | 3/6 |  | Statewide |
| 1980-81 | 1 Nov-4 Jan | 65 | 0800-1630 | 3/6 |  | Statewide |
| 1981-82 | 7 Nov-3 Jan | 58 | 0800-1630 | 3/6 |  | Statewide |
| 1982-83 | 6 Nov-2 Jan | 58 | 0800-1630 | 3/6 |  | Statewide |
| 1983-84 | 5 Nov-1 Jan | 58 | 0800-1630 | 3/6 |  | Statewide |
| 1984-85 | 3 Nov-1 Jan | 60 | $\downarrow$ | 3/6 |  | $\downarrow$ |
| 1985-86 | 2 Nov-5 Jan | 65 |  | 3/9 |  |  |
| 1986-87 | 1 Nov-4 Jan | 65 |  | 3/9 |  |  |
| 1987-88 | 31 Oct-3 Jan | 65 |  | 3/12 |  |  |
| 1988-89 | 29 Oct-8 Jan | 72 |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| 1989-90 | 28 Oct-10 Jan | 75 |  |  |  |  |
| 1990-91 | 27 Oct-10 Jan | 76 |  |  |  |  |
| 1991-92 | 26 Oct-10 Jan | 77 |  |  |  |  |
| 1992-93 | 31 Oct-10 Jan | 72 |  |  |  |  |
| 1993-94 | 30 Oct-10 Jan | 72 |  |  |  |  |
| 1994-95 | 29 Oct-10 Jan | 74 |  |  |  |  |
| 1995-96 | 28 Oct-10 Jan | 75 |  |  |  |  |
| 1996-97 | 26 Oct-10 Jan | 77 |  |  |  |  |
| 1997-98 ${ }^{1}$ | 26 Oct-10 Jan/18-19 Oct | 77/2 |  |  | 1/2 |  |
| 1998-99 | 31 Oct-10 Jan/23-24 Oct | 72/2 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| 1999-00 | 30 Oct-10 Jan/22-23 Oct | 73/2 |  |  |  |  |


| Year | Dates Regular/Youth | Season Length (days) | Shooting Hours | Limit Bag/Poss. |  | \# Counties Open |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Regular | Youth |  |
| 2000-01 | 28 Oct-10 Jan/21-22 Oct | 75/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2001-02 | 27 Oct-10 Jan/20-21 Oct | 76/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2002-03 | 26 Oct-10 Jan/19-20 Oct | 77/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2003-04 | 25 Oct-10 Jan/18-19 Oct | 78/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2004-05 | 30 Oct-10 Jan/23-24 Oct | 73/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 29 Oct-10 Jan/22-23 Oct | 74/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2006-07 | 28 Oct-10 Jan/21-22 Oct | 75/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007-08 | 27 Oct-10 Jan/21-22 Oct | 76/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2008-09 | 25 Oct-10 Jan/18-19 Oct | 78/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2009-10 | 31 Oct-10 Jan/24-25 Oct | 72/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 30 Oct-10 Jan/23-24 Oct | 73/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011-12 | 29 Oct-10 Jan/22-23 Oct | 74/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2012-13 | 27 Oct-10 Jan/20-21 Oct | 76/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2013-14 | 26 Oct-10 Jan/19-20 Oct | 77/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014-15 | 25 Oct-10 Jan/18-19 Oct | 78/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2015-16 | 31 Oct-10 Jan/24-25 Oct | 72/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 29 Oct-10 Jan/22-23 Oct | 74/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 28 Oct-10 Jan/21-22 Oct | 75/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2018-19 | 27 Oct-10 Jan/20-21 Oct | 76/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2019-20 | 26 Oct-10 Jan/19-20 Oct | 77/2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2020-21 | 31 Oct-10 Jan/24-25 Oct | 77/2 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Iowa's first youth pheasant season, open to resident hunters 15 years or younger.

Table 5.11 lowa's Bobwhite quail hunting seasons

| Year | Dates | Season <br> Length | Shooting Hours | Limit <br> Bag/Poss | Area Open |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1963-64$ | 2 Nov-1 Jan | 61 | $0830-1700$ | $6 / 12$ | Statewide |
| $1964-65$ | 31 Oct-3 Jan | 65 | $0830-1700$ | $8 / 16$ | $\downarrow$ |
| $1965-66$ | 6 Nov-31 Jan | 86 | $0830-1600$ | $8 / 16$ |  |
| $1966-67$ | 22 Oct-31 Jan | 102 | $0800-1630$ | $8 / 16$ |  |
| $1967-68$ | 21 Oct-28 Jan | 103 | $0800-1630$ | $8 / 16$ |  |
| $1968-69$ | 26 Oct-31 Jan | 98 | $0800-1630$ | $8 / 16$ |  |
| $1969-70$ | 25 Oct-31 Jan | 99 | $0800-1630$ | $8 / 16$ |  |
| $1970-71$ | 24 Oct-31 Jan | 100 | $0800-1630$ | $8 / 16$ |  |
| $1971-72$ | 23 Oct-31 Jan | 101 | $0800-1630$ | $8 / 16$ |  |
| $1972-73$ | 28 Oct-31 Jan | 96 | $0800-1630$ | $8 / 16$ |  |
| $1973-74$ | 27 Oct-31 Jan | 97 | $0800-1630$ | $8 / 16$ |  |
| $1974-75$ | 26 Oct-31 Jan | 98 | Sunrise-Sunset | $8 / 16$ |  |
| $1975-76$ | 25 Oct-31 Jan | 99 | $0800-1630$ | $8 / 16$ |  |
| $1976-77$ | 6 Nov-31 Jan | 86 | $\downarrow$ | $8 / 16$ |  |
| $1977-78$ | 5 Nov-31 Jan | 87 |  | $8 / 16$ |  |
| $1978-79$ | 4 Nov-31 Jan | 88 |  | $8 / 16$ |  |
| $1979-80$ | 3 Nov-6 Jan | 64 |  |  |  |


| Year | Dates | Season <br> Length | Shooting Hours | Limit <br> Bag/Poss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Area Open

Table 5.12 lowa's Hungarian partridge hunting seasons

| Year | Dates | Season Length | Shooting Hours | Limit Bag/Poss | Area Open |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963-64 | 9 Nov-1 Jan | 54 | 0830-1700 | 2/4 | 16 NW Counties |
| 1964-65 | 7 Nov-3 Jan | 58 | 0830-1700 | 2/4 | W US 65, N US 20 |
| 1965-66 | 13 Nov-2 Jan | 51 | 0830-1600 | 2/4 | W US 65, N US 20 |
| 1966-67 | 12 Nov-2 Jan | 52 | 0800-1630 | 2/4 | W US 65, N US 20 |
| 1967-68 | 11 Nov-1 Jan | 52 | 0800-1630 | 2/4 | W US 65, N US 20 |
| 1968-69 | 9 Nov-31 Dec | 53 | 0800-1630 | 4-Feb | ? |
| 1969-70 | 8 Nov-31 Dec | 54 | 0800-1630 | 2/4 | ? |
| 1970-71 | 14 Nov-3 Jan | 51 | 0800-1630 | 2/4 | W. US 65; N. US 30, I29, STATE 141 |
| 1971-72 | 13 Nov-2 Jan | 51 | 0800-1630 | 2/4 | W. US 65; N. US 30, I29, STATE 141 |
| 1972-73 | 11 Nov-1 Jan | 52 | 0800-1630 | 4/8 | W. US 65; N. US 30, I29, STATE 141 |
| 1973-74 | 10 Nov-6 Jan | 58 | 0800-1630 | 4/8 | N. US 30 |
| 1974-75 | 9 Nov-5 Jan | 58 | Sunrise-Sunset | 4/8 | N. US 30 |
| 1975-76 | 8 Nov-4 Jan | 58 | 0800-1630 | 4/8 | N. US 30 |
| 1976-77 | 6 Nov-2 Jan | 58 | $\downarrow$ | 4/8 | N. US 30 |
| 1977-78 | 5 Nov-1 Jan | 58 |  | 6/12 | N. US 30 |
| 1978-79 | 4 Nov-1 Jan | 60 |  | 6/12 | N. US 30 |
| 1979-80 | 3 Nov-6 Jan | 65 |  | 6/12 | N. US 30 |
| 1980-81 | 1 Nov-31 Jan | 92 |  | 6/12 | N. I-80 |
| 1981-82 | 7 Nov-31 Jan | 86 |  | 6/12 | N. I-80 |
| 1982-83 | 6 Nov-31 Jan | 87 |  | 6/12 | N. I-80 |
| 1983-84 | 5 Nov-31 Jan | 88 |  | 6/12 | N. I-80 |
| 1984-85 | 3 Nov-31 Jan | 90 |  | 6/12 | N. I-80 |
| 1985-86 | 2 Nov-31 Jan | 91 |  | 6/12 | N. I-80 |
| 1986-87 | 1 Nov-31 Jan | 92 |  | 6/12 | STATEWIDE |
| 1987-88 | 31 Oct-31 Jan | 93 |  | 8/16 | $\downarrow$ |
| 1988-89 | 29 Oct-31 Jan | 94 |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| 1989-90 | 7 Oct-31 Jan | 117 |  |  |  |
| 1990-91 | 6 Oct-31 Jan | 118 |  |  |  |
| 1991-92 | 5 Oct-31 Jan | 119 |  |  |  |
| 1992-93 | 10 Oct-31 Jan | 114 |  |  |  |
| 1993-94 | 9 Oct-31 Jan | 115 |  |  |  |
| 1994-95 | 8 Oct-31 Jan | 116 |  |  |  |
| 1995-96 | 14 Oct-31 Jan | 109 |  |  |  |
| 1996-97 | 12 Oct-31 Jan | 112 |  |  |  |
| 1997-98 | 11 Oct-31 Jan | 113 |  |  |  |
| 1998-99 | 10 Oct-31 Jan | 114 |  |  |  |
| 1999-00 | 9 Oct-31 Jan | 115 |  |  |  |
| 2000-01 | 14 Oct-31 Jan | 110 |  |  |  |
| 2001-02 | 13 Oct-31 Jan | 111 |  |  |  |
| 2002-03 | 12 Oct-31 Jan | 112 |  |  |  |


| Year | Dates | Season Length | Shooting Hours | Limit Bag/Poss | Area Open |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003-04 | 11 Oct-31 Jan | 113 |  |  |  |
| 2004-05 | 9 Oct-31 Jan | 115 |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 8 Oct-31 Jan | 116 |  |  |  |
| 2006-07 | 7 Oct-31 Jan | 117 |  |  |  |
| 2007-08 | 13 Oct-31 Jan | 111 |  |  |  |
| 2008-09 | 11 Oct-31 Jan | 113 |  |  |  |
| 2009-10 | 10 Oct-31 Jan | 114 |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 9 Oct-31 Jan | 115 |  |  |  |
| 2011-12 | 8 Oct-31 Jan | 116 |  |  |  |
| 2012-13 | 13 Oct-31 Jan | 111 |  |  |  |
| 2013-14 | 12 Oct-31 Jan | 112 |  |  |  |
| 2014-15 | 11 Oct-31 Jan | 113 |  |  |  |
| 2015-16 | 10 Oct-31 Jan | 114 |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 8 Oct-31 Jan | 116 |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 14 Oct-31 Jan | 110 |  |  |  |
| 2018-19 | 13 Oct-31 Jan | 111 |  |  |  |
| 2019-20 | 12 Oct-31 Jan | 112 |  |  |  |
| 2020-21 | 10 Oct-31 Jan | 114 |  |  |  |

Table 5.13 lowa's cottontail and jackrabbit seasons

| Year | Dates Cottontail/Jackrabbit | Season Length | Shooting Hours | Limit-Bag/Poss |  | Area Open |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Cottontail | Jackrabbit |  |
| 1963-64 | 14 Sep-23 Feb | 163 | 0600-1800 | Aggregate | 10/None | Statewide |
| 1964-65 | $12 \mathrm{Sep}-21 \mathrm{Feb}$ | 163 | 0600-1800 | Aggregate | 10/None | $\downarrow$ |
| 1965-66 | $12 \mathrm{Sep}-21 \mathrm{Feb}$ | 163 | 0600-1800 | Aggregate | 10/None |  |
| 1966-67 | 10 Sep-19 Feb | 163 | 0600-1800 | Aggregate | 10/None |  |
| 1967-68 | 15 Sep-17 Feb | 163 | 0600-1800 | Aggregate | 10/None |  |
| 1968-69 | 14 Sep-16 Feb | 163 | 0600-1800 | Aggregate | 10/None |  |
| 1969-70 | 13 Sep-15 Feb | 163 | 0600-1800 | Aggregate | 10/None |  |
| 1970-71 | 12 Sep-28 Feb | 170 | 0600-1800 | Aggregate | 10/None |  |
| 1971-72 | 11 Sep-29 Feb | 171 | 0600-1800 | Aggregate | 10/None |  |
| 1972-73 | 9 Sep-28 Feb | 173 | 0600-1800 | Aggregate | 10/None |  |
| 1973-74 | 8 Sep-28 Feb | 174 | 0600-1800 | Aggregate | 10/None |  |
| 1974-75 | 7 Sep-28 Feb | 175 | Sunrise-Sunset | Aggregate | 10/None |  |
| 1975-76 | 6 Sep-28 Feb | 176 | $\downarrow$ | Aggregate | 10/None |  |
| 1976-77 | 11 Sep-28 Feb | 171 |  | Aggregate | 10/None |  |
| 1977-78 | 3 Sep-28 Feb | 179 |  | Aggregate | 10/None |  |
| 1978-79 | $2 \mathrm{Sep}-28 \mathrm{Feb} / 4 \mathrm{Nov-7} \mathrm{Jan}$ | 180/65 |  | 10/None | 3/6 |  |
| 1979-80 | 1 Sep-29 Feb/3 Nov-6 Jan | 182/65 |  | 10/20 | 3/6 |  |
| 1980-81 | 6 Sep-28 Feb/1 Nov-4 Jan | 176/65 |  | $\downarrow$ | 3/6 |  |
| 1981-82 | $5 \mathrm{Sep}-28 \mathrm{Feb} / 7 \mathrm{Nov}-3 \mathrm{Jan}$ | 177/58 |  |  | 3/6 |  |
| 1982-83 | 4 Sep-28 Feb/6 Nov-2 Jan | 178/58 |  |  | 3/6 |  |
| 1983-84 | 3 Sep-29 Feb/5 Nov-18 Dec | 180/44 |  |  | 3/6 |  |


| Year | Dates Cottontail/Jackrabbit | Season Length | Shooting Hours | Limit-Bag/Poss |  | Area Open |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Cottontail | Jackrabbit |  |
| 1984-85 | 1 Sep-28 Feb/3 Nov-16 Dec | 181/44 |  |  | 3/6 |  |
| 1985-86 | 31 Aug-28 Feb/2 Nov-15 Dec | 182/44 |  |  | 3/6 |  |
| 1986-87 | 30 Aug-28 Feb/1 Nov-14 Dec | 183/44 |  |  | 3/6 |  |
| 1987-88 | 5 Sep-29 Feb/31 Oct-13 Dec | 178/44 |  |  | 3/6 |  |
| 1988-89 | 3 Sep-28 Feb/28 Oct-10 Dec | 179/44 |  |  | 3/6 |  |
| 1989-90 | 2 Sep-28 Feb/29 Oct-11 Dec | 180/44 |  |  | 3/6 |  |
| 1990-91 | 1 Sep-28 Feb/27 Oct-9 Dec | 181/44 |  |  | 3/6 |  |
| 1991-92 | 31 Aug-29 Feb/26 Oct-8 Dec | 183/44 |  |  | 3/6 |  |
| 1992-93 | $5 \mathrm{Sep}-28 \mathrm{Feb} / 31$ Oct-6 Dec | 177/37 |  |  | 3/6 |  |
| 1993-94 | $4 \mathrm{Sep}-28 \mathrm{Feb} / 30$ Oct-5 Dec | 176/37 |  |  | 2/4 |  |
| 1994-95 | 3 Sep-28 Feb/29 Oct-4 Dec | 177/37 |  |  | 2/4 |  |
| 1995-96 | $2 \mathrm{Sep}-28 \mathrm{Feb} / 28$ Oct-1 Dec | 178/35 |  |  | 2/4 |  |
| 1996-97 | 7 Sep-28 Feb/26 Oct-1 Dec | 174/37 |  |  | 2/4 |  |
| 1997-98 | $1 \mathrm{Sep}-28 \mathrm{Feb} / 25$ Oct-1 Dec | 181/38 |  |  | 2/4 |  |
| 1998-99 | 1 Sep-28 Feb/31 Oct-1 Dec | 181/32 |  |  | 2/4 |  |
| 1999-00 | $1 \mathrm{Sep}-28 \mathrm{Feb} / 30$ Oct-1 Dec | 181/33 |  |  | 2/4 |  |
| 2000-01 | 1 Sep-28 Feb/28 Oct-1 Dec | 181/35 |  |  | 2/4 |  |
| 2001-02 | 1 Sep-28 Feb/27 Oct-1 Dec | 181/36 |  |  | 2/4 |  |
| 2002-03 | 1 Sep-28 Feb/26 Oct-1 Dec | 181/37 |  |  | 2/4 |  |
| 2003-04 | $1 \mathrm{Sep}-28 \mathrm{Feb} / 25$ Oct-1 Dec | 181/38 |  |  | 2/4 |  |
| 2004-05 | 1 Sep-28 Feb/30 Oct-1 Dec | 181/33 |  |  | 2/4 |  |
| 2005-06 | 1 Sep-28 Feb/29 Oct-1 Dec | 181/34 |  |  | 2/4 |  |
| 2006-07 | 1 Sep-28 Feb/28 Oct-1 Dec | 181/35 |  |  | 1/2 |  |
| 2007-08 | 1 Sep-28 Feb/27 Oct-1 Dec ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 181/36 |  |  | 1/2 |  |
| 2008-09 | 30 Aug-28 Feb/25 Oct-1 Dec | 182/38 |  |  | 1/2 |  |
| 2009-10 | $5 \mathrm{Sep}-28 \mathrm{Feb} / 31 \mathrm{Oct}-1 \mathrm{Dec}$ | 177/32 |  |  | 1/2 |  |
| 2010-11 | 4 Sep-28 Feb/30 Oct-1 Dec | 178/33 |  |  | 1/2 |  |
| 2011-12 | 3 Sep-28 Feb/Closed | 179/Closed |  |  | Closed |  |
| 2012-13 | 1 Sep-28 Feb/Closed | 181/Closed |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| 2013-14 | 31 Aug-28 Feb/Closed | 182/Closed |  |  |  |  |
| 2014-15 | 30 Aug-28 Feb/Closed | 183/Closed |  |  |  |  |
| 2015-16 | 5 Sep-28 Feb/Closed | 177/Closed |  |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 3 Sep-28 Feb/Closed | 179/Closed |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 2 Sep-28 Feb/Closed | 189/Closed |  |  |  |  |
| 2018-19 | 1 Sep-28 Feb/Closed | 181/Closed |  |  |  |  |
| 2019-20 | 31 Aug-28 Feb/Closed | 182/Closed |  |  |  |  |
| 2020-21 | $5 \mathrm{Sep}-28 \mathrm{Feb} / \mathrm{Closed}$ | 177/Closed |  |  |  |  |

1963-1977 Seasons and limits are an aggregate of Cottontails and Jackrabbits.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cottontail opener changed from 1 Sep to Saturday before Labor Day.

Table 5.14 lowa's dove seasons ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Year | Dates | Season <br> Length | Shooting Hours | $\frac{\text { Limit }}{\text { Bag/Poss }}$ | Area Open |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2011-12$ | 1 Sep-9 Nov | 70 | $1 / 2$ hr before Sunrise-Sunset | $15 / 30$ | Statewide |
| $2012-13$ | 1 Sep-9 Nov | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| $2013-14$ | 1 Sep-9 Nov |  |  |  |  |
| $2014-15$ | 1 Sep-9 Nov |  |  |  |  |
| $2015-16$ | 1 Sep-9 Nov |  |  |  |  |
| $2016-17$ | 1 Sep-9 Nov |  |  |  |  |
| $2017-18$ | 1 Sep-29 Nov | 90 |  |  |  |
| $2018-19$ | 1 Sep-29 Nov | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |
| $2019-20$ | 1 Sep-29 Nov |  |  |  |  |
| $2020-21$ | 2 Sep-29 Nov |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Governor signed SF464 giving the DNR authority to establish the state's first mourning dove season in 2011.
Dove species in lowa include mourning, Eurasian collared, and white winged.

## WILDLIFE RESTORATION 2019-2020 ACTIVITIES



## Greater Prairie Chicken Restoration

## Historical Review

Greater prairie chickens (Tympanuchus cupido pinnatus) commonly nested throughout lowa from the time of European settlement in the mid-nineteenth century until about 1900. Numbers peaked about 1880 when most of lowa was a mosaic of small grain fields, hayfields, pasture, and native prairie, which provided ideal habitat conditions (Ehresman 1996). During the late nineteenth century, prairie chickens were the most abundant gamebird on lowa prairies. Hunting and trapping them for food and market were very important to settlers. Bags of 25 to 50 a day were common, and some hunters took up to 200 per day.

By 1878, lowa lawmakers were concerned that prairie chickens were being over- harvested. The lowa Legislature passed a law that year limiting the daily bag of prairie chickens to 25 birds per person. This is believed to be the first time that bag limits were used as a tool to regulate the harvest of game in the United States. Additional restrictions followed, and the last open season for prairie chickens in lowa was held in 1915 (Stempel and Rodgers 1960).

As agricultural land use intensified, populations of prairie chickens started to decline. By the 1930's, most prairie chickens found in the northwestern part of the state were migrant winter flocks. By the 1950's, the only known nesting prairie chickens were in Appanoose, Wayne, and Ringgold Counties in southern lowa. The last verified nesting prior to reintroduction attempts was in Appanoose County in 1952 (Stempel and Rodgers 1960).

## Restoration

## First Reintroduction

In the early 1980's, the lowa Conservation Commission, now the lowa Department of Natural Resources (DNR), attempted to restore prairie chickens to west central lowa. The DNR negotiated with the Kansas Fish and Game Commission (KFGC), now Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks (KDWP), to trade wild turkeys for 100 prairie chickens (Table 6.1). The release site was located in the Loess Hills east of Onawa, Monona County (Figure 6.1). This is an area of steep to moderately rolling bluffs and hills bordering the Missouri River valley. These hills have large expanses of grassland interspersed with brush and small crop fields.

Fifty-three prairie chickens were released in 1980. Results from the first release were generally poor. No spring leks were located in the 2 years following the release, and no reproduction was reported.

In 1982, KFGC personnel decided to attempt a different trapping approach, using rocket- nets to trap chickens on the lek sites. This resulted in 48 more chickens being transported to lowa for release at the same area in the Loess Hills. A greater effort to acclimatize the birds was made in the 1982 release. The birds were banded and put in a large holding pen with separate cells for each sex. They were kept in pens overnight for the males and a day longer for the females. It was hoped that males would be stimulated to remain near the release site by holding the females a day longer. Taped lek calls were also played through speakers located near the pen about 45 minutes prior to releasing males. This was an attempt to induce chickens to establish a lek in the area.

Two prairie chicken broods were reported near the release site in 1982, and up to six adults were observed near the Missouri River bottom the same year. Two leks consisting of only a few displaying males were located in 1983 and 1984. Most sightings were in the heavily agricultural Missouri River valley instead of the hills where they were released. Suitable grassland habitat was lacking in the valley. Only an occasional sighting has been reported in this region since 1984, leading to the conclusion that this reintroduction effort failed (Ron Munkel, DNR, pers. comm.).

## Second Reintroduction Attempt

1987-1989 Stockings: In 1987, the DNR made a second restoration attempt at Ringgold Wildlife Area located two miles north of the Missouri border in Ringgold County (Figure 6.1). Wildlife personnel considered this region to be the best potential prairie chicken habitat in lowa. In addition, the immediate vicinity was one of the last strongholds of prairie chickens in southern lowa and northern Missouri (Christisen 1985, Stempel and Rodgers 1960). The surrounding portions of Ringgold County and adjacent Harrison County, Missouri, are cattle country, with $60 \%$ or more of the land in permanent grass.

Donald Christisen (1985) concluded that the demise of prairie chickens in this area was due to heavy utilization of grasslands by livestock, resulting in poor quality habitat. Recent years had brought some positive changes in the grasslands of the area including the restoration of around 200 ha of prairie on the Ringgold Wildlife Area.

Birds were again obtained from Kansas through a three-way trade in which DNR supplied wild turkeys to the Michigan Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) while a MDNR crew trapped prairie chickens in Kansas for translocation to lowa. Prairie chickens were captured in the spring with funnel traps set on booming grounds in the Flint Hills region of Kansas. Every few days the captured birds were transported to lowa and released the next morning utilizing a soft release box and artificial lek technique, which had been successfully used in Kansas to reintroduce sharptail grouse (Rodgers 1987). A total of 254 prairie chickens were translocated to the Ringgold Wildlife Area from Kansas during 1987, 1988, and 1989 (Table 6.1).

By the spring of 1988, leks had been established at the release site and a site 15 km south in Missouri. The Missouri site was on the Dunn Ranch, a cattle ranch operated by Forrest and Maury Meadows of Bethany, Missouri. The ranch included about 500 ha of well- managed native prairie pasture in addition to several hundred hectares of cool season pasture. This ranch contained a major lek before the disappearance of prairie chickens in the 1960's. The lek established in 1988 was on the same site as the historic lek, and the birds using it were verified as lowa release birds by the bands on their legs (Maury Meadows, pers. comm.).

During 1990 and 1991 reproductive conditions for gallinaceous birds were poor in this area; however, brood sightings were made each year. By 1991, prairie chickens appeared to be firmly established on Dunn Ranch, but only one lek of six males could be located in lowa that year. The success of the reintroduction of prairie chickens to the Dunn Ranch was the bright spot of the project thus far. It was evident that reintroductions in this region could succeed.

1992-94 Stockings: An agreement with KDWP once again allowed DNR crews to trap and translocate 100 prairie chickens a year. Instead of releasing all of the birds at one site, it was decided to release significant numbers on large grassland tracts in the region, while releasing a smaller number at the original Ringgold Wildlife Area. Birds were translocated to two new sites in 1992, Mount Ayr and Kellerton, respectively 28 and 24 km north of Ringgold (Figure 6.1). Sites continued to shift in subsequent years and the Orient site (Adair County) was added in 1993. All of the sites contained high quality grasslands and open landscapes. Predominant land use at all three sites was a mixture of pasture, hay, and CRP.

A total of 304 prairie chickens were released in this three-year period (Table 6.1).
Subsequent Stocking: No additional stockings were anticipated following releases in 1994. However, in 2001, South Dakota Game Fish and Parks (SDGFP) employees incidentally trapped three prairie chickens and offered them to DNR. One male and two female chickens were released at the Kellerton lek in April 2001. This additional release results in a total of 561 prairie chickens translocated to lowa since 1987.

Missouri Reintroduction: The Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC) were also reintroducing prairie chickens in north central Missouri from 1993 to 2000. Approximately 100 birds were released each year through 1997 and again in 2000. They have released birds at eight sites located 60 to 100 km southeast of the Ringgold Wildlife Area and 10 to 40 km south of the lowa border (Larry Mechlin, MDC, pers. comm.). Some of these birds were spotted in lowa over the years.

## Continued Restoration

Current Restoration Attempts: In 2012 the lowa DNR assembled an lowa Management Plan for Greater Prairie Chickens. The plan includes a relatively detailed analysis of habitat in Ringgold County, lowa and recommendations for managing that habitat for prairie chickens. A portion of the plan also proposes a translocation effort to bolster the diminishing population of birds.

In the short-term, the plan suggested trapping and releasing roughly 350 birds between 2012 and 2015. This goal was accomplished with 328 birds, half male and half female, trapped and released over this four-year period. The trapped birds were split in 2013-2015 with roughly $60 \%$ of the birds being released near Kellerton, IA and $40 \%$ released at Dunn Ranch (TNC) in Harrison County, MO. The birds were documented moving between Dunn Ranch and Kellerton using transmitters.

In 2016 and 2017, the Missouri Department of Conservation extended the trapping and translocation project, successfully releasing 195 more birds from Nebraska across the two years. The ratio of released birds was reversed with $40 \%$ of the birds ( $50 / 50$ male/female split) in IA at Kellerton WA and $60 \%$ in MO at Dunn Ranch. This cooperative work between lowa DNR, Missouri Department of Conservation and The Nature Conservancy all takes place in the roughly 140,000-acre Grand River Grasslands focal area that straddles the state line.

A complete list of the numbers of birds and where they were released can be found in Table 6.1.

## Booming Ground Survey

## Methods

Attempts have been made each spring by DNR personnel and volunteers to locate leks and count booming males. Counts of known leks are made on sunny mornings with winds $<10 \mathrm{mph}$ throughout the last part of March and through the month of April. In the past, lek sites were glassed or flushed to determine the number of booming males and new leks were located by driving gravel roads and stopping periodically to listen for booming. A more formalized survey was started in 2009, using a prairie chicken habitat suitability model to establish 10 Survey Areas across 8 southern lowa counties. The area surveyed has been adjusted a few times to accommodate staff time and reasonable effort and as of 2020 covers all or parts of 4 counties (not including two counties in Missouri) and 35 survey sites. All 35 sites were surveyed at least twice and up to three times between March $20^{\text {th }}$ and late April (Figure 6.2). Also, since 2016, a blitztype survey has been performed which involves multiple staff going out on a designated single morning and spending 30 minutes at each of 13 sites. These sites were chosen based on a recent (last 15 years) and consistent history of holding an active Lek or because they were the site of a prairie chicken sighting during the current spring. Similar counts were done on and around the Dunn Ranch in Missouri. It is possible that some booming grounds have not been located.

## Results (Current and Previous 10 Years)

2010: The 2010 lek survey recorded 3 established lek sites in Ringgold County. The well-established Kellerton lek had a high of only 8 males however a high of 7 was collected on another satellite lek site and an additional 4 were observed on the final lek site, north of Kellerton (Table 6.2). Outside of the lek survey an additional 6 females were observed on one of the satellite lek sites. The average number of males observed per lek was the highest it has been in the previous 10 years at 6.33 . While this number should be somewhat encouraging it really seems to reflect the concentration of birds on fewer lek sites.

No prairie chicken nests or broods were located in the Kellerton area during 2010. However, two broods were flushed in two different fields at TNC's Dunn Ranch in northern Missouri. Other sightings in the Kellerton area include 2 observations of a winter flock containing 24-25 individual birds.

2011: Prairie Chickens were detected at 6 locations representing 3 lek sites. One of these areas, in Adams County, was previously unreported but despite additional visits with more intensive searching it was never confirmed as a lek site. It is presented here with the caveat that it is unconfirmed. A maximum of 6 males were detected at the lek on the Kellerton Wildlife Area. A maximum of 7 males were detected on the lek on private land northwest of the Kellerton Wildlife Area. The data for the lek in Adams County listed only "more than 1" bird heard. No females were detected during the survey though up to 2 were seen at other times on the private lek.

Flushing brood surveys at the Kellerton Wildlife Area on August 1 turned up 5 adult prairie chickens, 3 of which were female, but no broods.

2012: The 2012 lek survey covered a 25 -mile radius around the two active lek/release sites and 47 sites were surveyed. All survey sites had been surveyed using the same methodology in 2011. Twenty-five sites were historically known lek sites and 22 were random survey points. Each site was visited around sunrise twice between April 1 and 25 . Prairie chickens were detected on 4 different sites all on or within 1.5 miles of a currently active lek. A count of 14 birds was recorded on April $2^{\text {nd }}$ before the translocation began and 17 birds were detected on April $18^{\text {th }}$ including one bird seen on one new site. A survey of one active lek from a blind on April $17^{\text {th }}$ counted 8 males and 2 females present with one of the birds wearing a leg band from the translocation.

Two broods have also been detected through opportunistic observations. One was located on the Kellerton Wildlife Area and one on private ground about 2 miles northwest of the Kellerton Lek. A total of 10 young were counted.

2013: The 2013 lek survey covered a 25 -mile radius around the two active lek/release sites and 47 sites were surveyed. All survey sites had been surveyed using the same methodology since 2009. Twenty-five sites were historically known lek sites and 22 were random survey points. Each site was visited around sunrise twice between April 1 and 25 . Prairie chickens were detected on 4 different sites all on or within 1.5 miles of a currently active lek. Post-release average counts of birds increased by an average of 1.23 birds from pre-release counts. The average maximum birds across the four active leks was seven. The maximum number of birds seen on one morning during the booming season was 24 birds. Outside of the formal lek survey (and normal booming season) prairie chicken booming was heard on a historic lek to the north of Kellerton on June 6.

Ten of the hens that were translocated in 2013 were fitted with satellite GPS transmitters. Only one of the hens remains under surveillance as of September 2013 and she was located in Southwest Union County, IA. Up to that time she had traveled over 1000 miles in large loops through Southern IA and Northern Missouri. Seven of the ten hens were confirmed mortalities with the other two having an unknown fate.

Two broods have been opportunistically observed on Kellerton WA: one with six young on June 26 and one with four young on August $9^{\text {th }}$. No broods were observed on a pilot roadside brood survey conducted in mid-July.

2014: In 2014, two additional lek survey routes were added in lowa based on the dispersal data from birds released in 2013. This expanded the area covered to include two additional counties and a total of 6 routes and 71 survey sites. Two routes were also surveyed across the border in Missouri. Each site was surveyed 6 times between March $21^{\text {st }}$ and May $8^{\text {th }}$. Prairie chickens were observed booming on two lek sites with a maximum of 21 birds counted in one survey.

Twelve of the translocated birds were fitted with GPS transmitters: 2 males and 10 females. As of August $26^{\text {th }}$, four out of the twelve birds are still being tracked ( 1 male and 3 hens) along with 1 hen from the 2013 release. Of the losses, seven are suspected mortalities and one slipped its transmitter. Two of the surviving hens successfully nested, one on the Kellerton Wildlife Area and the other at Pawnee Prairie in Missouri. The third is suspected to have nested on Dunn Ranch based on behavior but a nest was never located.

A formal roadside brood survey conducted in July did not pick up any prairie chicken broods however a number of broods were identified opportunistically throughout the nesting season. Brood sightings began being reported on the $17^{\text {th }}$ of June and by July $15^{\text {th }}$ there had been 13 confirmed sightings of chicken broods, some with collared hens and others not. These 13 sightings probably translate into an estimate of 11-13 separate broods, four in Missouri and 7-9 in
lowa. A total of 85 young were reported from these sightings, ranging from 3-13 with an average brood size of 7.27 .
2015: A total of 6 routes and 73 survey sites were surveyed in lowa along with two routes across the border in Missouri. Each site was surveyed 4 times between March 20th and April 20th. Prairie chickens were observed booming on four lek sites with a maximum of 46 males counted booming in one survey at the two main leks and a total of 2,2 and 5 birds reported at three new outlying lek sites.

Three of the translocated hens were fitted with GPS transmitters. As of August $21^{\text {st }}$, none of the three birds are still being tracked, 2 were mortalities and one was likely a malfunctioning transmitter. Two hens fitted with transmitters in 2014 were also still being followed up until July of 2015 . Both birds appeared to nest successfully, one on Kellerton WA and one on Pawnee Prairie in Missouri but it is unknown if they were able to care for their broods through fledging.

No formal roadside brood survey was conducted in 2015 and only one brood with one young was identified opportunistically in lowa on June $29^{\text {th }}$. There was at least one known nest on Kellerton WA located within 1 mile of the main lek. On the Missouri side of the Grand River Grasslands brood sightings were more abundant in the Dunn Ranch/Pawnee Prairie area.

2016: A total of 6 routes and 74 sites were surveyed in lowa along with two routes across the border in Missouri. Each site was surveyed 1-4 times between March 20th and April 20th. Prairie chickens were observed booming on six lek sites though only two had five or more displaying males counted. A maximum of 44 birds were counted at the two main leks and a maximum of $1,3,3$ and 4 birds reported at the outlying lek sites. Two of these outlying sites were newly detected this year.

The Blitz survey was performed on April 7, 2016 in lowa and 54 total birds were counted on 4 of the 22 sites (Figure 6.2). Missouri could not do their Blitz survey on the same day but completed it on April 12, 2016. They surveyed 24 sites and observed a total of 47 birds on 7 of those sites.

Thirty of this year's translocated birds were fitted with radio transmitters by MDC, all of which were released in Missouri. Six of these birds attempted nests including one on Kellerton WA. The nest on Kellerton was depredated before hatching. Only one of the six monitored nests hatched successfully with a brood of 10 chicks on Dunn Ranch in Missouri. Another brood of 5 young was opportunistically spotted on Pawnee Prairie in MO and a brood of 14 pigeonsized young was observed near the lek on Kellerton WA in early July. There have been a few other sightings in August of groups numbering 10-12 birds but it was difficult to say if they were young of the year.

The only other counts of birds available were informal reports of winter flocks. lowa had three areas where flocks were seen: around the private lek site just north of Kellerton, around the private lek site near Tingly and on the Kellerton WA. A maximum of 19 birds was counted in the flock near Tingly, 42 on Kellerton WA and 26 near the private lek north of Kellerton. Birds from these flocks, especially the latter two, likely interchange and the counts were not made on the same days so it is impossible to provide a total for the whole area but these numbers provide an idea of the minimum number of birds in the area. There were at least 42 birds, and likely more, wintering in Ringgold County, IA.

2017: A total of 6 routes and 74 sites were surveyed in lowa along with two routes across the border in Missouri. Each site was surveyed 1-4 times between March 20th and April $21^{\text {st }}$. Prairie chickens were observed booming on five lek sites though only three had five or more displaying males counted. A maximum of 36 birds were counted at the three main leks and a maximum of 1 bird reported at the other two outlying sites (Figure 6.2).

The Blitz survey was performed on April 6, 2017 in lowa and Missouri. A total of 39 birds were counted on 5 of the 17 sites in lowa (Figure 6.2). Missouri counted 64 birds on 9 sites out of a total of 30 sites surveyed.

There are no reports of nests or broods at this time.

The only other counts of birds available are informal reports of winter flocks. lowa had three areas where flocks were seen: north of Kellerton WA, around the private lek site near Tingly and on the Kellerton WA. A maximum of 10 birds
were reported in the flock near Tingly, 32 on Kellerton WA and 9 north of Kellerton. Between the three flocks, there were at least 52 birds, wintering in Ringgold County, IA.

2018: During late March and April, 35 sites were surveyed at least twice for active prairie-chicken lekking. The blitz survey was performed on 13 sites on April 5, 2018.

Between these two surveys, Prairie-chickens were detected lekking on seven sites in lowa though only two had five or more birds in attendance. The maximum number of birds counted in a single morning was 49 birds with the two main lek sites hosting 36 birds and 13 more birds counted on other lek sites. Missouri counted 33 birds on five lek sites during the blitz survey which was their maximum count on a single morning.

There are no reports of nests or broods at this time.
The only winter flock report was from a new location in SW Decatur County where a dozen birds were seen and 26 birds which were counted on Dunn Ranch in Missouri.

2019: Between March 20 and April $20^{\text {th }}$ several lek-based surveys were performed in lowa and Missouri. The blitz survey (all sites on IA and MO side surveyed on the same morning) was accomplished on April $5^{\text {th }}, 2019$.

Between these two surveys, Prairie-chickens were detected lekking on 3 sites in lowa and 5 sites in Missouri which was a decline in active sites since 2018. A maximum number of 31 birds were detected on lowa leks (Table 6.2 and Figure 6.2) and 23 on Missouri leks for a maximum total of 54 birds. This number represents the minimum number of birds known to exist in the Grand River Grassland greater landscape.

New this year, DNR staff tested survey protocols to count winter flocks of prairie chickens. Roads were driven after snow in a 6.4-kilometer radius around the Kellerton, Tingley, and Lamoni leks, and any Prairie-chicken flocks were mapped and counted (Svedarsky et.al, 2003). No birds were seen on the Lamoni survey, an average of 33.6 and maximum of 40 were counted in the Kellerton area and an average of 1.5 and maximum of 6 birds were seen on the Tingley (Figure 6.2). No decision has been made about whether to continue this survey in 2020.

2020: Repeated route lek surveys were performed on 35 sites between March 20 and April 20 and the blitz lek survey was conducted on April 6th, 2020.

Using the results of these two surveys a total of 4 active leks were detected in lowa, 3 in Ringgold County and 1 in Decatur. A maximum total of 28 birds were counted on these 4 leks across the duration of the survey month, and 25 birds were counted on the morning of the blitz. One notable result was that one fairly stable satellite lek moved into different section and was not located until the second survey was performed.

On the Missouri side of the Grand River Grasslands, a maximum total of 35 birds were counted on the morning of the blitz. The results suggest that there is a minimum of between 60 to 63 males in the Grand River Grasslands landscape which would translate to a total minimum population of approximately 120 birds if sex ratios are equal.

This total was a slight increase from 2019 overall after two years of a declining trend. It indicates that the population is able to maintain itself and even perhaps slightly rebound after two unfavorable years. With favorable conditions during the brood season in 2020 and the winter of 2020-2021, another increase would be predicted if this is the case.

## Discussion

Prairie chicken reintroduction efforts have resulted in a small population of prairie chickens in a concentrated area of southern lowa and northern Missouri. Despite translocation efforts between 2012-2017, the population fell sharply from a high of 103 mostly males counted in 2017 to 54 counted in 2019. 2020's total of 60 birds indicates a slight rebound that will hopefully continue in 2021.

One other noticeable trend in 2020, was that the total birds counted in lowa decreased while the birds in Missouri
increased. Whether this trend is a result of emigration/immigration or survival/reproduction is unknown.

Pasture and hay are still primary land uses in this region which benefits the chickens. The lowa Prairie Chicken Management Plan sets objectives for not only prairie chicken population numbers but also for enhancing this landscape to increase the amount of native grass and provide more habitat for chickens and other grassland dependent wildlife. The Iowa DNR and many outside partners (The Nature Conservancy, The Missouri Department of Conservation, and The Iowa Natural Heritage Foundation) are implementing many actions to make progress on those objectives.
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Figures


Figure 6.1 Location of release sites and total number of prairie chickens released in lowa (1980-2017).


Figure 6．2 Location of sites surveyed and sites where chickens were detected during the $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ Prairie－chicken lek surveys both routes based and blitz combined．

Tables

Table 6．1 Dates，numbers，and locations of greater prairie chicken releases in lowa，1980－2015． Gamma（ $\Gamma$ ）＝male，Epsilon（E）＝female．＊KFGC＝Kansas fish and Game Commission，KDWP＝Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks，SDGFP＝South Dakota Game Fish and Parks Department，DNR＝lowa Department of Natural Resources，NGP＝Nebraska

Game and Parks，MDC＝Missouri Department of Conservation．${ }^{1-5}$ Release sites indicated on county map（Figure 6．1）

| Release Date | No．Released | Source＊ | Release Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| February 1980 | 29「，24E | KFGC | Loess Hills Wildlife Area，Monona Co． 1 |
| April 1982 | 31「，18E | KFGC | Loess Hills Wildlife Area，Monona Co． |
| April 1987 | 20Г，9E | KFGC | Ringgold Wildlife Area，Ringgold Co． 2 |
| April 1988 | 48Г，75E | KFGC | Ringgold Wildlife Area，Ringgold Co． |
| April 1989 | 40Г，62E | KFGC | Ringgold Wildlife Area，Ringgold Co． |
| April 1992 | 185，21E | KDWP（DNR trapping） | Mount Ayr，Ringgold Co．，Price Twp．，Sec． 13.3 |
| April 1992 | 315，20E | KDWP（DNR trapping） | Kellerton，Ringgold Co．，Athens Twp．，Sec． 8.4 |
| April 1992 | 9「，9E | KDWP（DNR trapping） | Ringgold Wildlife Area，Ringgold Co．，Lotts Creek Twp．，Sec． 24.2 |
| April 1993 | 13Г，33E | KDWP（DNR trapping） | Kellerton，Ringgold Co．，Athens Twp．，Sec． 8.2 |
| April 1993 | 24「，24E | KDWP（DNR trapping） | Orient，Adair Co．，Lee Twp．，Sec． 36.5 |
| April 1994 | 10Г，17E | KDWP（DNR trapping） | Kellerton，Ringgold Co．，Athens Twp．，Sec． 8.4 |
| April 1994 | 315，34E | KDWP（DNR trapping） | Orient，Adair Co．，Lee Twp．，Sec． 36.5 |


| Release Date | No. Released | Source* | Release Location |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| April 2001 | $1 \Gamma, 2 \mathrm{E}$ | SDGFP | Kellerton, Ringgold Co., Athens Twp., Sec. 16.4 |
| April, 2012 | $12 \Gamma, 8 \mathrm{E}$ | NGP (DNR Trapping) | Kellerton, Ringgold Co., Athens Twp., Sec. 16.4 |
| April, 2012 | $10 \Gamma, 17 \mathrm{E}$ | NGP (DNR Trapping) | Kellerton, Ringgold Co., Athens TWP., Sec. 6 |
| April 2013 | $16 \Gamma, 10 \mathrm{E}$ | NGP (DNR Trapping) | Kellerton, Ringgold Co., Athens TWP., Sec. 164 |
| April 2013 | $5 \Gamma, 9 \mathrm{E}$ | NGP (DNR Trapping) | Kellerton, Ringgold Co., Athens TWP., Sec. 6 |
| April 2013 | $17 \Gamma, 16 \mathrm{E}$ | NGP (DNR Trapping) | Dunn Ranch, Harrison Co., Missouri |
| April 2014 | $26 \Gamma, 31 \mathrm{E}$ | NGP (DNR Trapping) | Kellerton, Ringgold Co., Athens TWP., Sec. 164 |
| April 2014 | $25 \Gamma, 20 \mathrm{E}$ | NGP (DNR Trapping) | Dunn Ranch, Harrison Co., Missouri |
| April 2014 | $6 \Gamma, 1 \mathrm{E}$ | NGP (DNR Trapping) | Kellerton, Ringgold Co., Athens TWP., Sec. 6 |
| April 2015 | $13 \Gamma, 25 \mathrm{E}$ | NGP (DNR Trapping) | Kellerton, Ringgold Co., Athens TWP., Sec. 164 |
| April 2015 | $13 \Gamma, 5 \mathrm{E}$ | NGP (DNR Trapping) | Kellerton, Ringgold Co., Athens TWP., Sec. 6 |
| April 2015 | $4 \Gamma$ | NGP (DNR Trapping) | Kellerton, Ringgold Co., Monroe TWP., Sec. 14 |
| April 2015 | $19 \Gamma, 20 E$ | NGP (DNR Trapping) | Dunn Ranch, Harrison Co., Missouri |
| April 2016 | $20 \Gamma, 20 E$ | NGP (MDC Trapping) | Kellerton, Ringgold Co., Athens TWP., Sec. 164 |
| April 2016 | $29 \Gamma, 30 E$ | NGP (MDC Trapping) | Dunn Ranch, Harrison Co., Missouri |
| April 2017 | $19 \Gamma, 17 E$ | NGP (MDC Trapping) | Kellerton, Ringgold Co., Athens TWP., Sec. 164 |
| April 2017 | $28 \Gamma, 33 E$ | NGP (MDC Trapping) | Dunn Ranch, Harrison Co., Missouri |

Table 6.2 Location and number of greater prairie chickens observed on active leks in lowa, 2010-2020

| County | Township | Legal Description |  |  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Twp. | Rge. | Sec. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adams | Douglas | 72N | 35W | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adams | Prescott | 72N | 33W | 4 |  | $2^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decatur | Grand River | 69N | 27W | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decatur | Grand River | 68N | 27W | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decatur | Garden Grove | 70N | 24W | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ringgold | Athens | 68N | 28W | 4 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ringgold | Athens | 68N | 28W | 16NE | 8 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 17 | 35 | 28 | 17 | 24 | 18 | 16 |
| Ringgold | Athens | 68N | 28W | 16SW |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ringgold | Athens | 68N | 28W | 8 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ringgold | Athens | 68N | 28W | 17 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ringgold | Athens | 68N | 28W | 20 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ringgold | Athens | 68N | 28W | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Ringgold | Athens | 68N | 28W | 6 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 11 | 16 | 11 | 12 | 8 |  |
| Ringgold | Athens | 68N | 28W | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ringgold | Rice | 68N | 30W | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Ringgold | Monroe | 69N | 28W | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ringgold | Monroe | 69N | 28W | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Ringgold | Monroe | 69N | 28W | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Ringgold | Monroe | 69N | 28W | 22 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ringgold | Tingley | 70N | 29W | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | $5^{\text {c }}$ | 3 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| Ringgold | Liberty | 69N | 29W | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Ringgold | Tingley | 70N | 29W | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wayne | Jackson | 68N | 21W | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Chickens ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | mean= |  | 31.73 | 19 | 13 | 17 | 24 | 22 | 55 | 55 | 36 | 49 | 31 | 28 |
| Total Active Sites |  | mean= |  | 4.182 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Total Chickens/Sites ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  | 6.33 | 6.50 | 4.25 | 6 | 7.3 | 11 | 9.2 | 7.2 | 7 | 10.3 | 7 |

[^4]
## Trumpeter Swans



## Trumpeter Swan Restoration

Prior to the settlement of lowa, trumpeter swans nested throughout the state. However, wetland drainage and unregulated harvest of trumpeters together caused their demise. Prior to restoration, the last pair of wild nesting trumpeter swans in lowa occurred in 1883 on the Twin Lakes Wildlife Area southwest of Belmond, lowa in Hancock County. Trumpeter swans were first given nationwide protection in 1918 when the United States, Canada, and Mexico signed the International Migratory Bird Treaty. A nationwide survey in the early 1930's indicated that only 69 trumpeters existed in the continental United States with all of those occurring in Red Rock Lakes National Wildlife Refuge in southwest Montana. The Red Rock Lakes became the nation's first National Wildlife Refuge because of the presence of these trumpeter swans.

## Trumpeter Swan Restoration Program

Beginning in 1993, the lowa Department of Natural Resources developed a plan to restore trumpeter swans to the state. The first objective of the plan was to restore a self-sustaining, migratory population of trumpeter swans to its former nesting range in lowa and the second objective was to "Trumpet the Cause for Wetlands".

After 115 years of absence, the first modern day hatch of three wild trumpeter swan cygnets occurred in 1998 in Dubuque County. In 2000, a second pair nested on a Winnebago County Conservation Board wetland (Russ Tract at Thorpe Park) 8 miles west of Forest City. Additional nest attempts and successful broods have continued to occur and increase throughout lowa since. The reintroduction efforts have taken time, but have been a wonderful success.

There have been over 368 swan releases conducted by DNR staff with the public and media in attendance. With each swan release involving the public, the many positive values of wetlands have been presented. The swans serve as wonderful ambassadors for conservation and have garnered lots of attention and interest from the public, media, and landowners. DNR staff have used these opportunities to educate the public on the value of healthy wetlands to support "charismatic mega-fauna" such as Trumpeter Swans.
Swans used for the restoration project in lowa have been obtained from 26 different states, including zoos, private propagators and other state swan restoration programs. A total of 132 sources and partnerships have been used to date. Once in lowa, flightless breeder pairs are established at appropriate sites, the young of which are removed and later released for free flight across the state. There are currently sixteen active partnership breeding pair sites in the state. Funding to help support the DNR with this restoration program has come from a wide variety of swan enthusiasts, conservation groups, and charities. Considerable soft match/in-kind contributions have been made and are conservatively estimated at over 1.75 million dollars. These funds have been used to help cover the costs of feed, vet care, nesting site preparations, equipment, and the purchase of swans.

Additional Outreach-Upcoming Film
The Iowa DNR is partnering with the Trumpeter Swan Society and Steve Harryman (filmmaker) to assist in the production of an upcoming film: Return of Trumpeters (film trailer https://vimeo.com/56795018), due out in 2022.

## Marked Swans and Reported Observations

Through the summer of 2008 nearly all trumpeter swans released in lowa were marked with plastic green or red neck collars and leg bands, along with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service metal leg bands. The plastic neck collars and leg bands are marked with alpha letters C, F, H, J, K, P, T, M, and two numbers, 00 through 99 . Several of our marked swans have lost both plastic neck collars and the soft aluminum metal USFWS leg bands within 1-4 years. Neck collar losses create problems analyzing both movements and mortality of lowa Trumpeter Swans. In 2004, we began using stainless steel
lock-on 9C FWS leg bands and we are not aware of any leg band losses since. Throughout the last 5 years, we have neck collared less than $5 \%$ of released swans.

Iowa has the largest trumpeter swan observation database with over 4,500 observations of neck collared swans. As of 2020, lowa marked swans have been reported in 17 states, as far west as Colorado, east to Virginia and north into three Canadian provinces (Figure 6.4). After 20 years of migration observations, the largest concentrations of migrating lowa swans are wintering in northeast and east-central Kansas and northwest and west-central Missouri. lowa swans also winter near Heber Springs, Arkansas and the River Lands Bluffs area in SW Illinois. During the winter of 2002-2003, 2 swans released at Hottes Lake near Spirit Lake, lowa migrated to Lubbock, Texas. These are possibly the first known, or at least the first of very few interior swans to migrate to Texas since the 1880's. Migration movements "out of that norm" included 3 swans released at Union Slough NWR that migrated to and wintered in southeast Colorado near Ft Lyon. Two of these birds were observed at Monticello, Minnesota in the spring of 1997. The straight-line round-trip mileage for these birds was over 1,300 miles.
"Traditional" swan wintering sites are developing in lowa. Sites include Bill Beemer's Pond, a private partner site near Webster City, Schilberg quarry at Atlantic in southwest lowa, Laurie Severe's Pond near Nora Springs, Dale Maffitt Reservoir southwest of Des Moines and a rock quarry near Fertile, IA. Many areas of the state are now seeing swans throughout the year. This is another indication of the success of DNR restoration efforts.

## Trumpeter Swan Research

The lowa DNR is partnering with lowa State University (ISU) to capture and GSM/GPS collar trumpeter swan cygnets. Goals of the project include: 1) evaluate breeding locations, migratory movements and wintering areas of trumpeter swans. 2) provide the opportunity for ISU ornithology students to collect and analyze ornithological data. 3) provide information to the public on trumpeter swan ecology, movements and the value of wetlands via a website https://www.nrem.iastate.edu/track-trumpeter which provides location updates on marked swans. A cygnet that was captured and GPS collared in Tama county was later reported in Arkansas.

In 2020 the IA DNR is partnering with a multi-state research project lead by the University of Minnesota which is focused on understanding the movement ecology of Interior Population Trumpeter Swans. The IA DNR hopes to deploy 10 GPS/GSM collars on adult Trumpeter Swans across lowa. More information on this collaborative research project at: https://trumpeterswan.netlify.com/locations.htmI IA DNR is also conducting a cygnet winter survival study by placing green neck collars and matching leg bands on the cygnets of the 10 GPS/GSM collared adults. We will be following the movements of the family groups and recording survival through collar re-sighting from September 2020 to April 2021.

## Trumpeter Swan Mortality Factors

Lead poisoning, power line collisions, illegal shootings and disease are the leading mortality factors for Trumpeter Swans in lowa. Nearly $75 \%$ of the released Trumpeter Swans perish before they reach their breeding age ( $4-6$ years old). This high mortality rate is a concern due to negative impacts on trumpeter swan recruitment. We hope illegal shootings will decrease with increased publicity, additional enforcement efforts, and public scrutiny. There have been 13 confirmed shootings of lowa swans that occurred out-of-state, ( 1 in Wisconsin, 5 in Missouri, 5 in Texas). A \$17,000 fine was charged to four men in connection with the family group of 5 lowa swans shot in Texas.

Five hundred twenty-eight known mortalities have occurred to date: 205 died due to lead poisoning, 178 died due to power line collisions, 80 were poached by violators, 52 died of diseases and 13 died due to apparent malnutrition. A total of 55 lead poisoned swans were recorded in lowa in the fall/winter of 2017-18. 34 mortalities were documented at one wetland site in western Clinton County. Low water levels appear to be a contributing factor. Several other mortalities have likely occurred from unknown and unreported causes. Mortality rates are higher than anticipated and slow trumpeter swan restoration efforts. Shooting a trumpeter swan can result in a citation of $\$ 1500$, liquidated damages, court costs, and possible hunting license revocation.

Current Status of the Trumpeter Swan Restoration Program
Trumpeter Swans are nearing sustainable numbers in north central and east central lowa. As a result of the program's success, the lowa DNR has significantly reduced their direct hands-on efforts of handling and transporting swans over
the past five years. Instead, time is now more focused on coordinating swan restoration efforts with partners such as county conservation boards and private landowners with suitable nesting and release sites. A trumpeter swan management plan is currently being drafted and will determine the future course of action in lowa. The southern half of lowa has been the current priority area for restoration work and cygnet releases due to very low trumpeter swan nesting densities and the fact that trumpeters very rarely pioneer their nesting efforts south. An objective of selfsustaining numbers across south lowa is desired with a goal of eight nesting pairs south of Interstate 80 by 2022.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, public swan releases were canceled and no swans were released in 2020. Twenty trumpeter swans were released in lowa in 2019 (Table 6.3). A total of 1,218 trumpeters have been released to date. A total of 71 wild free flying Trumpeter swans have been captured, banded and released in lowa since 1997 (Table 6.4). There were 55 nests reported in 2019, and 54 nests reported in 2018 and 2017 (Figure 6.3). In 2020, additional survey effort was put into documenting swan nests. At least 119 trumpeter swan nest attempts were tallied in lowa this year!

Since 1998, 814 known trumpeter swan nests have occurred in lowa (Table 6.5). Spring flash flooding accounts for 5-10\% of annual nest loss. Cygnet survival was near normal in 2019. Higher cygnet mortality was recorded in previous years with dry wetland conditions in the fall and increased cases of lead poisoning. Due to dry wetland conditions, we expect higher cygnet mortality in the fall of 2020. Also of note, several pairs of swans released in lowa are now nesting in Southern Minnesota and Wisconsin.

A total of 3,918 trumpeters were tallied in 51 out of 99 counties during the mid-winter waterfowl survey in January 2020.

Up from 2,470 trumpeters tallied in January 2019 (Table 6.6). It appears the colder temps and harsher winter weather in MN and WI may have forced additional swans south into lowa. If swans can find open water and food, many of them will remain in lowa throughout the winter. These "winter" sites have provided many people with viewing opportunities of these "charismatic-mega fauna."

The DNR and many lowans are very excited about the future of trumpeter swans in the state and hope their numbers remain strong and they expand their breeding range.

Iowa Trumpeter Swan Nest Attempts


Figure 6.3 Iowa Trumpeter Swan Nests Attempts.


Figure 6.4 Observation reports of lowa-collared/banded Trumpeter Swans (1995-2020).

Table 6.3 Trumpeter Swans released in lowa 2019

| Year | Release Site | County | Males | Females | Total |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | Lake Anita | Cass | 5 | 3 | 8 |
|  | Lake Icaria | Adams | 7 | 3 | 10 |
|  | Viking Lake | Montgomery | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | Total | 20 |
| 2020 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Grand Total | 1218 |

Table 6.4 Wild free flying Trumpeter Swans banded and released in lowa, 1997-2019

| Table $\mathbf{c} .4$ Wild free flying Trumpeter Swans banded and released in lowa, $1997-2019$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | Miller's Quarry | County | Males | Females | Total |
| 1998 | Holzer's Pond | Dubuque | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 1999 | Mason City | Cerro Gordo | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| 2000 | Holzer's Pond | Dubuque | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 2000 | Mason City | Cerro Gordo | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| 2000 | Stark/Nessa Quarry | Hamilton | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2001 | Dunbar Slough | Greene | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2001 | Kennedy's Pond | Dubuque | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2002 | Holzer's Pond | Dubuque | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 2002 | Schildberg Gravel Quarry | Cass | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| 2002 | East Twin Lake | Hancock | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 2003 | Schildberg Gravel Quarry | Cass | 2 | 2 | 12 |
| 2004 | Schildberg Gravel Quarry | Cass | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| 2004 | Beener's Pond | Hamilton | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| 2005 | Stark/Nessa Quarry | Hamilton | 5 | 0 | 6 |
| 2006 | Beemer's Pond | Hamilton | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| 2006 | Schildberg Gravel Quarry | Cass | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 2007 | Ventura Marsh | Cerro Gordo | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 2008 | Ventura Marsh | Cerro Gordo | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | Total | 71 |

Table 6.5 Wild free flying Trumpeter Swans nest attempts and total number of released swans, 1997-2019

| Year | Nest <br> Attempts | $\#$ of <br> Broods | $\#$ <br> Hatched | Mean <br> brood | $\sim$ <br> Fledged | Adult <br> Total | Captive <br> Released | Mid- <br> Winter | \% <br> Winter | Estimated Population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 14 |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 31 |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 35 |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3.0 | 3 | 57 |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5.0 | 0 | 42 |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2.5 | 3 | 91 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Year | Nest <br> Attempts | \# of Broods | \# <br> Hatched | Mean brood | ~\# Fledged | Adult <br> Total | Captive Released | Mid- <br> Winter | $\%$ <br> Winter | Estimated Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 9 | 7 | 26 | 3.7 | 19 |  | 83 |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 10 | 8 | 37 | 4.6 | 27 |  | 63 |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 14 | 12 | 53 | 4.4 | 36 |  | 82 |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 14 | 9 | 44 | 4.9 | 36 |  | 75 |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 26 | 19 | 87 | 4.6 | 67 | 86 | 113 |  |  | total 266 (Pop Survey Estimate) |
| 2006 | 29 | 22 | 80 | 3.6 | 52 |  | 85 |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 31 | 27 | 103 | 3.8 | 60 |  | 73 |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 26 | 22 | 91 | 4.1 | 55 |  | 65 |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 41 | 37 | 120 | 3.2 | 80 |  | 71 |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 42 | *27-39 | 112 | 4.4 | 84 | 156 | 57 |  |  | total= 297 (Pop Survey Estimate) |
| 2011 | 51 | 50 | 230 | 4.6 | 161 |  | 51 |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 49 | 43 | 170 | 3.9 | 119 |  | 20 |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 46 | 37 | 114 | 4.7 | 94 |  | 20 | 458 |  |  |
| 2014 | 45 | 38 | 122 | 4.4 | 90 |  | 18 | 582 | 21.3 |  |
| 2015 | 49 | 46 | 185 | 4.0 | 136 |  | 18 | 1121 | 48.1 | total $=339$ (Pop Survey Estimate) |
| 2016 | 50 | 47 | 188 | 4.0 | 138 |  | 4 | 1823 | 38.5 |  |
| 2017 | 54 | 49 | 196 | 4.0 | 149 |  | 13 | 1219 | -49.5 |  |
| 2018 | 54 | 48 | 192 | 4.0 | 145 |  | 13 | 2470 | 50.6 |  |
| 2019 | 55 | 50 | 200 | 4.0 | 152 |  | 20 | 3918 | 37.0 |  |
| 2020 | 115 | 90 | 392 | 4.5 | 288 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Total | 814 | 655 | 2755 | 4.8 | 1994 |  | 1218 |  |  |  |

Table 6.6 Wintering Trumpeters in Iowa

| Year | Beemers* | Atlantic* | Boock* | Severe* | Mason <br> City* | Fertile <br> Quarry | Cedar <br> Rapids | Ames |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Est Total \# in state


| Year | Beemers* | Atlantic* | Boock* | Severe* | Mason <br> City* | Fertile <br> Quarry | Cedar <br> Rapids | Ames | Est Total \# in state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 160 | 39 | 20 | 55 but all left |  | 20 |  |  | 458 Midwinter survey |
| 2014 | 286 | 40 |  | 40 | 11 |  |  | $40-61$ | 582 Midwinter survey |
| 2015 | 155 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 1121 Midwinter survey |  |
| 2016 | 360 | 135 |  |  |  |  |  | 1823 Midwinter survey |  |
| 2017 | 350 | 76 |  | 22 | 12 | 13 |  | 1219 Midwinter survey |  |
| 2018 | 110 | 140 |  | 55 | 124 |  | 129 | 2470 Midwinter survey |  |
| 2019 | 180 | 191 |  | 40 | 60 | 140 | 199 | 3918 Midwinter survey |  |

*Beemer's Pond, 5 miles W of Webster City, IA, Hamilton County
*Atlantic Quarry, 1-mile MW of Atlantic, IA, Cass County
*Boock's Wetland, 4 miles N of Wheatland, IA, Clinton County
*Laurie Severe Pond, 2 miles S of Nora Springs, IA, Floyd County
*Mason City, 1 miles S of Mason City, IA, Cerro Gordo County
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#### Abstract

Natural resource professionals and private citizens reported observations of trumpeter swan nest attempts during MayAugust, 2020. A total of 119 nest attempts were reported across 37 counties. This is more than a two-fold increase over the 49 nest attempts recorded in 2015 and indicates the breeding population of trumpeter swans in lowa is increasing in density and expanding in distribution.


## INTRODUCTION

Trumpeter swans were historically native to lowa but were extirpated during the late 1800s. A trumpeter swan restoration program was initiated in 1995 and has successfully re-established the species in lowa. The population has been monitored at five-year intervals in coordination with a continental effort. The continental portion of the survey was discontinued in 2020, but the lowa DNR continued an increased effort to monitor nest attempts to evaluate lowa's breeding population.


Figure 6.5 The distribution of reported trumpeter swan nests in lowa, 2020.

## METHODS

Iowa Department of Natural Resources (DNR) wildlife management units were contacted in May requesting observations of trumpeter swan nest attempts from May-July. Wildlife units were asked to coordinate with partners to compile the locations of nest attempts within each county. Information on the location of each nest was required. Additional information on nest success, number of cygnets hatched, and number fledged was recorded when available. D. Hoffman compiled additional nest reports through a network of swan enthusiasts and partner agencies such as County Conservation Boards (CCBs). Responses were accepted until early August. Reports were evaluated to eliminate duplicates.

## RESULTS

A total of 119 trumpeter swan nests were reported in 2020, this is a $142 \%$ increase over the 49 nest attempts reported in 2015. Similarly, the distribution of nest attempts across 37 counties is a $46 \%$ increase over the 24 counties reported in 2015. The 2010 survey reported 42 nest attempts in 19 counties and the 2005 survey reported 26 nest attempts in 18 counties.

Nest attempts were reported from 37 counties. Kossuth county had the highest number of nest attempts (18), followed by Worth (10), Cerro Gordo (9), Dickinson (9), and Emmet (8). The remaining 33 counties had five or fewer nest attempts each. Twelve counties that did not report a nest in 2015 reported at least one nest in 2020. Nests were reported in four counties that had never reported nests prior to 2020: Benton, Jones, Montgomery, and Winneshiek.

Ninety-seven nests were reported as successful, 7 were unsuccessful, and 15 had unknown success. The average number of cygnets hatched per successful nest was 4.4 (standard deviation of 1.65).

A map of all reported nests is provided in Figure 6.5. Appendix A provides a complete list of nests by county.

## DISCUSSION

The results of the 2020 survey indicate that the breeding population of trumpeter swans in lowa is increasing in density and expanding in spatial extent. The population more than doubled in a period of five years. This rapid increase was unanticipated and indicates that juveniles are reaching reproductive maturity and are recruiting into the breeding population. If this growth rate is sustained, trumpeter swans will become much more common throughout the state in a short period of time. Similar growth rates and population expansions have been observed in neighboring states such as Minnesota and Wisconsin. These states have much more wetland habitat suitable for trumpeter swans than lowa, therefore it is uncertain whether lowa's population growth will follow a similar trend.

Nearly all of the counties with new nesting records since 2015 are outside the Prairie Pothole Region of lowa, primarily in southern and eastern lowa. The expansion of nesting attempts outside of the Prairie Pothole Region has been encouraged by releasing captive reared juveniles. But the role of restoration versus natural colonization is not clear. Given that the population is expanding at a rapid rate it may be appropriate to re-evaluate whether further releases are necessary.

The 4.4 cygnets per successful nest in 2020 was similar to 2015 (4.02) and 2010 (4.43). This indicates that productivity is relatively stable. If this level of production is driving the rapid increase in nest attempts it seems likely that strong population growth will continue into the future.

We attempted to follow methods similar to previous efforts, but there may have been minor differences. This was not a census or a statistically-designed survey where population level estimates can be determined. We do not have information on detection rates or density estimates across differing landscapes. Therefore, these results should be interpreted as a 5 -year index of nesting attempts. While we are confident the 2020 results represent a significant increase in lowa's trumpeter swan population, we do not know how many nest attempts went undetected and whether that rate is constant over time.

## MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

It is important that the trumpeter swan population continue to be monitored at frequent intervals in the future. With the population expansion documented in 2020 it may be appropriate to consider a more frequent and widespread systematic survey. As the population increases the number of unreported nests will likely increase, which may render the current methodology increasingly ineffective.

There may no longer be a need to supplement the population through the release of captive reared sub-adults. Future releases should be carefully evaluated in conjunction with the desired distribution of trumpeter swans in lowa. Managers should anticipate potential conflicts that may arise with a rapidly growing population such as agriculture depredation or competition with other species.

The lowa DNR Trumpeter Swan Management Plan is currently under revision. The information in this report, along with additional information gathered from ongoing research, will provide the basis for a revised management plan. The plan should provide guidance on future monitoring efforts for trumpeter swans in lowa and begin to establish policies to address potential conflict if the population continues to grow.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the many DNR, CCB, and USFWS staff who reported swan nests within their respective work areas. We also thank the private citizens who contributed sightings of trumpeter swans. Vince Evelsizer provided a valuable review of a previous draft.

## LITERATURE CITED

Jones, O and D Hoffman. 2015. 2015 Trumpeter Swan Survey. Iowa Department of Natural Resources unpublished report.
Zenner, G and D Hoffman. 2010. 2010 Trumpeter Swan Survey. Iowa Department of Natural Resources unpublished report.

| APPENDIX A: | 2020 TRUMPETER SWAN NEST ATTEMPTS BY COUNTY. |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| County | Nest Attempts | County | Nest Attempts |
| Adair | 2 | Hamilton | 5 |
| Adams | 1 | Hancock | 5 |
| Appanoose | 1 | lowa | 2 |
| Benton | 1 | Jackson | 5 |
| Boone | 2 | Jones | 1 |
| Buena Vista | 4 | Kossuth | 18 |


| County | Nest Attempts | County | Nest Attempts |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Butler | 1 | Mitchell | 1 |
| Cerro Gordo | 9 | Montgomery | 1 |
| Cherokee | 1 | O'Brien | 2 |
| Chickasaw | 1 | Pocahontas | 2 |
| Clay | 3 | Sac | 3 |
| Clinton | 2 | Scott | 1 |
| Des Moines | 2 | Story | 1 |
| Dickinson | 9 | Tama | 2 |
| Emmet | 8 | Winnebago | 5 |
| Floyd | 2 | Winneshiek | 1 |
| Franklin | 1 | Worth | 10 |
| Fremont | 1 | Wright | 2 |
| Greene | 1 | Total | 119 |

Stephanie Shepherd, Iowa Department of Natural Resources, Boone Wildlife Research Station, 1436 255 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St, Boone, Iowa 50036


#### Abstract

The lowa DNR coordinates two different surveys used to monitor Bald Eagles in the state. The Bald Eagle Nest Monitoring Survey employs volunteer citizen scientist monitors to annually observe at least $25 \%$ of the nests in lowa excluding those found on the Upper Mississippi Wildlife Refuge. The Bald Eagle Midwinter Survey occurs in January along most of the major rivers in lowa. These two surveys together provide a dataset that can be used to evaluate the Bald Eagle population in lowa. After the 2019 nesting season, lowa had 431 active Bald Eagle territories. $66 \%$ of the 262 nests surveyed were successful and on average 1.40 young were produced per nest. A total of 2,924 Bald Eagles were counted on the Bald Eagle Midwinter survey, averaging 1.89 birds observed per mile of river surveyed. The results of both surveys suggest that the Bald Eagles that nest and/or winter in lowa have stable or increasing numbers.


## Introduction

In the last 25 years, lowa has witnessed a dramatic increase in the number of nesting and wintering Bald Eagles. Nationally, the Bald Eagle has recovered enough from the dangerously low numbers of the 1960's and 1970's that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service removed it from the Threatened and Endangered species list (T\&E list) in 2007 (Removing the Bald Eagle, 2007). Iowa followed suit by upgrading the eagle from a status of Threatened to a status of Special Concern on the state T\&E list in 2009. Despite the Bald Eagle population's apparent good health, challenges to their conservation still exist. Strategic monitoring of eagle activity in the state remains a priority.

The Iowa DNR uses two different surveys to monitor Bald Eagle Populations in lowa. One survey focuses on monitoring Eagle nesting activity and success and the other surveys the population of wintering eagles along lowa's rivers.

The goal in monitoring Bald Eagle nesting data is to measure reproductive success as well as providing a robust, though not comprehensive, database of eagle nest locations. For monitored nests, data is collected on annual activity and the number of young successfully produced and these data can then be used as indices of the resident Bald Eagle population's health.

The second survey, called the Bald Eagle Midwinter Survey, focuses on Eagles that use lowa's rivers as winter foraging habitat. This survey is national in scope and is coordinated at that scale by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. lowa's rivers hold some of the largest congregations of wintering Eagles in the lower 48 states. The same segments of river have been surveyed since the early 1990s and the survey provides a long-term trend which when combined with data from other states is a helpful index of eagle population trends at a larger scale.

This report summarizes data collected on Bald Eagles during the 2019 winter and nesting season as well as data from previous years.

## Study Area

The lowa DNR's formal nest monitoring program focuses on monitoring nests statewide, excluding nests located on the Upper Mississippi Wildlife Refuge (Figure 6.6). Opportunistic reports of new nests or existing nest activity from various sources are accepted and this overall nest dataset does include U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service data collected on the refuge. However, for most summary and analysis, monitoring data collected by trained volunteers and staff are used. In 2019, standardized data was collected on 262 Bald Eagle territories in 77 lowa counties spaced across the state (Figure 6.6).

In 2010, a random selection was done of $50 \%$ of the known active nests in the inland part of the state (i.e. not in the Upper Mississippi Wildlife Refuge) and stratified by landform. The result is a total of 140 bald eagle breeding sites established as sentinel territories. The goal is to have at least half of these sentinel nests monitored reliably on an annual basis in addition to the non-random nests monitored by trained volunteers (Figure 6.7). Please note that lowa's

Bald Eagle nest database is not comprehensive so it does not track all eagle nests in the state, just the portion that have been reported to the lowa DNR.


Figure 6.6 Data was collected on 262 nests in 77 lowa Counties in 2019. Sentinel Nests were randomly selected, other nests were non-random.


Figure 6.7 Active Bald Eagle Nests in lowa after 2019 nesting season (431 nests).
The Bald Eagle Midwinter Survey also has statewide coverage and includes survey routes along the following rivers in Iowa: Mississippi, Des Moines, Skunk, Maquoketa, Missouri, Wapsipinicon, Chariton, lowa, Cedar, Little Sioux, South

Maquoketa, Turkey, Nodaway, as well as Lakes Saylorville, Red Rock, and Rathbun and a few other smaller waterbodies. Routes were not randomly mapped but were intentionally designed to cover primary Bald Eagle habitat. In 2019, 1,546 miles of river or lakeshore were surveyed on 45 standardized routes (Figure 6.8). This survey is part of a larger nationwide survey currently being coordinated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. As of 2010, 44 states participated in the nationwide survey.


Figure 6.8 Bald Eagle Midwinter Routes in lowa.

## Methods

## Bald Eagle Nest Monitoring

Since eagles returned to nest in lowa in the late 1970's, the DNR has engaged in opportunistic data collection on eagle nesting territories. Opportunistic data collection includes casual monitoring of some eagle nests by DNR personnel as well as reports of nest locations and activity from lowa citizens. These data are not systematically collected so the data available for each breeding territory varies. Additionally, territories reported on may not be representative (i.e. people may be more likely to report an active nest versus an inactive nest). Since 2017, these types of reports have been entered into the dataset at a lower rate than in the past.

Since 2010, to complement the opportunistic reports received, the lowa Department of Natural Resources (DNR) has had a program to collect data on bald eagle nesting territories in a more systematic manner. This data collection method relies heavily on trained citizen volunteers who monitor a sample of randomly selected nesting territories called "sentinel" sites as well as some non-randomly selected nest sites. As many of the sentinel nest sites as possible are monitored by assigned volunteers and many additional non-sentinel sites are also monitored by trained volunteers. Summaries and analysis are done on all nests monitored by volunteers, both random (sentinel) and non-random. To make sure non-random territories do not skew the data, the two sets of nests were first analyzed separately and then together and no significant differences were detected in the results.

The training for volunteers is available as a video online: www.iowadnr.gov/vwmp/ or is completed during in-person workshops which are held in March or April in various locations across the state. After training, volunteers are assigned to one or several nests in their area to monitor with sentinel nests being given priority.

Volunteer monitors are instructed to visit their assigned nest site at least 3 times during the nesting season and collect data on whether the nest is active or inactive, how many young hatch and then how many young fledge. Nests are observed using optics from a distance in order to avoid disturbing the nesting birds and as such, not all of the required
data can be collected on each site; the number of young hatched is particularly challenging to collect. Volunteers monitor the same nests annually and are instructed to continue to monitor an inactive nest for 3 years of inactivity before that nest is retired from monitoring and is designated as an inactive breeding territory.

Monitoring focuses on Bald Eagle breeding territories and not necessarily individual nests. Eagles are known to rebuild downed nests in close vicinity to the original nest and sometimes even build alternate nest sites when the original nest appears in good condition. The monitoring focuses on this pair of birds and not on one of the potentially multiple nest sites that could be a part of their territory in time and space. We can't be sure that we are watching the exact same pair of birds as the previous year but what we are focused on is the combination of a defended area of breeding habitat and a pair of eagles. Our working definition of a breeding territory based on evidence from the dataset and other literature (Buehler 2000): "A habitat area up to 1 mile in radius (though sometimes smaller in good habitat) that is defended by a pair of eagles and used for breeding. Meets all breeding habitat needs including appropriate trees (or very occasionally other structures) to build nests and a nearby food source. A territory may hold more than 1 nest but may not house more than 1 pair of eagles within the same breeding season. The pair of eagles need not be the same pair across years."

The metrics used to assess the relative health of the nesting Bald Eagle population are the proportion of nests that fail to produce young versus successful nests and the average number of young fledged per nest. If the percent of failed versus successful nests moves closer together or the average number of fledged young per nest drops below 1 for three years in a row this would trigger some additional actions to evaluate the health of the nesting eagle population.

## Bald Eagle Midwinter

The Bald Eagle Midwinter survey is conducted each year during the first two weeks of January. There are two dates in the middle of the two-week period that are designated as target dates, and surveyors are encouraged to run the survey on those dates if possible, but the survey can be run on any day during the two-week period. The survey is designed so that surveyors can also run their standardized route at the same time as conducting another national survey, the Midwinter Waterfowl Survey, which is usually scheduled on one day during the first week of January. The survey is meant to ideally be run on clear sunny days with no fog or precipitation impairing visibility. In 2019, the dates for the survey were January $2-16^{\text {th }}$ with target dates of the $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$.

There are 52 active standard routes in lowa, of varying lengths and this includes two fixed point routes (routes that only cover 1 mile of habitat, usually a roost site). To conduct the survey, volunteers and staff move along their assigned route at a moderate pace and count all adult and immature eagles that are spotted. All of the routes in lowa (that aren't fixed point) are driven by car or truck (Figure 6.9). Data is also collected on the amount of time spent surveying, the weather conditions and the percentage of ice coverage along the route. The habitat covered and route driven should be the same each year though detours are sometimes required because of winter road conditions or other road maintenance issues.


Figure 6.9 Example of a Midwinter Survey Route (in red) - Route 24 - The portion of river (eagle habitat covered) and the driving route.

## Results

## Bald Eagle Nest Monitoring

Since 1977, approximately 947 bald eagle territories have been reported to the lowa DNR. In 2015, the state hit the milestone of having had at least one eagle nest reported in all of lowa's 99 counties (Figure 6.10). Allamakee County, with 145 , has the highest number of nests reported, followed by Clayton County with 71 (Figure 6.10). Following the 2019 nesting season, 431 territories have an overall designation of active ( 371 "inland", 60 Upper Miss. Refuge), 234 are designated inactive, and 282 have an unknown status (this usually means they have not been reported on $>3$ years but the nest was active at last report). A territory is considered active if it has had some activity in at least one of the last three nesting seasons.

## Bald Eagle Territory History in lowa's Counties



Figure 6.10 Number of eagle nests and first year reported for each county in lowa.
In 2019 a total of 262 nests were monitored, 97 of which were sentinel territories and 165 which were non-random. Of these territories 135 have been reported on for 3 consecutive years, 95 for 5 consecutive years and 9 consistently for all 10 years.

Within the 262 territories monitored, 227 were active ( $87 \%$ ), 28 were inactive ( $11 \%$ ) and seven were reported as activity unknown (Table 6.7). The outcome of the nesting season for the 227 active territories broke down as follows: 149 nests successful, 26 failed and 52 unknown (Table 6.7, Figure 6.11). Failed nests usually had birds at the nest early but they either abandoned or did not produce young, except 2 nests that were taken over by owls and 1 nest that blew down.

Out of the 172 territories which had reliable reports of the nest's outcome in producing young, 240 young were produced: 25 nests fledged no young, 63 nests fledged 1 young, 75 nests fledged 2 young and 9 nests fledged 3 young. The estimated number of young produced per nest was 1.40 (Table 6.7).

For 110 territories, monitors were able to collect data on the number of chicks and the number of fledglings. Fifteen young were lost before fledging. From these data it appears that eaglet survival to fledging was high; $92 \%$ of the chicks observed in these nests reached fledging ( 191 total young counted, 176 fledged). Accurately counting the number of young in the nest, right after hatching, is difficult to impossible from the ground so the accuracy of this analysis is probably low. Their survival after fledging is not tracked.


Figure 6.11 Percent of monitored Eagle nests that were successful versus failed (2010-present).


Figure 6.12 Average number of young produced by monitored Bald Eagle nests.

Table 6.7 Summary of data collected by volunteer monitors on Bald eagle nests, 2011 to 2018

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of Territories with <br> Data Collected | 77 | 80 | 76 | 85 | 84 | 172 | 182 | 262 |
| Active Territories | 61 | 65 | 62 | 78 | 80 | 160 | 165 | 227 |
| Successful | $45(74 \%)$ | $40(62 \%)$ | $41(66 \%)$ | $53(68 \%)$ | $60(75 \%)$ | $104(65 \%)$ | $111(67 \%)$ | $149(66 \%)$ |
| Failed | $3(5 \%)$ | $6(9 \%)$ | $2(3 \%)$ | $7(9 \%)$ | $6(7.5 \%)$ | $17(11 \%)$ | $17(13 \%)$ | $26(11 \%)$ |
| Outcome Unknown | $13(21 \%)$ | $19(29 \%)$ | $19(31 \%)$ | $18(23 \%)$ | $14(17.5 \%)$ | $40(25 \%)$ | $37(22 \%)$ | $52(23 \%)$ |
| Number of Young | 71 | 72 | 72 | 88 | 107 | 175 | 170 | 240 |
| Avg. \# of Young/ <br> Nest | 1.58 | 1.34 | 1.68 | 1.48 | 1.52 | 1.45 | 1.38 | 1.40 |
| Inactive Territories | 14 | 12 | 13 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 15 | 28 |
| Unknown Territories | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 |

## Bald Eagle Midwinter Survey

In 2019, 45 routes were completed, covering 1,546 miles of habitat. A number of routes could not be completed because of the federal government shut-down in January 2019. Nineteen ( $42 \%$ ) of the 45 surveys were conducted on
the target dates of January $11-12^{\text {th }}$ and the average survey took 160 minutes to complete. Weather conditions during the survey were relatively mild with an average temperature at $25^{\circ}$ Fahrenheit. The weather last winter did not become harsh until the second half of January. As a consequence, the mild weather lead to a very low average percentage of ice cover, $18 \%$, on the waterways surveyed.

A total of 2,924 Bald Eagles were counted during the 2019 Bald Eagle Midwinter Count, which is about 1,000 birds lower than 2018's very high count (Figure 6.13) and puts it more in line with the ten-year (2008-2017) average of 3,083 . The average number of birds counted per route was 64.9 or 1.89 eagles per mile surveyed (Figure 6.14). A total of 1,893 of the birds counted, or $65 \%$, were adults and 953 ( $32.6 \%$ ) were immatures (Figure 6.15 ). The remaining 78 birds counted could not be aged. One adult Golden Eagle was counted on a route this year.


Figure 6.13 Total Bald Eagles counted during the Bald Eagle Midwinter survey (1994-present).


Figure 6.14 Average number of Bald Eagles per survey mile counted during the Bald Eagle Midwinter survey (1994-present).


Figure 6.15. Percentage of immature bald eagles counted during the Bald Eagle Midwinter survey (1995-present).

Table 6.8 Summary of data collected during the 2019 Bald Eagle Midwinter Survey by waterbody, listed in descending order from most eagles per mile to least.

| Water Body* | $\%$ of <br> Total <br> BE | 2019 Midwinter Bald Eagle Survey Results for lowa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total BE | Adult BE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Imm } \\ \mathrm{BE} \end{gathered}$ | Unk Age BE | Total GE | Un-ID Eagle | Miles Surveyed | Average Bald Eagles Per Mile |
| State Total | 100\% | 2,924 | 1,893 | 953 | 78 | 1 | 0 | 1,546 | 1.89 |
| Mississippi River | 23\% | 671 | 465 | 199 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 182.5 | 3.7 |
| Des Moines River | 37\% | 1,072 | 723 | 327 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 320.5 | 3.34 |
| Iowa River | 6.5\% | 191 | 137 | 39 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 68 | 2.81 |
| Missouri River | 17\% | 498 | 232 | 266 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 182 | 2.74 |
| Nodaway River | 0.06\% | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Maquoketa | 4.2\% | 123 | 95 | 21 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 1.28 |
| Lake Rathbun | 3.5\% | 101 | 44 | 35 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 1.19 |
| Turkey River | 0.44\% | 13 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0.87 |
| Cedar River | 1.6\% | 48 | 33 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0.71 |
| Wapsipinicon River | 1.9\% | 57 | 48 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 0.54 |
| Little Sioux River | 1.1\% | 32 | 24 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0.49 |
| Skunk River | 1.1\% | 32 | 23 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0.44 |
| Chariton River | 0.62\% | 18 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0.40 |
| Unknown | 1.6\% | 46 | 36 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170 | 0.34 |
| S. Maquoketa River | 2.3\% | 66 | 20 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0.19 |
| Age Composition |  | 100\% | 65\% | 32.6\% | 2.6\% | NA |  |  | NA |
| 2019 Weather Averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temp (F) | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Water Body* | \% of <br> Total <br> BE | 2019 Midwinter Bald Eagle Survey Results for lowa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total BE | Adult BE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Imm } \\ \text { BE } \end{gathered}$ | Unk Age BE | Total GE | Un-ID <br> Eagle | Miles Surveyed | Average Bald Eagles Per Mile |
| Percent Ice Cover | 17\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Past Weather (1-5) | 3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Past Ice (1-5) | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Survey Time (Avg. min) | 160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Past Weather: 1- very mild; 2- mild; 3-normal; 4- harsh; 5- very harsh
Past Ice: 1-much less than normal; 2-less than normal; 3-normal; 4-more than normal; 5-much more than normal

The most highly surveyed rivers, which also usually host the highest numbers of eagles are the Mississippi and the Des Moines. The Mississippi has traditionally held the most birds but the Des Moines has been more highly used in recent years. In 2019, the total number of birds counted was highest on the Des Moines but the Mississippi River had more birds per mile surveyed (Table 6.8). The second highest water body in eagles counted per mile was the Des Moines with the Iowa and Missouri rivers almost tied for third. In general, the birds were more highly distributed across rivers than they were in 2018 when a vast majority of the birds counted were on the Mississippi.

## Discussion

## Bald Eagle Nesting

The original Northern States Bald Eagle Recovery Plan (Grier et al., 1983) set recovery goals at 1200 nesting pairs across 16 states with an average of 1.0 young produced per nest. With roughly 400-500 nesting pairs in lowa alone and an average young/nest consistently between 1 and 2, lowa is definitely contributing to the regional Bald Eagle population and is supporting a stable breeding population. In fact, lowa far surpassed the state-specific goal identified in the regional plan of 10 pairs by the year 2000 (over 100 nesting pairs were recorded by that time).

While lowa does not have a comprehensive dataset of Eagle nests in the state throughout the entire recovery, the trend in lowa has roughly reflected the well-studied Virginia population (Watts et al. 2008). The addition of nesting territories in the 1980s was slow, growing from 1 to 14 nest pairs from 1977-1989, sped up in the 1990s, growing to 100 pairs in 1998 and then has seen huge growth since 2000. However, the Volunteer Wildlife Monitoring Survey is not designed to measure the growth in breeding pairs; it instead shifts the focus towards measuring reproductive success of a sample of nests in the state.

The conservatively estimated nest success rate of $66 \%$ is roughly equal to the previous 7 -year average of $67 \%$ and suggests stability in nesting success. This rate is somewhat lower than that cited for the Chesapeake Bay area (>80\%) (Watts 2008) but our rate was calculated using the total number of nests surveyed, including those with an unknown outcome and if those nests are removed, nest success rate jumps to $86 \%$. Considering that the Chesapeake Bay is one of the more productive eagle breeding areas in the country (Grier 1983, Watts 2008) the success rates in lowa are comparable.

If $87 \%$ of the currently 431 active territories in the state were occupied in 2019 ( 375 nests), and $66 \%$ of those were successful ( 247.5 nests), then using the average of 1.40 chicks per nest, an estimate of 347 total young were produced by nests in lowa. With 431 territories classified as active in lowa, the adult population of breeding Bald Eagles at a minimum numbers 862 . The Bald Eagle population is four times the original goal set for lowa in the early recovery plan (Grier et al., 1983) and successful reproduction rates suggest the population is currently stable or growing.

The number of nests monitored and reported on in 2019 was the highest it has been since this program started in 2010. Two well-attended training workshops were held for volunteers in 2019, one in Mahaska County and one in Sioux County which added 17 new volunteers. A total of 82 volunteer monitors collected data on these 262 nests!

## Bald Eagle Midwinter

The long-term Midwinter Survey results suggest that the number of eagles that winter in lowa, particularly since 2003, fluctuates widely from year to year. The 2014 survey saw the highest number of eagles ever counted, while 2015 represented the lowest since 2007 and 2016 was the lowest since 2003. In 2019 the count was very close to the overall average of the last 10 -year period. Unfortunately, the survey does not do a good job of clearing up what might be driving these fluctuations. The obvious culprit is weather if only because harsher winters with more ice should drive more birds south from northern stronghold states (Minnesota, Wisconsin) and also create ideal conditions for counting by concentrating birds at limited areas of open water. However, only a very weak correlation exists between more birds and more ice and there is virtually no correlation with temperature. This doesn't necessarily mean that weather doesn't have an effect but the survey design may not be appropriate for picking it up. The availability of food is the most obvious root motivation for Bald Eagles to move across the landscape for which weather just may not be a strong enough predictor. Other factors that are not collected, including the availability of food, could explain the huge fluctuations.

Despite the increasingly large fluctuations, the overall trend across the survey since 1994, is upwards. This upward trajectory is settling into a flatter trend in recent years which is to be expected as eagles may be getting close to carrying capacity, at least in the states to lowa's north which host over 1,000 nesting pairs each year. This state trend mirrors the results of a recent national analysis of the first 25 years of the survey which suggests that Bald Eagle population trends may be flattening as the bird's numbers reach a level that can be supported by the existing habitat available (Eakle et al. 2015). If this is the case we would expect to see the trend to continue to become more level in future years. Regardless, lowa provides important wintering habitat and resources for the Bald Eagle population in the U.S. and we will continue to monitor their numbers.

In 2019, a mix of 81 volunteers and natural resources professionals spent over 120 collective hours surveying 1,546 miles of waterbody shoreline.

## Management Implications

Based on nesting and wintering data, the Bald Eagle population in lowa, despite annual variability, is stable so there are no immediate actions that need to be taken on behalf of the species. However, there are still a number of challenges to eagles and the DNR will continue to protect nests and nesting habitat as well as monitoring the species to facilitate early detection of any changes to the stable status.
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## STATUS OF SELECTED OTHER SPECIES IN IOWA - LARGE CARNIVORES

## Mountain Lion/Cougar Status in Iowa 1995-2019

The mountain lion/cougar (or puma, panther, and various other names) is the largest of the three wildcats historically documented in lowa. The lynx and the bobcat are the other two. The mountain lion/cougar probably occurred throughout most of the state originally, but nowhere in great numbers. The lynx has been extirpated and the bobcat is established in lowa again after nearly being extirpated. The last historical record of a mountain lion/cougar in lowa was one that was shot in 1867 in Appanoose County near the town of Cincinnati, Iowa.

Since the mid-1990's, the DNR has received several reports of large "cat" like sightings which led some to believe that a few "free ranging" mountain lions/cougars may again be occurring in some portions of the state. These "free ranging" mountain lions/cougars could be either escapees, or released animals, privately owned, (grandfathered in before July 1, 2007 legislation to curtail the ownership of certain "dangerous wild animals") or they are fully wild animals dispersing from western and southwestern states. Eastern South Dakota, eastern Nebraska, northeast Kansas, Missouri, as well as Minnesota, Wisconsin, and Illinois, have reported increased mountain lion/cougar sightings during the past 15 years.

## Confirmed Mountain Lions in Iowa

Figure 7.1 is a map showing mountain lion sightings reported to the DNR that were confirmed or highly probable confirmations (1995-2019). Tracks and/or sightings reported to us throughout the year are documented as confirmed, highly probable or unconfirmed after investigating the evidence. In 2017 two confirmed mountain lions were females. These were the first females documented for lowa since the 1800s. Both were shot and killed, one by a gun deer hunter (Plymouth Co) and one by DNR staff (Ida Co) due to human safety concerns. The Plymouth Co female was wearing a GPS neck collar from Nebraska GF\&P. The Ida Co female had DNA that matched cats in Wyoming. In 2018, the lowa DNR had at least 2 confirmed mountain lion reports and 1 probable report, (Table 7.1). For 2019, there were 6 confirmed reports of mountain lions in lowa. However, we have multiple unconfirmed reports especially in the Polk County area of lowa. For 2020, there have been no confirmed reports so far. Table 7.2 shows the number of confirmed mountain lions in lowa by year. The following methods have been used to confirm the presence of mountain lions in lowa to date: roadkills, shot and killed, verified camera pictures, verified tracks, and sightings (Table 7.3).

It is important to note that an average of 2 to 4 sightings per week are reported to us in the Clear Lake office from locations all over the state. This does not count all of the reports other DNR staff receive in their regions throughout the state as well. Over 2,000 mountain lion sightings have been reported since 2010. However, strong evidence in the form of legitimate tracks, photos, video or other evidence is necessary before we can officially place them on our map as "confirmed".

It is very likely that we have the occasional mountain lion wandering through or staying in our state for a period of time, however we have not documented a self-sustaining breeding population of mountain lions in lowa at this time. THE IOWA DNR HAS NOT ‘STOCKED’ OR INTRODUCED MOUNTAIN LIONS INTO THE STATE, NOR IS THERE ANY
CONSIDERATION OF DOING SO.

With the methods of deer hunting that take place in lowa, one would expect to get more reports of mountain lions during that time. Overall however, the 150,000+ deer hunters seldom report a sighting of a mountain lion during their hunting activities. We actually receive more reports of mountain lion sightings during the summer when wildlife cover is at its maximum than we do in the winter when it is at its minimum. It is an interesting trend and not exactly sure why.

DNA testing is used to determine the origin of mountain lions that are killed in lowa whenever possible. The origins of the 6 dead mountain lions have been completed and results indicate that they are of North American origin, except one that was of unknown origin. Results from that testing have shown strong indications that it matched DNA common to cats from the Black Hills region of South Dakota and parts of Nebraska and recently, Wyoming. There are some indications the only legal source of captive mountain lions/cougars should be of South American origin, although more study is necessary before that theory can be substantiated or discounted.

Currently the mountain lion has no legal status in the lowa Code, thus they are not given any sort of protection by

Iowa Law. Although the DNR does not advocate the indiscriminate killing of mountain lions, the few mountain lions that do wander into lowa are often shot. The DNR requested that the 2002 legislative session consider legislation to designate the mountain lion and the black bear as furbearers, thus allowing the DNR to properly manage these species, should their numbers increase. The DNR also requested that indiscriminate killing of these animals not be allowed unless they are about to cause damage or injury to property or persons. The legislation did not pass. Afterward, the Governor's office asked the DNR to not pursue mountain lion/cougar and black bear furbearer status in the lowa Code in 2006, 2007, and 2008.

Depredation: This past year, we had some cases of livestock damage/depredation but none were positively confirmed as mountain lion. In almost all cases, it was from dogs or self-inflicted injuries on fences or gates around the stock pens or pastures. We also had a few unconfirmed reports of deer kills by mountain lions. Whenever possible, DNR staff made an effort to examine the evidence left at the scene before trying to say for sure what the predator might have been. Most depredation cases in lowa are from canines (dogs or coyotes). It is possible for a mountain lion to attack/ depredate livestock, however again, we did not have any documented cases in lowa in 2019 where we could determine for sure whether a mountain lion caused livestock damage. However, mountain lion research shows that white-tailed deer and other wild animals, especially mammals, are the preferred prey. Even so, predators are generally opportunists and if hungry they will take what is readily available.

In 2013 we had at least 3 reports ( 1 in Jasper, 1 in Allamakee, and 1 in Palo Alto County) from people who believe that they had seen mountain lion kittens. At this point DNR personnel have not documented kittens in lowa.

In 2019, we didn't have any reports of mountain lion kittens. All mountain lions that have been killed in lowa in recent years have all been reproductively immature 1-2 year old males, except for one mature male ( 4 yrs old), and two females shot in 2017. To date, we do not have a documented breeding population of mountain lions in lowa. Credible mountain lion sightings and tracks are important to the lowa DNR. Two excellent websites to help with mountain track identification are http://www.bear-racker.com/cougar.html and http://www.geocities.com/Yosemite/9152/cougar.html. It is important to remember that all cat tracks are round in shape; with 4 toes and a heel pad that has 3 posterior lobes and a less than prominent M shape on the forepart of the heel pad (Figure 7.2). Adult mountain lion/cougar tracks are 4 inches or larger in diameter, whereas bobcat tracks are nearer to the $2 \frac{1}{2}$ to 3 -inch range in diameter. All cats have retractable claws, thus the tracks they leave often show no claw marks except in unusual circumstances. When possible, good plaster casts or cell phone photos of suspected tracks will aid greatly in their identification. We will continue to monitor and map reliable sightings, but because there are still many mountain lion/cougar sightings that are reported with poor quality photos or video and so few tracks found, they are difficult to substantiate.

## Safety Issues

The good news is that lions generally avoid humans. People are more apt to be killed by a dog or struck by lightning than attacked by a mountain lion/cougar.

Some safety do's and don'ts can be found at the Mountain Lion Foundation website, www.mountainlion.org. Also, the Eastern Cougar Network is a source of Mountain lion/cougar information. Their website is mdowling@courgarnet.org.

Here are some suggestions on what to do in the remote chance you have a mountain lion/cougar encounter:
(1) Spread your jacket, coat or shirt above you head attempt to look larger.
(2) Hold your ground, wave, shout and don't run, as running stimulates the predator reflex (just like dogs) to pursue anything that runs away.
(3) Maintain eye contact if you sight a lion. Lions prefer to attack from ambush and count on the element of surprise
(4) If small children are present, or if there are several people in your group, gather everyone very close together. Mountain lions are not predators of large groups.

In the past 110 years 65-75 people have been attacked by mountain lions/cougars, resulting in 63 injuries, 20 of which were fatal, and none occurred in lowa.

Since the first modern reports of mountain lion/cougars sightings began to increase significantly in 2001, Ron Andrews (previous lowa DNR Furbearer Biologist, now retired 2011) gave well over 250 public informational meetings statewide regarding the status of mountain lions/cougars in lowa and the Midwest. This was done to educate the public about Mountain Lions and help with their concerns. Information about mountain lions can be found on the lowa DNR's website at: http://www.iowadnr.gov/Conservation/lowas-Wildlife/Occasional-Wildlife-Visitors.

## Figures

Mountain Lion Reports 1995-2020


Numerous additional sighting have been reported, but are not mapped because of less than credible information. 8-26-2020

Figure 7.1 Confirmed locations of mountain lion reports in lowa. Numerous additional sightings have been reported, but are not mapped if they were not confirmed.

## 4 inches



Figure 7.2 Typical Mountain Lion track

Tables
Table 7.1 Confirmed Mountain Lions in lowa, 2001-2019

| November | 2004 | Sighting | Woodbury |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| November | 2004 | Trail Camera Pictures | Marshall |
| December | 2004 | Sighting | Scott |
| December | 2009 | Shot | lowa |
| September | 2011 | Trail Camera Pictures | Clinton |
| October | 2012 | Shot | Polk |
| October | 2013 | Trail Camera Pictures | Warren |
| December | 2013 | Shot | Sioux |
| July | 2014 | Tracks | Grundy |
| October | 2014 | Trail Camera Pictures | Tama |
| March | 2015 | Tracks | Benton |
| June | 2017 | Shot | Ida |
| August | 2017 | Trail Camera Pictures | Clay |
| August | 2017 | Trail Camera Pictures | Cherokee |
| December | 2017 | Shot | Plymouth |
| June | 2018 | Tracks | Boone |
| April | 2018 | Trail Camera Pictures | Cherokee |
| September | 2018 | Sighting | Winneshiek |


| April | 2019 | Vehicle Collision/no kill | Woodbury |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| May | 2019 | Videos/Sightings | Polk |
| July | 2019 | Sighting | Polk |
| May | 2019 | Sighting | Allamakee |
| November | 2019 | Sighting | Jefferson |
| December | 2019 | Tracks | Pottawattami <br> e |

Table 7.2 Confirmed and Probable Mountain Lions in lowa by year, 2001-2019

| 1995 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2001 | 5 |
| 2003 | 2 |
| 2004 | 5 |
| 2009 | 1 |
| 2011 | 1 |
| 2012 | 1 |
| 2013 | 2 |
| 2014 | 2 |
| 2015 | 1 |
| 2016 | 0 |
| 2017 | 4 |
| 2018 | 3 |
| 2019 | 6 |
| 2020 | 0 |
| Total | 34 |

Table 7.3 Method of confirmation for Mountain Lions in lowa, 1995-2019

| Confirmation <br> Method | No. of Mountain <br> Lions |
| :--- | :---: |
| Sightings | 8 |
| Tracks | 9 |
| Pictures | 8 |
| Shot | 5 |
| Roadkills/Collision | 3 |
| Video(s) | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |

## Black Bear Status in lowa (2001-Present)

Black bears were one of the most recognizable and noticeable mammals encountered by Europeans as they settled North America. As settlers moved west, they generally killed any bears they encountered. Thus, black bear numbers declined rapidly in many areas and disappeared from much of their former range. Most present-day lowans probably associate black bears with some of our large national parks and do not realize they once occurred in lowa. When the settlers reached lowa, they found them widespread throughout the state but higher numbers occurred where there were more woodlands. Bears were killed because they would damage crops, harass and kill livestock, and because they were valuable both as food and for their hides. Several black bear stories of the exploits of early-day "Davy Crockett's" in lowa have been recorded in journals and diaries.

There are pre-1900 records of black bears from 48 lowa counties, two-thirds of them from counties in the eastern half of lowa. The last recorded historical bear sighting in the 1800s was one killed near Spirit Lake in 1876. Although a Fish Commission had been established in 1873 nothing really happened in terms of Game/Wildlife legislation until after the last black bear had disappeared. Thus, they are not recognized as a designated wildlife species in the lowa Code. In the 1960s, black bear reports began to occur in the state. Several of these reports were from captive bears that were either turned loose or were escapees. In the 1990s through the present, we began to field more reports of what appeared to be wild free ranging black bears in the state (Table 7.4). Currently, the nearest established wild populations of black bears are in Wisconsin, Minnesota, and central/southern Missouri. These populations are expanding their range towards lowa from both the north and south. Figure 7.3 shows the locations by county of the most recent sightings of bears in lowa - including those in 2019. Many of those confirmed reports are occurring in northeast/eastern lowa.

In 2019, there were four confirmed bears reported in lowa. Two separate bears in Winneshiek County, one of which was again north of Decorah. We believe this bear is a resident. The second bear was approximately 6 miles east of Decorah. One bear was again reported in Fayette County and could be a resident, the fourth bear was reported in Delaware County.

So far in 2020, there have been at least four confirmed bears in lowa. Two were in Dubuque County, one in Chickasaw County, and one in Allamakee County. One of the bears in Dubuque County entered our state from Illinois after traveling south from Wisconsin. This bear was often visible during daylight hours while traveling in a general south to southwest direction, crossed major roadways and eventually travelled east back to Illinois. During this time a large social media following developed - even naming the bear 'Bruno'. This bear was eventually darted near St. Louis, and moved to a national forest in Missouri in early July.

Black bear sightings are usually more reliable than mountain lion/cougar sightings because they are very distinct in appearance and do not necessarily flee when sighted. Also bear tracks are very distinct, and they are not readily mistaken for other animals. Black bears, like mountain lions/cougars, have no legal status in lowa. That means they aren't protected. The DNR continues to consider legislation to give both species legal furbearer status in the lowa Code. The Governor's office has discouraged the DNR from pursuing legal status of the black bear and mountain lion/cougar because of bio-political conflicts between agriculture and these two wildlife species. The effort to give them furbearer status needs to be pursued in the future. This would allow appropriate wildlife management to occur which would include opportunities to handle nuisance black bear complaints. A lot of emotion is generated when one of these bears are killed. Where possible, we should discourage the indiscriminant killing of black bears unless there are concerns for human, pets, or livestock safety. Bears are omnivores, primarily vegetarians, foraging on seeds, fruits, berries and other plant material but they will feed upon animals as well. Human tolerance will be the deciding factor as to whether black bears would ever re-establish a breeding population again in lowa. If they do, their numbers would likely remain quite small.


Figure 7.3 Confirmed locations of Black Bears in lowa 1965-2020. (1876 Last Historical Sighting, Dickinson County) (8/05/19)
Tables
Table 7.4 The number of confirmed black bears in lowa by year 2002-2019.

| 2002 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2003 | 0 |
| 2004 | 0 |
| 2005 | 1 |
| 2006 | 0 |
| 2007 | 0 |
| 2008 | 5 |
| 2009 | 1 |
| 2010 | 3 |
| 2011 | 1 |
| 2012 | 1 |
| 2013 | 0 |
| 2014 | 3 |
| 2015 | 4 |
| 2016 | 3 |
| 2017 | 5 |
| 2018 | 2 |
| 2019 | 4 |
| 2020 | 4 |
| Total | 42 |

## Gray Wolf (Timber Wolf) Status in Iowa (2001-2020)

Two large wolf-like mammals were frequently encountered by early settlers in lowa. While lowa was still part of the Louisiana Territory, in the early 1800s the very first piece of wildlife legislation was that to encourage killing wolves. Much of the legislation centered on bounties. There are no known specimens preserved in museums from the state. Historians usually did not distinguish between the gray (timber) wolf, Canis lupus and the coyote, Canis latrans often called the "prairie wolf." Both species were greatly persecuted and until very recently, only the coyote remains and thrives in the state.

Two different subspecies of gray wolf occurred in lowa. The Great Plains wolf (a name that causes considerable confusion because the coyote which was often given a similar name, the prairie wolf), was found over the western twothirds of the state. The Great Plains Wolf followed the bison herds, feeding on the stragglers from the herd as well as other prey (Dinsmore, 1994). The other subspecies was the gray (timber) wolf found primarily in eastern lowa, especially in the wooded northeastern corner of the state. Gray wolves were likely extirpated by the late 1800s. Bowles (1971) regards the last valid wolf record to be from Butler County in the winter of 1884-85. A timber wolf taken in Shelby County in 1925 appeared to be wild, but it also could have escaped from captivity before being shot. Gray wolves often fed on the domestic animals that settlers brought to lowa, and there are numerous reports of them killing chickens, pigs, calves, and sheep in lowa. Gray wolves were fully protected in all the 48 states in August of 1974 under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973.

## Great Lakes Population of Gray Wolves

In 1978, the Great Lakes population of wolves were reclassified (down-listed) from endangered to threatened under the ESA in Minnesota. The US Department of Interior's Fish and Wildlife Service administers the ESA. The Fish and Wildlife Service is working to allow more state rights' management of gray wolves and other resident species. Taking the gray wolf off the endangered/threatened list has continued to generate considerable controversy between wildlife professionals and animal rights' activists. Public review and input of this effort continues. Both Minnesota and Wisconsin were allowed to move forward with their first modern day wolf harvest season that took place in the Fall/Winter 2012. On Feb 20, 2015 the Great Lakes Gray Wolf population was again put back on the Endangered Species List due to a court order. Since then, attempts to appeal the decision along with continued debates within Minnesota and Wisconsin continue.

## Rocky Mountain Population of Gray Wolves

The Rocky Mountain wolf population was delisted from threatened on July 18, 2008 which allowed them to be legally harvested with approved state management plans, however an injunction by animal rights activists placed them back on the Threatened List which in essence gave them protection again. Court disputes between activist groups, ranchers, and government agencies continued for the next few years. The Rocky Mountain population was officially delisted from Endangered and Threatened Status on March 6, 2009. The back and forth between federal protection or delisting has continued since. However, many western states now allow wolves to be readily killed if there is concern for the welfare of livestock. Numerous animals have, in fact, been taken since this occurred.

## Gray Wolf Status in Iowa

Unlike the mountain lion and the black bear, the gray (timber) wolf is designated as a furbearer with state protected status under the lowa Code. Gray wolves likely have protection status because they were not clearly separated from the coyote in early bounty legislation, while Mountain Lions and Black Bear had basically been extirpated by the late 1880s before any wildlife legislation occurred. Thus, wolves are listed as a furbearer under lowa code and are protected by state law. We currently have a closed season but a gray wolf could be killed if it was causing livestock damage. With the Great Lakes population of gray wolves again listed as threatened and endangered by the US Fish and Wildlife Service, they also have federal protection status in lowa.

Beginning in the mid-1990s, a few wolves were appearing in west-central Wisconsin and southeast Minnesota which is approximately 75 miles from the lowa border. It's very likely major river corridors, especially the Mississippi River, in this tri- state region (MN, WI, IA) serve as travel corridors for wolves. Because the Driftless region is relatively rugged there is some habitat available that is conducive to wolves. It's not likely wolves will visit lowa often, nor in high numbers, however it is entirely likely for the occasional wolf to come down into lowa from Minnesota or Wisconsin (Figure 7.4).

In October of 2000, a radio collared wolf from Michigan was shot and killed near Kirksville, Missouri. This animal traveled over 600 miles (Straight line from where it was radio collared to where it was killed) and could have actually moved through a portion of lowa before being killed in Missouri. Kirksville is located about 50 miles south of Bloomfield, IA.

On November 15, 2002, a wolf was shot in Houston County, Minnesota which is adjacent to Allamakee County, lowa; the northeastern most county of lowa. Two known wolf-like animals were taken in 2010 in Sioux and Guthrie County.

Wolves are very mobile animals and as they extend their range southward more will likely frequent lowa. The distribution of gray wolves in Wisconsin and Minnesota's is being actively documented (Figure 7.5). Indications from both states, especially Wisconsin, are of some trends in wolves colonizing in a southward direction in recent years.

During 2009 through 2012, a few reports came from people seeing what they believed were gray wolves in lowa on a more frequent basis. For example, one (unconfirmed) report was in Jefferson County in July 2012.

## 2013

There were no confirmed reports of wolves in lowa for 2013. However, there were some additional reports to the lowa DNR that weren't able to be confirmed. Missouri and Illinois both reported 2-4 documented wolves in their states in 2013.

## 2014

In 2014, the lowa DNR was able to confirm that two female wolves were shot and killed. One was shot in February in Buchanan County, the second was shot in Jones County. Both weighed close to 70 pounds and neither showed indications that they had whelped. It is likely they were both 2-year olds based on tooth wear, body size, and other features. DNA evidence on one wolf indicated it matched somewhat with the Great Lakes population of wolves. It is likely both of these wolves travelled on their own into lowa from MN, WI, or MI. Missouri also reported a female gray wolf was shot in the south eastern part of the state in January 2014.

## 2015

There were three separate, confirmed reports of gray wolves in lowa. One wolf was confirmed in February via trail camera in Jackson County. A second gray wolf was shot by coyote hunters in December in Osceola County. This wolf was a male that weighed approximately 99 lbs and tooth aged at $1+\mathrm{yrs}$ old, meaning this wolf was about 18 mos old. The third wolf was shot in Van Buren County by a deer muzzleloader hunter. This wolf was a male that weighed approximately 103 lbs and tooth aged at $1+$ yrs old also. It is likely all three wolves dispersed from the Great Lakes states of MN, WI, or MI. However, DNA testing could not confirm this for sure.

## 2016

During 2016, we had 9 unconfirmed reports and 0 confirmed reports of gray wolves in lowa (Table 7.5).

## 2017

In 2017, there 4 unconfirmed reports of gray wolves seen in lowa, with 1 possible confirmed report in Allamakee County. With this animal, camera footage was recorded by deer hunters on a public hunting area. However, the DNR hasn't been able to view this footage to verify this animal as a wolf, so it will be recorded as an unconfirmed wolf report.

## 2018

In 2018, there were 4 reports of wolves, but none of those were able to be confirmed.

## 2019

In 2019, there were no confirmed reports of wolves. However, we continue to have an occasional dog with a highcontent of wolf genetics in it sighted, killed, or reported in various areas of the state.

It is possible that we may continue to have a roving wolf move into or through our state on rare occasion, but it's important to understand that we don't have a breeding population at this time. Time will tell whether or not a breeding
population of gray wolves will become established in lowa. Because gray wolves, at a distance can be readily mistaken for coyotes or in some cases dogs, many reports will likely be cases of mistaken identity. Modern day coyote hunters should take extra care to identify their target before shooting because it's now possible (although the chances are small), that it could be a gray wolf.

## Figures




Figure 7.5 Favorable Gray Wolf habitat and pack locations in the Northern Great Lakes Region
Source: http://www.timberwolfinformation.org/info/wolves/prob1.jpg

Tables

Table 7.5 Public reports of wolf sightings in lowa by year (2012-2019).

| Year | Confirmed Wolf <br> Sightings | Unconfirmed Wolf <br> Sightings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | 0 | 2 |
| 2013 | 0 | 1 |
| 2014 | 2 | 4 |
| 2015 | 3 | 1 |
| 2016 | 0 | 4 |
| 2017 | 0 | 5 |
| 2018 | 0 | 4 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 5 | 21 |

A few unconfirmed wolves were reported for the years (1938-2009). Unconfirmed wolf sightings began being documented better in 2012 as shown in the table above.
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#### Abstract

Each year, the lowa Department of Natural Resources (DNR) solicits responses from bow hunters as part of the Bow Hunter Observation Survey conducted from 1 October to 6 December. The primary objectives of this survey are to 1) collect observations of white-tailed deer which serve as an independent index of regional deer populations across the state, 2) supplement other deer data collected by the DNR, and 3) collect observations of other select species to monitor long-term population trends of these species. The DNR selects survey participants each year using a two-stage, stratified-random sampling design in which a sample of 9,000 individual bow hunters are selected from the list of all hunters who purchased an archery hunting license in each of the preceding three years. Each participant receives a diary for recording the number of hours hunted during each hunting trip, as well as the number of deer, wild turkey, and select furbearer species seen during each trip. To standardize observations, the mean number of animals seen per 1,000 hours hunted ( $95 \%$ confidence interval) is estimated statewide and by survey region for 12 species. In 2019, we collected responses from 2,549 bow hunters ( $29 \%$ response rate) consisting of 25,681 hunting trips and 84,951 hours of total observation time ( $3.28 \pm 0.028$ hours/trip). With the exception of northwest lowa, the total number of deer observations decreased between 2018 and 2019. However, the 10-year trend for total deer is increasing in all regions except southwest lowa. Wild turkey observations increased in northwest, north-central, and central lowa between 2018 and 2019 but decreased in all other regions. According to 10 -year trends, bobcat and opossum observations continue to increase while striped skunk observations are decreasing statewide. Observations for badger, raccoon, and red fox are stable to slightly decreasing across the state, and coyote observations are increasing in all regions except northwest and southeast lowa. Data from this survey are extremely valuable in monitoring population trends for harvested species such as white-tailed deer and raccoon and serve as the only index for monitoring population trends for uncommon species such as gray fox.


## Introduction

Reliable long-term indices of wildlife population trends are critical for making informed decisions on management of harvested species such as white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus) and bobcat (Lynx rufus) and for monitoring the population status of rare species or species of conservation concern such as gray fox (Urocyon cinereoargenteus). Data to generate such indices, however, can be logistically challenging to collect at a statewide scale. Hunter observation surveys have been implemented by several natural resource agencies throughout the U.S. as a means for collecting data to successfully monitor population trends for a variety of species including white-tailed deer (Winchcombe and Ostfeld 2001, Haskell 2011), moose (Alces alces; Ericsson and Wallin 1999, Crum et al. 2017), and gray wolf (Canis lupus; Rich et al. 2013). These citizen-science surveys provide a wealth of information at broad spatial scales for a small cost relative to other standardized surveys using paid staff. Therefore, hunter observation surveys are an extremely cost-effective approach for obtaining quality data to guide management decisions for both harvested species and species of conservation concern.

In 2004, the lowa Department of Natural Resources (DNR) implemented the annual lowa Bow Hunter Observation Survey. Designed in cooperation with lowa State University, the survey had two primary objectives: 1) to collect observations of white-tailed deer to serve as an independent supplement to other deer indices used by the DNR, and 2) to develop a database of long-term observations for other select species to monitor trends in relative abundance. Since the development of the lowa survey, several other Midwest states have implemented similar surveys including Illinois (Bluett 2013), Indiana, Missouri, Minnesota (Norton et al. 2017), Ohio (Ohio DNR 2015), and Wisconsin (Rees Lohr 2017). Bow hunters are ideal for collecting wildlife observational data because they typically employ stationary hunting methods (e.g., camouflage, scent masks, etc.) from a ground blind or tree stand which is conducive to observing wildlife in an undisturbed state and because they have access to privately- owned lands that may not be accessible by paid staff,
therefore increasing the coverage area of the survey.

Furthermore, the archery season in lowa (October 1 to early December and mid-December to January 10) is longer than any other deer hunting season and, as a result, bow hunters often spend more time in the field than other types of hunters. This allows for collection of repeated observations that can be used for a variety of purposes related to monitoring both short- and long-term wildlife population trends.

The purpose of this report is to summarize results from the 2019 survey and relative abundance trends of surveyed species for the past 10 years.

## Study Area

The lowa Bow Hunter Observation Survey was conducted statewide and administered to participants in each of nine regions in lowa (Figure 8.1).


Figure 8.1 Survey regions in lowa used for distributing the lowa Bow Hunter Observation Survey, 2019.

## Methods

Survey participants were selected using a two-stage, stratified random sampling design (Figure 8.2). The first stage of the sampling process involved selecting a list of bow hunters that 1) indicated interest in participating on a pre-survey sent to all avid lowa bow hunters in 2019 (i.e., individuals who purchased an archery hunting license in lowa for each of the past three years) or 2) responded to the survey in one of the last two years ("core" sample; Figure 8.2). The core sample is refreshed every three years to maintain a consistent response rate and was refreshed prior to the 2019 survey. For the second sampling stage, we selected individuals from a list of bow hunters who were not on either of the aforementioned lists as the "supplemental" sample (Figure 8.2). We selected a total of 999 individuals from the combined core and supplemental samples for each of the nine climate regions in lowa (Figure 8.1) which resulted in approximately 91 survey participants selected for each of lowa's 99 counties. Our final statewide sample size was 8,992, which is approximately $15 \%$ of the population of all archery hunters in recent years ( $N=\sim 60,000$ individual hunters annually).


Figure 8.2. Sampling process schematic for lowa Bow Hunter Observation Survey, 2019.
The survey consisted of a two-page diary in which hunters were asked to record the four counties in which they most frequently hunted, and subsequently the date, county (one of the four already listed above), number of hours spent hunting, and the number of individuals of 12 different species observed during each hunting trip (see Appendix for species surveyed). For white-tailed deer, hunters were asked to record the number of antlered (i.e., buck) and antlerless (i.e., doe or fawn) deer observed during each hunting trip, as well as the number of deer in which sex could not be determined (i.e., unknown). We mailed surveys, along with a cover letter explaining the purpose of the survey, to hunters prior to the start of the lowa archery season on October 1 each year. Reminder postcards were mailed to hunters who had yet to return their survey by November 15. Hunters were asked to return their survey by December 6 or when they were finished hunting, whichever came first.

We standardized observations for each species by 1,000 hours hunted to account for differences in the number of hunting trips taken and number of hours per hunting trip by region of the state. We reported the mean observations per 1,000 hours hunted and $95 \%$ confidence intervals (CI) for each species and summarized 10-year trends for each species.

## Results

A total of 134 surveys were returned as undeliverable in 2019. Therefore, the realized sample size in 2019 was $8,858$. We obtained responses from 2,549 bow hunters statewide for a response rate of $29 \%$. Statewide, participants spent a total of 84,951 hours hunting on 25,681 trips for an average of $3.28(95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=3.12,3.44)$ hours per trip. Participants reported a median of 12 trips during the 67 -day hunting season. The number of trips and hours hunted varied by region and ranged from 1,696 trips ( 5,245 total hours) in northwest lowa (Region 1) to 3,768 trips ( 13,380 total hours) in southcentral lowa (Region 8; Appendix).

White-tailed deer was the most frequently observed species on the survey with a total of $15,433(95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=13,644$, 17,223 ) observed per 1,000 hours hunted statewide, which includes a statewide total of 4,514 ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=4,035,4,992$ ) antlered deer and $9,921(95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=8,598,11,244)$ antlerless deer observed per 1,000 hours hunted. Total deer observed per 1,000 hours hunted ranged from a low of $1,487(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1,314,1,661)$ in northeast lowa (Region 3) to a high of $2,026(95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=1,747,2,306)$ in northwest lowa (Region 1). The 10-year trend for both antlered and total deer observations is increasing in all regions except southwest lowa (decreasing; Region 7; Appendix), while the 10-year trend for antlerless deer is increasing in all regions except west-central (stable; Region 4) and southwest lowa (decreasing; Region 7; Appendix). Despite increasing trends in most regions for both total deer and antlerless deer, observations for both dropped between 2018 and 2019 in all regions except northwest lowa (Region 1; Appendix).

Wild turkey (Meleagris gallopavo) continue to be the second-most frequently observed species on the survey with a total of 3,675 birds ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=2,747,4,603$ ) observed per 1,000 hours hunted statewide. Wild turkey observations ranged from a low of 218 birds ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=173,263$ ) per 1,000 hours hunted in southeast lowa (Region 9) to a high of 620 birds $(95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=389,852)$ per 1,000 hours hunted in northwest lowa (Region 1). Between 2018 and 2019, wild turkey observations decreased in six of nine regions and the 10-year trend shows long-term decreases in wild turkey observations in six of nine regions, mostly in southern and eastern lowa (Appendix).

Bobcat (Lynx rufus) observations are increasing statewide according to the 10 -year trend with the most pronounced increases occurring in northwest, central, and east-central lowa (Regions 1, 5, 6, respectively; Appendix). Statewide, a total of 44 bobcats $(95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=25,63$ ) were observed per 1,000 hours hunted in 2019 , an increase of nearly $50 \%$ since the survey was initiated in 2004. Observations of badger (Taxidea taxus) are mostly stable statewide according to the 10year trend with the exception of a sharply declining trend in southwest lowa (Region 7; Appendix). River otter (Lontra canadensis) observations increased drastically between 2018 and 2019 across the northern third of the state (Regions 13; Appendix). The 10 -year trend across all regions shows a mostly statewide decline in observations of raccoon (Procyon lotor), red fox (Vulpes vulpes), and striped skunk (Mephitis mephitis), whereas trends in observations of both coyote (Canis latrans) and opossum (Didelphis virgiana) are stable to slightly increasing statewide.

## Discussion

Our survey response rate of $29 \%$ in 2019 was approximately $5 \%$ higher than last year, likely due to refreshing the core sample, and is the highest realized response rate for the survey in the last 10 years. Not surprisingly, both the total number of trips and total hours hunted statewide increased from 20,907 to 25,681 and 67,202 to 84,951 , respectively, from 2018 to 2019. Additionally, the average hours per trip increased slightly from 3.18 ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=3.02,3.36$ ) in 2018 to $3.28(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=3.12,3.45)$ in 2019. While the increase in total number of trips and total hours hunted could be an artifact of the increased response rate realized in 2019, one can't ignore the fact that hunting conditions were improved this year compared to last year. The fall of 2018 was the third wettest on record in lowa with statewide precipitation averaging 6.48 inches above normal (Glisan 2018). This not only reduced the total
number of favorable days for hunters during the 63-day hunting season but also resulted in delayed crop harvest and considerable flooding of bottomland hardwood forests statewide which likely impacted deer behavior and hunters' ability to effectively pattern deer. In 2019, precipitation averaged 4.41 inches above normal making it the seventh wettest on record in lowa and again resulting in delayed crop harvest across much of the state (Glisan 2019). Despite the potentially unfavorable weather throughout much of the hunting season in 2019, reported harvest for the 2019 archery season increased by $5 \%$ compared to last year, which was the lowest reported harvest for the archery season since 2013.

Total white-tailed deer observations decreased in all regions except northwest lowa (Region 1; Appendix) between 2018 and 2019 with the most significant decreases observed in northeast and south-central lowa (Regions 3 and 8, respectively; Appendix). A significant outbreak of Epizootic Hemorrhagic Disease (EHD) was documented throughout much of the state in the late summer and early fall of 2019 with EHD-suspected mortality in deer reported in 60 counties, mostly in the southern and eastern two-thirds of the state. Of the 1,927 total reported deer mortalities, approximately $78 \%$ came from south-central lowa (Region 8; Appendix). This outbreak, which is the second-largest outbreak of EHD recorded in lowa, was likely the reason for the decreased number of deer observations reported by bow hunters across much of the state. The decrease in deer observations coincided with a $13 \%$ decrease in total deer harvest statewide between the 2018-2019 and 2019-2020 hunting seasons, therefore suggesting that EHD negatively impacted the fall deer population this year. However, the 10 -year trend shows total deer observations are increasing mostly statewide despite the observed decrease in 2019, which suggests the population should recover quickly.

Trends in wild turkey observations are increasing in northern and central lowa but are decreasing across the southern portion of the state (Appendix). Similar patterns are currently being observed in neighboring states. Both Minnesota and Wisconsin reported growth and expansion of wild turkey populations in recent years, whereas Missouri populations have been declining slightly since 2007 largely due to low poult production (Isabelle 2017). Low poult production has also been cited as a reason for earlier turkey population declines in Wisconsin, and researchers there suggested an
annual fecundity rate of 2.6 poults per hen was needed to stabilize a declining population in the southwestern part of the state (Rolley et al. 1998). In lowa, fecundity has ranged from an average of 1.7 poults per hen in southwest lowa to 2.3 poults per hen in northwest, northeast, and east-central lowa the past five years (lowa DNR, unpublished data). In 2019, fecundity dropped to a low of 0.8 poults per hen in southeast lowa and 0.9 poults per hen in east-central lowa (lowa DNR, unpublished data). This suggests, therefore, that declining fecundity across much of the state could be a driving factor of the declining turkey observations. However, further investigation into the mechanisms driving declines in turkey populations are needed, and continued monitoring of turkeys in lowa will help guide future management decisions and research efforts to address these concerns.

Bobcat observations continue to increase statewide according to 10-year trends in each region (Appendix). These increases are especially pronounced in northwest lowa as bobcats expand north along the Des Moines, Little and Big Sioux, and other major river systems, and in southeast lowa as bobcats continue to thrive in suitable habitat. Bobcat harvest has intentionally been kept conservative to allow for continued growth and expansion of the population which has occurred in a south to north direction in lowa. The first modern-day bobcat harvest season began in 2007 in the southern two tiers of counties in lowa during which a conservative harvest quota was implemented to allow for continued growth and expansion of the population. As the bobcat population expanded northward, additional counties were added to the bobcat harvest zone to include the southernmost four tiers of counties as well as counties adjacent to the Missouri River in western lowa. Bobcat harvest has increased annually since the implementation of the harvest season in 2007 and survey data continues to show growth and expansion of the bobcat population in lowa.

River otter observations nearly doubled statewide between 2018 and 2019, with the most significant increases occurring in the northern three regions of the state. This, combined with increased reports of river otters made to DNR staff in the southern half of the state, suggests the population is still increasing throughout the state, particularly within the Mississippi River watershed of lowa, following reintroduction to the state in 1985. Farm pond nuisance complaints related to otters has increased over the past two years across eastern and southern lowa. Other Midwest states are also experiencing significant increases in river otters following reintroduction including Illinois (Bluett et al. 2004), Missouri (Mowry et al. 2014), and Ohio (Ellington et al. 2018). Although this survey wasn't originally designed to detect river otters, it remains as one of the only indices (in addition to annual harvest data) available for monitoring population trends of this species statewide.

Raccoon observations increased by 29\% statewide between 2018 and 2019 despite the 10-year trends showing declines in all regions except south central lowa. Observations of raccoons on the annual spring spotlight survey also increased between 2018 and 2019, although it's unknown whether this increase is an artifact of survey conditions or driven by a decrease in harvest due to low pelt prices (Kaminski et al. 2019). Although striped skunk observations increased slightly between 2018 and 2019, 10-year trends for this species continue to indicate a statewide decline. In contrast, striped skunk observations have increased in recent years on the spotlight survey, although observations on that survey fluctuate among years (Kaminski et al. 2019). Opossum observations decreased by 13\% from 2018 to 2019, a pattern also observed on the spotlight survey that could be the result of harsh winter conditions in 2019 decreasing annual survival of this species (Kaminski et al. 2019).

## Management Implications

Effective management of wildlife populations must be based on sound science. This survey provides a consistent, longterm data set for monitoring trends and spatial distribution of lowa wildlife populations and allows for future modeling and analysis that provide robust metrics to guide harvest management and conservation decisions for some of lowa's most charismatic species.
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## Appendix

Summary of trips, hours hunted, hours per trip, and species observations per 1,000 hours hunted ( $95 \%$ confidence interval) by region from the lowa Bow Hunter Observation Survey, 2019.

## Antlered Deer Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


## Antlerless Deer Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


## Total Deer Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


## Unknown Deer Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


## Badger Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


## Bobcat Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


## Coyote Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


## Gray Fox Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


## House Cat Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


## Opossum Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


## River Otter Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


## Raccoon Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


## Red Fox Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


## Striped Skunk Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


## Wild Turkey Observations Per 1,000 Hours Hunted

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


Hours Hunted by Survey Participants
Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


## Average Hours Hunted/Bowhunting Trip

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


## Bowhunting Trips by Survey Participants

Bow Hunter Observation Survey, lowa Dept. of Natural Resources


Thank you for participating in the 2019 Bowhunter Observation Survey. Please return this original form (no photocopies) when you have finished bowhunting or by December 6, 2019, whichever comes first. When finished, fold the form into thirds, place it in the postage paid envelope, and return to: Iowa DNR; Wildlife Research Station, 1436 255th St., Boone, IA 50036. For questions, please call (515) 777-5378.

## STEP 1: YOUR INFORMATION

What is your DNR number?
(Your 4-9 digit DNR \# can be found on any of y our DNR Licenses or Tags)

## STEP 2: COUNTIES HUNTED

INSTRUCTIONS: Please record the name of each Iowa county where y ou will bowhunt. When you record observations in STEP 3 , you will use the county \# ( $1,2,3$, or 4 ) to fill the appropriate bubble indicating which county you hunted.
$\qquad$
County \# County (first 6 letters)
County \# County (first 6 letters)
County \# County (first 6 letters)
4

## STEP 3: YOUR OBSERVATIONS

INSTRUCTIONS: Record observations made ONLY WHILE BOWHUNTING. For each bowhunting trip, record the date, fill the appropriate bubble to indicate the county where you hunted, record the number of hours in your bowstand, and record the number of each species seen (IF ZERO, LEAVE BLANK). If you did not see any of the species listed, you must still record the date, county number, and the number of hours. DO NOT PROVIDE OBSERVATIONS OF SPECIES THAT ARE NOT LISTED. Keep this form neat and clean... do not take it into the field.


|  |  |  | $\square$ | BOWHUNTER OBSERVATION RECORD 2019 IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP 3：YOUR OBSERVATIONS（Continued） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Month | Day | County \＃ <br> （see STEP 2） <br> MARK ONE | \＃Hours in Stand （rounded to nearest $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ ．） | deer observed | $\begin{gathered} \substack{\text { wild } \\ \text { Turkeys }} \end{gathered}$ | Bobat | Coyote | Red Fox | Other species observed |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Striped } \\ \text { Skunk } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Badger | House Cat | ${ }_{\substack{\text { River } \\ \text { Otter }}}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\overline{\text { Antlered Antlerless Not Sure }}$ |  |  |  |  | Gray Fox | Raccoon | Opossum |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1234 |  | Antlered Antlerless Not Sure |  |  |  |  | Gray Fox | Raccoon | Opossum |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ． |  |  |  |  |  | － |  | － |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ． | 日且吅 |  | $\square \square$ | － | $\square$ | － | T－ | － | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ．． |  |  | $\square$ |  | T | － | $\square$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ． |  |  |  |  |  | － | 「 | － |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ． |  |  |  |  | － | － | － |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ． | 日且且 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ． | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ． | ［1ED | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1. | － | $\square$ | I |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0000 | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Success rates from 2005 and prior are not comparable to subsequent years.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Long-term data dates back to 1930.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Otter and bobcat harvest data was recorded from the harvest reporting system, not licensed fur dealers.

[^2]:    *Season bag limit of one per licensed furharvester (2007-present).
    *Harvest data includes animals harvested during a 48-hour grace period following season closure.
    *Harvest data excludes known road-killed bobcats.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ First regulated bobcat harvest season in lowa.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Bag limit in lower 3 tier counties (31) increased from 1 to 3 bobcats in 2019

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Small Game Harvest Survey changed from single to double mailing. Hunter estimates from 1999-Present are more conservative than pree-1999 estimates.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Survey methodology changed account for unrealistic harvest (e.g. reports of 1 bird harvested for 60 days effort).
    'Survey methodology changed for unrealistic harvest/day for quail, huns, rabbits, squirrel, and doves.
    *Iowa lost 800,000 acres of whole field enrollment CRP.

[^4]:    a Not confirmed and number of birds heard listed as "more than 1 "
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ before 2009 = only males, maximum number of chickens counted on one morning, may not equal lek counts
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Not part of formal lek survey. Reported by others.

